

- 1. Submitted to the Journal of Sustainability Science and Management (22-05-2023)**
- 2. First Revision - Accepted with minor revision (29-07-2024)**
- 3. Author request the attachment of the review comments (14-08-2024)**
- 4. Attachment review from reviewer (15-10-2024)**
- 5. Revised version received (29-10-2024)**
- Revision and Amends
- 6. Run the article for plagiarism (11-11-2024)**
- 7. Paper accepted (05-12-2024)**
- 8. Submitted copyright (05-12-2024)**
- 9. Author payment (10-03-2025)**
- 10. Article published (15-05-2025)**
- Uncorrectedproof
- 11. Journal published (15-05-2025)**

**1. Submitted to the Journal of Sustainability
Science and Management (22-05-2023)**

Compose

Inbox14K

Unread

Starred

Drafts146

Sent

Archive

Spam

Trash

Less

ViewsHide

Photos

Documents

Subscriptions

Receipts

Credits

Travel

FoldersShow

Back

Archive

Move

Delete

Spam

Clarivate Analyticsclarivate.com

Visit site

Journal of Sustainability Science and Management - Manuscript ID JSUSM-2023-0266

Yahoo/Inbox

Nurul Ain Mohd Noorclarivate.com

From: onbehalf@manuscriptcentral.com

To: dyaharing@yahoo.com

Mon, May 22, 2023 at 3:46 PM

22-May-2023

Dear Dr. Lestari:

Your manuscript entitled "DETERMINANT OF HOUSEHOLD FOOD CONSUMPTION DIVERSITY IN LAMPUNG PROVINCE USING THE 2019 SUSENAS DATA" has been successfully submitted online and is presently being given full consideration for publication in the Journal of Sustainability Science and Management.

Your manuscript ID is JSUSM-2023-0266.

Please mention the above manuscript ID in all future correspondence or when calling the office for questions. If there are any changes in your street address or e-mail address, please log in to ScholarOne Manuscripts at <https://mc04.manuscriptcentral.com/jsusm> and edit your user information as appropriate.

You can also view the status of your manuscript at any time by checking your Author Center after logging in to <https://mc04.manuscriptcentral.com/jsusm>.

Thank you for submitting your manuscript to the Journal of Sustainability Science and Management.

Sincerely,
Journal of Sustainability Science and Management Editorial Office
JSSM is Web of Science (Zoological Record) and Scopus indexed journal

Journal of Sustainability Science and Management - Manuscript ID JSUSM-2023-0266

From: Nurul Ain Mohd Noor (onbehalfof@manuscriptcentral.com)

To: dyaharing@yahoo.com

Date: Monday, May 22, 2023 at 03:46 PM GMT+7

22-May-2023

Dear Dr. Lestari:

Your manuscript entitled "DETERMINANT OF HOUSEHOLD FOOD CONSUMPTION DIVERSITY IN LAMPUNG PROVINCE USING THE 2019 SUSENAS DATA" has been successfully submitted online and is presently being given full consideration for publication in the Journal of Sustainability Science and Management.

Your manuscript ID is JSUSM-2023-0266.

Please mention the above manuscript ID in all future correspondence or when calling the office for questions. If there are any changes in your street address or e-mail address, please log in to ScholarOne Manuscripts at <https://mc04.manuscriptcentral.com/jsusm> and edit your user information as appropriate.

You can also view the status of your manuscript at any time by checking your Author Center after logging in to <https://mc04.manuscriptcentral.com/jsusm>.

Thank you for submitting your manuscript to the Journal of Sustainability Science and Management.

Sincerely,
Journal of Sustainability Science and Management Editorial Office
JSSM is Web of Science (Zoological Record) and Scopus indexed journal

**2. First revision - Accepted with minor revision
(29-07-2024)**



Mhd Ikhsanuddin

From: onbehalf@manuscriptcentral.com

To: dyaharing@yahoo.com



Mon, Jul 29, 2024 at 8:21 PM

29-Jul-2024

Dear Dr. Iestari

Manuscript ID JSUSM-2023-0271 entitled "DETERMINANT OF HOUSEHOLD FOOD CONSUMPTION DIVERSITY IN LAMPUNG PROVINCE USING THE 2019 SUSENAS DATA" which you submitted to the Journal of Sustainability Science and Management, has been reviewed. The comments of the reviewer(s) are included at the bottom of this letter.

The reviewer(s) have recommended publication, but also suggest some revisions to your manuscript. Therefore, I invite you to respond to the reviewer(s) comments and revise your manuscript.

To revise your manuscript, log into <https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/jsusm> and enter your Author Center, where you will find your manuscript title listed under "Manuscripts with Decisions." Under "Actions," click on "Create a Revision." Your manuscript number has been appended to denote a revision.

You may also click the below link to start the revision process (or continue the process if you have already started your revision) for your manuscript. If you use the below link you will not be required to login to ScholarOne Manuscripts.

*** PLEASE NOTE: This is a two-step process. After clicking on the link, you will be directed to a webpage to confirm. ***

https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/jsusm?URL_MASK=fd12105c11445e4bfc95268cd3c8c

You will be unable to make your revisions on the originally submitted version of the manuscript. Instead, revise your manuscript using a word processing program and save it on your computer. Please also highlight the changes to your manuscript within the document by using the track changes mode in MS Word or by using bold or colored text.

Once the revised manuscript is prepared, you can upload it and submit it through your Author Center.

When submitting your revised manuscript, you will be able to respond to the comments made by the reviewer(s) in the space provided. You can use this space to document any changes you make to the original manuscript. In order to expedite the processing of the revised manuscript, please be as specific as possible in your response to the reviewer(s).

IMPORTANT: Your original files are available to you when you upload your revised manuscript. Please delete any redundant files before completing the submission.

Because we are trying to facilitate timely publication of manuscripts submitted to the Journal of Sustainability Science and Management, your revised manuscript should be submitted by 27-Sep-2024. If it is not possible for you to submit your revision by this date, we may have to consider your paper as a new submission.

Once again, thank you for submitting your manuscript to the Journal of Sustainability Science and Management and I look forward to receiving your revision.

Sincerely,
Prof. Mhd Ikhsanuddin
Editor-in-Chief, Journal of Sustainability Science and Management
ikhsanuddin@jssm.edu.my
JSSM is Web of Science (Zoological Record) and Scopus Indexed Journal

Associate Editor Comments to Author:

Associate Editor
Comments to the Author:
(There are no comments.)

Reviewer(s) Comments to Author:

Reviewer: 1

Comments to the Author:
indicate the gap of knowledge in the abstract and introduction.
revise the manuscript as recommended in the attached file

Reviewer: 2

Comments to the Author:
refer to the document

3. Author request the attachment of the review comments (14-08-2024)

Compose

Inbox1.4K

Unread

Starred

Drafts146

Sent

Archive

Spam

Trash

Less

ViewsHide

Photos

Documents

Subscriptions

Receipts

Credits

Back

ArchiveMoveDeleteSpam

Dyah Aring Hepiana Lestari

From: dyaharing@yahoo.com

To: ikhwanuddin@umt.edu.my

Wed, Aug 14, 2024 at 3:28 PM

Dear, Mr. Mhd. Ichwanuddin.

I have read and followed the instructions in the email you sent above. However, I did not find the attachment containing the comments from the two reviewers on my journal manuscript. Therefore, I have not been able to revise the journal manuscript. Please resend the attachment of the comments from the two reviewers immediately. I attach proof that there is no menu to open the reviewer's comment attachment. Thank you very much.

Show original message

Screenshotpng

232.2 kB

4. Attachment review from reviewer (15-10-2024)

Re: Request correction results from the reviewer

From: AZIZ AHMAD (aaziz@umt.edu.my)

To: dyaharing@yahoo.com

Cc: ikhwanuddin@umt.edu.my

Date: Tuesday, October 15, 2024 at 09:31 AM GMT+7

Good day

Attached are the file comments from reviewers for your perusal, please upload them into the previous ID manuscript to avoid delay regards

On Mon, Oct 14, 2024 at 5:13 PM Dyah Lestari <onbehalfof@manuscriptcentral.com> wrote:

Dear Ahmad Aziz

Managing Editor of Journal of Sustainability Science and Management (JSSM)

This letter is to inform you that the manuscript with ID JSUSM-2023-0271 entitled 'DETERMINANTS OF AFFORDABILITY OF HOUSEHOLD FOOD CONSUMPTION IN LAMPUNG PROVINCE USING SUSENAS DATA 2019' which has been submitted to the Journal of Sustainability Science and Management has been reviewed and we received a decision letter on 29 July 2024 with Editor in Chief Prof. Mhd Ikhwanuddin.

However, the decision letter that we received on 29 July 2024, there was no attachment to the correction file from the reviewer so we could not make revisions. We have sent several emails requesting the correction file attachment, but there was no response from JSSM until 27 September 2024. When we resubmitted the manuscript on 27 September 2024, the JSSM system automatically recognised it as a new submission. Based on this, we request that our manuscript can continue the process without going through the 'Awaiting Reviewer Selection' process again because it has already been reviewed.

Please review, check, and send the correction results from the reviewer immediately.

Thus our request. Thank you.

PENAFIAN: Maklumat di dalam e-mel ini dan apa-apa fail yang dikepilkan bersamanya adalah atau mungkin mengandungi maklumat yang sulit. Jika anda sepatutnya bukan merupakan penerima e-mel ini, sebarang penggunaan, penyebaran, pergantungan, mengulang hantar, mencetak, atau menyalin e-mel ini atau apa-apa fail yang dikepilkan bersamanya adalah tidak dibenarkan. E-mel ini adalah tertakluk kepada hakcipta. Tiada bahagian daripadanya boleh diterbitkan semula, disesuaikan atau dihebahkan tanpa mendapat kebenaran bertulis pemilik hakcipta. Jika anda telah menerima e-mel ini kerana kesilapan, sila maklumkan penghantar e-mel ini dengan segera dengan membalas e-mel ini atau melalui telefon dan memadamkan semua salinannya. Pendapat, rumusan dan sebarang maklumat lain di dalam e-mel ini yang tidak berkait dengan urusan rasmi Universiti Malaysia Terengganu (UMT) hendaklah difahami sebagai bukan dikeluarkan atau diperakui oleh mana-mana pihak yang disebut.

DISCLAIMER: The information contained in this email message and any accompanying files is or may be confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, any use, dissemination, reliance, forwarding, printing or copying of this email or any attached files is unauthorised. This email is subject to copyright. No part of it should be reproduced, adapted or communicated without the written consent of the copyright owner. If you have received this email in error please advise the sender immediately by return e-mail or telephone and delete all copies. Opinions, conclusion and other information in this email that do not relate to the official business of Universiti Malaysia Terengganu (UMT) shall be understood as neither given nor endorsed by any of the aforementioned.

 Article_Determinant_Of_Household_Food_Consumption_Diversity_In_Lampung_Province_Dyah_Aring_H._Lestari_Lampung_University.docx
226.3 kB

 JSUSM-2023-0271_Proof_hi_DETERMINANT_OF_HOUSEHOLD_FOOD_CONSUMPTION.pdf
798.9 kB

DETERMINANT OF HOUSEHOLD FOOD CONSUMPTION DIVERSITY IN LAMPUNG PROVINCE USING THE 2019 SUSENAS DATA

Abstract:

Diversifying food consumption was intended to reduce rice consumption while ~~also modifying food consumption patterns to become more diversified and healthier in order to~~ modifying food consumption patterns to become more diversified and healthier to gain excellent human resources. The goal of this study was to discover (1) household expenditure patterns and welfare levels, (2) food consumption differences, and (3) the variables that influence them. This analysis drew on secondary data from the 2019 National Social Economic Survey (NS). The total number of home samples utilized in this study was 9,046. The Desirable Dietary Pattern (DDP) approach was utilized to examine household diversity, and the Ordinary Least Square (OLS) multiple regression method was employed to determine the determining components. The results indicated that the DDP score of the Lampung Province family was 75.44. This means that the diversity of household food consumption in Lampung Province was not ideal. The determinants of household food consumption diversification that had a positive effect were household income, age of the head of the household, mother's education, and gender of the head of the household while the number of household members and the level of welfare had a negative effect on the diversification of household food consumption.

Keywords: Consumption, Diversification, Desirable Dietary Pattern

Introduction

Lampung Province is one of the provinces with an abundance of food, producing rice, corn, cassava, and other forms of food. The food availability index in Lampung Province in 2019 was 99.89, according to Badan Ketahanan Pangan (2020). This index's rating scale ranges from 0 to 100, so a higher score indicates that food availability, particularly in the province of Lampung, is excellent. However, this does not eliminate food issues in Lampung Province. Lampung Province's dietary problem is that its energy consumption in 2018-2019, namely 2,082 kcal and 2,051 kcal, is still below the national average (2,112 kcal) and does not comply with PMK recommendation No. 28 of 2019, namely 2,100 kcal (Badan Ketahanan Pangan, 2020). In addition, the regional medium-term development plan's target for the diversity of food consumption has not yet been met (Sayekti *et al.*, 2020a) This is certainly feared will disrupt the stability of food security.

According to ~~the~~ Badan Ketahanan Pangan (2020), the food consumption utilization index in the province of Lampung is still inadequate (52.67). This is evident from the index value, which is still lower than that of the Riau Islands Province (78.17) and the Bangka Belitung Islands (70.56). This value is also the lowest among the other food security indices, namely the food availability index and the affordability index. Low food utilization rates can result in poor health. There has been an increase in cases of malnutrition in the region (Dito & Prayitno, 2019) as a result of the poor condition of health, which makes people susceptible to disease. To combat the low food utilization, therefore, intervention is required. Diversifying food consumption is one strategy for resolving this issue.

Diversification of food consumption plays a crucial role in efforts to enhance nutrition and produce healthy individuals. In addition, the implementation of food consumption

diversification aims to decrease rice consumption and alter food consumption patterns so that they are more diverse and nutritious. This is ~~due to the fact that~~because no single diet type contains all nutrients. According to [Labadarios et al. \(2011\)](#) the greater the number of food groups ingested, the greater the likelihood that the nutrients consumed will be met. Moreover, according to [Parappurathu et al. \(2015\)](#) and [Taruvunga et al. \(2013\)](#), the consumption of diverse and nutritionally balanced cuisine has a positive effect on the quality of life of human resources (HR) and improves the standard of living.

The Desirable Dietary Pattern (DDP) is a measure of the variety of foods consumed. A diverse dietary pattern will ~~have an effect on~~affect the community's health and food security. [Jones et al., \(2014\)](#) and [Kumar et al. \(2016\)](#), the greater the variety of foods ingested, the greater the improvement in nutrient intake. In addition, the diversity of people's dietary habits will reduce their reliance on particular commodities.

Diversification of food consumption which is a manifestation of food patterns is influenced by various factors. From various studies, it can be identified various variables that influence food consumption and diversification of food consumption. These variables are household income variables ([Alexandri & Kevorchian, 2015](#); [Argandi et al., 2019](#); [Iftikhar et al., 2020](#); [Firdaus & Cahyono, 2017](#); [Sayekti et al., 2020a](#); [Taruvunga et al., 2013](#); [Rinaldi et al., 2017](#)), number of household members ([Argandi et al., 2019](#); [Miranti, 2017](#); [Miranti & Syaukat, 2016](#); [Firdaus & Cahyono, 2017](#); [Sayekti et al., 2020b](#); [Workicho et al., 2016](#)), mother's education ([Alexandri et al., 2015](#); [Argandi et al., 2019](#); [Firdaus & Cahyono, 2017](#); [Iftikhar et al., 2020](#); [Singh et al., 2020](#); [Taruvunga et al., 2013](#); [Workicho et al., 2016](#)), education of the household's head ([Alexandri et al., 2015](#); [Firdaus & Cahyono, 2017](#); [Iftikhar et al., 2020](#); [Miranti, 2017](#); [Miranti & Syaukat, 2016](#)) and age of the household's head ([Iftikhar et al., 2020](#); [Firdaus & Cahyono, 2017](#)).

In addition, food expenditure affects the diversity and or pattern of food consumption (Firdaus & Cahyono, 2017; Rinaldi *et al.*, 2017). Other studies have also found that there is a significant effect of non-food expenditure on consumption patterns and/or food diversity (Liu *et al.*, 2014; Mahmudiono *et al.*, 2017). Food expenditure/ food share can be used as an indicator of household welfare (Sintha, 2019). According to Li (2009), diverse diets also increase consumer welfare because greater variety increases the likelihood of matching consumer preferences. The next variable that influences consumption and/or diversification of food consumption is the sex of the head of the household (Codjoe *et al.*, 2016; Cordero-ahiman *et al.*, 2021; Misker *et al.*, 2016; Workicho *et al.*, 2016) and type of residential area (Alexandri & Kevorchian, 2015; Miranti, 2017; Qineti *et al.*, 2017).

According to research conducted in Germany by Thiele and Weiss (2003), the diversity of food consumption is influenced by household size, age, gender of the head of the household, employment status of the head of the household, and level of education of the head of the household. In addition, research conducted in Romania by Alexandri *et al.*, (2015) found that household income, the level of education of the head of household, the number of household members, and the location of domicile have a significant impact on the diversity of food consumption. According to research conducted by Zhang *et al.* (2017) in Southwest China, the diversity of household consumption is influenced by the gender, age, education, and income of the household's chief. In addition, research by Ochieng *et al.* (2017) in Tanzania indicates that the education of the household chief, food and nutrition training, and the size of the agricultural land are significant determinants of the diversity of food consumption.

Based on the findings of these studies, it appears that the factors that influence the diversity of food consumption vary. This is likely due to the fact that regional behaviour differs. According to Sayekti *et al.*, (2020c) and Seda *et al.*, (2021), consumption patterns and food preferences are influenced by behaviour. Consequently, it is necessary to identify more closely

related food consumption patterns in a region, either at the regional or provincial level. In Lampung Province, research on household consumption diversification and consumption patterns has never been conducted.

This study's objective is to analyze the pattern of household expenditures, the degree of household welfare, and the variety of household food consumption in Lampung Province and to identify the determining factors. It is anticipated that the identification of determinants of food consumption diversification can be used as a basis for formulating policies that will increase the quality of human resources.

Materials and Methods

Data, sample, and Model research

This research was a study that used secondary data in the form of cross-section data. Secondary data was raw data obtained from the Central Bureau of Statistics Republic of Lampung Province based on the results of the 2019 National Social Economic Survey (NSES). Initially, the raw data for this study were 9,653 households. After the [Ghozali \(2016\)](#) outlier test was carried out, there were 607 data outliers, so the data ~~analyzed~~analysed were 9,046 households.

This investigation utilized descriptive quantitative and verification data analysis. In this study, quantitative descriptive analysis employed a tabular summary of numbers to characterize the condition of household expenditure patterns and the level of household food consumption diversification in Lampung Province.

Household expenditures were the costs that households incur to satisfy their consumption needs. There were two categories of household expenditures: food expenditures and non-food expenditures. The pattern of household food expenditures could characterize the behaviour of household groups as a whole. From the description of this behavior, the type and quantity of food ingested could be determined. The percentage of household food expenditures/food share was used to determine the pattern of food consumption expenditures. Total food expenditures

were divided by total household expenditures multiplied by 100 ~~percent-% yields-yielding~~ the food share.

Using the DDP score, food consumption diversity was measured. Law no. 18 of 2012 defines DDP as the composition of the amount of food according to nine food groups based on the contribution of energy that meets nutritional requirements in terms of quantity, quality, and diversity while ~~taking into account~~considering social, economic, cultural, religious, and gastronomic considerations. Table 1 demonstrated that the DDP score was determined by multiplying the energy contribution of the nine food categories by their respective weights.

