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#### Abstract

A mall is a sizable retail complex made up of numerous stores, as well as restaurants and other commercial spaces, all situated in one huge building or a collection of related or neighboring buildings. In this study we will compare the heuristics which are Brute Force, Cheapest-Insertion, and Nearest-Neighbor heuristics to find the shortest route from one mall to other eight malls in Bandar Lampung exactly once and back to the origin. The problem of finding the shortest route from original location, and go to every location exactly once, and back to original location is known as The Travelling Salesman Problem. Implementing on the data of the locations of those nine malls, the Brute Force Heuristic gives the total length $32,8 \mathrm{~km}$, Cheapest-Insertion Heuristic $33,2 \mathrm{~km}$, and Nearest-Neighbor Heuristic $33,35 \mathrm{~km}$. However, in term of efficiency, the Brute Force is not efficient because we have to search for all possible solutions.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

In the modern era, optimizing travel routes has become important in various fields such as logistics, transportation, and city management. Traveling Salesman Problem (TSP) is a combinatorial optimization problem that aims to find the shortest route for a salesman who must visit each city exactly once and return to the point of origin [1]. TSP has wide applications, including in planning trips to visit various locations in cities [2].

Bandar Lampung, as one of the big cities in Indonesia, has some shopping centers (malls) that are spread across various locations. Determining the optimal route to visit all these malls is very important for time and cost efficiency, both for business and recreational purposes. However, finding the optimal route in the TSP context becomes increasingly complex as the number of locations that must be visited increases. The aim of this research is to find the TSP for visiting several malls in Bandar Lampung using three different algorithms: Brute Force, Cheapest Insertion Heuristic (CIH), and NearestNeighbor Heuristic (NNH).

The TSP is considered as one of classical problems in network design problem, and it is very popular. That problem was formulated mathematically by an Irish mathematician, Willian Rowan Hamilton. He created the icosian game, a mathematical game, in 1856. That game entails locating a cycle on a dodecahedron called a Hamiltonian cycle, which uses the edges of the dodecahedron to pass through each of its vertices. Hamiltonian cycles get their name from his work on this
game. The TSP has the same properties as this game; therefore, the TSP is also well known as one of the Hamiltonian problems. The TSP gained popularity in scientific circles in the United States and Europe throughout the 1950s and 1960s after moves toward addressing it might earn prizes from the RAND Corporation in Santa Monica [3].

TSP is presented using a complete weighted graph $G=(V, E)$ where $V$ is a set of modes that represent locations to be visited and $E$ is a set of edges $e_{i j}$ that connect the nodes $i$ and $j$ in V . The edges represent the roads that connect the locations. Associated with every edge, there is a weight $c_{i j}$, where the weight is nonnegative. The weight represents distance/cost/time needed to connect between node $i$ and node $j$.

There are many researchers had investigated the methods for solving TSP. The Brute Force heuristic had been used to solve the TSP problem for example by [4] for goods distribution; [5], and [6]. The Cheapest Insertion Heuristic (CIH) had been investigated by [7-11]; and Nearest Neighbor Heuristic by [12-13], etc.

In the Section 2 we will give the methods about the heuristics and information about the data used; in Section 3 the results and discussion, and the conclusion is given in Section 4.

## 2. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

## The Brute Force

One of the fundamental algorithms used in search techniques is called brute force. It selects the least
expensive option by comparing the results after every possibility has been explored. When brute force is applied to the Traveling Salesman Problem (TSP), the outcome is undoubtedly optimal, but it is less effective when there are more points-for example, if there are 20 , we must look for every possible solution! [5]. All efficiency restrictions, however, become less important if the problem is tackled using software or a programming language and involves a limited number of points. The following are the stages involved in using a brute force approach to complete TSP:
(1) Calculate the total number of tours with ( n )! where n represents a node (location).
(2) Create a picture or list of all possible tours.
(3) Calculate the distance of each tour
(4) Choose the shortest tour; this is the optimal solution.

## The Cheapest Insertion Heuristic (CIH)

The CIH algorithm adds new nodes gradually after making a tour of the smallest cycles with the fewest weights. To find the least embedding value, new node and edge selection are done concurrently. Between the two nodes that make up the chosen edge, the new node is added [11]. CIH procedure is as follow [10]:
(1) Searching process starts from initial node to the nearest node to create a subtour.
(2) Insert a node under consideration to that subtour so that the added node contributes the smallest weight to the new subtour. Suppose that we have tour $v_{i}-v_{j}$ $-v_{i}$, and we want to add edge $e_{i k}$ and include node $k$ in the new subtour, then the value of added weight/distance is $c_{i k}+c_{k j}-c_{i j}$, where $c_{i k}$ is the weight/distance between nodes $i$ and $k$.
(3) Repeat steps b until all nodes include in the new tour.

