

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/323111217>

# Diversity of Phytotelmic Mosquito is Not Correlated with the Diversity of Phytotelmata: A Survey Report from Lampung, I....

Article · January 2018

DOI: 10.21276/sajb.2018.6.1.4

CITATIONS

0

READS

35

3 authors, including:



**Mohammad Kanedi**

Lampung University

44 PUBLICATIONS 13 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



Trace elements surrounding Krakatau Islands [View project](#)



The use of succulent plants for hair growth promotion herbs [View project](#)

## Diversity of Phytotelmic Mosquito is Not Correlated with the Diversity of Phytotelmata: A Survey Report from Lampung, Indonesia

Emantis Rosa, Santi Naumi Simangunsong, Mohammad Kanedi\*

Department of Biology, Faculty of Mathematics and Sciences, University of Lampung, Bandar Lampung, Indonesia

### Original Research Article

#### \*Corresponding author

Mohammad Kanedi

#### Article History

Received: 02.01.2018

Accepted: 08.01.2018

Published: 30.01.2018

#### DOI:

10.21276/sajb.2018.6.1.4



**Abstract:** Statistical records released by Lampung provincial health officials that incidence of dengue hemorrhagic fever (DHF) mostly occur in January to February each year, the question is what factors and conditions contribute to such phenomenon? Current work intended to investigate whether the DHF incidence relates to the seasonal diversity of phytotelmata and mosquito larvae inhabit such small ponds. Three neighboring districts, ranked the top three for the DHF annual incident rate in Lampung province, were included in the study. The survey was carried out on February, March, May and June 2016. February is the peak of rainy season in which DHF incidence was at the highest level, while June is the beginning of dry season. The criteria of phytotelmata used in the study were every structures present in plants which allow rain water to impound which visually showed the signs of life in it. Phytotelmata found to contain larvae of *Aedes* mosquitoes then prepared for further analysis and the data are presented descriptively. There were 25 plant species found to presenting parts which fulfilled the criteria of phytotelmata, and seven types of phytotelmata were identified namely leaf axils, tree holes, tree stumps, fallen spathe, open fruit shells, fallen leaves, and flower spathes. There are ten species of mosquito larvae found, with *Aedes albopictus* is predominant. The Shannon-Wiener diversity index of the phytotelmata is lowest in June (1.50) compared with that of in February (2.13), March (2.07) or May (2.31). Interestingly, the diversity index of mosquito larvae is highest in June (1.18) and lowest in March (0.71). Statistically, both phytotelmata diversity and mosquito diversity are not correlated with physical factors. In addition, the Shannon-Wiener diversity index of mosquito larvae it self is not correlated with the diversity index of phytotelmata. It is suggested that the mosquito diversity is not related to the diversity of phytotelmata.

**Keywords:** phytotelmata, *Aedes* mosquito, dengue hemorrhagic fever, vector mosquito, DHF vector control

### INTRODUCTION

Provincial health statistics of Lampung province of Indonesia released by the provincial health officials revealed that the incidence of dengue hemorrhagic fever (DHF) occurs mostly in January to February every year. In the period of 2000 -2016, in the province with a population of about 8 million, more than 20,000 people suffered from DHF and more than 150 of them died [1,2]. Indonesia's health authorities, including the Lampung provincial health office, have made DHF a priority in health development programs, including by incorporating the disease-prevention program into the primary and secondary schools curricula. As a result, today most of Indonesians have knowledge of the symptoms, causes, and strategies for vector eradication of this disease [3].

With regard to the vector control, particularly against mosquitoes, most people in the country know that breeding places of the DHF disease vectors include

ponds, water container, or a variety of man-made waste items such as discarded tyres or used cans. However there are too few people aware that such small water bodies found in or upon plants, which were called by Ludwig Varga in 1928 as phytotelmata [6], should also be taken into account. In fact, various species of vector mosquitoes such as *Aedes* sp. were found to breed in such hanging small ponds including tree holes, leaf axils, bamboo joints, or papaya stumps [4,5].