Table 1. DDP composition as a reference instrument for planning and evaluation

No	Food Group	Gram	Recommended Energy Distribution Value (kcal/day)	% Energy Adequacy Rate (EAR) Normative	Weight	Max DDP score
1	Grains	289	1,050	50	0.5	25.0
2	Tubers	105	126	6	0.5	2.5
3	Animal-derived food	157	252	12	2.0	24.0
4	Oil and Fat	21	210	10	0.5	5.0
5	Oily fruit and seeds	11	63	3	0.5	1.0
6	Nuts	35	105	5	2.0	10.0
7	Sugar	37	105	5	0.5	2.5
8	Vegetable and fruit	262	126	6	5.0	30.0
9	Others	0	63	3	0.0	0.0
Total			2,100	100		100

Source: ([Badan Ketahanan Pangan, 2021](#))

Verification analysis was used to identify the determinants of food consumption diversification using the multiple regression model using the Ordinary Least Square (OLS) method. In this analysis model, classical assumption tests were carried out including multicollinearity tests, heteroscedasticity tests, and statistical criteria tests (coefficient of determination (R^2), F-test statistics, and t-test statistics).

Research variables included household income, number of household members, age of head of household, level of education of head of household and housewife, type of area, gender

of head of household, and level of household welfare. Household income was the quantity of money received by the household in question after total monthly expenditures (IDR/month) were deducted. The area type was a dummy variable (1 for urban and 0 for rural). Also used as a dummy variable was the gender of the chief of the household (1 = male; 0 = female).

The pattern of household expenditures may also serve as an indicator of household well-being. The more prosperous the household, according to Engel's Law, the smaller the proportion of expenditures on food consumption. Based on the percentage of food expenditure, the level of household welfare in this study was divided into three categories: prosperous, pre-prosperous, and not yet prosperous. Pre-prosperous households have food expenditure percentages in the range of 50-60%, while not-yet-prosperous households have food expenditure percentages greater than 60%. The classification of welfare level was then utilized as a dummy variable for welfare level 1 (1 = pre-prosperous households; 0 = others) and welfare level 2 (1 = prosperous households; 0 = others). This investigation makes use of the following model:

$$PPH = \alpha + b_1 X_1 + b_2 X_2 + b_3 X_3 + b_4 X_4 + b_5 X_5 + d_1 DW + d_2 DGender + d_3 D K1 + d_4 D K2$$

(2)

Where,

PPH : Desirable Dietary Pattern (DDP) score of household

X_1 : Household income (Rp/month)

X_2 : number of household (person)

X_3 : Education level of the household's head (year)

X_4 : Education level of Mother (year)

X_5 : Age of the household's head (year)

Dw : Dummy variable for area type (DW=1 for Urban and, DW=0 for rural)

- D_{Gender} : Dummy variable for gender of the household's head ($D_{gender} = 1$ for female and $D_{gender} = 0$ for male)
- DK_1 : Dummy variabel for welfare level 1 ($DK_1=1$ for pre-prosperous households $DK_1=0$ for others)
- DK_2 : dummy variabel for welfare level 2 ($DK_2=1$ for prosperous households $DK_2=0$ for others)

Results and Discussion

Characteristics's Household in Lampung Province

This study's sample of 9,046 households included 6,950 households from rural areas and 2,096 from urban areas. According to the 2019 NSES, the majority of household chiefs in Lampung Province were men (89.51%). Moreover, according to Table 2, the plurality of household heads ~~were~~was between the ages of 35 and 46 (27.81%). The majority of Lampung Province's household chiefs were of productive age (89.91%). The productive age was between the ages of 15 and 65, when a person is still able to work (BPS, 2020). In rural areas, the average age of the head of household was 49 years old, whereas in urban areas, the average age of the head of household was 46 years old.

The majority of households in Lampung Province have 3-4 members (27.81%). In terms of area type, the majority of households in rural and urban areas are the same, namely 4 people. According to BPS, (2020) households in Bandar Lampung City are households with the most number of household members, namely 4.21 when compared to other areas in Lampung Province. According to Wuryandari, (2015), the increasing number of household members can increase food expenditure.

The majority of the education level of household heads in Lampung Province are elementary school graduates (31.65%). Households that have attained 9 years of education are

44.80 ~~% percent~~. This was also found in the study of [Amin et al. \(2019\)](#) which states that the average length of schooling in Lampung Province is still below 9 years and is also still below the average length of schooling in Indonesia. Judging from the type of region, the education level of the head of the household in rural areas is elementary school, while in urban areas the education level of the head of household is senior high school (Table 2). In addition, the education level of household heads in urban areas is more in line with the 9-year compulsory education program (60.59%) compared to household heads in rural areas, which is only around 40.04%. Based on this statement, there is a gap in household education between rural and urban areas. The gap in education levels between regions of residence can be caused by several factors, namely school facilities and the quality of teaching staff ([BPS, 2020](#)).

In contrast to the education level of the head of the household, the education level of housewives in Lampung Province is that the majority did not graduate from elementary school or did not attend school (33.45%). Overall, housewives who have reached an average length of schooling of 9 years 39.94 ~~percent%~~. In addition, when viewed based on the type of region, there is no difference in the level of education of housewives in villages and cities. The majority of the education level of housewives in the village (34.35%) and in the city (30.49%) did not graduate from elementary school or did not attend school. In addition, housewives whose average length of schooling has reached 9 years in urban and rural areas are 50.81 ~~percent%~~ and 36.61 ~~percent%~~. Based on this description, it is necessary to increase the government's role in increasing the education or knowledge of housewives, considering that education is still low, and the important role of education and education is a basic need for society. According to Article 31 paragraph 1 of the 1945 Constitution, every citizen has the right to education. It is hoped that the higher the level of education, the more advanced people will have insight and ~~patterns of thinking~~thinking patterns. According to [Jacobus et al. \(2019\)](#) and [Aini et al. \(2018\)](#)

the higher the education, the more people can live a decent life and can reduce the increase in household poverty rates.

Table 2. Distribution of household characteristics in Lampung Province 2019

Variable Variable	Area Type					
	Frequency Rural	Percentage (%)	Frequency Urban	Percentage (%)	Frequency Rural & Urban	Percentage (%)
Area type	6,950	76.83	2,096	23.17	9,046	100
Gender of the household's head						
Male	6,283	90.40	1,814	86.55	8,097	89.51
Female	667	9.60	282	13.45	949	10.49
Total	6,950	100	2,096	100	9,046	100
Number of the household's member members (person)						
1 – 2	1,422	20.46	391	18.65	1,813	20.04
3 – 4	4,036	58.07	1,125	53.67	5,161	57.05
>5	1,492	21.47	580	27.67	2,072	22.91
Total	6,950	100	2,096	100	9,046	100
Age of the household's head (year)						
16 – 25	132	1.90	43	2.05	175	1.93
26 – 35	1,089	15.67	308	14.69	1,397	15.44
36 – 45	1,955	28.13	561	26.77	2,516	27.81
46 – 55	1,784	25.67	561	26.77	2,345	25.92
56 – 66	1,290	18.56	410	19.56	1,700	18.79
> 66	700	10.07	213	10.16	913	10.09
Total	6,950	100	2,096	100	9,046	100
The Education of the household's head level (year)						
NS	1,771	25.48	359	17.13	2,130	23.55
Elementary school	2,396	34.47	467	22.28	2,863	31.65
Junior high school	1,411	20.30	360	17.18	1,771	19.58
Senior high school	1,186	17.06	683	32.59	1,869	20.66
Associate & Bachelor Degree	186	2.68	227	10.83	413	4.57
Total	6,950	100	2,096	100	9,046	100
The Education of mother level (year)						
TS	2,387	34.35	637	30.39	3,024	33.43
Elementary school	2,015	28.99	394	18.80	2,409	26.63
Junior high school	1,454	20.92	356	16.98	1,810	20.01
Senior high school	869	12.50	505	24.09	1,374	15.19
Associate & Bachelor Degree	225	3.24	204	9.73	429	4.74

Total	6,950	100	2,096	100	9,046	100
Income of Household (IDR/Month)						
< 1,5 million	1,034	14.88	140	6.68	1,174	12.98
1,5 – 2,5 million	2,464	35.45	478	22.81	2,942	32.52
2,5 – 3,5 million	1,710	24.60	511	24.38	2,221	24.55
> 3,5 million	1,742	25.06	967	46.14	2,709	29.95
Total	6,950	100	2,096	100	9,046	100

Source: NSES Data, 2019 (processed data)

NS = Not graduating from elementary school or not school

Household income is the total household expenditure per month (household food and non-food expenditure). The results of this study indicate that the average household income in Lampung Province is IDR 3,067,112.82/month while the average per capita income is IDR 911,356.50/month. Based on the type of area, the average household income and per capita income in urban areas are greater than in rural areas. The average household income in urban areas is IDR 3,848,623.19/month and per capita income is IDR 1,102,080.02/month while in rural areas the average household income is IDR 2,831,422.79/month and income per capita.

This research also classifies household income per month into 4, namely household income < IDR. 1,500,000, household income of IDR 1,500,000 - 2,500,000, household income of 2,500,000 - 3,500,000 and household income stairs > IDR 3,500,000. Based on Table 3, households in Lampung Province are classified as income group 2 (IDR 1,500,000 – 2,500,000) with an average income of IDR 2,003,094.04/month. Judging from the type of area, it turns out that there are differences between households in urban and rural areas. In urban areas, the majority of household income is group 4 (household income > IDR 3,500,000) while in rural areas it is class 2 (household income of IDR 1,500,000 – 2,500,000).

Household income and income per capita in Lampung Province are already above the poverty line (Table 3). According to BPS (2020), the household poverty line and per capita poverty line in Lampung Province in 2019 are IDR 1,966,052.00/month and IDR 418,309.00/month. Based on Table 3, there are 2,540 poor households (27.10%). The results

of this study also show that there are more poor households in rural areas than in urban areas (Table 3).

Table 3. Summary of household income in Lampung Province according to the 2019 Poverty Line (GK) category (IDR/month).

Category	Average Average (IDR/month)	Standard deviation	Percentage (%)
Urban			
Poor	1,478,887.23	348.657,83	15,08
Not Poor	4.269.317,89	1.991.598,06	84,92
Total	3.848.623,19	2.093.769,14	100,00
Rural			
Poor	1.457.985,04	364.959,55	32,00
Not Poor	3.477.746,44	1.396.268,27	68,00
Total	2.831.422,79	1.502.011,00	100,00
Urban and Rural			
Poor	1.460.585,47	362.970,19	27,10
Not Poor	3.694.315,33	1.620.307,78	72,90
Total	3.067.112,82	1.712.556,57	100,00

Source: NSES Data, 2019 (processed data)

1. Household Expenditure Patterns

Household expenditure is spending on goods and services by households to meet the necessities of life. Household expenditure in Lampung Province consists of food and non-food expenditure. The results of this study show that the average total household expenditure per month in Lampung Province in 2019 was IDR 3,067,112.82/month and the total per capita expenditure was IDR 911,356.50/ month (Table 4). The results of this study also show that the

average household food and non-food expenditure per month in Lampung Province in 2019 ~~is~~ was IDR 1,672,553.66 and IDR 1,394,559.17/month respectively.

It can also be seen that the average household expenditure in rural areas is lower than that in urban areas (Table 4). This is presumably because income in urban areas is greater than in rural areas. According to [Abdillah et al., \(2019\)](#), the average per capita income significantly influences food and non-food expenditure in each type of region. Likewise, according to research ~~ef~~by [Wuryandari \(2015\)](#) which states that socio-demographic, socio-economic, and residential conditions have a significant effect on the proportion of household expenditure on food, total household expenditure on education, and health.

Table 4. Household expenditure in Lampung Province 2019

Area type	The household expenditure (IDR Million/month)			Expenditure per capita (IDR Million/month)		
	Food	Non- Food	Total	Food	Non-Food	Total
<i>Rural</i>	1,58	1,24	2,83	0,47	0,38	0,85
<i>Urban</i>	1,95	1,89	3,84	0,55	0,55	1,10
Province	1,67	1,39	3,06	0,49	0,42	0,91

Source: NSES Data, 2019 (processed data)

The average ~~of~~ household food share in Lampung Province is 57.15 ~~percent%~~ot. In addition, based on the type of region, households in urban areas in Lampung Province have a smaller percentage of food shares compared to rural areas (Table 5). According to Engel's Law, the lower the percentage of food expenditure (food share) indicates the better the household economy. In addition, [BPS, \(2020\)](#) states that if the percentage of household food expenditure is below 60 ~~percent%~~ot, it indicates that Lampung Province households are not ~~food vulnerable~~food-vulnerable.

Food expenditure consists of 14 groups, namely grains, tubers, marine animals (fish, squid, shrimp, shellfish), meat, eggs and milk, vegetables, nuts, fruits, oil and coconut, ingredients for beverages, spices, other foodstuffs, ready-to-drink foods, and cigarettes and

tobacco. Based on Table 5, the highest average household food expenditures per month are expenditures for the processed food and beverage group (IDR 421,860.14), cigarettes and tobacco expenditure (IDR 257,462.64) and grain expenditure (IDR 239,376.07). Based on the type of area, the average expenditure for processed food households in urban areas is IDR 197,954.50 more than households in rural areas. The same is also seen in the average expenditure on cigarettes and tobacco in urban households which is greater than in rural areas. There is a difference in the average spending on grain commodities in urban areas which is lower than households in rural areas (Table 5).

The highest average household food budget share in Lampung Province in Table 5 is processed food and beverage commodities at 13.44 ~~percent~~%, followed by grain commodities (9.26%) and cigarette and tobacco commodities (8.25%). The budget share of food groups in households can be used as an illustration of how these households allocate their budget for consuming more specific foods in food commodity groups. The percentage of food expenditure for the processed food group in Lampung Province is still low when compared to the average in Indonesia (17.29%). However, the percentage of grain expenditure in Lampung Province is greater than the average in Indonesia (5.57%). The government needs to pay attention to this given the high consumption of grains in Lampung Province.

The same thing is also found in Table 5 which shows that the percentage of cigarette/tobacco expenditure in Lampung Province is 2.20 ~~percent~~% greater than the average percentage of expenditure in Indonesia. According to [Purwaningsih et al. \(2015\)](#), the high percentage of expenditure in the cigarette and tobacco group needs to be watched out for considering the health risks of smoking. Not only is it detrimental to health, but smoking habits also have an impact on reducing household expenses in meeting food and education needs ([Ginting & Maulana, 2020](#)). In addition, according to [BPS Provinsi Lampung \(2019\)](#), cigarette/tobacco commodity expenditure is the 2nd largest contributor to the poverty line

(9.45%). According to Wandita (2020), the factors that influence cigarette consumption in households in Lampung Province are the price of cigarettes, household income category, and the education level of the head of the household.

Households in urban areas have a larger budget share of processed food and beverage expenditure per month (1.81%) compared to rural areas (Table 5). This is in line with the research of Miranti *et al.* (2016) in West Java and Mayasari *et al.* (2018) in East Java which shows that households in urban areas consume more prepared food and beverages compared to households in rural areas. The high budget share of the prepared food group in urban areas is suspected of a change in the lifestyle/~~habithabits~~ of the community and the busyness of the urban community. Currently, people prefer to gather to eat out and spend a lot of activities or activities outside the home. In addition, many activities outside the home require them to consume prepared food and drinks because they are more practical. An increase in spending on food allocation for processed food commodities can have a positive impact on ~~increasing~~ the processed food and beverage industry.

It can be seen in Table 5, ~~that~~ the next highest expenditure is the expenditure of the grains group. The high average share of the grain group's budget indicates that grain consumption is one of the main priorities in spending on household needs in Lampung Province. In addition, the percentage of grain expenditure in rural areas is higher than in urban areas. This is in line with research by Purwaningsih (2015) and Miranti *et al.* (2016) which shows that the proportion of expenditure on grain in rural areas is higher than in urban areas.

The results of this research also show the percentage of cigarette/tobacco spending in urban areas is 1.03 ~~% percent~~ lower than in rural areas. This was also found in ~~a~~-research by Miranti *et al.* (2016) which states that the percentage of cigarette/tobacco expenditure in villages is 1.41 ~~% percent~~ greater than in cities.

Non-food expenditure consists of 6 groups, namely housing and household facilities, various goods and services, clothing, footwear and headgear, durable goods, taxes, insurance, and parties and ceremonial needs. Based on Table 5, the largest household non-food expenditure is housing and household facilities expenditure, which is IDR 736,416.38/month and non-food expenditure per capita in Lampung Province is IDR 227,479.86/ month. In addition, non-food expenditure, namely the housing group and household facilities in urban areas is greater than in rural areas. Expenditures for housing and household facilities consist of housing costs, home maintenance, and repairs, electricity costs, municipal waterworks costs, fuel costs and include telephone, credit, internet, and so on costs.

Table 5. Household Food and Non-food Expenditure in Lampung Province 2019

The household expenditure	IDR/month			Budget Share (%)		
	Rural	Urban	Rural + Urban	Rural	Urban	Rural + Urban
Cereals	245,073.27	220,485.07	239,376.07	10.00	6.81	9,26
Tubers	11,475.48	12,051.44	11,608.94	0.43	0.35	0,41
Fish/shrimp/common squid/shells	122,570.14	175,906.59	134,928.44	4.34	4.57	4,40
Meat	53,390.90	69,686.21	57,166.60	1.70	1.58	1,67
Egg and Milk	86,853.44	123,869.47	95,430.22	3.10	3.26	3,14
Vegetables Vegetables	152,911.72	164,279.59	155,545.71	6.08	5,02	5,83
Legumes	43,106.37	53,169.15	45,437.96	1.73	1.60	1,70
Fruits	59,891.71	90,395.31	66,959.54	2.00	2.33	2,08
Oil and Coconut	53,725.99	56,743.71	54,425.21	2.18	1.76	2,08
Beverages stuffs	61,635.19	59,050.50	61,036.31	2.49	1.82	2,33
Spices	40,089.58	41,545.55	40,426.93	1.56	1.26	1,49
Miscellaneous Type of Food Commodity	29,234.31	36,375.51	30,888.96	1.09	1.03	1,07
Prepared Food and Beverages	375,993.17	573,947.67	421,860.14	13.02	14.83	13,44
Cigarettes	251,527.03	277,144.17	257,462.64	8.49	7.46	8,25
Total Food Expenditure	1,587,478.30	1,954,649.92	1,672,553.66	58.20	53.68	57,15
Housing and Household Facilities	649,127.92	1,025,850.92	736,416.38	23.20	26.39	23.94
Goods and Services	270,731.98	465,717.10	315,910.93	8.92	10.79	9.35
Clothing, footwear, and headgear	100,942.88	128,327.51	107,290.61	3.34	3.15	3.29
Durable goods	114,523.61	116,768.36	115,039.63	2.82	2.21	2.68
Taxes and insurance	76,131.51	126,686.08	87,835.82	2,58	2.99	2.67

Parties and ceremonies	236,816.46	313,396.58	250,905.99	0.96	0.80	0.92
Total Non-food Expenditure	1,243,944.50	1,893,973.27	1,394,559.17	41.80	46.32	42.85
Total Expenditure	2,831,422.79	3,848,623.19	3,067,112.83	100.00	100.00	100.00

Source: NSES Data, 2019 (processed data)

2. Household Welfare Level

One of the main indicators in describing the level of household welfare is household food consumption expenditure (Puspita & Agustina, 2020). Households with a small proportion of food expenditure (food share) compared to non-food expenditure, it can be assumed that these households are prosperous (Wuryandari, 2015). This is based on Engel's Law which states that the lower the proportion of food expenditure, the more prosperous the household will be (Kumar *et al.*, 2016). The level of household welfare in this study is classified into prosperous, pre-prosperous, and not yet prosperous households. Based on the results of this study, the level of household welfare in Lampung Province is categorized as ~~pre-prosperous~~pre-prosperous household because the average percentage of food expenditure is 57.15 % ~~percent~~. The results also showed that 2,263 households (44.12%) in Lampung Province were classified as not prosperous households, 2,792 households (30.86%) were ~~pre-prosperous~~pre-prosperous and 2,263 households (25.02%) were prosperous households. In this study, welfare level was used as a dummy variable welfare level 1 (1 = ~~pre-prosperous~~pre-prosperous households; 0 = others) and welfare level dummy 2 (1 = prosperous households; 0 = others).

