

PAPER NAME

Calibration of Geomagnetic and Soil.pdf

AUTHOR

Warsono Warsono

WORD COUNT

2492 Words

CHARACTER COUNT

11254 Characters

PAGE COUNT

6 Pages

FILE SIZE

423.4KB

SUBMISSION DATE

Jun 4, 2023 9:47 AM GMT+7

REPORT DATE

Jun 4, 2023 9:47 AM GMT+7

● 12% Overall Similarity

The combined total of all matches, including overlapping sources, for each database.

- 11% Internet database
- 1% Publications database
- Crossref database
- Crossref Posted Content database
- 2% Submitted Works database

● Excluded from Similarity Report

- Bibliographic material
- Quoted material
- Cited material
- Small Matches (Less than 10 words)
- Manually excluded sources

Calibration of Geomagnetic and Soil Temperature Sensor for Earthquake Early Warning System

Dodi Yudo Setyawan^{*1}, Dona Yuliawati², Warsito³, Warsono⁴,

¹IIB Darmajaya, Computer Faculty, Computer System Department, Lampung, Indonesia

²IIB Darmajaya, Computer Faculty, Information System Department, Lampung, Indonesia

³Lampung University, Physics Department Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Lampung, Indonesia

⁴Lampung University, Mathematics Department Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Lampung, Indonesia

*Corresponding author, e-mail: dodiy@ darmajaya.ac.id

Abstract

The study of Design of Earthquake Early Warning System for Real Time Using Geomagnetism and Total Electron Content with Fuzzy Logic through competitive grants scheme has obtained the prototype of the earthquake early warning system. However, it still needs improvements on in the calibration of the sensor system especially for MAG3110 sensor and DHT11 sensor. This calibration was done by adjusting the sensor system to the existing measuring devices standards in the Physics Department laboratory of the Sains Faculty Lampung University, to obtain measurement accuracy and to get a good result about where and when the earthquake would occur and how strong the earthquake would be. The calibration of MAG3110 sensor and DHT11 sensor obtained the standard correction results, the standard deviation of MAG3110 from 3 axes, namely x axis was 8.5, y axis was 2.66, and z axis was 1.9, whereas the standard deviation for DHT11 sensor was 0.1161.

Keywords: calibration, earthquake, geomagnetism, total electron content, fuzzy logic

Copyright © 2018 Universitas Ahmad Dahlan. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Earthquakes are a natural phenomenon of the earth that potentially destroys tall buildings [1-4]. The study of Design of Earthquake Early Warning System for Real Time Using Geomagnetism and Total Electron Content with Fuzzy Logic through competitive grants scheme has obtained the prototype of the earthquake early warning system [5] and it still needs calibration for geomagnetic use of MAG3110 sensor and for soil temperature use of DHT11 sensor. The study of soil temperature sensor can use LM35 sensor and SHT11 sensor to measure the temperature and the Relative Humidity (RH) [6-7]. Calibrating is checking and adjusting the accuracy of the output of the measuring instrument or sensor by comparing it with the standard/benchmark [8].

After the calibration it will obtain the characterization of the sensor. Another study of calibration on sensor obtained the characterization of the sensor to know the characteristics of carbon-polymer composite sensors tested with 9 types of gases, ie, Acetone, Nitrile Acetone, Benzene, Ethanol, Methanol, Ethyl Acetone, Chloroform, n-Hexan and Toluene. The testing is grouped into 4 clusters; selectivity, sensitivity, influence of temperature and humidity [9]. There are several methods that can be used to perform the calibration, as an example is camera calibration using orthogonal lines [10] and when it is difficult to make clock of the simulator synchronized in the real time calibration wideband simulator, it can use deviation value on the calibration as the sampling deviation [11]. In another study, to get the data from Very Low Frequency (VLF) receiver is a high sensitivity receiver that is built based on AD744 Op-Amp [12]. In parameter calibration can provide references for flexible straw modeling and parameters calibration of other crops, for terms of those three calibrated parameters exhibited 4.20% difference with the measured one [13].

2. Research Method

The steps taken in this study are as follows:

- Designing and constructing calibration models on magnetic fluxgate sensors, ground temperature sensors with Secondary Reference Standard method.
- Implementing the mathematical form for calibration process.