In Indonesia, study on phytotelmata has not been done in earnest [7]. However, in our previous works, we reported that in Lampung phytotelmata is presumed to have a role in the annual incidence of DHF [8]. Considering statistical records released by Lampung provincial health officials that incidence of DHF mostly occur in January to February each year, the question is what factors and conditions contribute to such phenomenon? Current work intended to investigate whether the DHF incidence relates to the seasonal

diversity of phytotelmata and mosquito larvae inhabit such small ponds.

**METHOD**

**Study Area**

Three neighboring districts, ranked the top three for the DHF annual incident rate in Lampung province, were included in the study namely Pesawaran, Pringsewu and Bandar Lampung. The survey was carried out on February, March, May and June 2016. February is the peak of rainy season in which DHF incidence was at the highest level, while June is the beginning of dry season[9].

**Inclusion Criteria**

The phytotelmic criteria used in the study were adopted from Kicthing [6] i.e. every structures present in terrestrial plants such as modified leaves, leaf axils, flowers, stem holes or depressions, tree stumps, open fruits and fallen leaves, which allow rain water to impound in which the signs of life was seen visually. Plants that were observed include wild and crop plants that grown naturally or cultivated both in backyard and plantation areas.

**Sampling**

The sampling procedure undertaken in this study is similar to the procedure we applied in the

previous survey [8]. After the plant was photographed for taxonomic identification, the impounded water in each phytotelmata was collected using a suction pump. The phytotelmic fluid then placed separately in labeled vials and transported to the laboratory for further analysis. At the laboratory, the mosquito larvae were sorted and separated from trash and other debris. The dead larvae were separated from the living larvae and put into bottles containing 70% alcohol for toxonomic identification.

**Data Analysis**

The diversity of phytotelmata and mosquito larvae in the survey months was estimated using Shannon-Wiener's Index (H'). For the *post hoc* analysis, the diversity index between months are compared using Student's t-Test at  $P < 0.05$  [10]. To assess association between variables a linear regression-correlation statistics was used.

**RESULTS**

**Phytotelmic Plants**

Plant species found to contain structures which allow rain water to impound in which the signs of life can be seen visually obtained in this survey are listed in Table 1.

**Table-1: Plant species and their parts that impound water and functioned as phytotelmata found in the survey**

Plant species	Phytotelmic parts						
	Leaf axils	Tree holes	Tree stumps	Fallen spathe	Fruit shells	Fallen leaf	Flower Spathes
<i>Alocasia macrorrhiza</i>	√						
<i>Ananas comosus</i>	√						
<i>Arenga pinnata</i>				√			
<i>Canna indica</i>	√						
<i>Carica papaya</i>			√				
<i>Cocos nucifera</i>		√		√	√		
<i>Coffea robusta</i>		√					
<i>Colocasia esculenta</i>	√						
<i>Dracaena fragrans</i>	√						
<i>Curcuma domestica</i>							√
<i>Gigantochloa apus</i>			√				
<i>Gigantochloa atroviolacea</i>			√				
<i>Guzmania sanguinea</i>	√						
<i>Hevea brailiensis</i>		√					
<i>Leucaena leucocephala</i>		√					
<i>Mangifera indica</i>		√					
<i>Musa paradisiaca</i>	√			√		√	
<i>Nephelium lappaceum</i>		√					
<i>Pandanus amaryllifolius</i>	√						
<i>Pyracantha angustifolia</i>		√					
<i>Roystonea regia</i>				√			
<i>Saccharum officinarum</i>	√						
<i>Sansevieria trifasciata</i>	√						
<i>Senna siamea</i>		√					
<i>Theobroma cacao</i>		√			√		
Total	10	9	3	4	2	1	1

On the 25 phytotelmic plant species, there are seven types of the structures revealed to contain water in which mosquito larvae are found namely leaf axils, tree holes, tree stumps, fallen spathe, fruit shells, fallen leaves, and flower sapathe. Among those six structures, leaf axils (n=10) and tree holes (n=9) are the most plant parts commonly function as phytotelmata. Fallen leaves and flower spathe, though potentially flooded, but very rarely function as phytotelmata. In this study, only one fallen leaf (of *Musa paradisiaca*)

and one flower spathe (of *Curcuma domestica*) found to function as phytotelmata.