Based on Figure 1, the highest percentage of food consumption expenditure allocation (budget food share) in households that are prosperous, pre-prosperous, and not yet prosperous is the processed food and beverage group and the lowest is the tubers group. The results of this study also show that the pattern of food consumption in prosperous households is better than that of pre-prosperous and less-prosperous households. As seen in Figure 1, after fulfilling the consumption of the grain group, wealthy households allocate food needs to the protein group

(fish, shrimp, squid, shellfish) and ~~fiber~~-fibre (vegetables) group, in contrast to poor and less prosperous households which allocate expenditure in the cigarette and tobacco group.

This is following Bennett's law which states that the more prosperous and household income increases there will be a change in consumption patterns which were initially only dominated by staple foods such as grains to become more varied such as consuming foods rich in ~~fiber~~-fibre and vitamins (fruits and vegetables). as well as protein, namely milk, and meat (Gevisioner, 2015). The research's Hamid *et al.* (2013) also stated that households at certain income levels would prioritize food at lower prices, such as energy food. Then if the level of income increases, a consumption preference will change from previously cheap food to high-priced food such as protein food.




Figure 1. Budget food share according to welfare level

3. Desirable Dietary Pattern Score (Diversification of Food Consumption)

The Desirable Dietary Pattern (DDP) score in this study was obtained from the results of Sayekti research, *et al.* 2022. The results of this study show that the DDP score in Lampung Province is 75.44 (Figure 2). This score is still quite far from the ideal DDP score of 100. The PPH score in Lampung Province is lower when compared to research by Dewanti *et al.*, (2020) in Central Java and Musta'in & Saputro (2021) in the Special Region of Yogyakarta. Based on this research, the PPH score in Central Java Province was 89.07 and in Yogyakarta Province, the DDP score was 89.92.


Figure 2. DDP score in Lampung Province in 2019 (Source: Sayekti *et al.*, 2022)

Table 6 shows the food groups with the largest to the smallest energy grains, oils and fats, ~~animal-derived~~ animal-derived foods, vegetables, fruit, sugar, tubers, nuts, and others. It can be seen that the food consumption for the grain, oil, fat, and sugar group exceeds the

recommendations, while the consumption of tubers, ~~animal-derived~~ animal-derived foods, vegetables, fruit, nuts, oily fruit and seeds ~~are~~is still not in accordance with the recommendations. In addition, Table 6 also shows that all food groups in Lampung Province are still below the ideal rate.

Table 6. DDP score calculation in Lampung Province 2019

No.	Food Group	Average Consumption						Ideal Standard DDP	DDP Score
		Energy	Ideal Energy*	%EAR	Ideal % EAR*	Weight	% EAR x Weight		
1	Grains	1.195,45	1.050	55,60	50	0,50	27,80	25,00	23,29
2	Tubers	62,17	126	2,89	6	0,50	1,45	2,50	0,95
3	Animal derived derived animal- derived food	167,17	252	7,78	12	2,00	15,55	24,00	13,73
4	Oil and fats	387,40	210	18,02	10	0,50	9,01	5,00	4,82
5	Oily Fruit and Seed	17,82	63	0,83	3	0,50	0,41	1,00	0,34
6	Nuts	61,28	105	2,85	5	2,00	5,70	10,00	5,07
7	Sugar	145,26	105	6,76	5	0,50	3,38	2,50	2,23
8	Vegetables and Fruits	159,28	126	7,41	6	5,00	37,04	30,00	25,02
9	Others	11,00	63	0,51	3	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00
Total		2.206,84	2.100	102,64	100	-	100,34	100,00	75,45

Source: Sayekti *et al.* (2022)

4. Determinants of Household's Desirable Dietary Pattern (food consumption diversification).

The determinants of the DDP score in this study are thought to be influenced by household income, number of household members, age of the head of the household, education of the household's head, education of mother, region, gender/sex, and level of welfare. Regions are divided into rural and urban areas. The gender in question is the sex of the head of the family. The welfare level consists of three strata, namely not yet prosperous, pre-prosperous, and prosperous.

The results of the DDP score determinant analysis are described in detail as follows. First, a test for violating the classical assumption of multicollinearity was carried out, the results of which can be seen in Table 7.

Table 7. Multicollinearity test results

Variable	Coefficient Variance	Uncentered VIF	Centred VIF
C	0.492390	39.55919	NA
X1	6.84E-15	6.776805	1.610505
X2	0.008832	10.65610	1.308365
X3	9.75E-05	19.76160	1.366462
X4	0.001231	6.800197	1.814917
X5	0.001262	6.043866	2.023284
D_W	0.077394	1.440718	1.106897
D_Gender	0.169422	1.427967	1.278161
D_KS1	0.071102	1.763112	1.218937
D_KS2	0.103905	2.088341	1.565909

Source: NSES Data, 2019 (processed data)

Table 7 shows that there is no multicollinearity problem in the model because the VIF obtained for all variables is less than 10. Second, a heteroscedasticity test was carried out whose results can be seen in Table 8.

Table 8. Heteroscedasticity test results

F-statistic	13.61070	Prob. F(9,9036)	0.0000
Obs*R-squared	120.9917	Prob. Chi-Square(9)	0.0000
Scaled explained SS	118.2562	Prob. Chi-Square(9)	0.0000

Source: NSES Data, 2019 (processed data)

From Table 8 it can be seen that Prob. The Chi-Square obtained is less than 0.05. So in this model, there is a problem of heteroscedasticity. ~~Therefore~~Therefore, it is necessary to improve the model, the results of which are presented in Table 9.

Table 9 is the result of the best DDP score determinant analysis because it is free from multicollinearity problems and the heteroscedasticity problem has been corrected. From the table, it can be seen that the adjusted R^2 obtained is 0.3861. This means that 38.61 ~~percent~~ % of the DDP score variation can be explained by household income, number of household members, age of head of household, education of the household's head, education of mother, region, gender, and level of welfare. Other variables that were not ~~analyzed~~analysed contributed 61.39 ~~percent~~ % to the DDP score variation.

The use of secondary data with a broad scope in this study causes limited data availability. Therefore, another study is needed that uses models with more complete variables.

From the F-stat obtained, it can be concluded that household income, number of household members, age of head of household, education of the ~~household's~~household, education of mother, region, gender, and level of welfare have a significant effect on the DDP score, with a confidence level of 99 ~~percent~~ %. However, the results of the partial test show that household income, number of household members, age of the head of the household, education of the housewife, gender, and level of welfare have a significant effect on the DDP score, while the education of the head of the household and region has no significant effect on DDP score.

Household income has a significant effect on the DDP score with a 99 ~~percent~~ % confidence level. The regression coefficient of 4.89E-6 indicates that if household income increases by IDR. 1,000,000.00 per month, the DDP score will increase by 4.89. This is in line with research by Alfiati, (2018), Aneftasari *et al.* (2016), Rinaldi *et al.* (2017), Taruvinga *et al.* (2013), and research by Hutagaol and Sinaga (2022) which states that an increase in income will further increase the variety of food consumed so that it can influence food consumption patterns. In addition, according to Gevisioner *et al.* (2015) and Handayani *et al.* (2019) an

increase in income has a great opportunity to choose and buy various types of food products with better quality and quantity under balanced nutrition provisions.

Table 9. The results of the regression analysis determine the DDP score

Variable <u>Variable</u>	<i>Coefficient</i>	<i>Std. Error</i>	<i>t-statistic</i>	<i>Prob.</i>
C	80,38420***	0,716093	112,2538	0,0000
X1 (Household income)	4,89E-06***	1,07E-07	45,63023	0,0000
X2 (number of household <u>households</u> member <u>members</u>)	-5,649353***	0,100073	-56,45228	0,0000
X3 (Age of the head's household)	0,051761***	0,010007	5,172563	0,0000
X4 (Education of the head's household)	0,035311 ^{ts}	0,037609	0,938880	0,3478
X5 (Education of the mother)	0,102437***	0,035396	2,894022	0,0038
<i>D_w</i>	0,321476 ^{ts}	0,274756	1,170040	0,2420
<i>D_Gender</i>	2,395239***	0,417431	5,738054	0,0000
<i>D_KS1</i>	-2,988287***	0,263996	-11,31944	0,0000
<i>D_KS2</i>	-8,955807***	0,319880	-27,99743	0,0000
<i>R-squared</i>	0,386712			
<i>Adjusted R-squared</i>	0,386101			
<i>S.E. of Regresion</i>	10,61107			
<i>F-statistic</i>	633,0763			
<i>Prob(F-statistic)</i>	0,000000			

Source: NSES Data, 2019 (processed data)

*** = significant $\alpha = 0,01$; ** = significant $\alpha = 0,05$; * = significant $\alpha = 0,1$; and ts = not significant.

The number of household members has a significant effect on the DDP score with a 99 percent % confidence level. The regression coefficient obtained is -5.6494 which means that if one person in the household increases, the DDP score will decrease by 5.65. The results of this research are following follow research by Alfiati (2018), Ismiasih *et al.* (2013) and Qineti *et al.* (2017) which shows the number of household members has a negative effect on the diversity of food consumption. In addition, according to Dewanti *et al.* (2020), households with more than 4 members tend to have less chance of achieving a high diversity of food consumption. An increase in the number of household members can contribute to an increased expenditure burden borne by the head of the household which is getting bigger. Thus, an increasing number of household members without an increase in income can make these households prioritize allocating their income to meet the quantity of food rather than diversifying the food they consume. In addition, households with a larger number of household members tend to consume only one type of staple food which is cheap (Hutagaol and Sinaga, 2022). This condition causes the level of food diversity to be increasingly diversified.

The age of the head's household has a significant effect on the expected food pattern score with a 99 percent confidence level. With a regression coefficient of 0.0518, if the age of the household head increases by one year, the DDP score will increase by 0.05. This following the research of Firdaus and Cahyano (2017) in Yogyakarta Province and East Nusa Tenggara Province and research by Dewanti *et al.* (2020) in Central Java Province which concluded that the age of the head of the family had a significant effect on the diversification of household food consumption. As the age of the household's head increases, experience also increases, including experience in choosing good food for consumption.

The education of the mother has a significant effect on the expected food pattern score with a 99 percent confidence level. The regression coefficient of 0.1024 indicates that if a housewife's education increases by one year, the expected food pattern score will increase by

0.10. This is following the research of [Rahma et al. \(2020\)](#) and [Alfiati \(2018\)](#) which show that the level of a mother's education has a significant effect on the diversity of food consumption. Furthermore, according to [Amugsi et al. \(2016\)](#) mothers with a higher level of education than basic education are more likely to achieve a more varied diet when compared to mothers who are not educated. Similarly, the research's [Hamid et al. \(2013\)](#) stated that the more educated the mother is, the more knowledge and insight the mother has about nutrition so that when the mother cooks food every day it is not only based on habits and the concept of being full. The housewife will consider or choose a quality type of food and also pay attention to the nutritional elements contained in the food.

The gender of the head's household has a significant effect on the DDP score with a 99 percent confidence level. The regression coefficient obtained is 2.3952. This means that the DDP score of households with female heads of households is 2.39 higher than the DDP scores of households with male heads of households. The results of this research are in line with the research of [Taruvunga et al. \(2013\)](#) ~~dan~~ and [Dewanti et al. \(2020\)](#) who concluded that female heads of household tend to have a higher diversity of food compared to households with male heads of household.

The welfare level has a significant effect on the DDP score with a 99 percent confidence level. The regression coefficients obtained are -2.9883 and -8.9558. This shows that the DDP score for less prosperous households is the highest (80.38). The PPH score for pre-prosperous households is 77.39. The DDP score for prosperous households is the lowest at 71.42. The results of this study are not in line with the research by [Mayasari et al. \(2018\)](#) which states that households with better welfare will tend to pay attention to the quality and quantity of food consumed by their households compared to households with a low level of welfare. With this difference in results, it is suspected that prosperous households will try to allocate their income

for secondary and even tertiary needs which can increase the percentage of non-food expenditures such as education, health, and other expenses.

The education of the head's household has no significant effect on the DDP score because the confidence level is less than 90 percent. Nearly 90 percent of household heads are male. The head of this household is in charge of earning a living, so the task of organizing and providing food for household members is in the hands of the mother. In addition, according to [Dewanti *et al.* \(2020\)](#), education can cause a person to have a wider choice in determining the food he consumes. This results in a person's level of education not always being aligned with consumption patterns. So, the higher the education level of the head of the household does not determine the ~~high~~higher the DDP score achieved.

The region has no significant effect on the DDP score because the level of confidence obtained is also less than 90 ~~percent~~%. This shows that DDP scores in rural areas are the same as DDP scores in urban areas.

Conclusion

The findings of this study suggest that the level of diversity in food consumption among households in Lampung Province was suboptimal, as reflected by the DDP score of 74.46 for the region. The aforementioned score exhibits considerable deviation from the optimal DDP score of 100. The present study indicates that certain factors positively contribute to the diversification of household food consumption, including the income level of the household, the age of the household head, the educational attainment of the mother, and the gender of the household head. Conversely, the number of household members and the degree of welfare negatively impact the diversification of household food consumption.

The findings of this study demonstrate that household characteristics are a key factor in determining food consumption diversification. As such, there is a pressing need to engage in

socialization, promotion, and counselling interventions aimed at fostering the consumption of diverse, nutritious, balanced, and safe food ~~in-order-to~~ enhance overall food quality. Moreover, a deficient background in education can lead to a deficiency in comprehension and understanding regarding the consumption of high-quality food, thus necessitating the enhancement of both formal and informal educational efforts. This is attributable to the fact that superior education has the potential to augment both the financial resources and overall well-being of households.

References

- Abdillah, J. J., Wiyono, V. H., & Samudro, B. R. (2019). Analisis Pola Konsumsi dan Kemiskinan di Jawa Tengah. *Research Fair Unisri*, 3(1), 132–138.
- Aini, E. N., Isnaini, I., Sukamti, S., & Amalia, L. N. (2018). Pengaruh Tingkat Pendidikan Terhadap Tingkat Kesejahteraan Masyarakat di Kelurahan Kesatrian Kota Malang. *Technomedia Journal*, 3(1), 58–72. <https://doi.org/10.33050/tmj.v3i1.333>
- Alexandri, C., & Kevorchian, C. (2015). The Diversity of Food Consumption in Romania. *Economics And Rural Development - Research Articles*, 72(1), 243–248. <https://doi.org/10.15835/buasvmcn-hort>
- Alexandri, C., Luca, L., & Kevorchian, C. (2015). Subsistence Economy and Food Security – The Case of Rural Households from Subsistence economy and food security – the case of rural households from Romania. *Procedia Economics and Finance*, 22, 672–680. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2212-5671\(15\)00282-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2212-5671(15)00282-8)
- Alfiati, S. (2018). Analisis Faktor-faktor yang Memengaruhi Pola Konsumsi Pangan Rumah Tangga. *Journal of Economic, Business and Accounting (COSTING)*, 2(1), 76–83.
- Amin, A. M., Asani, R. N. C. P., Wattimena, C. R. J., & Yuniasih, A. F. (2019). Determinan Ketimpangan Capaian Pendidikan Di Indonesia Tahun 2017. *Seminar Nasional Official Statistics*, 2019(1), 593–601. <https://doi.org/10.34123/semnasoffstat.v2019i1.212>

- Amugsi, D. A., Lartey, A., Kimani, E., & Mberu, B. U. (2016). Women's participation in household decision-making and higher dietary diversity: findings from nationally representative data from Ghana. *Journal of Health, Population, and Nutrition*, 35(1), 16. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41043-016-0053-1>
- Aneftasari, I. R., Arifin, B., & Indriani, Y. (2016). Determinan Pola Pangan Harapan Rumah Tangga Buruh Pengasin Ikan di Pulau Pasaran. *JIIA*, 4(3), 301–308.
- Argandi, S; Trimo L; Noor, T. I. (2019). Faktor-Faktor Yang Mempengaruhi Pola Pangan Harapan (Pph) Di Kabupaten Bandung. *Jurnal Ilmiah Mahasiswa AGROINFO GALUH*, 6(1), 132–143. <https://doi.org/10.16660/j.cnki.1674-098x.2011.32.039>
- Badan Ketahanan Pangan. (2020). Indeks Ketahanan Pangan Indonesia 2019 (Food Security Index of Indonesia 2019). In *Food Security Bureau, Republic of Indonesia*. [http://bkp.pertanian.go.id/storage/app/media/Bahan 2020/IKP 2019 FINAL.pdf](http://bkp.pertanian.go.id/storage/app/media/Bahan%2020/IKP%202019%20FINAL.pdf)
- Badan Ketahanan Pangan. (2021). *Direktori Perkembangan Konsumsi Pangan* (Vol. 3). Badan Ketahanan Pangan. <https://ditjenpkh.pertanian.go.id/uploads/download/3e8f561f9e61f478b634605ccf1effb4.pdf>
- BPS. (2019). *Profil Kemiskinan Provinsi Lampung Maret 2019* (Vol. 21, Issue 1). <https://lampung.bps.go.id/pressrelease/2019/07/15/744/profil-kemiskinan-provinsi-lampung-maret-2019.html>
- BPS. (2020). *Provinsi Lampung Dalam Angka 2020*. Badan Pusat Statistik Provinsi Lampung. <https://lampung.bps.go.id/publication/2020/04/27/8875e8b18ee22402d6a3f782/provinsi-lampung-dalam-angka-2020.html>
- Codjoe, S. N. A., David, O., & Abu, M. (2016). Urban Household Characteristics and Dietary Diversity : An Analysis of Food Security in Accra, Ghana. *Food and Nutrition Bulletin*, 37(2), 202–218. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0379572116631882>

- Cordero-ahiman, O. V., Vanegas, J. L., Franco-crespo, C., & Beltr, P. (2021). *Factors That Determine the Dietary Diversity Score in Rural Households : The Case of the Paute River Basin of Azuay Province , Ecuador.*
- Dewanti; Rijanta; and Rofi. (2020). Keragaman Konsumsi Pangan Rumah Tangga di Provinsi Jawa Tengah. *Jurnal Kawistara*, 10(3), 265–294.
- Dito, M., & Prayitno, G. (2019). Capaian pola pemanfaatan pangan dalam usaha peningkatan ketahanan pangan provinsi jawa barat. *Planning for Urban Region and Evironment*, 8(1), 405–414.
- Firdaus and Cahyono. (2017). How Food Consumption Pattern and Dietary Diversity Describe Food Security: Evidence From Yogyakarta and East Nusa Tenggara. *Jurnal Ekonomi Dan Pembangunan*, 25(1), 27–38.
- Gevisioner; Febriamansyah, R., & ;and Tarumun, S. (2015). Kualitas konsumsi pangan di daerah defisit pangan Provinsi Riau. *J. Gizi Pangan*, 10(3), 233–240.
- Ghozali, I. (2016). *Aplikasi Analisis Multivariate Dengan Program IBM SPSS 23 (Edisi 8)*. Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponegoro.
- Ginting, I. R., & Maulana, R. (2020). Dampak Kebiasaan Merokok pada Pengeluaran Rumah Tangga. *Jurnal Kebijakan Kesehatan Indonesia : JKKI*, 9(2), 77–82.
<https://jurnal.ugm.ac.id/jkki/article/view/55879>
- Hamid, Yuni; Setiawan, B. S. (2013). Analisis Pola Konsumsi Pangan Rumah Tangga: Studi Kasus di Kecamatan Tarakan Barat Kota Tarakan Provinsi Kalimantan Timur. *Agrise*, XIII(3), 1412–1425.
- Handayani, M., Sayekti, W. D., & Ismono, R. H. (2019). Pola Konsumsi Pangan Rumah Tangga pada Desa Pelaksana dan Bukan Pelaksana Program Percepatan Penganekaragaman Konsumsi Pangan (P2KP) di Kabupaten Pringsewu. *JIIA*, 7(1), 113–119.

