# Effect of Stove Types on In-kitchen Air Quality: Case Study at Way Isem Village, Lampung Province, Indonesia

U. Hasanudin<sup>1,\*</sup>, A. Haryanto<sup>2</sup>, J. Romero<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Agro-industrial Technology, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Lampung, Jl. Sumantri Brojonegoro No. 1, Bandar Lampung, Indonesia, 35145. Email: udinha@unila.ac.id

<sup>2</sup>Department of Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Lampung. Jl. Sumantri Brojonegoro No. 1, Bandar Lampung, Indonesia, 35145. Email: agusharyanto@unila.ac.id

<sup>3</sup>Institute for Global Environmental Strategies, 2108-11 Kamiyamaguchi, Hayama, Kanagawa 240-0115, Japan. Email: romero@iges.or.jp \*Corresponding author: udinha@unila.ac.id Tel: + 62-721-781823, Fax: + 62-721-700682

Abstract: The objective of this research was to investigate in-kitchen air quality as related to stove utilization during cooking. The experiment was conducted at Way Isem, a village located in the North of Lampung Province, Indonesia. Nine homes using four different fuels or stoves participated in this experiment with a total of fifteen samples - five wood stoves, five kerosene stoves, four liquefied petroleum gas (LPG) stoves and one biogas stove. In-kitchen air quality was measured using five parameters, namely SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub>, dust, PM<sub>10</sub> and opacity. In-kitchen air was sampled using a High Volume Air Sampler apparatus equipped with a vacuum pump. The air was then absorbed using a RAC 5 gas sampler for further analysis. Results showed that SO<sub>2</sub> content in the kitchen air for an hour of measurement ranged from 340 to 357  $\mu$ g/Nm<sup>3</sup>, lower than the Indonesia standard of 900  $\mu$ g/Nm<sup>3</sup>. NO<sub>2</sub> content, ranged from 12 to 19  $\mu$ g/Nm<sup>3</sup>, was also distinctly lower than the national standard of 400  $\mu$ g/Nm<sup>3</sup>. Wood stoves, however, resulted in high concentrations of dust and PM<sub>10</sub>, which were 390  $\mu$ g/Nm<sup>3</sup> for PM<sub>10</sub>.

Keywords: Biomass, black carbon, gas emission, indoor cooking, in-kitchen air, opacity, particulate matter, stove.

### 1. Introduction

Biomass is still a primary energy source for cooking in developing countries. Currently, it is estimated that around 50% of the world's population (90% or about 3 billion people in developing countries) rely on biomass fuels (wood, dung and crop residues), which are typically burnt especially for cooking, heating, and lighting [1-2]. The use of biomass energy is environmentally benign if it is managed appropriately. In addition, carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) released during combustion of biomass, is finally utilized for photosynthesis during plant growth. If biomass was completely combusted, the use of biomass for cooking could be carbon dioxide-neutral [3]. Most biomass, however, is burned incompletely and produces other by-products which contribute to climate change. In the rural areas, wood fuel is used through direct, open combustion in a simple stove that results in incomplete combustion. Incomplete combustion processes can emit organic pollutants and fine particles. In effect, biomass combustion is related to significant pollutant emissions and hence, needs to be improved. Nussbaumer [4] stated that pollutant formation occurs in two ways: (1) Incomplete combustion that leads to high emissions of unburnt pollutants such as CO, soot, and PAH, and (2) fuel constituents such as N, K, Cl, Ca, Na, Mg, P, and S that form pollutants such as NO<sub>x</sub> and particles.

Zhang and Smith (2003) noted that combustion of solid fuels in simple, poorly designed and maintained stoves contributed directly to low energy efficiency and, therefore, increased pressure on fuel resources [5]. Low combustion efficiency means that a large fraction of fuel carbon is converted to compounds other than carbon dioxide ( $CO_2$ ), i.e. products of incomplete combustion. These products mainly comprise CO and fine (inhalable) particles, and volatile as well as semi volatile organic compounds.