## Nearest Neighbor Heuristic (NNH)

Similar to the CIH algorithm, the Nearest-Neighbor Heuristic (NNH) algorithm solves the TSP problem but the solution may not be optimal. The NNH algorithm's optimal decision is determined by the available data only, not by taking into account all previous data (Nene and Nayar, 1995). The following are the steps for NNH:
(1) Determine the starting node of the tour which is also the ending node of the tour.
(2) From this starting node, determine other nodes that are connected to it and have not yet been visited.
(3) Choose the smallest weight of the edge connecting the starting node with all the unvisited nodes.
(4) The node on the selected edge becomes the starting node for the next search and then the node is marked as visited.
(5) Repeat steps (2) to (4) until no more unvisited nodes are found.

## The Data

There are nine malls under consideration which are: (1) Ciplaz Lampung, (2) Mall Boemi Kedaton, (3) Transmart Bandar Lampung, (4) Ramayana Tanjung Karang, (5) Chandra Pasar Bawah, (6) Simpur Center, (7) Mall Kartini, (8) Central Plaza, and (9) Lampung City

Mall. The data of distance among the nine malls is collected via Google Maps, which is accessed on December $16^{\text {th }} 2023$ from 07.00 P.M to 07.46 P.M. The data collected is based on the car path recommended by Google.

Table 1 The Data of the Distance Among the Nine Malls in Bandar Lampung

| Node | Distance (km) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $V_{1}$ | $V_{2}$ | V3 | V4 | $v_{5}$ | $V_{6}$ | $V_{7}$ | $V_{8}$ | v9 |
| $\nu_{1}$ | 0 | 3.9 | 9.1 | 6.9 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 8.1 | 9.0 | 13 |
| $\nu_{2}$ | 2.9 | 0 | 5.3 | 3.4 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 4.7 | 5.6 | 9.1 |
| $\nu_{3}$ | 6.1 | 3.2 | 0 | 6.1 | 6.4 | 6.5 | 7.3 | 8.2 | 9.9 |
| $v_{4}$ | 6.9 | 4.8 | 6.6 | 0 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 5.6 |
| $\nu_{5}$ | 7.1 | 5.0 | 6.4 | 1.6 | 0 | 0.35 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 5.6 |
| $v_{6}$ | 6.5 | 4.4 | 7.2 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 0 | 0.85 | 1.6 | 5.6 |
| $\nu_{7}$ | 6.8 | 4.7 | 7.7 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 0 | 2.1 | 6.1 |
| $\nu_{8}$ | 7.2 | 5.1 | 8.1 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 0.4 | 0 | 5.3 |
| $v 9$ | 13 | 11 | 11 | 7.7 | 6.1 | 6.6 | 6.4 | 6.0 | 0 |

From Table 1 it can be seen that the distance between two locations is not symmetric, i.e. the distance from node $v_{i}$ to $v_{j}$ may not be equal with the distance from $v_{j}$ to $v_{i}$.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## Implementation Using Brute Force

Solving TSP using the Brute-Force algorithm is completed by calculating all possible paths and finding the distance from all these possibilities. Because the TSP constitutes a tour, the number of possible tours is $8!=$ 40320 tours. Table 2 below shows some of the possibilities.

Table 2 Some Possible Tours

| No | Tour | Total distance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $1-2-3-4-5-6-7-8-9-1$ | 37.25 km |
| 2 | $1-2-3-4-5-6-7-9-8-1$ | 36.15 km |
| 3 | $1-2-3-4-5-6-9-7-8-1$ | 37.30 km |
| 4 | $1-2-3-4-5-9-6-7-8-1$ | 38.00 km |
| 5 | $1-2-3-4-9-5-6-7-8-1$ | 37.50 km |
| 6 | $1-2-3-9-4-5-6-7-8-1$ | 37.65 km |
| 7 | $1-2-9-3-4-5-6-7-8-1$ | 40.95 km |
| 8 | $1-9-2-3-4-5-6-7-8-1$ | 46.25 km |
| 9 | $1-2-3-4-5-6-8-7-9-1$ | 37.10 km |
| 10 | $1-2-3-4-5-8-6-7-9-1$ | 39.30 km |
| 11 | $1-2-3-4-8-5-6-7-9-1$ | 39.70 km |
| 12 | $1-2-3-8-4-5-6-7-9-1$ | 39.75 km |
| 13 | $1-2-8-3-4-5-6-7-9-1$ | 44.35 km |
| 14 | $1-8-2-3-4-5-6-7-9-1$ | 46.15 km |
| 15 | $1-2-3-4-5-7-6-8-9-1$ | 37.95 km |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| 40,320 | $9-8-7-6-5-4-3-2-1-9$ | 36.30 km |