**Phytotelmata and the Inhabitants**

The number of types and individuals of phytotelmata in each survey month are presented in Table 2. Based on the data in Table 2 it seems that not always an impounded water in certain part of the plant serves as a phytotelma.

**Table-2: Number of types and individuals of phytotelmata found in each survey month**

Plant species and its phytotelmic parts	February	March	May	June
<i>Alocasia macrorrhiza</i> (leaf axils)	1	28		
<i>Ananas comosus</i> (leaf axils)	2	4	3	2
<i>Arenga pinnata</i> (fallen spathe)			1	
<i>Canna indica</i> (leaf axils)			2	
<i>Carica papaya</i> (tree stump)			1	
<i>Cocos nucifera</i> (fallen spathe)	8		2	
<i>Cocos nucifera</i> (fruit shells)		10		
<i>Cocos nucifera</i> (tree hole)			6	3
<i>Coffea robusta</i> (tree hole)			10	4
<i>Colocasia esculenta</i> (leaf axils)	6	15	19	11
<i>Curcuma domestica</i> (leaf axils)			1	
<i>Dracaena fragrans</i> (leaf axils)	6	24	13	
<i>Gigantochloa apus</i> (tree stump)	13	35	1	1
<i>Gigantochloa atrovioleacea</i> (tree stump)		31		
<i>Guzmania sanguinea</i> (leaf axils)	4			
<i>Hevea brailiensis</i> (tree hole)			1	1
<i>Leucaena leucocephala</i> (tree hole)		1		
<i>Mangifera indica</i> (tree hole)		1		
<i>Musa paradisiaca</i> (fallen leaf)		1		
<i>Musa paradisiaca</i> (fallen spathe)			8	
<i>Musa paradisiaca</i> (leaf axils)	18	65	20	15
<i>Nephelium lappaceum</i> (tree hole)	1			
<i>Pandanus amaryllifolius</i> (leaf axils)	1	12		
<i>Pyracantha angustifolia</i> (tree hole)	3			
<i>Roystonea regia</i> (fallen spathe)			1	
<i>Saccharum officinarum</i> (leaf axils)				
<i>Sansevieria trifasciata</i> (leaf axils)				
<i>Senna siamea</i> (tree hole)		1		
<i>Theobroma cacao</i> (tree hole)	5	3	14	33
Number of Types (S)	12	14	16	8
Number of Individuals (N)	68	230	104	70

Table-3: presented the number of types and individuals of mosquito larvae found to inhabit phytotelmata in each survey month. The data clearly show that among of ten mosquito larvae species, *Ae. albopictus* is predominant.

Shannon-Wiener’s diversity index of phytotelmata and mosquito larvae obtained in each

survey months as well as the results of *post hoc* test are presented in Table 4.

The data revealed that the diversity of phytotelmata as well as mosquito larvae is differed between months. Phytotelmata diversity is highest in May and lowest in June, in contrast the diversity of mosquito larvae is highest in June and lowest in March.

**Table-3: Number of types and individuals of mosquito larvae collected from phytotelmata in each survey month**

Mosquito Larvae	February	March	May	June
<i>Ae. albopictus</i>	142	321	363	81
<i>Ae. aegypti</i>	0	0	50	5
<i>Ae. chrysolineatus</i>	24	51	8	20
<i>Cx. quenquefasciatus</i>	25	49	47	14
<i>Cx. fragilis</i>	0	0	19	0
<i>Cx. lophoceraomiya</i>	0	0	1	0
<i>Armigeres</i> sp.	0	0	4	3
<i>Tripteroides</i> sp.	0	0	24	0
<i>Toxorhincites</i> sp.	1	0	0	1
<i>Anopheles</i> sp.	0	0	1	4
Number of Species (S)	4	3	9	7
Number of Individulas (N)	192	421	517	128