- Hutagaol, Manuntun P.; dan Sinaga, R. (2022). Pengaruh pendapatan dan harga pangan terhadap diversifikasi pangan di pulau jawa. *Scientific Journal If Reflection: Economic, Accounting, Management and Business*, 5(3), 702–715.
- Iftikhar, M., Yasin, M. A., & Ali, R. (2020). Factors Affecting The Household Dietary Diversity Pattern In Rural Areas Of Southern Punjab , Pakistan. *International Transaction Journal of Engineering, Management, & Applied Sciences & Technologies*, 11(7), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.14456/ITJEMAST.2020.124>
- Ismiasih, Hartano, S., Darwanto, H. D., & Mulyo, H. J. (2013). Diversifikasi Konsumsi Pangan Pada Tingkat Rumah Tangga di Provinsi Daerah Istimewa Yogyakarta (Indeks Entropy Didekati dengan Pangsa Pangan). *Jurnal Budidaya Pertanian*, 9(2), 72–78.
- Jacobus, E. h., Kindangen, P., & Walewangko, E. N. (2018). Analisis Faktor-faktor yang Memengaruhi Kemiskinan Rumah Tangga di Sulawesi Utara. *Jurnal Pembangunan Ekonomi Dan Keuangan Daerah*, 19(3), 86–103.
- Jones, A. D., Shrinivas, A., & Bezner-Kerr, R. (2014). Farm production diversity is associated with greater household dietary diversity in Malawi: Findings from nationally representative data. *Food Policy*, 46, 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodpol.2014.02.001>
- Kumar, A., Saroj, S., Singh, R. K. P., & Jee, S. (2016). Agricultural Diversity, Dietary Diversity and Nutritional Intake: An Evidence on Inter-linkages from Village Level Studies in Eastern India. *Agricultural Economics Research Review*, 29(conf), 15. <https://doi.org/10.5958/0974-0279.2016.00030.6>
- Labadarios, D., Mchiza, Z. J., Steyn, P., Gericke, G., Maria, E., Maunder, W., Davids, D., & Parker, W. (2011). Food security in South Africa : a review of national surveys. *Bull World Health Organ*, 89(April), 891–899. <https://doi.org/10.2471/BLT.11.089243>
- Li, N. (2009). *An Engel Curve for Variety: Household food consumption and welfare in India*. <http://eml.berkeley.edu/~webfac/bardhan/li.pdf>

- Liu, J., Shively, G. E., & Binkley, J. K. (2014). Access to variety contributes to dietary diversity in China. *Journal Of Food Policy*, 49, 323–331. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodpol.2014.09.007>
- Mahmudiono, T., Nutr, S. S., & Rosenkranz, R. R. (2017). Household dietary diversity and child stunting in East Java , Indonesia. *Asia Pac J Clin Nutr*, 26(2), 317–325. <https://doi.org/10.6133/apjcn.012016.01>
- Mayasari, D., Noor, I., & Satria, D. (2018). Analisis Pola Konsumsi Pangan Rumah tangga Miskin Di Provinsi Jawa Timur. *JIEP*, 18(1), 34–49.
- Miranti, A. (2017). *Pengaruh Pendapatan Dan Harga Pangan Terhadap Tingkat Diversifikasi Pangan Rumah Tangga Di Provinsi Jawa Barat*. Institut Pertanian Bogor.
- Miranti, A., & Syaukat, Y. (2016). Pola Konsumsi Pangan Rumah Tangga di Provinsi Jawa Barat. *Jurnal Agro Ekonomi*, 34(1), 67–80.
- Misker, D., Misker, B., & Ayele, G. (2016). House hold dietary diversity and associated Open Access factors in Mirab Abaya wereda Southern Ethiopia 2016 ; community based cross sectional study. *Diversity and Equality in Health and Care*, 13, 293–296.
- Ochieng, J., Afari-Sefa, V., Lukumay, P. J., & Dubois, T. (2017). Determinants of dietary diversity and the potential role of men in improving household nutrition in Tanzania. *PLoS ONE*, 12(12), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0189022>
- Parappurathu, S., Kumar, A., Bantilan, M. C. S., & Joshi, P. K. (2015). Food consumption patterns and dietary diversity in eastern India: evidence from village level studies (VLS). *Food Security*, 7(5), 1031–1042. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12571-015-0493-2>
- Purwaningsih, Y., Hartono, S., Masyhuri, M., & Mulyo, J. H. (2015). Pola Pengeluaran Pangan Rumah Tangga Menurut Tingkat Ketahanan Pangan Di Provinsi Jawa Tengah. *Jurnal Ekonomi Pembangunan: Kajian Masalah Ekonomi Dan Pembangunan*, 11(2), 236. <https://doi.org/10.23917/jep.v11i2.327>

- Puspita, C. D., & Agustina, N. (2020). Pola Konsumsi, Elastisitas Pendapatan, Serta Variabel-Variabel Sosial Ekonomi Yang Memengaruhi Pengeluaran Konsumsi Rumah Tangga. *Seminar Nasional Official Statistics*, 2019(1), 700–709. <https://doi.org/10.34123/semnasoffstat.v2019i1.46>
- Qineti, A., Braha, K., Cupak, A., Pokrivčák, J., & Rajčániová, M. (2017). Demand for food diversity in the case of Kosovo. *Economics and Human Ecology*, 27, 261–272.
- Rahma, Rizka M. Wulandari; Ilsan, Mais; Rosada, I. (2020). Analisis Diversifikasi Konsumsi Pangan dalam Meningkatkan Ketahanan Pangan Rumah Tangga Nelayan. *Wiratani*, 3(1), 57–66.
- Rinaldi, Yunita; Irianto, Heru; Rahayu, W. (2017). Pengaruh Faktor Sosial Ekonomi terhadap Diversifikasi Konsumsi Pangan Rumah Tangga Anggota Kelompok Tani di Kecamatan Ngunter Kabupaten Sukoharjo. *Paper Knowledge . Toward a Media History of Documents*, 5(3), 441–452.
- Sayekti, W. D., Lestari, D. A. H., & Ismono, R. H. (2020a). Faktor Determinan Konsumsi Pangan Lokal Rumah Tangga di Provinsi Lampung Determinant Factor of the Local Food Consumption of the Households in Lampung Province. *Pangan*, 29(2), 87–170.
- Sayekti, W. D., Lestari, D. A. H., & Ismono, R. H. (2020b). Tapioca vermicelli consumption of the household around tapioca vermicelli agroindustry at metro city, Lampung province. *Journal of Sustainability Science and Management*, 15(2), 45–55.
- Sayekti, W. D., Lestari, D. A. H., & Ismono, R. H. (2020c). The Pattern of Local Cassava-Based Processed Food Choice in Lampung Province. *Industria: Jurnal Teknologi Dan Manajemen Agroindustri*, 9(1), 25–34. <https://doi.org/10.21776/ub.industria.2020.009.01.4>
- Seda, F. S. S. E., Setyawati, L., Tirta, T., Kurniawan, K. N., & Pebriansyah. (2021). Consumerism Index in the Context of Sustainable Development: Pattern of Household

- Food Consumption. *Journal of Sustainability Science and Management*, 16(4), 285–302.
<https://doi.org/10.46754/JSSM.2021.06.021>
- Sintha. (2019). Tingkat Kesejahteraan Ruma Tangga Nelayan di Kelurahan Bereng Bengkel Kecamatan Sabangau Kota Palangka Raya. *J-SEA (Journal Socio Economics Agricultural)*, 14(2), 57–65.
- Taruvinga, A., Muchenje, V., & Mushunje, A. (2013). Determinants of rural household dietary diversity: The case of Amatole and Nyandeni districts, South Africa \n. *International Journal of Development and Sustainability*, 2(4), 2233–2247. <http://isdsnet.com/ijds-v2n4-4.pdf>
- Thiele and Weiss. (2003). Consumer demand for food diversity : evidence for Germany. *Food Policy*, 28, 99–115. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-9192\(02\)00068-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-9192(02)00068-4)
- Wandita, D. T. (2020). Pengaruh Cukai Rokok Terhadap Konsumsi Rokok Serta Faktor-faktor Yang Mempengaruhi Konsumsi Rokok. *Jurnal Pendidikan Ekonomi: Jurnal Ilmiah Ilmu Pendidikan, Ilmu Ekonomi, Dan Ilmu Sosia*, 14(1), 159–165.
<https://doi.org/10.19184/jpe.v14i1.16659>
- Workicho, A., Belachew, T., Feyissa, G. T., Wondafrash, B., Lachat, C., Verstraeten, R., & Kolsteren, P. (2016). Household dietary diversity and Animal Source Food consumption in Ethiopia: Evidence from the 2011 Welfare Monitoring Survey. *BMC Public Health*, 16(1), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-016-3861-8>
- Wuryandari, R. D. (2015). Determinants of Household Expenditures on Food, Education and Health in Indonesia Using the 2011 Susenas Data. *Jurnal Kependudukan Indonesia*, 10(1), 27–42. www.bps.go.id,
- Zhang, Q., Chen, X., Liu, Z., Varma, D. S., Wan, R., & Zhao, S. (2017). Diet diversity and nutritional status among adults in southwest China. *PLoS ONE*, 12(2), 1–9.
<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0172406>

5. Revised version revealed (29-10-2024)

- Revision and Amends**

Article Revision 2023-0271-Dyah Aring Hepiana Lestari

From: Dyah Aring Hepiana Lestari (dyaharing@yahoo.com)

To: aaziz@umt.edu.my

Cc: ikhwanuddin@umt.edu.my

Date: Tuesday, October 29, 2024 at 03:07 PM GMT+7

Dear Ahmad Aziz
Managing Editor of Journal of Sustainability Science and Management (JSSM)

Here we attach the revised article DETERMINANT OF HOUSEHOLD FOOD CONSUMPTION DIVERSITY IN LAMPUNG PROVINCE USING THE 2019 NATIONAL SOCIAL ECONOMIC SURVEY DATA. We hope that it can be processed immediately. Thank you

Best Regards,

Dyah Aring Hepiana Lestari

On Tuesday, October 15, 2024 at 09:48:54 AM GMT+7, AZIZ AHMAD <aaziz@umt.edu.my> wrote:

Good day
Attached is your manuscript for improvement the correction
regards

On Mon, Oct 14, 2024 at 5:13 PM Dyah Lestari <onbehalf@manuscriptcentral.com> wrote:

Dear Ahmad Aziz
Managing Editor of Journal of Sustainability Science and Management (JSSM)

This letter is to inform you that the manuscript with ID JSUSM-2023-0271 entitled 'DETERMINANTS OF AFFORDABILITY OF HOUSEHOLD FOOD CONSUMPTION IN LAMPUNG PROVINCE USING SUSENAS DATA 2019' which has been submitted to the Journal of Sustainability Science and Management has been reviewed and we received a decision letter on 29 July 2024 with Editor in Chief Prof. Mhd Ikhwanuddin.

However, the decision letter that we received on 29 July 2024, there was no attachment to the correction file from the reviewer so we could not make revisions. We have sent several emails requesting the correction file attachment, but there was no response from JSSM until 27 September 2024. When we resubmitted the manuscript on 27 September 2024, the JSSM system automatically recognised it as a new submission. Based on this, we request that our manuscript can continue the process without going through the 'Awaiting Reviewer Selection' process again because it has already been reviewed.

Please review, check, and send the correction results from the reviewer immediately.

Thus our request. Thank you.

PENAFIAN: Maklumat di dalam e-mel ini dan apa-apa fail yang dikepilkan bersamanya adalah atau mungkin mengandungi maklumat yang sulit. Jika anda sepatutnya bukan merupakan penerima e-mel ini, sebarang penggunaan, penyebaran, pergantungan, mengulang hantar, mencetak, atau menyalin e-mel ini atau apa-apa fail yang dikepilkan bersamanya adalah tidak dibenarkan. E-mel ini adalah tertakluk kepada hakcipta. Tiada bahagian daripadanya boleh diterbitkan semula, disesuaikan atau dihebahkan tanpa mendapat kebenaran bertulis pemilik hakcipta. Jika anda telah menerima e-mel ini kerana kesilapan, sila maklumkan penghantar e-mel ini dengan segera dengan membalas e-mel ini atau melalui telefon dan memadamkan semua salinannya. Pendapat, rumusan dan sebarang maklumat lain di dalam e-mel ini yang tidak berkait dengan urusan rasmi Universiti Malaysia Terengganu (UMT) hendaklah difahami sebagai bukan dikeluarkan atau diperakui oleh mana-mana pihak yang disebut.

DISCLAIMER: The information contained in this email message and any accompanying files is or may be confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, any use, dissemination, reliance, forwarding, printing or copying of this email or any attached files is unauthorised. This email is subject to copyright. No part of it should be reproduced, adapted or communicated without the written consent of the copyright owner. If you have received this email in error please advise the sender immediately by return e-mail or telephone and delete all copies. Opinions, conclusion and other information in this email that do not relate to the official business of Universiti Malaysia Terengganu (UMT) shall be understood as neither given nor endorsed by any of the aforementioned.



2023-0271-Dyah Aring Hepiana Lestari.docx
280.7 kB

et al., 2014; Mahmudiono *et al.*, 2017). Food expenditure/food share can be used as an indicator of household welfare (Sintha, 2019). According to Li (2009), diverse diets also increase consumer welfare because greater variety increases the likelihood of matching consumer preferences. The next variable that influences consumption and/or food consumption diversity is the sex of the head of the household (Codjoe *et al.*, 2016; Cordero-ahiman *et al.*, 2021; Misker *et al.*, 2016; Workicho *et al.*, 2016) and type of residential area (Alexandri & Kevorchian, 2015; Miranti, 2017; Qineti *et al.*, 2017).

According to research conducted in Germany by Thiele and Weiss (2003), the diversity of food consumption is influenced by household size, age, gender of the head of the household, employment status of the head of the household, and level of education of the head of the household. In addition, research conducted in Romania by Alexandri *et al.*, (2015) found that household income, the level of education of the head of household, the number of household members, and the location of domicile had a significant impact on the diversity of food consumption. According to research conducted by Zhang *et al.* (2017) in Southwest China, the diversity of household consumption is influenced by the gender, age, education, and income of the household's head. In addition, research by Ochieng *et al.* (2017) in Tanzania indicates that the education of the household head, food and nutrition training, and the size of the agricultural land are significant determinants of the diversity of food consumption.

Based on the findings of these studies, it appears that the factors that influence the diversity of food consumption vary. This is likely that regional behaviour differs. According to Sayekti *et al.*, (2020c) and Seda *et al.*, (2021), consumption patterns and food preferences are influenced by behaviour. Consequently, it is necessary to identify more closely related food consumption patterns in a region, either at the regional or provincial level. In Lampung

Province, research on household consumption diversity and consumption patterns has never been conducted.

This study's objectives are to analyse the pattern of household expenditures, the household welfare, the household food consumption diversity, and to determinant factors of household food consumption diversity in Lampung Province. It is anticipated that the identification of determinants of food consumption diversification can be used as a basis for formulating policies that will increase the quality of human resources.

Materials and Methods

Data, Sample, and Model Research

This research was a study that used secondary data in the form of cross-section data. Secondary data was raw data obtained from the Central Bureau of Statistics Republic of Lampung Province based on the results of the 2019 National Social Economic Survey (NSES). Initially, the raw data for this study were 9,653 households. After the outlier test was carried out ([Ghozali, 2016](#)), there were 607 data outliers, so the data analysed were 9,046 households.

This investigation utilized descriptive quantitative and verification data analysis. In this study, quantitative descriptive analysis employed a tabular summary of numbers to characterize the condition of household expenditure patterns, welfare level, and household food consumption diversity in Lampung Province.

Household expenditures were the costs that households incur to satisfy their consumption needs. There were two categories of household expenditures: food expenditures and non-food expenditures. The pattern of household food expenditures could characterize the behavior of household groups as a whole. From the description of this behavior, the type and quantity of food ingested could be determined. The percentage of household food expenditures/food share was used to determine the pattern of food consumption expenditures.

Results and Discussion

Household Characteristics in Lampung Province

This study's sample of 9,046 households included 6,950 households from rural areas and 2,096 from urban areas. According to the 2019 NSES, the majority of household heads in Lampung Province were men (89.51%). Moreover, according to Table 2, the plurality of household heads was between the ages of 35 and 46 (27.81%). The majority of Lampung Province's household heads were of productive age (89.91%). The productive age is between the ages of 15 and 65, when a person is still able to work (BPS, 2020). In rural areas, the average age of the head of household was 49 years old, whereas in urban areas, the average age of the head of household was 46 years old.

The majority of households in Lampung Province have 3-4 members (27.81%). In terms of area type, the majority of households in rural and urban areas are the same, namely 4 people. According to BPS, (2020), households in Bandar Lampung City are households with the most number of household members, namely 4.21 when compared to other areas in Lampung Province. According to Wuryandari, (2015), the increasing number of household members can increase food expenditure.

The majority of the education level of household heads in Lampung Province are elementary school graduates (31.65%). Households that have attained 9 years of education are 44.80%. This was also found in the study of Amin *et al.* (2019) which states that the average length of schooling in Lampung Province is still below 9 years and is also still below the average length of schooling in Indonesia. Judging from the type of region, the education level of the head of the household in rural areas is elementary school, while in urban areas the education level of the head of household is senior high school (Table 2). In addition, the education level of household heads in urban areas is more in line with the 9-year compulsory education program (60.59%) compared to household heads in rural areas, which is only

This research also classifies household income per month into 4, namely household income < IDR. 1,500,000, household income of IDR 1,500,000 - 2,500,000, household income of 2,500,000 - 3,500,000 and household income stairs > IDR 3,500,000. Based on Table 3, households in Lampung Province are classified as income group 2 (IDR 1,500,000 – 2,500,000) with an average income of IDR 2,003,094.04/month. Judging from the type of area, it turns out that there are differences between households in urban and rural areas. In urban areas, the majority of household income is group 4 (household income > IDR 3,500,000) while in rural areas is class 2 (household income of IDR 1,500,000 – 2,500,000).

Household income and income per capita in Lampung Province are already above the poverty line (Table 3). According to BPS (2020), the household poverty line and per capita poverty line in Lampung Province in 2019 are IDR 1,966,052.00/month and IDR 418,309.00/month. Based on Table 3, there are 2,540 poor households (27.10%). The results of this study also show that there are more poor households in rural areas than in urban areas (Table 3).