Figure 1 shows the screenshot of the source code that is written in Phyton programming language, while Figure 2 shows the result. In the source code, the nodes
are labelled as $0,1,2,3, \ldots, 8$. Thus, in the source code Mall Ciplaz ( $\nu_{1}$ ) is assigned as 0 , and Lampung City Mall $(\nu)$ is assigned or labelled as 8 .

```
from itertools import permutations
import time
start_time = time.time()
def calculate_total_distance(order, distance_matrix):
    total_distance =0
    oor i in range(len(order)-1):
    total_distance += distance_matrix[order[i]][order[i+1]]
    total_distance += distance_matrix[order[-1]][order[0]] #kembali ke titik awa]
    return total_distance
def traveling_salesman_bruteforce(distance_matrix):
    num_cities = len(distance_matrix)
    initial_order = list(range(num_cities))
    min_distance = float('inf')
    best_order = None
for order_permutation in permutations(initial_order):
            current_distance = calculate_total_distance(order_permutation,
distance_matrix)
            if current_distance < min_distance:
                in_distance = current_distance
                best_order = order_permutation
    return best_order, min_distance
```

Figure 1 Part of the Source Code for Brute Force

```
= RESTART: E:/brute force.py
Best Order: (0, 3, 4, 5, 7, 6, 8, 2, 1)
Minimum Distance: 32.8
Program dijalankan dalam waktu: 1.5027439594268799 detik
```

Figure 2 The Output for Brute Force Algorithm

## Implementation Using Cheapest Insertion Heuristic

To solve the TSP using the data manually, first make a subtour from $\nu_{1}-\nu_{2}-\nu_{1}$ or $v_{2}-\nu_{1}-v_{2}$. Then, make a table that shows the smallest possible node to be added in the tour to make a new and bigger subtour. Table 3 shows all possible values.

Table 2 Example to Show How to Form the New Subtour

| Candidate Edge to be Chosen | Added <br> Value | Selected Edge | Deleted Edge | New Subtour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $e_{13}$ | $c_{13}+c_{32}-c_{12}=8.4$ | $e_{23}$ | $e_{21}$ | $v_{2}-v_{3}-v_{1}-v_{2}$ |
| $e_{14}$ | $c_{14}+c_{42}-c_{12}=7.8$ |  |  |  |
| $e_{15}$ | $c_{15}+c_{52}-c_{12}=8.7$ |  |  |  |
| $e_{16}$ | $c_{16}+c_{62}-c_{12}=7.8$ |  |  |  |
| $e_{17}$ | $c_{17}+c_{72}-c_{12}=8.9$ |  |  |  |
| $e_{18}$ | $c_{18}+c_{82}-c_{12}=10.1$ |  |  |  |
| $e_{19}$ | $c_{19}+c_{92}-c_{12}=19.1$ |  |  |  |
| $e_{23}$ | $c_{23}+c_{31}-c_{21}=5.5$ |  |  |  |
| $e_{24}$ | $c_{24}+c_{41}-c_{21}=7.4$ |  |  |  |
| $e_{25}$ | $c_{25}+c_{51}-c_{21}=8$ |  |  |  |
| $e_{26}$ | $c_{26}+c_{61}-c_{21}=7.8$ |  |  |  |
| $e_{27}$ | $c_{27}+c_{71}-c_{21}=8.6$ |  |  |  |
| $e_{28}$ | $c_{28}+c_{81}-c_{21}=9.9$ |  |  |  |
| $e_{29}$ | $c_{29}+c_{91}-c_{21}=19.2$ |  |  |  |

$e_{i j}$ is the edge that connect node $i$ and node $j$, and $c i j$ is the distance between node $i$ and node $j$. Because the distance from node $v_{i}$ to $v_{j}$ may not be equal with the
distance from $v_{j}$ to $v i$, we consider for the first step to make tour $\nu_{1}-\nu_{2}-\nu_{1}$ and $\nu_{2}-\nu_{1}-\nu_{2}$.