In addition, people rarely erect a chimney to release flue gases resulting from biomass burning. This has resulted in dirty, unhealthy kitchens. Black carbon emitted during biomass burning accumulates and forms black soot on the walls and ceiling. Therefore, the use of biomass energy should be evaluated comprehensively. The relation of indoor air pollution and ill health condition has been well documented. For example, Bruce et al. (2002) highlighted that indoor air pollution may be attributed to some diseases including acute respiratory infections, chronic pulmonary disease, asthma, lung cancer, pulmonary tuberculosis, low birth weight and infant mortality, and cataracs [6].

The aforementioned condition of wood fuel utilization applies to Indonesia. During the last ten years, biomass consumption in Indonesia was 272 million barrel oil equivalent [7] and most is used for cooking by rural and low income people. Most people burn wood fuel in open, simple woodstoves. Cooking is done in the kitchen without a chimney to release the flue gases. The by-products escape out of the building simply through windows, doors, porous walls and roofing. This condition caused black, dirty walls and ceiling in the kitchen.

There are some studies on the woodstove energy efficiency in Indonesia. Nurhayati et al. [8], for example, reported that thermal efficiency of woodstoves used in brown sugar industries was around 15-18.6%, whereas the efficiency of traditional household and small restaurant woodstoves varied between 1.6% and 23.9%. Recently, Haryanto and Triyono [9] reported that thermal efficiency of woodstoves was 9-16%. Information on the emissions related to household stoves, however, is scarce. Therefore, investigations of emission characteristics resulting from fuel combustion for cooking are required. The results are expected to contribute in helping the design of efficient, low emission wood stoves. The objective of this research was to investigate in-kitchen air quality as related to different stoves used during cooking at Way Isem, a village in Lampung Province, Indonesia.

### 2. Experimental

### 2.1 Description of study site

The experiment was conducted at Way Isem village in northern of Lampung Province. The village was located about 160 km (3 hours driving) from Bandar Lampung, the capital city of Lampung Province. Way Isem village has a population of 1,443 persons (739 male and 704 female) from 361 households. Most of the villagers are work farms. Rural homes in the field of study were one-story houses with large backyards for gardening. So far, no electricity grid is installed at the village. Because of relatively easy access to gather fire wood, energy consumption for cooking is basically supplied by wood. The wood is gathered from the yard or farm with no costs incurred. The wood is burnt in a simple brick stove. For all households their kitchens were in the back section of the house. Generally, the kitchens are designed for a wood stove without a chimney to release the flue gas. Byproducts from fuel combustion were simply removed from the kitchen by natural ventilation through windows, doors, porous walls and roofs. This condition caused black, dirty walls and ceiling in the kitchen.

Some people with a better economic standing have kerosene stoves. Smith et al. [10] clearly stated that the transition of people away from reliance on wood as fuel toward commercial (often fossil) fuels occurred due to people's living standard improvement. Through a kerosene conversion program, 20 villagers recently (in 2010) also received an LPG stove from the government for free. The LPG and kerosene are purchased from a local supplier for IDR.8000 (US\$89 cent) per liter for kerosene and IDR.15,500 (US\$1.7) per bottle of 3-kg LPG. Sustaining the use of LPG stoves was expensive or deemed as an unnecessary expense compared to using free and abundant wood as fuel so most of the people continued using wood as a primary fuel. In fact most kerosene and LPG stoves were kept in a drawer due to the high price of kerosene and many accidents involving LPG stoves being reported in the media. Therefore, the people continued running their wood stoves as a primary stove.