**Table-4: Comparison of the diversity index of phytotelmata and mosquito larve between survey months**

Variables	Diversity index (H')			
	February	March	May	June
Phytotelmata	2,13 <sup>ab</sup>	2,07 <sup>a</sup>	2,31 <sup>b</sup>	1,50 <sup>c</sup>
Mosquito larvae	0,78 <sup>a</sup>	0,71 <sup>a</sup>	1,08 <sup>b</sup>	1,18 <sup>b</sup>
H' values in the same row followed by different superscript are statistically different ( $P > 0.05$ ) based on t-test				

**Physical Factors**

Total rainfall, phytotelmic water temperature, and phytotelmic water pH in averages in each survey month are presented in Table 5. In this study period,

rainfall gradually decreases to reach the lowest level in June (from 305 mm to 96 mm). In contrast, pH increases gradually during this period (from 6 – 7.1).

**Table-5: Average of physical factors of the phytotelmata in each survey month**

Variables	February	March	May	June
Total rainfall (mm)	305	217	128	96
Phytotelmic water temperature (°C)	25,7	25,2	25	26,8
Phytotelmic water pH	6	6	6,4	7,1

Table 6, 7 and 8 are consecutively presenting results of regression-correlation analysis between diversity of phytotelmata and physical factors, diversity of mosquito larvae and physical factors, and between diversity of mosquito larvae and diversity of

phytotelmata. Regarding Table 8, it can be ascertained that the diversity index of mosquito larvae is not only unpredictable but also totally not correlated to the diversity index of phytotelmata.

**Table-6: Correlationship between diversity of phytotelmata and physical factors**

Physical Factors (Independent variable)	Phytotelmata (Dependent Variable)			
	R	R <sup>2</sup>	F	P
Precipitation	0,456	0,208	0,526	0,544
Temp	-0,938	0,879	14,546	0,062
pH	-0,783	0,613	3,174	0,217
R=coefficient of correlation; R <sup>2</sup> =coefficient of determination; F=Anova; P=level of probability				

**Table-7: Correlationship between diversity of mosquito species and physical factors**

Physical Factors (Independent variable)	Mosquito (Dependent Variable)			
	R	R <sup>2</sup>	F	P
Precipitation	-0,768	0,589	2,868	0,232
Temp	0,066	0,004	0,009	0,934
pH	0,628	0,394	1,302	0,372
R=coefficient of correlation; R <sup>2</sup> =coefficient of determination; F=Anova; P=level of probability				

Table-8: Correlation between diversity of mosquito larvae and diversity of phytotelmata

Phytotelmata (Independent variable)	Mosquito (Dependent Variable)			
	R	R <sup>2</sup>	F	P
	-0,008	0,000	0,000	0,992

R=coefficient of correlation; R<sup>2</sup>=coefficient of determination; F=Anova;  
P=level of probability

## DISCUSSION

Among 25 species of phytotelmic plants only three species namely coconut (*Cocos nucifera*), banana (*Musa paradisiaca*), and cocoa (*Theobroma cacao*) found to contain more than one part that serve as phytotelma, whereas the 22 species of the rests are only provide one (Table 1). Among the three species, banana is the most plant provide phytotelmata in almost all survey months (Table 2). This allegedly due leaf axils of banana are producing dew that contribute to the water in the phytotelmic axils. In addition, the structure of the leaf base in some varieties reduces evaporation[11].

As has been mentioned in introduction section, the peak incidence of DHF in Lampung province occurs in January to February each year. However, this study data show that, besides *Ae. albopictus*, *Ae. chrysolineatus*, *Cx. quinquefasciatus*, and *Toxorhynchites* spp., there was no *Aedes aegypti* larvae found in the period of February to March (Table 3). The question is why? Firstly, *Ae aegypti* mosquito prefers to breed indoor in a small water body such as in the open masonry tanks used for water storage, or out door in a small water body trapped in the discarded goods such as old tyres and cans. It may be that, during the wet season, small water bodies suitable for breeding place of *Aedes aegypti* are more diverse and dispersed [12,13].