Table 3 shows all candidate edges to be selected to create a new subtour after subtour $\nu_{1}-v_{2}-v_{1}$ or $v_{2}-v_{1}-v_{2}$ created. From that table, the smallest added value is 5.5 by adding/inserting edge $e_{23}$ and removing edge $e_{21}$, and get a new subtour $v_{2-} \nu_{3}-v_{1}-v_{2}$. That process is repeated for inserting other vertices until a tour that consists all vertices is found.

Figure 3 shows parts of the source code for Cheapest Insertion Heuristic.

```
Mmport numpy as n
start_time = time.time(
def calculate distance(coord1, cord2)
    return np.sart((coord1[0]--coord2[0])##2 +(coord1[1] - coord2[1])**2)
def cheapest_insertion(distances)
    unvisited = set(range(1, num_cities))
    current_city =0 
    while unvisited:
        cheapest_insertion_cost = float('inf')
            for city in unvisited.(tour)):
distances[tour[i-1]][tour[i]] ces[tour[i-1]][city] + distances[city][tour[i]] -
if cost < cheapest_insertion_cost
                                    cheapest_insertion_city_city
                insertion_index = =i
        tour.insert(insertion_index, cheapest_insertion_city)
        current_city = cheapest_insertion_city
    # Move the starting city to the beginning and end of the tour
    tour.insert (0, 0)
    tour.append(0)
    return tour
def total_distance(tour, distances):
    tota1 = 0
```

Figure 3 Part of the Source Code for Cheapest Insertion Heuristic

```
= RESTART: E:/4.py
Rute Terpendek: [0, 1, 3, 4, 5, 7, 6, 8, 2, 0]
Iotal Jarak Rute Terpendek: 33.2
Program dijalankan dalam waktu: 0.04581189155578613 detik
```

Figure 4 The Output for Cheapest Insertion Heuristic

## Implementation Using Nearest Neighbor Heuristic

To use the Nearest Neighbor Heuristics, the first step is to determine the starting node, in this case Ciplaz, as node 1 . After that, from the starting node, the nearest mall that has not been visited is selected as the next destination, in this case it is node 2 . Next, the nearest node selection process is repeated in similar manner until all nodes are visited once.

```
import time
start_time = time.time(
def nearest_neighbor_heuristic(distances):
    n=1en(distances).
    lol
    visited[0] = True
    for - in range(n - 1):
        CuIn rant_odee = path[-1]
        nearest_node = -1
            for next_node in range(n):
if not visited[next_node] and distances[current_node][next_node] <
                min_distance = distances [current_node] [next_node]
                nearest_node = next_node
            path. append(nearest _node)
            path. append(nearest___ode)
    path.append(0) #Return to starting node
    peturn path
```
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Figure 5 The Source Code for Nearest Neighbor Heuristic

```
= RESTART: E:/nnh.py
Fath: [0, 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 2, 0]
Total Distance: 33.35
Program dijalankan calam waktu: 0.07086706161499023 detik
```

Figure 6 The Output for Nearest Neighbor Heuristic

## 4. CONCLUSION

Based on the discussion above, we can conclude that the best solution for the problem of visiting nine malls in Bandar Lampung, starting at any mall, and then visiting the other eight malls exactly once and returning to the starting location, is gained by Brute Force algorithm with total distance 32.8 km and tour 1-4-5-6-8-7-9-3-2-1 or Ciplaz - Ramayana Tanjung Karang - Chandra Pasar Bawah - Simpur Center - Central Plaza - Mall Kartini Lampung City Mall - Transmart Bandar Lampung - Mall Boemi Kedaton - Ciplas. The solution gained by using the Cheapest-Insertion Heuristic (CIH) is 33.2 km (which is the same solution gained manually and using Phyton programming language with tour 1-2-4-5-6-8-7-9-3-1 or Ciplaz - Mall Boemi Kedaton - Ramayana Tanjung Karang - Chandra Pasar Bawah - Simpur Center Central Plaza - Mall Kartini - Lampung City Mall Transmart Bandar Lampung - Ciplaz..

The solution gained by the Nearest-Neighbor Heuristic is 33.35 km with tour 1-2-4-5-6-7-8-9-3-1 or Ciplaz Mall Boemi Kedaton - Ramayana Tanjung Karang Chandra Pasar Bawah - Simpur Center - Mall Kartini Central Plaza - Lampung City mall - Transmart Bandar Lampung - Ciplaz. The solutions yielded by Pthyton language programming and manually are the same solution). Therefore, in this case, visiting nine malls in Bandar Lampung exactly once and returning to the origin, the Brute Force algorithm provides the best solution with 33.8 km , followed by the Cheapest Insertion Heuristic with 33.2 km , and the Nearest Neighbor Heuristic with 33.35 km .
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