In 2007, Way Isem village became a recipient of the Self Sufficient Energy Village (SSEV) pilot project. The project scheme is for the village to grow Jatropha curcas as a source of energy with assistance from a foundation. The jatropha plant was able to produce seeds within six months after planting (the seedling was planted in a plastic bag for one month). The seeds from the harvested ripe jatropha fruits were processed to produce biokerosene, i.e. crude jatropha oil (CJO). All the facilities, including seed, were provided from the sponsor. The CJO needs to be further processed so that it can be used to run a generator and produce electricity. The jatropha seed supply, however, was not sufficient due to low yields. Production was intermittent so the CJO was merely sold through the sponsor. In order to attract people into participating in the program, the sponsor also provided biogas digesters to generate biogas fuel from jatropha cake. In fact, 20 families have already received biogas digester through the SSEV program, but only one was in operation when the experiment took place. The people showed high enthusiasm for the biogas digester because it is a cleaner source of cooking fuel compared to wood and it is almost free compared to the LPG. However, with the low technology transfer and limited training capacity, the villagers did not have the skills to fix even small problems such as gas holder leaks. But the main problem was that the economic benefits from jatropha cultivation was not attractive when compared to planting other crops such as cassava so the supply of jatropha cake used in the biogas digester was not readily available [11].

### 2.2 Experimental settings

Nine houses using four different fuel/stove participated in this experiment making taking a total of fifteen samples, which included five cordwood stoves, five kerosene stoves, four LPG stoves and one biogas stove (see Table 1). As stated earlier, it was hard to find people using kerosene, LPG and biogas stoves. In cases that a home had more than one stove the emission measurement were made separately for each stove on a different day. This strategy was adopted to avoid the effect of previous conditions created by a different fuel. Data recorded included the kitchen condition, and stove and fuel types. Measurements of air quality were conducted in the kitchen at a point 2-3 meters from the stove (Figure 1). A High Volume Air Sampler apparatus (HiVol 3000 ECOTECH) equipped with a vacuum pump was used to collect air samples for dust and  $PM_{10}$  determination for an hour. Particulate matter in the air sample was trapped in a membrane-type filter before air enters the inlet manifold. Particulate analysis was performed based on the Indonesia National Standard (SNI) #19-7119.3-2005 released by the Bureau for National Standards (BSN, 2005a) [12].

A RAC 5 Gas Sampler (New Star Environmental) capable of testing five emission gases was used to measure  $NO_2$  and  $SO_2$  content at the same time. The RAC 5 Gas Sampler was equipped with bubbler tubes to absorb the air. The sample air passes through these tubes into 50 ml of reagent (absorbing solution) contained in each bubbler. The gas sampler arrangement is depicted schematically in Figure 2. The scrubbed air was analyzed based on the SNI standards #19-7119.2-2005 for  $NO_2$  and #19-7119.7-2005 for  $SO_2$  [13-14].

**Table 1.** Sampling strategy for in-kitchen air quality measurement (fifteen total samples).

	Woodstove	LPG stove	Kerosene stove	Biogas stove
Home 1	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	
Home 2	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	
Home 3	$\checkmark$			
Home 4	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	
Home 5	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	
Home 6		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	
Home 7		$\checkmark$		
Home 8		$\checkmark$		
Home 9				



Figure 1. Field measurement to evaluate in-kitchen air quality.



Fuel combustion produces smoke at different intensities due to different moisture content and different size, form and color of the particulates. The opacity was measured using the Ringelmann scale and was presented as a percentage of the standard background. The method was detailed in the SNI standard # 19-7117.11-2005 [15].

### 3. Results and Discussion

Table 2 shows the emission data resulted from the experiment.

# 3.1 SO<sub>2</sub> emission

Not

Figure 3 shows the SO<sub>2</sub> content in-kitchen air in relation to different types of stove. The SO<sub>2</sub> concentration was in the range of 340.1  $\mu$ g/Nm<sup>3</sup> (for biogas stove) to 356.6  $\mu$ g/Nm<sup>3</sup> (for wood stove). Standard ambient air quality for Indonesia, as found in the Government Regulation No. 41/1999 [16], requires that SO<sub>2</sub> content for an hour must not exceed 900  $\mu$ g/Nm<sup>3</sup>. Therefore, SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations found during cooking activities was well below the required national standard for ambient air regardless of stove type. It can also be seen from Figure 3 that kerosene, LPG, and biogas stoves produced a relatively comparable amount of SO<sub>2</sub> but cordwood stoves, on the other hand, produced slightly higher SO<sub>2</sub> levels.