Secondly, the DHF in the area surveyed is not only transmitted by the *Ae aegypti* mosquitoes but also, or mostly, by the *Ae albopictus*. It is very likely for the *Ae. albopictus*, commonly called as the Asian tiger mosquito, is known as a secondary vector of dengue[14]. In Indonesia alone, precisely in Banjarnegara, Central Java, the role of *Ae. albopictus* in dengue disease transmission is indicated by Rahayu and Ustiawan [15]. A strong evidence that *Ae albopictus* can contribute to the spread of dengue demonstrated by Rosa *et al.*[16]. By using RT-PCR methods for detecting dengue viruses on *Ae.albopictus* larvae inhabit phytotelmata they found two serotypes of dengue viruses DEN-1 and DEN-4 and suggested that the mosquito has the potential to transmit and spread DHF.

Thirdly, the *Ae.aegypti* larvae allegedly did not manage to compete with *Ae. albopictus* larvae. From malaysia it was reported that *Ae.aegypti* has lower competitive advantage compared to *Ae.albopictus* in situation of limited resources. This situation allegedly

the cause of the dominancy of *Ae. albopictus* mosquito in Penang Island [16]. The high adaptability of *Ae albopictus* is illustrated by the extent of the spread of mosquitoes that originally indigenous to South-east Asia and islands of the Western Pacific and Indian Ocean, to Africa, the mid-east Europe and the Americas (north and south) [18,19].

Table 4 presents the summary of statistical results depicting diversity of phytotelmata as well as mosquito larvae between survey months. The diversity of phytotelmata is lowest in June (1.50) compared with that of in February (2.13), March (2.07) or May (2.31). Interestingly, the diversity index of mosquito larvae is highest in June (1.18) and lowest in March (0.71). The decline in phytotelmata diversity along with decreasing rainfall is logical. Such phenomenon not only occurs among mosquito but also in ciliate community, that the community changed substantially due to rainfall, however about 35% occurred exclusively in dry season[20].

What physical factors account for the high diversity of mosquito larvae in June where rainfall is at the lowest level? Another physical factor known as a determinant factor for mosquito larvae to grow is temperature. From an experimental study it was reported, for example, that the optimum water temperature for the *Ae aegypti* mosquito larvae to grow is 30°C [21]. Therefore, it is logical that in this study *Ae aegypti* found only in May and June in which the average temperature of phytotelmic water reach 26.8 °C.

The data in Tables 6 and 7 clearly show that based on the correlation coefficient and the coefficient of determination, there are relationship between physical factors and the diversity index of phytotelmata, as well between physical factors and the diversity index of mosquito larvae. However, analysis of variances of the correlation test yields an F-value and a P-value of which is more than 0.05. Thus it can be said that both the diversity index of phytotelmata and the diversity index of mosquito larvae can not be predicted by physical factors, especially rainfall, temperature, and pH. In this study, the diversity index of mosquito larvae it self even did not correlated with the diversity index of phytotelmata (Table 8). Overall, the range of physical factors in this study is still within the optimal range for the growth of mosquito larvae, especially for *Aedes albopictus* (Skuse), *Ae. aegypti* (Linnaeus), *Ae. vittatus*

(Bigot), *Anopheles* sp. *Culex quinquefasciatus* (Say) and *Toxorhynchites* sp[22].

## CONCLUSION

The diversity index of phytotelmata is fluctuative but significantly decreased in June, the month with a lowest rainfall. In contrast, the diversity index of mosquito larvae collected from phytotelmata significantly higher in that month. However, during the survey periods, none of phytotelmata diversity nor mosquito larvae diversity predictable by physical factor changes. The diversity of mosquito larvae in question is not correlated with the diversity of phytotelmata.

