



FARMERS' PREFERENCES REGARDING SUSTAINABLE COFFEE CERTIFICATIONS

Muhammad Ibnu

Promotor: Pieter Glasbergen, Bustanul Arifin, and Ron Corvers

Funded by KNAW and DIKTI

PhD Duration: May 2013 - May 2017



Little attention is given to the farmers' preferences in the formulation of certification schemes (Adesina and Baidu-Forson, 1995; Bekele, 2006). In fact, certification programs rarely consult the farmers in certification development. The majority of certification programs seems to neglect farmers' specific conditions, problems and their preferences for the program characteristics (Bekele, 2006). Consequently, in many cases certification programs may only partially reach sustainable development goals.

Methodology

Research Approach

- Attributes from five certification schemes (Organic, Utz Kapeh, Fair Trade, Rainforest Alliance, 4C - see Table 1) will be presented to farmers.
- A pre-test survey as well as focus-group discussion with farmers will be conducted to verify attributes on Table 1.
- · Then, an improved questionnaire as well as semi-structured interviews will be conducted based on attributes on Table 1.
- Conjoint analysis is used to measure the various attributes of farmer's preferences for assessing which certification scheme is considered ideal.

Research Objectives

- 1. To examine farmers' preferences on various attributes of coffee certifications.
- 2. Provide an ideal certification scheme according to farmers.
- 3. Compare the ideal scheme with the existing schemes.

Methodology

Data Collection

- Research (field work) will take place in Lampung Province (Pulau Panggung, Gunung Batu, Sumber Jaya) for Robusta Coffee
- A group of farmers' samples will be drawn from certified and non-certified coffee farming.
- Non-certified farmers act as a control group
- Total samples will be about 125 coffee-farmers.



Certification programs which are appropriate to farmers' specific circumstances, are preferred by farmers, and are more likely to make positive changes in the coffee sector and in farmers' livelihoods.











Table 1. Attributes Based on Documents of Different Certification Schemes to be Verified by Farmers in Focus Group Discussion and Semi Structured Interview

| Attributes | Choice 1 | Choice 2 | Choice 3 |
|--|-------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| Market Focus | All Market | Mainstream | Niche, Specialty |
| Price Differential to Farmers | Negotiated between seller and buyer | Set by the program | Set by market |
| Technical Assistance and Capacity building | Local Farmers' organization | TA through sustainable agricultural network | Good agricultural practice (GAP) |
| Inspection Frequency and accreditation | Annually | At least annual | Every 3 years |
| Target group (growers) | High quality coffee grower only | Cooperative | Smallholder Farmer |
| Premium price | Yes, fixed | Yes, flexible | |
| Labor input | Higher | Moderate | |
| Credit | Pre-finance | Through (Local) banks | Farmer Loan Fund |
| Standards | Minimum and progress standard | Minimum compliance standard | Scorecard with indicators |
| Key aspects | Labor, livelihood and participation | Sustainable resource management practice | Production and quality management |
| Main Focus | Fairness | Sustainability | Responsible sourcing |

Reference

- Adesina, A. A, J. Baidu-Forson. 1995. Farmers' perceptions and adoption of new agricultural technology: evidence from analysis in Burkina Faso and Guinea, West Africa. Agricultural Economics 13 (1995) 1-9.
- Bekele, W. 2006. Analysis of Farmers' Preferences for Development Intervention Programs: A Case Study of Subsistence Farmers from Eastern Ethiopian Highlands. African Development Review Volume 18, Issue 2, pages 183-204.