The sulfur oxides  $(SO_x)$  emissions from the stoves directly related to the sulphur content of fuels used [17]. It is well known that the combustion of fossil fuels produce more  $SO_2$  than that of biomass [18]. In fact, the combustion of fossil fuel has been

claimed to be the major anthropogenic source of  $SO_2$  emissions. Our data, however, showed that biomass combustion produce higher  $SO_2$ . This may be due to the low efficiency of the woodstoves used in this experiment as reported recently by Haryanto and Triyono [9].

# 3.2 NO<sub>2</sub> emission

The NO<sub>2</sub> content in the kitchen air as related to different types of stove is presented in Figure 4. It was observed that NO<sub>2</sub> emissions from all stoves were basically comparable in the window of 11.4  $\mu$ g/Nm<sup>3</sup> for the biogas stove to 19.2  $\mu$ g/Nm<sup>3</sup> in average for the LPG stove. Again, these quantities were much lower than the national standard of 400  $\mu$ g/Nm<sup>3</sup>.

The NO<sub>2</sub> formation may occur by two different mechanisms: (1) formation of NO<sub>2</sub> from the conversion of chemically bound nitrogen in the fuel, and (2) formation of NO<sub>2</sub> from the fixation of atmospheric nitrogen in the combustion process. Therefore, NO<sub>2</sub> emission levels will depend on the combustion temperature and the fuel composition as well. Dinca et al. [19] noted the correlation between the NO<sub>2</sub> emissions and the nitrogen content of the fuel. Nussbaumer (2003) confirmed that biomass furnaces exhibit relatively high emissions of NO<sub>2</sub> than those of gas or kerosene stoves [4]. Our results, however, showed a different tendency. These implied that NO<sub>2</sub> emissions in this study mostly resulted from atmospheric nitrogen and therefore, NO<sub>2</sub> emissions will not be different due to the different fuels used. The small discrepancy may be due to the quality of combustion.

Table 2. Emission data resulted from the experiment.

Home #		Wood Stove	Kerosene Stove	LPG Stove	Biogas Stove	Indonesia Standard
			SO <sub>2</sub> emiss	sion		
	1	364.6	336.6	356.3	340.1	
	2	359.6	350.7	324.4		
	3	349.3	341.8	334.6		
	4	363.7	355.0	346.1		
	5	345.8	330.8			
AVG		356.6	342.98	340.35	340.1	900
SD		8.56	9.93	13.84		
			NO <sub>2</sub> emis	sion		
	1	17.8	18.0	15.5	11.4	
	2	21.9	10.8	9.6		
	3	22.1	28.1	35.7		
	4	10.3	12.1	16.0		
	5	15.8	10.9			
AVG		17.58	15.98	19.20	11.4	400
SD		4.88	7.39	11.38		
			Ash			
	1	589.0	217.4	193.9	31.9	
	2	268.2	98.7	53.8		
	3	61.0	57.9	13.1		
	4	96.0	18.8	90.93		
	5	840.9	62.1			
AVG		371.02	90.98	87.93	31.9	230
SD		335.64	76.12	77.47		
			PM <sub>10</sub>			
	1	866.6	89.9	92.4	66.6	
	2	215.4	60.7	45.1		
	3	518.8	62.3	80.0		
	4	104.7	59.1	53.8		
	5	321.8	57.9			
AVG		405.46	65.98	67.83	66.6	115
SD		299.61	13.47	22.10		
			Opacit	Ý		
	1	10	6	3	4	
	2	9	5	4		
	3	10	6	3		
	4	8	5	4		
	5	10	5			
AVG	-	9.4	5.4	3.5	4	35
SD		0.89	0.55	0.58		
Standard d	aviatio	n (SD) is defined as	$SD = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\overline{X} - X_i)^2$			
	e viatio	in (SD) is defined as a	$\frac{i=1}{\overline{X}}$			