Table 3. Summary of household income in Lampung Province according to the 2019 Poverty Line category (IDR/month)

Category	Average (IDR/month)	Standard deviation	Percentage (%)
Urban			
Poor	1,478,887.23	348.657,83	15,08
Not Poor	4,269.317,89	1.991.598,06	84,92
Total	3,848.623,19	2.093.769,14	100,00
Rural			
Poor	1,457.985,04	364.959,55	32,00
Not Poor	3,477.746,44	1.396.268,27	68,00
Total	2,831.422,79	1.502.011,00	100,00
Urban and Rural			
Poor	1,460.585,47	362.970,19	27,10
Not Poor	3,694.315,33	1.620.307,78	72,90
Total	3,067.112,82	1.712.556,57	100,00

Source: NSES Data, 2019 (processed data)

1. Household Expenditure Patterns

Household expenditure is spending on goods and services by households to meet the necessities of life. Household expenditure in Lampung Province consists of food and non-

The education of the housewife has a significant effect on the DDP score with a 99% confidence level. The regression coefficient of 0.1024 indicates that if a housewife's education increases by one year, the expected food pattern score will increase by 0.10. This is following the research of [Rahma *et al.* \(2020\)](#) and [Alfiati \(2018\)](#) which show that the level of a housewife's education has a significant effect on the diversity of food consumption. Furthermore, according to [Amugsi *et al.* \(2016\)](#) housewives with a higher level of education than basic education are more likely to achieve a more varied diet when compared to housewives who are not educated. Similarly, the research's [Hamid *et al.* \(2013\)](#) stated that the more educated the housewife is, the more knowledge and insight the housewife has about nutrition so that when the mother cooks food every day, it is not only based on habits and the concept of being full. The housewife will consider or choose a quality type of food and also pay attention to the nutritional elements contained in the food.

The gender of the head's household has a significant effect on the DDP score with a 99% confidence level. The regression coefficient obtained is 2.3952. This means that the DDP score of households with female heads of households is 2.39 higher than the DDP scores of households with male heads of households. The results of this research are in line with the research of [Taruvunga *et al.* \(2013\)](#) and [Dewanti *et al.* \(2020\)](#) who concluded that female heads of household tend to have a higher diversity of food compared to households with male heads of household.

The welfare level has a significant effect on the DDP score with a 99% confidence level. The regression coefficients obtained are -2.9883 and -8.9558. This shows that the DDP score for less prosperous households is the highest (80.38). The PPH score for pre-prosperous households is 77.39. The DDP score for prosperous households is the lowest at 71.42. The results of this study are not in line with the research by [Mayasari *et al.* \(2018\)](#) which states that households with better welfare will tend to pay attention to the quality and quantity of

**6. Run the article for plagiarism
(11-11-2024)**

Compose

Inbox140

Unread

Starred

Drafts145

Sent

Archive

Spam

Trash

Less

ViewsHide

Photos

Documents

Subscriptions

Receipts

Credits

Travel

FoldersShow

BackForward

ArchiveMoveDeleteSpam

Mon, Nov 11, 2024 at 2:04 PM

Turnitin No Replyturnitin.com

From: noreply@turnitin.comUnsubscribe

To: cyaharing@yahoo.com

turnitin

Welcome to Turnitin

Hi Dyah Lestari

You have been enrolled in the class, JSSM OCTOBER 2024, by jssm unit.

Ready to get started?

Click below to log in and begin submitting your papers.

Log In Now

PAPER NAME

2023-0271-Dyah Aring Hepiana Lestari_Revised Document.docx

AUTHOR

DAH JSSM

WORD COUNT

8866 Words

CHARACTER COUNT

49356 Characters

PAGE COUNT

32 Pages

FILE SIZE

280.7KB

SUBMISSION DATE

Nov 11, 2024 3:51 PM GMT+7

REPORT DATE

Nov 11, 2024 3:52 PM GMT+7

● 19% Overall Similarity

The combined total of all matches, including overlapping sources, for each database.

- 17% Internet database
- 14% Publications database
- Crossref database
- Crossref Posted Content database

● Excluded from Similarity Report

- Bibliographic material
- Cited material

4 DETERMINANT OF HOUSEHOLD FOOD CONSUMPTION DIVERSITY IN LAMPUNG PROVINCE USING THE 2019 NATIONAL SOCIAL ECONOMIC SURVEY DATA

Abstract:

Diversifying food consumption was intended to reduce rice consumption while modifying food consumption patterns to become more diversified and healthier to gain excellent human resources. The goal of this study was to discover (1) household expenditure patterns and welfare levels, (2) household food consumption diversity, and (3) factors influencing household food consumption diversity. This analysis drew on secondary data from the 2019 National Social Economic Survey (NSES). The size of household samples utilized in this study was 9,046. Quantitative descriptive analysis was used to examine the household expenditure pattern and the welfare level. Desirable Dietary Pattern (DDP) approach was utilized to examine food consumption diversity. The multiple regression method was employed to determine the factors influencing food consumption diversity. The results indicated that the level of household welfare in Lampung Province is categorized as pre-prosperous household because the food share is 57.15%. The DDP score of the Lampung Province household was 75.44. This means that the diversity of household food consumption in Lampung Province was not ideal. Household income, age of the head of the household, mother's education, and gender of the head of the household had a positive effect to household food consumption diversity, while the number of household members and the level of welfare harmed the household food consumption diversity.

Keywords: Consumption, Diversification, Desirable Dietary Pattern

● 19% Overall Similarity

Top sources found in the following databases:

- 17% Internet database
- 14% Publications database
- Crossref database
- Crossref Posted Content database

TOP SOURCES

The sources with the highest number of matches within the submission. Overlapping sources will not be displayed.

1	ejournal2.undip.ac.id Internet	6%
2	scholar.ui.ac.id Internet	2%
3	online-journal.unja.ac.id Internet	<1%
4	pasca.unila.ac.id Internet	<1%
5	jurnal.untagsmg.ac.id Internet	<1%
6	atlantis-press.com Internet	<1%
7	L Damayanti, Y Kalaba, Herman, Erny, H Sultan. "Improvement of desir... Crossref	<1%
8	ijefm.co.in Internet	<1%
9	ojs.uho.ac.id Internet	<1%

10	doczz.net Internet	<1%
11	mdpi.com Internet	<1%
12	journal.unnes.ac.id Internet	<1%
13	Hurriyati Ratih, Tjahjono Benny, GafarAbdullah Ade, Sulastri, Lisnawati.... Publication	<1%
14	e-journal.iain-palangkaraya.ac.id Internet	<1%
15	Agustina Agustina, Rosmawaty Rosmawaty, Wa Ode Yusria. "Analysis ... Crossref	<1%
16	Zuhud Rozaki, Hermanto Siregar, Ikhsan Adi Pratama, Eni Istiyanti. "Fo... Crossref	<1%
17	Atsushi Maki. "Poverty, Inequality, and Growth in Developing Countries ... Publication	<1%
18	eduvest.greenvest.co.id Internet	<1%
19	macau.uni-kiel.de Internet	<1%
20	repository.unmul.ac.id Internet	<1%
21	Abdikarim Abdullahi, Lai Wei Sieng. "The effect of infrastructure devel... Crossref	<1%

7. Paper accepted (05-12-2024)

Compose

Inbox14K

Unread

Starred

Drafts146

Sent

Archive

Spam

Trash

^ Less

ViewsHide

Photos

Documents

Subscriptions

Receipts

Credits

Travel

FoldersShow

← Back

↶ ↷

Archive

Move

Delete

Spam

...

Journal of Sustainability Science and Management - Decision on Manuscript ID JSUSM-2023-0271.R2Yahoo/Inbox★

N

Mhd Ikhwanuddin

From: onbehalfof@manuscriptcentral.com

To: dyaharing@yahoo.com

Cc: aaziz@umt.edu.my

Fri, Dec 6, 2024 at 10:04 AM★

05-Dec-2024

Dear Dr. Lestari:

It is a pleasure to accept your manuscript entitled "DETERMINANT OF HOUSEHOLD FOOD CONSUMPTION DIVERSITY IN LAMPUNG PROVINCE USING THE 2019 SUSENAS DATA" in its current form for publication in the Journal of Sustainability Science and Management. The comments of the reviewer(s) who reviewed your manuscript are included at the foot of this letter.

*Changes cannot be made after the article has been accepted for publication.

Thank you for your fine contribution. On behalf of the Editors of the Journal of Sustainability Science and Management, we look forward to your continued contributions to the journal.

Sincerely,
Prof. Mhd Ikhwanuddin
Editor-in-Chief, Journal of Sustainability Science and Management
ikhwanuddin@umt.edu.my
JSSM is Web of Science (Zoological Record) and Scopus indexed journal

Associate Editor Comments to Author:

Associate Editor
Comments to the Author:
i have no issues with the current form of the manuscript

8. Submitted copyright (05-12-2024)

Compose

Inbox1.4K

Unread

Starred

Drafts1.2K

Sent

Archive

Spam

Trash

Less

ViewsHide

Photos

Documents

Subscriptions

Recent

← Back

↶

↷

→

Archive

Move

Delete

Spam

...

Please Submit Copyright Form for JSUSM-2023-0271.R23

Journal of Sustainability Science and Management

From: onbehalf@manuscriptcentral.com

To: dyaharing@yahoo.com

Yahoo/Sent★

Fri, Dec 5, 2024 at 10:04 AM★

05-Dec-2024

Dear Dr. Dyah Lestari,

Please submit the copyright form for your recently accepted manuscript, JSUSM-2023-0271.R2, to Journal of Sustainability Science and Management as soon as possible. You can use the link below to go directly to your Journal of Sustainability Science and Management Author Center to download the form and re-upload it.

https://mc04.manuscriptcentral.com/jsusm?URL_MASK=2d5cb88911164e03899d3866282293e

Sincerely,
Nurul Ain Mohd Noor
Journal of Sustainability Science and Management Editorial Office
JSSM is Web of Science (Zoological Record) and Scopus indexed journal
jssm@umt.edu.my

Journal of Sustainability Science and Management

Copyright Form

THIS AGREEMENT is made

BETWEEN

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA TERENGGANU, a public university established under the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 [Act 30], whose address is at 21030 Kuala Nerus, Terengganu (hereinafter referred to as the "UMT") of the one part

AND Authors

Lestari, Dyah Aring Hepiana; Sayekti, Wuryaningsih Dwi; Prasmatiwi, Fembriarti Erry ; Nugrahanti, Desmarita

(hereinafter referred to as the "Authors")

of the manuscript with the manuscript No. JSUSM-2023-0271.R2

entitled DETERMINANT OF HOUSEHOLD FOOD CONSUMPTION DIVERSITY IN LAMPUNG PROVINCE USING THE 2019 SUSENAS DATA

submitted originally on 24-May-2023

WHEREAS:

A. The Authors have written and submitted an original article entitled DETERMINANT OF HOUSEHOLD FOOD CONSUMPTION DIVERSITY IN LAMPUNG PROVINCE USING THE 2019 SUSENAS DATA (hereinafter referred to as the "Article") to UMT for publication.

B. In consideration of UMT's agreement to publish the Article in any reputable journals selected by UMT as deemed appropriate and beneficial for UMT and the Authors, the Authors agreed to assign the copyright of the Article to UMT throughout the full duration of the copyright and the renewal thereof.

NOW, IT IS HEREBY AGREED as follows:

COPYRIGHT

1. (a) Subject to the conditions hereinafter expressed, the Authors hereby grant to UMT during the legal term of the copyright, the sole and exclusive right of publication of the Article in the manner and form as UMT deems fit in any countries.

(b) The Authors shall reserve their right to any invention revealed in the Article worthy of patent protection.

(c) The Authors shall reserve their right to use part or whole of the Article in any dissertation for non-profit distributions.

(d) The Authors shall reserve their right to make copies for the whole or part of the Article for the purpose of teaching and lectures.

2. UMT may assign its interest in the copyright of the Article hereby granted by the Authors to any such persons, company or firm as UMT deems fit, suitable and appropriate to publish the Article (hereinafter referred to as the "Publisher").

AUTHORSHIP

3. UMT shall ensure the Publisher publish the Article in the name of the Authors.

WARRANTIES

4. The Authors warrant to UMT that the Article is an original work and that it is in no way whatever a violation of any existing copyright and that it contains nothing obscene, indecent or libelous or blasphemous and will indemnify UMT against any loss injury or damage including any legal costs or expenses properly incurred occasioned to or incurred by UMT in consequence to any breach of this warranty.

5. So long as this Agreement is subsisting and operative, the Authors shall not make any assignment of the right to print and publish the Article or grant any interest in the copyright of the Article by way of license to print and publish the Article or any part thereof or any abridgement or expansion thereof to any other party without the consent in writing of UMT.

EFFECTIVE DATE

6. All the conditions and warranties in this agreement shall bind all conduct of the parties effectively from now on.

OTHER LEGAL MATTERS

7. Any notice or request in writing required to be served under this Agreement on UMT shall be sufficiently served if it is sent by hand or ordinary post to UMT at its registered office and any such notice or request in writing shall be sufficiently served on the Authors if it is sent by hand or ordinary post to him at their address abovementioned or to such other address as may be notified from time to time by the Authors to UMT.

8. In this agreement, the expression "Authors" shall where the context admits include their personal representatives or other the person or persons in whom the rights affected by this Agreement shall for the time being be vested and the expression "UMT" shall where the context admits include the person or persons in whom the rights hereby given to UMT shall for the time being be vested and the rights and obligations under this Agreement belonging to and binding upon the Authors and UMT respectively shall belong to and be binding upon the personal representatives and assigns of the Authors and of UMT respectively.

GOVERNING LAW

9. This Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of Malaysia in every particular including formation and interpretation and is, or otherwise shall be deemed to have been made.

STAMPING

10. The cost of stamping this Agreement shall be borne by UMT.

Signed by the DEPUTY VICE CHANCELLOR (ACADEMIC & INTERNATIONAL) For and behalf of UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA TERENGGANU

Signed by the Author (by typing your name and initials below, you agree to all of the terms and conditions listed above.)

req Name Dyah Aring Hepiana Lestari

req In the
presence of Wuryaningsih Dwi Sayekti
(name)

req Date 06-Dec-2024

This completed statement must be received before the manuscript can be processed for publication. If there are problems obtaining any electronic signatures, please contact the Editorial Office.

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA TERENGGANU

a public university established under the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 [Act

30]

21030 Kuala Nerus, Terengganu

9. Author payment (10-03-2025)

Reference No : UMT/PTN/400-0/8 Jld. 2 (6)

Date : 10th March 2025

Dear (name of corresponding author),

REQUEST PAYMENT FOR ARTICLE JOURNAL OF SUSTAINABILITY SCIENCE AND MANAGEMENT (JSSM) VOLUME 20 NUMBER 5, MAY 2025

Refer to the above matter.

2. The editorial team from the Journal of Sustainability Science and Management (JSSM) would like to inform you that your paper is selected to be published in JSSM Volume 20 Number 5, May 2025 issue. We would like to congratulate you and your team of researchers on this achievement.

3. Kindly make the payment of **USD300** for the administration and publishing fees. This letter is to formally notify you that the payment should be made to the university within the due date given. Kindly submit this amount to the account details as provided below:

Recipient	UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA TERENGGANU
Bank	CIMB Islamic Bank Berhad
Account Number	86-0175174-2
Bank's Address	Branch: Jalan Sultan Ismail, Kuala Terengganu Lot 3083, Jalan Sultan Ismail 20200 Kuala Terengganu, Terengganu
Swift Code	CTBBMYKL
Bank Id	END4001JLD22

4. Thank you for attending to this matter and once again thank you for your continuous support to this journal.

Yours sincerely,



(ASSOC. PROF. DR. CHE HASNIZA CHE NOH)

Director

Penerbit UMT

Universiti Malaysia Terengganu

Terengganu Darul Iman

☎ 09-668 5190

✉ niza@umt.edu.my



UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA TERENGGANU (UMT)
 21030 Kuala Terengganu
 Terengganu
 Tel: +609-6684111/4136 Faks: +609-6684217
 PEJABAT BENDAHARI

TARIKH : 27/03/2025
NO. RESIT : 010200003097
ID PENGGUNA : W.FATHIAH
KOD PELANGGAN :
NO. INVOIS :

RESIT

DITERIMA DARIPADA

DYAH ARING HEPIANA LESTARI

NO. KP / NO. PENDAFTARAN SYARIKAT

ALAMAT

UNIVERSITY OF LAMPUNG
 INDONESIA

UNTUK BAYARAN

YURAN PENERBITAN ARTIKEL JSSM VOL.20 NO.5/2025

RM

1,304.76

RINGGIT MALAYSIA

SATU RIBU TIGA RATUS EMPAT RINGGIT TUJUH PULUH ENAM SEN SAHAJA

CARA BAYARAN / RUJUKAN

EFT / 2025031900001890

* Jika pembayaran melalui cek, resit ini dianggap sah hanya setelah cek ditunaikan

* Resit ini dijana oleh komputer. Tandatangan tidak diperlukan

10. Article published (15-05-2025) - Uncorrectedproof

**Journal of Sustainability Science and Management**

From: jssm@umt.edu.my

To: Dyah Aring Hepiana Lestari



Wed, Jun 11 at 8:55 PM



Greetings.

Dear author,

Attached is the galley proof for your review. If there are any amendments to the galley proof, please comment and return them to us at the latest on 14 June 2025 (Saturday) before 5.00 pm with the corrections.

*The galley proof submitted after this deadline will not be considered and we will proceed with the publication of your article if no response is received.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Admin Editor
Journal of Sustainability Science and Management (JSSM)
Penerbit UMT
Universiti Malaysia Terengganu
21030 Kuala Nerus
Terengganu Darul Iman
Malaysia

Universiti Malaysia Terengganu (UMT) began in 1979 as the Fisheries and Marine Science Centre under Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (UPM). In 1996, it was upgraded to a UPM branch campus before evolving into the University College of Terengganu (KUT) in 1999. It was later rebranded as the University College of Science and Technology Malaysia (KUSTEM) in 2001 and finally attained full university status as UMT in 2007.

Today, UMT is renowned for its research in marine sciences, biodiversity, and marine biotechnology and also offers programmes in maritime studies, business studies, and social sciences. It has gained international recognition, including being ranked among the **top 200 universities in Asia** according to the QS Asian University Rankings. Strategically located along the South China Sea, UMT continues to grow in line with the nation's vision, strengthening its role in academia, research, and societal advancement.

PENAFIAN: Maklumat di dalam e-mel ini dan apa-apa fail yang dikepitkan bersamanya adalah atau mungkin mengandungi maklumat yang sulit. Jika anda sepatutnya bukan merupakan penerima e-mel ini, sebarang penggunaan, penyebaran, pergantungan, mengulang hantar, mencetak, atau menyalin e-mel ini atau apa-apa fail yang dikepitkan bersamanya adalah tidak dibenarkan. E-mel ini adalah tertakluk kepada hakcipta. Tiada bahagian daripadanya boleh diterbitkan semula, disesuaikan atau diebarkan tanpa mendapat kebenaran bertulis pemilik hakcipta. Jika anda telah menerima e-mel ini kerana kesilapan, sila maklumkan penghantar e-mel ini dengan segera dengan membalas e-mel ini atau melalui telefon dan memadamkan semua salinannya. Pendapat, rumusan dan sebarang maklumat lain di dalam e-mel ini yang tidak berkait dengan urusan rasmi Universiti Malaysia Terengganu (UMT) hendaklah difahami sebagai bukan dikeluarkan atau diperakui oleh mana-mana pihak yang disebut.

DISCLAIMER: The information contained in this email message and any accompanying files is or may be confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, any use, dissemination, reliance, forwarding, printing or copying of this email or any attached files is unauthorized. This email is subject to copyright. No part of it should be reproduced, adapted or communicated without the written consent of the copyright owner. If you have received this email in error please advise the sender immediately by return e-mail or telephone and delete all copies. Opinions, conclusion and other information in this email that do not relate to the official business of Universiti Malaysia Terengganu (UMT) shall be understood as neither given nor endorsed by any of the aforementioned.