## REFERENCES

1. Indonesia KK. Profil kesehatan Indonesia tahun 2011.
2. Kesehatan DK, Tahun PL. Lampung: Dinas Kesehatan Provinsi Lampung; 2008.
3. Indonesia KK, Penyakit DJ. Modul pengendalian demam berdarah dengue. Jakarta: Kemenkes RI. 2011.
4. Chadee DD, Ward RA. and Novak R.J. 1998. Natural Habitats of *Aedes Aegypti* in The Caribbean a Review. *Journal of the American Mosquito Control Association*, 14(1):5-11, 1998
5. Ali KM, Asha AV, Aneesh EM. Bio-ecology and Vectorial Capacity of *Aedes* Mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) in Irinjalakuda Municipality, Kerala, India in Relation to Disease Transmission. *Research Journal of Biological Sciences*. 2014;9(2):69-72.
6. Kitching RL. An ecological study of water-filled tree-holes and their position in the woodland ecosystem. *The Journal of Animal Ecology*. 1971 Jun 1:281-302.
7. Rosa E, Salmah S. Fluctuation of diptera larvae in phytotelmata and relation with climate variation in West Sumatra Indonesia. *Pakistan journal of biological sciences: PJBS*. 2014 Jul;17(7):947-51.
8. Rosa E, Kanedi M, Okatviani PM, Ningsih WN. Phytotelmata Might Account for the High Prevalence of Dengue Hemorrhagic Fever in Lampung, Indonesia. *Advances in Life Sciences*. 2017;7(2):15-20.
9. Mariza A. Hubungan Pendidikan dan Sosial Ekonomi dengan Kejadian Anemia pada Ibu Hamil di BPS T Yohan Way Halim Bandar Lampung Tahun 2015. *Jurnal Kesehatan Holistik*. 2016;10(1):5-8.
10. Magurran AE. *Ecological Diversity And Its Measurement* Chapman & Hall London Google Scholar.
11. Pajot FX. Phytotelmata and mosquito vectors of sylvatic yellow fever in Africa. *Phytotelmata Terr. Plants as Hosts Aquat. Communities*. Plexus, Medford, NJ. 1983:79-99.
12. Philbert A, Ijumba J. Preferred breeding habitats of *Aedes Aegypti* (Diptera-Culicidae) Mosquito and its public health implications in Dares Salaam, Tanzania.
13. Biswas D, Biswas B, Mandal B, Banerjee A. A Note on Distribution of Breeding Sources of *Aedes aegypti* (Linnaeus) in the City of Kolkata, India, Following an Outbreak of Dengue during 2012. *Current Urban Studies*. 2014 Mar 27;2(01):57.
14. Benedict MQ, Levine RS, Hawley WA, Lounibos LP. Spread of the tiger: global risk of invasion by the mosquito *Aedes albopictus*. *Vector-borne and zoonotic Diseases*. 2007;7(1):76-85.
15. Rahayu DF, Ustiawan A. Identifikasi *Aedes aegypti* dan *Aedes albopictus*. *Balaba: jurnal litbang pengendalian penyakit bersumber binatang banjarnegara*. 2013;9(1 Jun).
16. Rosa E, Salmah S. Detection of Transovarial Dengue Virus with RT-PCR in *Aedes albopictus* (Skuse) Larvae Inhabiting Phytotelmata in Endemic DHF Areas in West Sumatra, Indonesia. *American Journal of Infectious Diseases and Microbiology*. 2015;3(1):14-7.
17. Khim PC. Bionomics of *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus* in Relation to Dengue Incidence on Penang Island and the Application of Sequential Sampling in the Control of Dengue Vectors (Doctoral dissertation, Thesis).
18. Gratz NG. Critical review of the vector status of *Aedes albopictus*. *Medical and veterinary entomology*. 2004;18(3):215-27.
19. Laxmikant S. Distribution of *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus* from Jalna District (MS) India. *Biosci Disc*. 2014;5(1):11-4.
20. Buosi PR, Utz LR, de Meira BR, da Silva BT, Lansac-Tôha FM, Lansac-Tôha FA, Velho LF. Rainfall influence on species composition of the ciliate community inhabiting bromeliad phytotelmata. *Zoological Studies*. 2014;53(1):32.
21. Mohammed A, Chadee DD. Effects of different temperature regimens on the development of *Aedes aegypti* (L.)(Diptera: Culicidae) mosquitoes. *Acta tropica*. 2011;119(1):38-43.
22. Chitra S, Ravindran K, Rajkuberan C, Janagaraj K, Sivaramkrishnan S. A survey report on baseline data of mosquito distribution in tree holes of discrete ecosystem during different seasonal patterns. *Academic Journal of Entomology*. 2014;7(2):70-5.