#### 3.3 Particulate emission

Figures 5 and 6 respectively showed the concentration of dust and particulate matter with a diameter of 10 µm or less  $(PM_{10})$  in the kitchen air. Both figures demonstrated that particulate emissions from biomass combustion was much higher than those of the other three stoves. Jiang and Bell [20] reported that indoor particle levels may be attributed to different fuel types and kitchen designs. Dust levels in kitchen air in relation to liquid and gas fuels varied from 31.9  $\mu$ g/Nm<sup>3</sup> with biogas stoves to 91 µg/Nm<sup>3</sup> with kerosene stoves. Wood stoves, however, resulted in a dust content of 371 µg/Nm<sup>3</sup> on average. A similar trend was observed for PM<sub>10</sub> levels, which were in the range of 66 to 68 µg/Nm3 with kerosene, LPG, and biogas stoves; whilst woodstoves resulted in 405.5  $\mu$ g/Nm<sup>3</sup> on average. Dust and PM<sub>10</sub> levels showed that particle emissions from wood stoves were above the national standard of 230 and 115 µg/Nm3 for dust and PM10, respectively. The type of stove used as well as the type of wood and its moisture content were likely be substantial variables. The other three stoves (kerosene, LPG, and biogas) produced dust and PM<sub>10</sub> emissions lower than the national standards.



**Figure 3.** SO<sub>2</sub> content in-kitchen air quality as related to different types of stove.



**Figure 4.**  $NO_2$  content in the kitchen air as related to different types of stove



**Figure 5.** Dust content in the kitchen air as related to different types of stove.

Our results indicated higher PM levels for households using biomass stoves compared with those using cleaner fuels. These findings imply that biomass burning for cooking contributes substantially to indoor particulate levels. The results concurred with previous work. Jiang and Bell reported that urban households using cleaner fuels (such as natural gas), had significantly lower indoor PM levels than did rural households using biomass [20]. In Tamil Nadu, India, cooks using biomass fuels also experienced higher indoor PM4 levels than cooks using clean fuels such as kerosene or gas did [21]. Smith et al. [22] concluded emissions of by-products from incomplete combustion decrease from unprocessed biomass to processed biomass (charcoal) to liquid fuels to gaseous fuels. Although our results are limited by the small sample size, these findings confirmed the growing body of evidence that biomass fuels can result in high indoor air pollution levels, which in turn can contribute to ill health such as respiratory infections, [23] and decreasing of lung function [24].

It can also be observed from Figure 5 and 6 that  $PM_{10}$  emissions were comparable with dust emissions for each type of stove. This implies that  $PM_{10}$  is the major component of dust polluting the air in the kitchen. The reason for this phenomenon is that  $PM_{10}$  remains in the atmosphere for longer periods because of its low settling velocity. Particulates greater than  $PM_{10}$ , on the other hand, remain in the atmosphere for shorter periods. The importance of  $PM_{10}$  is that it can penetrate deeply into the respiratory tract and cause respiratory illnesses in humans. It is important, therefore, to develop improved wood-burning stoves in order to increase the efficiency and reduce particle emissions.

# 3.4 Smoke opacity

Figure 7 revealed the percentage of opacity in the kitchens studied. The opacity of kitchen air met the national standard of 35% maximum [25]. The figure clearly shows that wood stoves resulted in higher air opacity than the other three stoves did. The opacity is caused by smoke which is a sign of



**Figure 6.**  $PM_{10}$  content in the kitchen air as related to different types of stove.



Figure 7. Opacity of in-kitchen air as related to different types of stove.

incomplete combustion. This means that woodstoves produced more smoke as compared to liquid or gas stoves. Smith et al. [26] pointed out that simple stoves using solid fuels do not merely convert fuel carbon into carbon dioxide. Such stoves actually convert a significant portion of the fuel carbon into products of incomplete combustion. In this case, local practices, the type of stove, as well as the wood species and moisture content, are important variables.