JSSM V20 N... .pdf

1.1 MB



Reply, Reply All or Forward

Send



DETERMINANT OF HOUSEHOLD FOOD CONSUMPTION DIVERSITY IN LAMPUNG PROVINCE USING THE 2019 SUSENAS DATA

DYAH ARING HEPIANA LESTARI*, WURYANINGSIH DWI SAYEKTI, FEMBRIARTI ERRY PRASMATIWI AND DESMARITA NUGRAHANTI

Department of Agribusiness, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Lampung, Jl. Sumantri Brojonegoro No. 1, 35145 Lampung, Indonesia.

*Corresponding author: dyaharing@yahoo.com

<http://doi.org/10.46754/jssm.2025.05.012>

Submitted: 24 May 2023

Revised: 18 November 2024

Accepted: 5 December 2024

Published: 15 May 2025

Abstract: Diversifying food consumption was intended to reduce rice consumption while modifying food consumption patterns to become more diversified and healthier to gain excellent Human Resources (HR). The goal of this study was to discover (1) household expenditure patterns and welfare levels, (2) household food consumption diversity, and (3) factors influencing household food consumption diversity. This analysis drew on secondary data from the 2019 National Social Economic Survey (NSES). The size of household samples utilised in this study was 9,046. Quantitative descriptive analysis examined the household expenditure pattern and the welfare level. The Desirable Dietary Pattern (DDP) approach examined food consumption diversity. Multiple regression was employed to determine the factors influencing food consumption diversity. The results indicated that the level of household welfare in Lampung Province is categorised as a pre-prosperous household because the food share is 57.15%. The DDP score of the Lampung Province household was 75.44. This means that the diversity of household food consumption in Lampung Province was not ideal. Household income, the age of the head of the household, the mother's education, and the gender of the head of the household had a positive effect on household food consumption diversity. In contrast, the number of household members and the level of welfare harmed the diversity of household food consumption.

Keywords: Consumption, diversification, desirable dietary pattern.

Introduction

Lampung Province is one of the provinces with an abundance of food, producing rice, corn, cassava, and other forms of food. According to the Food Security Agency, the food availability index in Lampung Province in 2019 was 99.89 (Badan Ketahanan Pangan, 2020). This index's rating scale ranges from 0 to 100. So, that high score indicates that food availability in Lampung Province is excellent. However, this does not eliminate food issues in Lampung Province. Lampung Province's dietary problem is that its energy consumption in 2018 to 2019, namely 2,082 kcal and 2,051 kcal is still below the national average (2,112 kcal) and does not comply with the recommendation of Minister of Health Regulation No. 28 of 2019, namely 2,100 kcal (Badan Ketahanan Pangan, 2020). In addition, the regional medium-term development plan's target for the diversity of

food consumption has not yet been met (Sayekti *et al.*, 2020a). This certainly will disrupt the stability of food security.

According to Badan Ketahanan Pangan (2020), the food consumption utilisation index in Lampung Province is still inadequate (52.67). This is evident from the index value, which is still lower than that of the Riau Islands Province (78.17) and the Bangka Belitung Islands (70.56). This value is also the lowest among the other food security indices, namely the food availability and affordability indexes. Low food utilisation rates can result in poor health. There has been an increase in cases of malnutrition in the region (Dito & Prayitno, 2019) as a result of the poor condition of health, which makes people susceptible to disease. Therefore, intervention is required to combat the low food utilisation.

11. Journal Published

DETERMINANT OF HOUSEHOLD FOOD CONSUMPTION DIVERSITY IN LAMPUNG PROVINCE USING THE 2019 SUSENAS DATA

DYAH ARING HEPIANA LESTARI*, WURYANINGSIH DWI SAYEKTI, FEMBRIARTI ERRY PRASMATIWI AND DESMARITA NUGRAHANTI

Department of Agribusiness, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Lampung, Jl. Sumantri Brojonegoro No. 1, 35145 Lampung, Indonesia.

*Corresponding author: dyaharing@yahoo.com

<http://doi.org/10.46754/jssm.2025.05.012>

Submitted: 24 May 2023

Revised: 18 November 2024

Accepted: 5 December 2024

Published: 15 May 2025

Abstract: Diversifying food consumption was intended to reduce rice consumption while modifying food consumption patterns to become more diversified and healthier to gain excellent Human Resources (HR). The goal of this study was to discover (1) household expenditure patterns and welfare levels, (2) household food consumption diversity, and (3) factors influencing household food consumption diversity. This analysis drew on secondary data from the 2019 National Social Economic Survey (NSES). The size of household samples utilised in this study was 9,046. Quantitative descriptive analysis examined the household expenditure pattern and the welfare level. The Desirable Dietary Pattern (DDP) approach examined food consumption diversity. Multiple regression was employed to determine the factors influencing food consumption diversity. The results indicated that the level of household welfare in Lampung Province is categorised as a pre-prosperous household because the food share is 57.15%. The DDP score of the Lampung Province household was 75.44. This means that the diversity of household food consumption in Lampung Province was not ideal. Household income, the age of the head of the household, the mother's education, and the gender of the head of the household had a positive effect on household food consumption diversity. In contrast, the number of household members and the level of welfare harmed the diversity of household food consumption.

Keywords: Consumption, diversification, desirable dietary pattern.

Introduction

Lampung Province is one of the provinces with an abundance of food, producing rice, corn, cassava, and other forms of food. According to the Food Security Agency, the food availability index in Lampung Province in 2019 was 99.89 (Badan Ketahanan Pangan, 2020). This index's rating scale ranges from 0 to 100. So, that high score indicates that food availability in Lampung Province is excellent. However, this does not eliminate food issues in Lampung Province. Lampung Province's dietary problem is that its energy consumption in 2018 to 2019, namely 2,082 kcal and 2,051 kcal is still below the national average (2,112 kcal) and does not comply with the recommendation of Minister of Health Regulation No. 28 of 2019, namely 2,100 kcal (Badan Ketahanan Pangan, 2020). In addition, the regional medium-term development plan's target for the diversity of

food consumption has not yet been met (Sayekti *et al.*, 2020a). This certainly will disrupt the stability of food security.

According to Badan Ketahanan Pangan (2020), the food consumption utilisation index in Lampung Province is still inadequate (52.67). This is evident from the index value, which is still lower than that of the Riau Islands Province (78.17) and the Bangka Belitung Islands (70.56). This value is also the lowest among the other food security indices, namely the food availability and affordability indexes. Low food utilisation rates can result in poor health. There has been an increase in cases of malnutrition in the region (Dito & Prayitno, 2019) as a result of the poor condition of health, which makes people susceptible to disease. Therefore, intervention is required to combat the low food utilisation.

Diversifying food consumption is one strategy for resolving this issue.

Food consumption diversity plays a crucial role in enhancing nutrition and producing healthy individuals. In addition, implementing food consumption diversity aims to decrease rice consumption and alter food consumption patterns to be more diverse and nutritious. This is because no single diet type contains all nutrients. According to Labadarios *et al.* (2011), the greater the number of food groups ingested, the greater the likelihood that the nutrients consumed will be met. Moreover, according to Taruvinga *et al.* (2013) and Parappurathu *et al.* (2015), the consumption of diverse and nutritionally balanced cuisine has a positive effect on the quality of life of Human Resources (HR) and improves the standard of living.

The Desirable Dietary Pattern (DDP) measures the variety of foods consumed. A diversified dietary pattern will affect the community's health and food security. According to Jones *et al.* (2014) and Kumar *et al.* (2016), the greater the variety of foods ingested, the greater the improvement in nutrient intake. In addition, the diversity of people's dietary habits will reduce their reliance on particular commodities.

The diversity of food consumption, which is a manifestation of food patterns is influenced by various factors. From various studies, various variables that influence food consumption and food consumption diversity can be identified. These variables are household income variables (Taruvinga *et al.*, 2013; Alexandri & Kevorchian, 2015; Rinaldi *et al.*, 2017; Firdaus & Cahyono, 2017; Argandi *et al.*, 2019; Iftikhar *et al.*, 2020; Sayekti *et al.*, 2020a), number of household members (Workicho *et al.*, 2016; Miranti & Syaikat, 2016; Miranti, 2017; Firdaus & Cahyono, 2017; Argandi *et al.*, 2019; Sayekti *et al.*, 2020b), housewife's education (Taruvinga *et al.*, 2013; Alexandri *et al.*, 2015; Workicho *et al.*, 2016; Firdaus & Cahyono, 2017; Argandi *et al.*, 2019; Iftikhar *et al.*, 2020; Singh *et al.*, 2020), education of the household's head (Alexandri *et al.*, 2015; Miranti & Syaikat, 2016; Firdaus & Cahyono, 2017; Miranti, 2017; Iftikhar *et al.*,

2020), and age of the household's head (Firdaus & Cahyono, 2017; Iftikhar *et al.*, 2020).

In addition, food expenditure affects the diversity and or pattern of food consumption (Firdaus & Cahyono, 2017; Rinaldi *et al.*, 2017). Other studies have also found that non-food expenditure significantly affects consumption patterns and/or food diversity (Liu *et al.*, 2014; Mahmudiono *et al.*, 2017). Food expenditure/ food share can be used to indicate household welfare (Sintha, 2019). According to Li (2009), diverse diets also increase consumer welfare because greater variety increases the likelihood of matching consumer preferences. The next variable that influences consumption and/or food consumption diversity is the sex of the head of the household (Codjoe *et al.*, 2016; Misker *et al.*, 2016; Workicho *et al.*, 2016; Cordero-ahiman *et al.*, 2021) and the type of residential area (Alexandri & Kevorchian, 2015; Miranti, 2017; Qineti *et al.*, 2017).

According to research conducted in Germany by Thiele and Weiss (2003), the diversity of food consumption is influenced by household size, age, gender of the head of the household, employment status of the head of the household, and level of education. In addition, research conducted in Romania by Alexandri *et al.* (2015) discovered that household income, the level of education of the head of household, the number of household members, and the location of domicile significantly impacted the diversity of food consumption. According to research conducted by Zhang *et al.* (2017) in Southwest China, the diversity of household consumption is influenced by the gender, age, education, and income of the household's head. Moreover, research by Ochieng *et al.* (2017) in Tanzania indicates that the education of the household head, food and nutrition training, and the size of the agricultural land are significant determinants of the diversity of food consumption.

Based on the findings of these studies, it appears that the factors that influence the diversity of food consumption vary. It is likely that regional behaviour differs. According to Sayekti *et al.* (2020c) and Seda *et al.* (2021),

consumption patterns and food preferences are influenced by behaviour. Consequently, it is necessary to identify food consumption patterns in a region at the regional or provincial level that are more closely related. However, research on household consumption diversity and patterns has never been conducted in Lampung Province.

This study's objectives are to analyse the pattern of household expenditures, household welfare, and household food consumption diversity and determine factors contributing to household food consumption diversity in Lampung Province. It is anticipated that identifying determinants of food consumption diversification can be used as a basis for formulating policies that will increase the quality of HR.

Materials and Methods

Data, Sample, and Model Research

This research was a study that used secondary data in the form of cross-section data. Secondary data was raw data obtained from the Central Bureau of Statistics Republic of Lampung Province based on the 2019 National Social Economic Survey (NSES) results. Initially, the raw data for this study were 9,653 households. After the outlier test (Ghozali, 2016), there were 607 data outliers, so, the data analysed were 9,046 households.

This investigation utilised descriptive quantitative and verification data analysis. In this study, quantitative descriptive analysis employed a tabular summary of numbers to characterise household expenditure patterns, welfare level, and household food consumption diversity in Lampung Province.

Household expenditures were the costs that households incurred to satisfy their consumption needs. There were two categories of household expenditures: Food expenditures and non-food expenditures. The pattern of household food expenditures could characterise the behaviour of household groups as a whole. The type and quantity of food ingested could be determined from the description of this behaviour.

Consequently, the percentage of household food expenditures/food share was used to determine the pattern of food consumption expenditures. Total food expenditures were divided by total household expenditures multiplied by 100%, yielding the food share.

Using the DDP score, food consumption diversity was measured. Law no. 18 of 2012 defines DDP as the composition of food according to nine food groups based on the contribution of energy that meets nutritional requirements in terms of quantity, quality, and diversity while considering social, economic, cultural, religious, and gastronomic considerations. Table 1 demonstrated that the DDP score was determined by multiplying the energy contribution of the nine food categories by their respective weights.

Verification analysis was used to identify the determinants of food consumption diversity using the multiple regression model using the Ordinary Least Square (OLS) method. In this analysis model, classical assumption tests were carried out, including multicollinearity tests, heteroscedasticity tests, and statistical criteria tests [Coefficient of Determination (R^2), F-statistics, and t-statistics].

Research variables included household income, number of household members, age of head of household, level of education of head of household and housewife, type of area, gender of head of household, and level of household welfare. Household income was the quantity of money received by the household in question after total monthly expenditures (IDR/month) were deducted. The area type was a dummy variable (1 for urban and 0 for rural), the same as the gender of the head of the household (1 = male; 0 = female).

The pattern of household expenditures may also serve as an indicator of household well-being. The more prosperous the household, according to Engel's Law, the smaller the proportion of spending on food consumption. Based on the percentage of food expenditure, the level of household welfare in this study was divided into three categories: Prosperous, pre-prosperous, and not yet prosperous. Pre-

prosperous households have food expenditure percentages in the range of 50% to 60% while not-yet-prosperous households have food expenditure percentages greater than 60%. Consequently, the classification of welfare level was utilised as a dummy variable for welfare level 1 (1 = pre-prosperous households; 0 = others) and welfare level 2 (1 = prosperous households; 0 = others). This investigation makes use of the following model:

$$DDP = \alpha + b_1X_1 + b_2X_2 + b_3X_3 + b_4X_4 + b_5X_5 + d_1DA + d_2DG + d_3DW1 + d_4DW2$$

DDP : Desirable Dietary Pattern score of households.

X_1 : Household income (IDR/month).

X_2 : Number of household members (person).

X_3 : Education level of the household's head (year).

X_4 : Education level of housewife (year).

X_5 : Age of the household's head (year).

D_A : Dummy variable for area type ($D_A = 1$ for urban and $D_A = 0$ for rural).

D_G : Dummy variable for the gender of the household's head ($D_G = 1$ for female and $D_G = 0$ for male).

D_{w1} : Dummy variable for welfare level 1 ($D_{w1} = 1$ for pre-prosperous households and $D_{w1} = 0$ for others).

D_{w2} : Dummy variable for welfare level 2 ($D_{w2} = 1$ for prosperous households and $D_{w2} = 0$ for others).

Results and Discussion

Household Characteristics in Lampung Province

This study's sample of 9,046 households included 6,950 households from rural areas and 2,096 from urban areas. According to the 2019 NSES, most household heads in Lampung Province were men (89.51%). Moreover, according to Table 2, the plurality of household heads was between the ages of 35 and 46 (27.81%). The majority of Lampung Province's household heads were of productive age (89.91%). The productive age is between the ages of 15 and 65 when a person can still work (BPS, 2020). In rural areas, the average age of the head of household was 49 years old, whereas in urban areas, the average age of the head of household was 46 years old.

Table 1: DDP composition as a reference instrument for planning and evaluation

No.	Food Group	Gramme	Recommended Energy Distribution Value (kcal/day)	Percentage Energy Adequacy Rate (EAR) Normative (%)	Weight	Max DDP Score
1	Grains	289	1,050	50	0.5	25.0
2	Tubers	105	126	6	0.5	2.5
3	Animal-derived food	157	252	12	2.0	24.0
4	Oil and fat	21	210	10	0.5	5.0
5	Oily fruit and seeds	11	63	3	0.5	1.0
6	Nuts	35	105	5	2.0	10.0
7	Sugar	37	105	5	0.5	2.5
8	Vegetable and fruit	262	126	6	5.0	30.0
9	Others	0	63	3	0.0	0.0
Total			2,100	100		100.0

Source: Badan Ketahanan Pangan (2021)

Most households in Lampung Province have 3 to 4 members (27.81%). In terms of area type, the majority of households in rural and urban areas are the same, namely four people. According to BPS (2020), households in Bandar Lampung City have the highest number of household members, namely 4.21, compared to other areas in Lampung Province. According to Wuryandari (2015), increasing the number of household members can increase food expenditure.

The majority of the education level of household heads in Lampung Province are elementary school graduates (31.65%). Households that have attained nine years of education are 44.80%. This was also determined in the study of Amin *et al.* (2019), which states that the average length of schooling in Lampung Province is still below nine years and below the average length of schooling in Indonesia. Judging from the type of region, the education level of the head of the household in rural areas is elementary school. In contrast, in urban areas, the education level of the head of household is senior high school (Table 2). In addition, the education level of household heads in urban areas is more in line with the nine years compulsory education program (60.59%) compared to household heads in rural areas, which is only around 40.04%. Based on this statement, there is a gap in household education between rural and urban areas. Note that the gap in education levels between regions of residence can be caused by several factors, namely school facilities and the quality of teaching staff (BPS, 2020).

In contrast to the education level of the head of the household, the education level of housewives in Lampung Province is that the majority did not graduate from elementary school or did not attend school (33.45%). Overall, housewives who have reached an average length of schooling of nine years are 39.94%. When viewed based on the type of region, there is no difference in the level of education of housewives in rural and urban. The majority of the education level of housewives in

rural areas (34.35%) and urban areas (30.49%) did not graduate from elementary school or did not attend school.

In addition, housewives whose average length of schooling has reached nine years in urban and rural areas are 50.81% and 36.61%. Based on this description, it is necessary to increase the government's role in increasing the education or knowledge of housewives, considering that education is still low and the important role of education. Education is a basic need for society. According to Article 31, paragraph 1 of the 1945 Constitution, every citizen has the right to education. It is hoped that the higher the level of education, the more advanced people will have insight and thinking patterns. According to Aini *et al.* (2018) and Jacobus *et al.* (2019), the higher the education, the more people can live decent lives and can reduce the increase in household poverty rates.

Household income is the monthly household expenditure (household food and non-food expenditure). This study's results indicate that the average household income in Lampung Province is IDR3,067,112.82/month. Based on the type of area, the average household income in urban areas is greater than in rural areas. The average household income in urban areas is IDR3,848,623.19/month while in rural areas is IDR2,831,422.79/month.

This research also classifies monthly household income into four, namely household income < IDR1,500,000, household income of IDR1,500,000 to IDR2,500,000, household income of IDR2,500,000 to IDR3,500,000, and household income stairs > IDR3,500,000. Based on Table 3, households in Lampung Province are classified as income group 2 (IDR1,500,000 to IDR2,500,000) with an average income of IDR2,003,094.04/month. Judging from the type of area, it turns out that there are differences between households in urban and rural areas. In urban areas, the majority of household income is in group 4 (household income > IDR3,500,000) while in rural areas, it is class 2 (household income of IDR1,500,000 to IDR2,500,000).

Table 2: Distribution of household characteristics in Lampung Province (2019)

Variable	Area Type					
	Frequency Rural	Percentage (%)	Frequency Urban	Percentage (%)	Frequency Rural and Urban	Percentage (%)
Area type	6,950	76.83	2,096	23.17	9,046	100
Gender of the household's head						
Male	6,283	90.40	1,814	86.55	8,097	89.51
Female	667	9.60	282	13.45	949	10.49
Total	6,950	100	2,096	100	9,046	100
Number of the household's members (person)						
1-2	1,422	20.46	391	18.65	1,813	20.04
3-4	4,036	58.07	1,125	53.67	5,161	57.05
> 5	1,492	21.47	580	27.67	2,072	22.91
Total	6,950	100	2,096	100	9,046	100
Age of the household's head (year)						
16-25	132	1.90	43	2.05	175	1.93
26-35	1,089	15.67	308	14.69	1,397	15.44
36-45	1,955	28.13	561	26.77	2,516	27.81
46-55	1,784	25.67	561	26.77	2,345	25.92
56-66	1,290	18.56	410	19.56	1,700	18.79
> 66	700	10.07	213	10.16	913	10.09
Total	6,950	100	2,096	100	9,046	100
Education of the household's head (year)						
NS	1,771	25.48	359	17.13	2,130	23.55
Elementary school	2,396	34.47	467	22.28	2,863	31.65
Junior high school	1,411	20.30	360	17.18	1,771	19.58
Senior high school	1,186	17.06	683	32.59	1,869	20.66
Associate and Bachelor Degree	186	2.68	227	10.83	413	4.57
Total	6,950	100	2,096	100	9,046	100
Education of housewife (year)						
NS	2,387	34.35	637	30.39	3,024	33.43
Elementary school	2,015	28.99	394	18.80	2,409	26.63
Junior high school	1,454	20.92	356	16.98	1,810	20.01
Senior high school	869	12.50	505	24.09	1,374	15.19
Associate and Bachelor Degree	225	3.24	204	9.73	429	4.74
Total	6,950	100	2,096	100	9,046	100

Income of Household (IDR/Month)						
< 1.5 million	1,034	14.88	140	6.68	1,174	12.98
1.5-2.5 million	2,464	35.45	478	22.81	2,942	32.52
2.5-3.5 million	1,710	24.60	511	24.38	2,221	24.55
> 3.5 million	1,742	25.06	967	46.14	2,709	29.95
Total	6,950	100	2,096	100	9,046	100

Source: NSES Data (2019) (processed data)

NS = Not graduating from elementary school or not attending school.

Household income and income per capita in Lampung Province are already above the poverty line (Table 3). According to BPS (2020), the household and per capita poverty lines in Lampung Province in 2019 were IDR1,966,052/month and IDR418,309.00/month. Based on Table 3, there are 2,540 poor households (27.10%). This study's results also show that there are more poor households in rural areas than in urban areas (Table 3).

Household Expenditure Patterns

Household expenditure is spending on goods and services by households to meet the necessities of life. Household expenditure in Lampung Province consists of food and non-food expenditure. The results of this study show

that the average total household expenditure per month in Lampung Province in 2019 was IDR3,067,112.82/month and the total per capita expenditure was IDR911,356.50/month (Table 4). The results of this study also show that the average household food and non-food expenditure per month in Lampung Province in 2019 was IDR1,672,553.66 and IDR1,394,559.17/month, respectively.

It can also be seen that the average household expenditure in rural areas is lower than that in urban areas (Table 4). This is presumably because income in urban areas is greater than in rural areas. According to Abdillah *et al.* (2019), the average per capita income significantly influences food and non-food expenditure in each type of region. Likewise, according

Table 3: Summary of household income in Lampung Province according to the 2019 Poverty Line category (IDR/month)

Category	Average (IDR/Month)	Standard Deviation	Percentage (%)
Urban			
Poor	1,478,887.23	348,657.83	15.08
Not poor	4,269,317.89	1,991,598.06	84.92
Total	3,848,623.19	2,093,769.14	100
Rural			
Poor	1,457,985.04	364,959.55	32
Not poor	3,477,746.44	1,396,268.27	68
Total	2,831,422.79	1,502,011.00	100
Urban and Rural			
Poor	1,460,585.47	362,970.19	27.10
Not poor	3,694,315.33	1,620,307.78	72.90
Total	3,067,112.82	1,712,556.57	100

Source: NSES Data (2019) (processed data).

to research by Wuryandari (2015), socio-demographic, socio-economic, and residential conditions significantly affect the proportion of household expenditure on food, total household expenditure on education, and health.

The average household food share in Lampung Province is 57.15%. In addition, based on the type of region, households in urban areas in Lampung Province have a smaller percentage of food shares compared to rural areas (Table 5). According to Engel’s Law, the lower the percentage of food expenditure (food share), the better the household economy will be. In addition, BPS (2020) states that if the percentage of household food expenditure is below 60%, Lampung Province households are not food-vulnerable.

Food expenditure consists of 14 groups, namely grains, tubers, marine animals (fish, squid, shrimp, shellfish), meat, eggs and milk, vegetables, nuts, fruits, oil and coconut, ingredients for beverages, spices, other foodstuffs, ready-to-drink foods, and cigarettes and tobacco. Based on Table 5, the highest average household food expenditures per month are expenditures for the processed food and beverage group (IDR421,860.14), cigarettes and tobacco expenditure (IDR257,462.64), and grain expenditure (IDR239,376.07). Based on the type of area, the average expenditure for processed food households in urban areas is IDR 197,954.50 more than households in rural areas. The same is also seen in the average expenditure on cigarettes and tobacco in urban households, which is greater than in rural areas. There is a difference in the average spending on grain

commodities in urban areas, which is lower than that of households in rural areas (Table 5).

The highest average household food budget share in Lampung Province in Table 5 is processed food and beverage commodities at 13.44%, followed by grain commodities (9.26%), and cigarette and tobacco commodities (8.25%). The budget share of food groups in households can illustrate how these households allocate their budget for consuming more specific foods in food commodity groups. Note that the percentage of food expenditure for the processed food group in Lampung Province is still low compared to the average in Indonesia (17.29%). However, the percentage of grain expenditure in Lampung Province is greater than the average in Indonesia (5.57%). The government needs to pay attention to the high consumption of grains in Lampung Province.

The same thing is also presented in Table 5, which shows that the percentage of cigarette/tobacco expenditure in Lampung Province is 2.20%, which is greater than Indonesia’s average expenditure percentage. According to Purwaningsih *et al.* (2015), the high percentage of expenditure in the cigarette and tobacco group needs to be watched out for considering the health risks of smoking. Not only is it detrimental to health but smoking habits also have an impact on reducing household expenses in meeting food and education needs (Ginting & Maulana, 2020). In addition, according to BPS Provinsi Lampung (2019), cigarette/tobacco commodity expenditure is the second largest contributor to the poverty line (9.45%). According to Wandita (2020), the factors that

Table 4: Household expenditure in Lampung Province (2019)

Area Type	The Household Expenditure (IDR Million/Month)			Expenditure Per Capita (IDR Million/Month)		
	Food	Non-food	Total	Food	Non-food	Total
Rural	1.58	1.24	2.83	0.47	0.38	0.85
Urban	1.95	1.89	3.84	0.55	0.55	1.10
Province	1.67	1.39	3.06	0.49	0.42	0.91

Source: NSES Data (2019) (processed data)

influence cigarette consumption in households in Lampung Province are the price of cigarettes, household income category, and the education level of the head of the household.

Households in urban areas have a larger budget share of processed food and beverage expenditure per month (1.81%) compared to rural areas (Table 5). This is in line with the research of Miranti *et al.* (2016) in West Java and Mayasari *et al.* (2018), in East Java, presenting that households in urban areas consume more prepared food and beverages compared to households in rural areas. The high budget share of the prepared food group in urban areas is suspected to be due to a change in the lifestyle/habits of the community and the busyness of the urban community. Currently, people prefer to gather to eat out and spend a lot of activities or activities outside the home. In addition, many activities outside the home require them to consume prepared food and drinks because they are more practical. An increase in spending on food allocation for processed food commodities can positively impact the processed food and beverage industry.

It can be seen in Table 5 that the next highest expenditure is the expenditure of the grains group. The high average share of the grain group's budget indicates that grain consumption is one of the main priorities in spending on household needs in Lampung Province. In addition, the percentage of grain expenditure in rural areas is higher than in urban areas. This is in line with research by Purwaningsih (2015) and Miranti *et al.* (2016), demonstrating that the proportion of expenditure on grain in rural areas is higher than in urban areas.

The results of this research also determined that the percentage of cigarette/tobacco spending in urban areas is 1.03%, lower than that in rural areas. This was also reported by Miranti *et al.* (2016), which states that the percentage of cigarette/tobacco expenditure in villages is 1.41% greater than in cities.

Non-food expenditure comprises six groups: Housing and household facilities, various goods and services, clothing, footwear and headgear,

durable goods, taxes and insurance, and parties and ceremonial needs. Based on the results in Table 5, the largest household non-food expenditure is housing and household facilities expenditure, IDR736,416.38/month and non-food expenditure per capita in Lampung Province is IDR227,479.86/month. In addition, non-food expenditure, namely the housing group and household facilities in urban areas is greater than in rural areas. Expenditures for housing and household facilities consist of housing costs, home maintenance and repairs, electricity, municipal waterworks, and fuel costs, including telephone, credit, Internet, and so on.

Household Welfare Level

One of the main indicators in describing the level of household welfare is household food consumption expenditure (Puspita & Agustina, 2020). Households with a small proportion of food expenditure (food share) compared to non-food expenditure, it can be assumed that these households are prosperous (Wuryandari, 2015). This is based on Engel's Law, which states that the lower the proportion of food expenditure, the more prosperous the household will be (Kumar *et al.*, 2016). The level of household welfare in this study is classified into prosperous, pre-prosperous, and not yet prosperous households.

Based on this study's results, the household welfare level in Lampung Province is categorised as pre-prosperous because the average percentage of food expenditure is 57.15%. The results also indicated that 2,263 households (44.12%) in Lampung Province were classified as not prosperous households, 2,792 households (30.86%) were pre-prosperous, and 2,263 households (25.02%) were prosperous households. In this study, welfare level was used as a dummy variable for welfare level 1 (1 = pre-prosperous households; 0 = others) and welfare level dummy 2 (1 = prosperous households; 0 = others).

Based on Figure 1, the highest percentage of food consumption expenditure allocation (budget food share) in prosperous, pre-prosperous, and not yet prosperous households

Table 5: Household food and non-food expenditure in Lampung Province (2019)

The Household Expenditure	IDR/Month			Budget Share (%)		
	Rural	Urban	Rural + Urban	Rural	Urban	Rural + Urban
Cereals	245,073.27	220,485.07	239,376.07	10.00	6.81	9.26
Tubers	11,475.48	12,051.44	11,608.94	0.43	0.35	0.41
Fish/shrimp/common squid/shells	122,570.14	175,906.59	134,928.44	4.34	4.57	4.40
Meat	53,390.90	69,686.21	57,166.60	1.70	1.58	1.67
Egg and milk	86,853.44	123,869.47	95,430.22	3.10	3.26	3.14
Vegetables	152,911.72	164,279.59	155,545.71	6.08	5.02	5.83
Legumes	43,106.37	53,169.15	45,437.96	1.73	1.60	1.70
Fruits	59,891.71	90,395.31	66,959.54	2.00	2.33	2.08
Oil and Coconut	53,725.99	56,743.71	54,425.21	2.18	1.76	2.08
Beverages stuffs	61,635.19	59,050.50	61,036.31	2.49	1.82	2.33
Spices	40,089.58	41,545.55	40,426.93	1.56	1.26	1.49
Miscellaneous type of food commodity	29,234.31	36,375.51	30,888.96	1.09	1.03	1.07
Prepared food and beverages	375,993.17	573,947.67	421,860.14	13.02	14.83	13.44
Cigarettes	251,527.03	277,144.17	257,462.64	8.49	7.46	8.25
Total Food Expenditure	1,587,478.30	1,954,649.92	1,672,553.66	58.20	53.68	57.15
Housing and household facilities	649,127.92	1,025,850.92	736,416.38	23.20	26.39	23.94
Goods and services	270,731.98	465,717.10	315,910.93	8.92	10.79	9.35
Clothing, footwear, and headgear	100,942.88	128,327.51	107,290.61	3.34	3.15	3.29
Durable goods	114,523.61	116,768.36	115,039.63	2.82	2.21	2.68
Taxes and insurance	76,131.51	126,686.08	87,835.82	2.58	2.99	2.67
Parties and ceremonies	236,816.46	313,396.58	250,905.99	0.96	0.80	0.92
Total Non-food Expenditure	1,243,944.50	1,893,973.27	1,394,559.17	41.80	46.32	42.85
Total Expenditure	2,831,422.79	3,848,623.19	3,067,112.83	100.00	100.00	100.00

Source: NSES Data (2019) (processed data)

is the processed food and beverage group while the lowest is the tubers group. This study's results also established that the pattern of food consumption in prosperous households is better than that of pre-prosperous and less-prosperous households. As seen in Figure 1, after fulfilling the consumption of the grain group, wealthy households allocate food needs to the protein

group (fish, shrimp, squid, shellfish) and fibre (vegetables) group, in contrast to poor and less prosperous households, which allocate expenditure in the cigarette and tobacco group.

This follows Bennett's law, which states that the more prosperous household income increases, the more consumption patterns will change. It was initially only dominated by staple




Figure 1: Budget food share according to welfare level

foods such as grains to become more varied, such as consuming foods rich in fibre and vitamins (fruits and vegetables) and protein, namely milk and meat (Gevisioner, 2015). Other than that, Hamid *et al.* (2013) stated that households at certain income levels would prioritise food at lower prices such as energy food. If the level of income increases, consumption preferences will change from previously cheap food to high-priced food such as protein food.

Desirable Dietary Pattern Score (Food Consumption Diversity)

The DDP score in this study was obtained from the research results of Sayekti *et al.* (2022). The results of this study show that the DDP score in Lampung Province is 75.44 (Figure 2). This

score is still quite far from the ideal DDP score of 100. The DDP score in Lampung Province is lower when compared to research by Dewanti *et al.* (2020) in Central Java and Musta'in and Saputro (2021) in the Special Region of Yogyakarta. Based on this research, the PPH score in Central Java Province was 89.07, and in Yogyakarta Province, the DDP score was 89.92.

Table 6 presents the food groups with the largest to the smallest energy: Grains, oils and fats, animal-derived foods, vegetables, fruit, sugar, tubers, nuts, and others. It can be seen that the food consumption for the grain, oil, fat, and sugar group exceeds the recommendations while the consumption of tubers, animal-derived foods, vegetables, fruit, nuts and oily fruit and seeds is still not in accordance with




Figure 2: DDP score in Lampung Province in 2019
Source: Sayekti *et al.* (2022)

the recommendations. In addition, Table 6 also shows that all food groups in Lampung Province are still below the ideal rate.

Determinants of Household’s Desirable Dietary Pattern (Food Consumption Diversity)

The DDP score determinant analysis results are described in detail as follows. First, a test

Table 6: DDP score calculation in Lampung Province (2019)

No.	Food Group	Average Consumption							DDP Score
		Energy	Ideal Energy*	EAR (%)	Ideal % EAR*	Weight	EAR (%) x Weight	Ideal Standard DDP	
1	Grains	1,195.45	1,050	55.60	50	0.50	27.80	25.00	23.29
2	Tubers	62.17	126	2.89	6	0.50	1.45	2.50	0.95
3	Animal-derived food	167.17	252	7.78	12	2.00	15.55	24.00	13.73
4	Oil and fats	387.40	210	18.02	10	0.50	9.01	5.00	4.82
5	Oily fruit and seed	17.82	63	0.83	3	0.50	0.41	1.00	0.34
6	Nuts	61.28	105	2.85	5	2.00	5.70	10.00	5.07
7	Sugar	145.26	105	6.76	5	0.50	3.38	2.50	2.23
8	Vegetables and fruits	159.28	126	7.41	6	5.00	37.04	30.00	25.02
9	Others	11.00	63	0.51	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total		2,206.84	2,100	102.64	100	-	100.34	100.00	75.45

Source: Sayekti *et al.* (2022)

for violating the classical assumption of multicollinearity was carried out, as seen in Table 7.

Table 7 indicates no multicollinearity problem in the model because the VIF obtained for all variables is less than 10. Second, a heteroscedasticity test was conducted, as seen in Table 8.

From Table 8, it can be seen that Prob. Chi-Square obtained is less than 0.05. Hence, in this model, there is a problem of heteroscedasticity. It is necessary to improve the model. The results are presented in Table 9.

Table 9 results from the best DDP score determinant analysis because it is free from multicollinearity and heteroscedasticity problems. From the table, it can be seen that the adjusted R^2 obtained is 0.3861. This means that 38.61% of the DDP score variation can be explained by household income, number of household members, age of head of household, education of the household's head, education of

housewife, area, gender of the household head, and level of welfare. Other variables that were not analysed contributed 61.39% to the DDP score variation. Using secondary data with a broad scope in this study causes limited data availability. Therefore, another study is needed that uses models with more complete variables.

From the F-statistic obtained, it can be concluded that household income, number of household members, age of the household head, education of the household head, education of housewife, area, gender of the household head, and level of welfare have a significant effect on the DDP score with a confidence level of 99%. However, the results of the partial test show that household income, number of household members, age of the household head, education of the housewife, gender of the household head, and level of welfare significantly affect the DDP score. In contrast, the education of the household head and area has no significant effect on the DDP score.

Table 7: Multicollinearity test results

Variable	Coefficient Variance	Uncentered VIF	Centred VIF
C	0.492390	39.55919	NA
X1	6.84E-15	6.776805	1.610505
X2	0.008832	10.65610	1.308365
X3	9.75E-05	19.76160	1.366462
X4	0.001231	6.800197	1.814917
X5	0.001262	6.043866	2.023284
D _A	0.077394	1.440718	1.106897
D _G	0.169422	1.427967	1.278161
D _{w1}	0.071102	1.763112	1.218937
D _{w2}	0.103905	2.088341	1.565909

Source: NSES Data (2019) (processed data)

Table 8: Heteroscedasticity test results

F-statistic	13.61070	Prob. F(9,9036)	0.0000
Obs*R-squared	120.9917	Prob. Chi-Square(9)	0.0000
Scaled explained SS	118.2562	Prob. Chi-Square(9)	0.0000

Source: NSES Data (2019) (processed data)

Table 9: The results of the regression analysis determine the DDP score

Variables	Coefficient		Std. Error	t-statistic	Prob.
C	80.38420	***	0.716093	112.2538	0.0000
X ₁ (Household income)	4.89E-06	***	1.07E-07	45.63023	0.0000
X ₂ (Number of household members)	-5.649353	***	0.100073	-56.45228	0.0000
X ₃ (Age of the head's household)	0.051761	***	0.010007	5.172563	0.0000
X ₄ (Education of the head's household)	0.035311	ns	0.037609	0.938880	0.3478
X ₅ (Education of the housewife)	0.102437	***	0.035396	2.894022	0.0038
D _A	0.321476	ns	0.274756	1.170040	0.2420
D _G	2.395239	***	0.417431	5.738054	0.0000
D _{w1}	-2.988287	***	0.263996	-11.31944	0.0000
D _{w2}	-8.955807	***	0.319880	-27.99743	0.0000
R-squared	0.386712				
Adjusted R-squared	0.386101				
S. E. of regresion	10.61107				
F-statistic	633.0763				
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000000				

Source: NSES Data (2019) (processed data)

*** = significant $\alpha = 0.01$; ** = significant $\alpha = 0.05$; * = significant $\alpha = 0.1$; and ns = not significant.

Household income significantly affects the DDP score with a 99% confidence level. The regression coefficient of 4.89E-6 indicates that if household income increases by IDR 1,000,000.00 per month, the DDP score will increase by 4.89. This is in line with research by Taruvinga *et al.* (2013), Aneftasari *et al.* (2016), Rinaldi *et al.* (2017), Alfati (2018), and research by Hutagaol and Sinaga (2022), which states that an increase in income will further increase the variety of food consumed so that it can influence food consumption patterns. In addition, according to Gevisioner *et al.* (2015) and Handayani *et al.* (2019), an increase in income provides a great opportunity to choose and buy various type of food products with better quality and quantity under balanced nutrition provisions.