The significance of smoke particles is that they are composed of 50-60% organic carbon and 5-10% black carbon. Without a chimney or exhaust fan, smoke is the source of the black soot accumulated on the walls and ceiling of the kitchens. Smith et al. (2005) firmly stated that indoor smoke from solid fuel combustion is the second-leading environmental risk factor contributing to the total burden of disease in India, and the third-largest risk factor overall [27].

# 4. Conclusion

Our experiment concluded that opacity,  $NO_2$  and  $SO_2$  concentrations in kitchen air as related to the type of fuel or stoves used for cooking was far below the national standard, regardless fuel and stoves type. Biomass combustion results in elevated air pollution, especially particulate matter. The dust and PM10 concentrations during cooking time due to wood stove was 371 and 405 µg/Nm<sup>3</sup>, respectively. These numbers were higher than the national standards of 230 and 115 µg/Nm<sup>3</sup> for dust and PM10, respectively.

Further field studies are necessary to evaluate the use of improved stoves and to quantify the carbon savings from the use of specific stoves.

# Acknowledgment

The authors greatly acknowledge the Institute for Global Environmental Strategies (IGES), Japan, for their financial support of this research. However, the views expressed in this document are solely those of the authors.

### Reference

- [1] McCracken JP, Smith KR, Díaz A, Mittleman MA, Schwartz J, Chimney Stove Intervention to Reduce Longterm Wood Smoke Exposure Lowers Blood Pressure among Guatemalan Women, *Environmental Health Perspectives* 115 (2007) 996-1001.
- [2] Naeher LP, Leaderer BP, Smith KR, Particulate Matter and Carbon Monoxide in Highland Guatemala: Indoor and Outdoor Levels from Traditional and Improved Wood Stoves and Gas Stoves, *Indoor Air* 10 (2000) 200-205.
- [3] MacCarty N, Ogle D, Still D, Bond T, Roden C, A laboratory comparison of the global warming impact of five major types of biomass cooking stoves, *Energy for Sustainable Development* XII/2 (2008) 5-14.
- [4] Nussbaumer T, Combustion and Co-combustion of Biomass: Fundamentals, Technologies, and Primary Measures for Emission Reduction, *Energy Fuels* 17 (2003) 1510-1521.
- [5] Zhang JJ, Smith KR, Indoor air pollution: A global health concern, *British Medical Bulletin* 68 (2003) 209-225.
- Bruce N, Perez-Padilla R, Albalak R, *The health effects of indoor air pollution exposure in developing countries* (2002)
   World Health Organization, 20 Avenue Appia, 1211 Geneva 27, Switzerland.
- [7] MEMR (Ministry of Energy and Mineral Resources), Handbook of Energy and Economic Statistics of Indonesia (2007) Accessed from: http://www.esdm.go.id (May 01, 2008).