The number of household members has a significant effect on the DDP score with a 99% confidence level. The regression coefficient

obtained is -5.6494, which means that if the number of members in the household increases by one person, the DDP score will decrease by 5.65. The results of this research follow research by Ismiasih *et al.* (2013), Qineti *et al.* (2017), and Alfati (2018), which shows that the number of household members has a negative effect on the diversity of food consumption.

In addition, according to Dewanti *et al.* (2020), households with more than four members tend to have less chance of achieving a high diversity of food consumption. An increase in the number of household members can contribute to an increased expenditure burden borne by the head of the household, which is getting bigger. Thus, an increasing number of household members without an increase in income can make these households prioritise allocating their income to meet the quantity of food rather than diversifying the food they consume. In addition, households with a larger

number of household members tend to consume only one type of staple food, which is cheap (Hutagaol & Sinaga, 2022).

The age of the head's household has a significant effect on the DDP score with a 99% confidence level. With a regression coefficient of 0.0518, if the age of the household head increases by one year, the DDP score will increase by 0.05. This following the research of Firdaus and Cahyano (2017) in Yogyakarta Province and East Nusa Tenggara Province and research by Dewanti *et al.* (2020) in Central Java Province, which concluded that the age of the head of the family had a significant effect on the diversification of household food consumption. As the age of the household's head increases, experience also increases, including experience in choosing good food for consumption.

The education of the housewife has a significant effect on the DDP score with a 99% confidence level. The regression coefficient of 0.1024 indicates that if a housewife's education increases by one year, the expected food pattern score will increase by 0.10. This follows the research of Rahma *et al.* (2020) and Alfiati (2018), which show that the level of a housewife's education significantly affects the diversity of food consumption. Furthermore, according to Amugsi *et al.* (2016), housewives with a higher level of education than those with basic education are more likely to achieve a more varied diet than housewives who are not educated. Similarly, Hamid *et al.* (2013) stated that the more educated the housewife is the more knowledge and insight the housewife has about nutrition so that when the mother cooks food every day, it is not only based on habits and the concept of being full. The housewife will consider or choose a quality type of food and also pay attention to the nutritional elements contained in the food.

The gender of the head's household significantly affects the DDP score with a 99% confidence level. The regression coefficient obtained is 2.3952. This means that the DDP score of households with female heads of households is 2.39 higher than the DDP scores

of households with male heads of households. The results of this research align with the research of Taruvinga *et al.* (2013) and Dewanti *et al.* (2020), who concluded that female heads of household tend to have a higher diversity of food compared to households with male heads of household.

The welfare level significantly affects the DDP score with a 99% confidence level. The regression coefficients obtained are -2.9883 and -8.9558. This indicates the highest DDP score for less prosperous households (80.38). The PPH score for pre-prosperous households is 77.39. The DDP score for prosperous households is the lowest at 71.42. Hence, the results of this study are not in line with the research by Mayasari *et al.* (2018), which states that households with better welfare will tend to pay attention to the quality and quantity of food consumed by their households compared to households with a low level of welfare. With this difference in results, it is suspected that prosperous households will try to allocate their income for secondary and even tertiary needs, which can increase the percentage of non-food expenditures such as education, health, and other expenses.

The education of the head's household has no significant effect on the DDP score because the confidence level is less than 90%. Nearly 90% of household heads are male. The head of this household is in charge of earning a living, so, the task of organising and providing food for household members is in the hands of the mother. In addition, according to Dewanti *et al.* (2020), education can cause a person to have a wider choice in determining the food he consumes. This results in a person's education level not always aligned with consumption patterns. Therefore, the higher the education level of the head of the household does not determine the higher the DDP score achieved.

The area has no significant effect on the DDP score because the level of confidence obtained is also less than 90%. This demonstrates that DDP scores in rural areas are the same as in urban areas.

Conclusions

The findings of this study suggest that the level of diversity in food consumption among households in Lampung Province was suboptimal, as reflected by the region's DDP score of 75.44. The aforementioned score exhibits considerable deviation from the optimal DDP score of 100. The present study indicates that certain factors positively contribute to household food consumption diversity, including the income level of the household, the age of the household head, the educational attainment of the housewife, and the gender of the household head. Conversely, the number of household members and welfare level negatively impact the diversity of household food consumption.

The findings of this study demonstrate that household characteristics are a key factor in determining food consumption diversification. As such, there is a pressing need to engage in socialisation, promotion, and counselling interventions to foster the consumption of diverse, nutritious, balanced, and safe food to enhance overall food quality. Moreover, a deficient background in education can lead to a deficiency in comprehension and understanding regarding the consumption of high-quality food, thus, necessitating the enhancement of formal and informal educational efforts. This is attributable to the fact that superior education can potentially augment both the financial resources and overall well-being of households.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to sincerely thank the Editorial Board and reviewers of the journal for reviewing and providing comments on the article's content.

Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- Abdillah, J. J., Wiyono, V. H., & Samudro, B. R. (2019). Analisis pola konsumsi dan kemiskinan di Jawa Tengah. *Research Fair Unisri*, 3(1), 132-138.
- Aini, E. N., Isnaini, I., Sukamti, S., & Amalia, L. N. (2018). Pengaruh tingkat pendidikan terhadap tingkat kesejahteraan masyarakat di kelurahan kesatrian Kota Malang. *Technomedia Journal*, 3(1), 58-72. <https://doi.org/10.33050/tmj.v3i1.333>
- Alexandri, C., & Kevorchian, C. (2015). The diversity of food consumption in Romania. *Economics and Rural Development - Research Articles*, 72(1), 243-248. <https://doi.org/10.15835/buasvmcn-hort>
- Alexandri, C., Luca, L., & Kevorchian, C. (2015). Subsistence economy and food security – The case of rural households from subsistence economy and food security – the case of rural households from Romania. *Procedia Economics and Finance*, 22, 672-680. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2212-5671\(15\)00282-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2212-5671(15)00282-8)
- Alfiati, S. (2018). Analisis faktor-faktor yang memengaruhi pola konsumsi pangan rumah tangga. *Journal of Economic, Business and Accounting (COSTING)*, 2(1), 76-83.
- Amin, A. M., Asani, R. N. C. P., Wattimena, C. R. J., & Yuniasih, A. F. (2019). Determinan ketimpangan capaian pendidikan di Indonesia tahun 2017. *Seminar Nasional Official Statistics*, 2019(1), 593-601. <https://doi.org/10.34123/semnasoffstat.v2019i1.212>
- Amugsi, D. A., Lartey, A., Kimani, E., & Mberu, B. U. (2016). Women's participation in household decision-making and higher dietary diversity: Findings from nationally representative data from Ghana. *Journal of Health, Population, and Nutrition*, 35(1), Article 16. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41043-016-0053-1>
- Aneftasari, I. R., Arifin, B., & Indriani, Y. (2016). Determinan pola pangan harapan

- rumah tangga buruh pengasin ikan di Pulau Pasaran. *Jurnal Ilmu Ilmu Agribisnis: Journal of Agribusiness Science*, 4(3), 301-308. <http://digilib.unila.ac.id/22764/>
- Argandi, S., Trimo, L., & Noor, T. I. (2019). Faktor-faktor yang mempengaruhi Pola Pangan Harapan (PPH) di Kabupaten Bandung. *Jurnal Ilmiah Mahasiswa AGROINFO GALUH*, 6(1), 132-143. <https://doi.org/10.16660/j.cnki.1674-098x.2011.32.039>
- Badan Ketahanan Pangan. (2020). Indeks Ketahanan Pangan Indonesia 2019 (Food Security Index of Indonesia 2019). *Food Security Bureau, Republic of Indonesia*. [http://bkp.pertanian.go.id/storage/app/media/Bahan 2020/IKP 2019 FINAL.pdf](http://bkp.pertanian.go.id/storage/app/media/Bahan%2020/IKP%202019%20FINAL.pdf)
- Badan Ketahanan Pangan. (2021). *Direktori Perkembangan Konsumsi Pangan* (Vol. 3). Badan Ketahanan Pangan. <https://ditjenpkh.pertanian.go.id/uploads/download/3e8f561f9e61f478b634605ccf1effb4.pdf>
- BPS. (2019). *Profil kemiskinan provinsi Lampung Maret 2019* (Vol. 21, Issue 1). <https://lampung.bps.go.id/pressrelease/2019/07/15/744/profil-kemiskinan-provinsi-lampung-maret-2019.html>
- BPS. (2020). *Provinsi Lampung dalam angka 2020*. Badan Pusat Statistik Provinsi Lampung. <https://lampung.bps.go.id/publication/2020/04/27/8875e8b18ee22402d6a3f782/provinsi-lampung-dalam-angka-2020.html>
- Codjoe, S. N. A., David, O., & Abu, M. (2016). Urban household characteristics and dietary diversity: An analysis of food security in Accra, Ghana. *Food and Nutrition Bulletin*, 37(2), 202-218. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0379572116631882>
- Cordero-ahiman, O. V., Vanegas, J. L., Franco-crespo, C., & Beltr, P. (2021). *Factors that determine the dietary diversity score in rural households: The case of the Paute River Basin of Azuay Province, Ecuador*.
- Dewanti; Rijanta; and Rofi. (2020). Keragaman konsumsi pangan rumah tangga di provinsi Jawa Tengah. *Jurnal Kawistara*, 10(3), 265-294.
- Dito, M., & Prayitno, G. (2019). Capaian pola pemanfaatan pangan dalam usaha peningkatan ketahanan pangan provinsi jawa barat. *Planning for Urban Region and Environment*, 8(1), 405-414.
- Firdaus and Cahyono. (2017). How food consumption pattern and dietary diversity describe food security: Evidence from Yogyakarta and East Nusa Tenggara. *Jurnal Ekonomi dan Pembangunan*, 25(1), 27-38.
- Gevisioner, Febriamansyah, R., & Tarumun, S. (2015). Kualitas konsumsi pangan di daerah defisit pangan Provinsi Riau. *Jurnal Gizi Pangan*, 10(3), 233-240.
- Ghozali, I. (2016). *Aplikasi analisis multivariete dengan program IBM SPSS 23 (Edisi 8)*. Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponegoro.
- Ginting, I. R., & Maulana, R. (2020). Dampak kebiasaan merokok pada pengeluaran rumah tangga. *Jurnal Kebijakan Kesehatan Indonesia*, 9(2), 77-82. <https://jurnal.ugm.ac.id/jkki/article/view/55879>
- Hamid, Y., Setiawan, B., & Suhartini, S. (2013). Analisis pola konsumsi pangan rumah tangga (Studi kasus di kecamatan tarakan barat Kota Tarakan provinsi Kalimantan Timur). *Agrise, XIII*(3), 1412-1425. <https://agrise.ub.ac.id/index.php/agrise/article/view/104>
- Handayani, M., Sayekti, W. D., & Ismono, R. H. (2019). Pola konsumsi pangan rumah tangga pada desa pelaksana dan bukan pelaksana program Percepatan Penganekaragaman Konsumsi Pangan (P2KP) di Kabupaten Pringsewu. *JIIA*, 7(1), 113-119.
- Hutagaol, Manuntun P., & Sinaga, R. (2022). Pengaruh pendapatan dan harga pangan terhadap diversifikasi pangan di Pulau Jawa. *Scientific Journal If Reflection: Economic, Accounting, Management and Business*, 5(3), 702-715.
- Iftikhar, M., Yasin, M. A., & Ali, R. (2020). Factors affecting the household dietary

- diversity pattern in rural areas of Southern Punjab, Pakistan. *International Transaction Journal of Engineering, Management and Applied Sciences and Technologies*, 11(7), 1-9. <https://doi.org/10.14456/ITJEMAST.2020.124>
- Ismiasih, Hartano, S., Darwanto, H. D., & Mulyo, H. J. (2013). Diversifikasi konsumsi pangan pada tingkat rumah tangga di provinsi daerah istimewa Yogyakarta (Indeks Entropy Didekati dengan Pangsa Pangan). *Jurnal Budidaya Pertanian*, 9(2), 72-78.
- Jacobus, E. H., Kindangen, P., & Walewangko, E. N. (2018). Analisis faktor-faktor yang memengaruhi kemiskinan rumah tangga di Sulawesi Utara. *Jurnal Pembangunan Ekonomi dan Keuangan Daerah*, 19(3), 86-103.
- Jones, A. D., Shrinivas, A., & Bezner-Kerr, R. (2014). Farm production diversity is associated with greater household dietary diversity in Malawi: Findings from nationally representative data. *Food Policy*, 46, 1-12. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodpol.2014.02.001>
- Kumar, A., Saroj, S., Singh, R. K. P., & Jee, S. (2016). Agricultural diversity, dietary diversity and nutritional intake: An evidence on inter-linkages from village level studies in Eastern India. *Agricultural Economics Research Review*, 29(conf.), Article 15. <https://doi.org/10.5958/0974-0279.2016.00030.6>
- Labadarios, D., Mchiza, Z. J., Steyn, P., Gericke, G., Maria, E., Maunder, W., Davids, D., & Parker, W. (2011). Food security in South Africa: A review of national surveys. *Bull World Health Organ*, 89(April), 891-899. <https://doi.org/10.2471/BLT.11.089243>
- Li, N. (2009). *An engel curve for variety: Household food consumption and welfare in India*. <http://eml.berkeley.edu/~webfac/bardhan/li.pdf>
- Liu, J., Shively, G. E., & Binkley, J. K. (2014). Access to variety contributes to dietary diversity in China. *Journal of Food Policy*, 49, 323-331. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodpol.2014.09.007>
- Mahmudiono, T., Nutr, S. S., & Rosenkranz, R. R. (2017). Household dietary diversity and child stunting in East Java, Indonesia. *Asia Pacific Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 26(2), 317-325. <https://doi.org/10.6133/apjcn.012016.01>
- Mayasari, D., Noor, I., & Satria, D. (2018). Analisis pola konsumsi pangan rumah tangga miskin di provinsi Jawa Timur. *Jurnal Ilmu Ekonomi dan Pembangunan*, 18(1), 34-49.
- Miranti, A. (2017). *Pengaruh pendapatan dan harga pangan terhadap tingkat diversifikasi pangan rumah tangga di provinsi Jawa Barat*. Institut Pertanian Bogor.
- Miranti, A., & Syaukat, Y. (2016). Pola konsumsi pangan rumah tangga di Provinsi Jawa Barat. *Jurnal Agro Ekonomi*, 34(1), 67-80.
- Misker, D., Misker, B., & Ayele, G. (2016). Household dietary diversity and associated Open Access factors in Mirab Abaya wereda Southern Ethiopia 2016; community-based cross-sectional study. *Diversity and Equality in Health and Care*, 13, 293-296.
- Ochieng, J., Afari-Sefa, V., Lukumay, P. J., & Dubois, T. (2017). Determinants of dietary diversity and the potential role of men in improving household nutrition in Tanzania. *PLOS ONE*, 12(12), 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0189022>
- Parappurathu, S., Kumar, A., Bantilan, M. C. S., & Joshi, P. K. (2015). Food consumption patterns and dietary diversity in eastern India: Evidence from village level studies (VLS). *Food Security*, 7(5), 1031-1042. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12571-015-0493-2>
- Purwaningsih, Y., Hartono, S., Masyhuri, M., & Mulyo, J. H. (2015). Pola pengeluaran pangan rumah tangga menurut tingkat ketahanan pangan di provinsi Jawa Tengah. *Jurnal Ekonomi Pembangunan: Kajian Masalah Ekonomi dan Pembangunan*, 11(2), Artikel 236. <https://doi.org/10.23917/jep.v11i2.327>

- Puspita, C. D., & Agustina, N. (2020). Pola konsumsi, elastisitas pendapatan, serta variabel-variabel sosial ekonomi yang memengaruhi pengeluaran konsumsi rumah tangga. *Seminar Nasional Official Statistics, 2019*(1), 700-709. <https://doi.org/10.34123/semnasoffstat.v2019i1.46>
- Qinetti, A., Braha, K., Cupak, A., Pokrivčák, J., & Rajčániová, M. (2017). Demand for food diversity in the case of Kosovo. *Economics and Human Ecology, 27*, 261-272.
- Rahma, Rizka M. W., Ilsan, Mais, & Rosada, I. (2020). Analisis diversifikasi konsumsi pangan dalam meningkatkan ketahanan pangan rumah tangga nelayan. *Wiratani, 3*(1), 57-66.
- Rinaldi, Y., Irianto, H., & Rahayu, W. (2017). Pengaruh faktor sosial ekonomi terhadap diversifikasi konsumsi pangan rumah tangga anggota kelompok tani di kecamatan ngunter Kabupaten Sukoharjo. *Paper Knowledge. Toward a Media History of Documents, 5*(3), 441-452.
- Sayekti, W. D., Lestari, D. A. H., & Ismono, R. H. (2020a). Faktor determinan konsumsi pangan lokal rumah tangga di provinsi Lampung. *Pangan, 29*(2), 87-170.
- Sayekti, W. D., Lestari, D. A. H., & Ismono, R. H. (2020b). Tapioca vermicelli consumption in the household around tapioca vermicelli agroindustry in the metro city, of Lampung province. *Journal of Sustainability Science and Management, 15*(2), 45-55.
- Sayekti, W. D., Lestari, D. A. H., & Ismono, R. H. (2020c). The pattern of local cassava-based processed food choice in Lampung Province. *Industria: Jurnal Teknologi dan Manajemen Agroindustri, 9*(1), 25-34. <https://doi.org/10.21776/ub.industria.2020.009.01.4>
- Seda, F. S. S. E., Setyawati, L., Tirta, T., Kurniawan, K. N., & Pebriansyah. (2021). Consumerism index in the context of sustainable development: Pattern of household food consumption. *Journal of Sustainability Science and Management, 16*(4), 285-302. <https://doi.org/10.46754/JSSM.2021.06.021>
- Sintha. (2019). Tingkat kesejahteraan ruma tangga nelayan di kelurahan bereng bengkel kecamatan Sabangau Kota Palangka Raya. *J-SEA Journal Socio Economics Agricultural, 14*(2), 57-65.
- Taruvunga, A., Muchenje, V., & Mushunje, A. (2013). Determinants of rural household dietary diversity: The case of Amatole and Nyandeni districts, South Africa *International Journal of Development and Sustainability, 2*(4), 2233-2247. <http://isdsnet.com/ijds-v2n4-4.pdf>
- Thiele & Weiss. (2003). Consumer demand for food diversity: Evidence for Germany. *Food Policy, 28*, 99-115. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-9192\(02\)00068-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-9192(02)00068-4)
- Wandita, D. T. (2020). Pengaruh cukai rokok terhadap konsumsi rokok serta faktor-faktor yang mempengaruhi konsumsi rokok. *Jurnal Pendidikan Ekonomi: Jurnal Ilmiah Ilmu Pendidikan, Ilmu Ekonomi, dan Ilmu Sosial, 14*(1), 159-165. <https://doi.org/10.19184/jpe.v14i1.16659>
- Workicho, A., Belachew, T., Feyissa, G. T., Wondafrash, B., Lachat, C., Verstraeten, R., & Kolsteren, P. (2016). Household dietary diversity and animal source food consumption in Ethiopia: Evidence from the 2011 welfare monitoring survey. *BMC Public Health, 16*(1), 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-016-3861-8>
- Wuryandari, R. D. (2015). Determinants of household expenditures on food, education and health in Indonesia using the 2011 susenas data. *Jurnal Kependudukan Indonesia, 10*(1), 27-42. www.bps.go.id,
- Zhang, Q., Chen, X., Liu, Z., Varma, D. S., Wan, R., & Zhao, S. (2017). Diet diversity and nutritional status among adults in southwest China. *PLOS ONE, 12*(2), 1-9. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0172406>