- [8] Nurhayati T, Waridi Y, Roliadi H, Progress in the technology of energy conversion from woody biomass in Indonesia, *For. Stud. China* 8 (2006) 1-8.
- [9] Haryanto A, Triyono S, Energetic characteristic of household stoves (in Bahasa Indonesia) (2010) Sains and Technology Seminar, University of Lampung, October 18-19, 2010.
- [10] Smith KR, van der Plas D, Barnes F, Openshaw K, What Makes People Cook with Improved Stoves? A Comparative Review, joint ESMAP/UNDP report (1992).
- [11] Hasanudin U, Haryanto A, Sustainability assessment of biomass utilization for energy, case study in Lampung, Indonesia (2010) Presentation at 7th Biomass-Asia Workshop "Biomass as Sustainable Energy." Agency for The Assessment and Application of Technology (BPPT), Jakarta, November 29 – December 1, 2010.
- BSN (Bureau for National Standard), SNI # 19-7119.2-2005 Ambient Air – Part 2: Test code for nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>) content with Griess Saltzman metode using spectrophotometry (in Bahasa Indonesia).
- [13] BSN (Bureau for National Standard), SNI # 19-7119.3-2005 Ambient Air – Part 3: Test code for total suspended with high volume air sampler (HVAS) instrument using gravimetry (in Bahasa Indonesia).
- BSN (Bureau for National Standard), SNI # 19-7119.7-2005 Ambient Air – Part 7: Test code for sulphur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) content with pararosaniline metode using spectrophotometry (in Bahasa Indonesia).
- [15] BSN (Bureau for National Standard), SNI # 19-7117.11-2005 Fluegas Emission from Static Source – Part 11: Test code for air opacity using Ringelmann scale for black smoke (in Bahasa Indonesia).
- [16] President of the Republic of Indonesia, Government Regulation No. 41/1999 on the Controlling Air Pollutant (1999).
- [17] Khodier A, Nigel S, Kilgallon P, Legrave N, Investigation of gaseous emissions and ash deposition in a pilot-scale PF combustor co-firing cereal co-product biomass with coal, *International Conference on Renewable Energies and Power Quality (ICREPQ'10)* (2010) Granada (Spain), 23<sup>rd</sup> to 25<sup>th</sup> March, 2010.
- [18] Erisman JW, Acid Deposition and Energy Use, *Encyclopedia of Energy* 1 (2004) 1-15, Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- [19] Dinca C, Badea A, Marculescu C, Gheorghe C, Environmental analysis of biomass combustion process, *Proceedings of the 3rd WSEAS Int. Conf. on Renewable Energy Sources* (2009) 234-238, University of La Laguna, Tenerife, Canary Islands, Spain, July 1-3, 2009.
- [20] Jiang R, Bell ML, A Comparison of Particulate Matter from Biomass-Burning Rural and Non-Biomass-Burning Urban Households in Northeastern China, *Environmental Health Perspectives* 116 (2008) 907-914.
- [21] Balakrishnan K, Sankar S, Parikh J, Padmavathi R, Srividya K, Venugopal V, Prasad S, Pandey VL, Daily average exposures to respirable particulate matter from combustion of biomass fuels in rural households of southern India, *Environmental Health Perspectives* 110 (2002) 1069-1075.
- [22] Smith KR, Khalil MAK, Rasmussen RA, Thorneloe SA, Manegdeg F, Apte M, Greenhouse gases from biomass and fossil fuel stoves in developing countries: A manila pilot study, *Chemosphere* 26 (1993) 479-505
- [23] Ezzati M, Kammen DM, Quantifying the Effects of Exposure to Indoor Air Pollution from Biomass Combustion on Acute Respiratory Infections in Developing Countries, *Environ Health Perspect* 109 (2001) 481-488.

- [24] Regalado J, Perez-Padilla R, Sansores R, Ramirez JIP, Brauer M, Pare P, Vedal S, The Effect of Biomass Burning on Respiratory Symptoms and Lung Function in Rural Mexican Women, Am J Respir Crit Care Med 174 (2006) 901-905.
- [25] Ministry of Environment, Regulation No. 13/1995 on The Controlling Air Pollutant (1995) (in Bahasa Indonesia).
- [26] Smith KR, Uma R, Kishore VVN, Zhang J, Joshi V, Khalil MAK, Greenhouse implications of household stoves: an

analysis for India, Annual Review of Energy and Environment 25 (2000) 741-63.

[27] Smith KR, Rogers J, Cowlin SC, Household Fuels and Ill-Health in Developing Countries: What improvements can be brought by LP Gas? Paris: World LP Gas Association and Intermediate Technology Development Group (Practical Action) (2005) 59 pp.