Working procedures in this research are as follows:

- Preparing the Sheet of Calibration Result Report (CRL)
- Recording number of calibration orders, equipment specifications, environmental temperature conditions and information specified on the worksheet
- Conducting initial check of sensor connection and sensor position.
- Turning on standard indicators and sensor indicators to be calibrated.
- Collecting data is then recorded in CRL as many as ten cycles with interval ten minutes
- Repeating steps for five different points until all the data is complete.
- Turning off all equipment when it's done

3. Results and Analysis

3.1 Magnet Sensor MAG3110 Calibration Data (3 dimensions x, y, z)

The calibration data were conducted using MAG3110 in 5 cm depth. Tables 1-5 shows the result of the calibration process.

No. Order	: 0	Location	: Lab. FisDas
Device	: MAG3110	Environment condition	: Fluxmagnet (μT)
Brand	: Extrinsic	Depth of measurement	: 5 cm
Resolution	: 0.10 T		
Range	: Full Scale Range 1000 T		

Table 1. Depth 5 cm Area 2

Std (μT)			MAG3110 (μT)			Correction (μT)		
X	y	z	x	y	z	x	y	z
1292	-455	365	1293	-451	362	1	1	2
1292	-454	365	1283	-456	365	10	2	0
1293	-454	365	1273	-457	365	20	3	0
1293	-454	365	1284	-456	367	9	2	-2
1293	-454	365	1291	-457	367	2	3	-2
1293	-454	365	1290	-457	368	3	3	-3
1293	-452	365	1290	-457	362	3	3	3
1293	-454	365	1290	-457	364	5	3	1
1293	-454	365	1287	-457	364	6	3	3
1293	-454	365	1286	-457	364	7	3	2

Table 2. Depth 5 cm Area 2

Std (μT)			MAG3110 (μT)			Correction (μT)		
x	y	z	x	y	z	x	y	z
1292	-455	365	1293	-451	362	1	1	2
1293	-454	365	1283	-456	365	10	2	0
1293	-454	365	1273	-457	365	20	3	0
1293	-454	365	1284	-456	367	9	2	-2
1293	-454	365	1291	-457	367	2	3	-2
1293	-454	365	1290	-457	368	3	3	-3
1293	-452	365	1290	-457	362	3	3	3
1293	-454	365	1290	-457	364	5	3	1
1293	-454	365	1287	-457	364	6	3	1
1293	-454	365	1286	-457	364	7	3	1

Table 3. Depth 5 cm Area 3

Std (μT)			MAG3110 (μT)			Correction (μT)		
x	y	z	x	y	z	x	y	z
1292	-455	365	1293	-455	362	1	0	2
1293	-454	365	1283	-456	365	10	2	0
1293	-454	365	1273	-457	365	20	3	0
1293	-454	365	1284	-456	367	9	2	-2
1293	-454	365	1291	-457	367	2	3	-2
1293	-454	365	1290	-457	368	3	3	-3
1293	-452	365	1290	-457	362	3	3	3
1293	-454	365	1290	-457	364	5	3	1
1293	-454	365	1287	-457	364	6	3	1
1293	-454	365	1286	-457	364	7	3	1

Table 4. Depth 5 cm Area 4

Std (μT)			MAG3110 (μT)			Correction (μT)		
x	y	z	x	y	z	x	y	z
1292	-454	365	1293	-454	362	0	0	3
1293	-454	365	1283	-456	365	10	2	0
1293	-454	365	1273	-457	365	20	3	0
1293	-454	365	1284	-456	367	9	2	-2
1293	-454	365	1291	-457	367	2	3	-2
1293	-454	365	1290	-457	368	3	3	-3
1293	-452	365	1290	-457	362	3	3	3
1293	-454	365	1290	-457	364	5	3	1
1293	-454	365	1287	-457	364	6	3	1
1293	-454	365	1286	-457	364	7	3	1

Table 5. Depth 5 cm Area 5

Std (μT)			MAG3110 (μT)			Correction (μT)		
x	y	z	x	y	z	x	y	z
1293	-454	365	1293	-454	362	0	0	3
1293	-454	365	1283	-456	365	10	2	0
1293	-454	365	1273	-457	365	20	3	0
1293	-454	365	1284	-456	367	9	2	-2
1293	-454	365	1291	-457	367	2	3	-2
1293	-454	365	1290	-457	368	3	3	-3
1293	-454	365	1290	-457	362	3	3	3
1293	-454	365	1290	-457	364	3	3	1
1293	-454	365	1287	-457	364	6	3	1
1293	-454	365	1286	-457	364	7	3	1

From the Tables 1-5 data, the average correction of the x coordinates of the reading of the device taken from the sensor is:

$$\bar{Dx} = \frac{0 + 10 + 20 + 9 + 2 + 3 + 3 + 3 + 6 + 7}{10}$$

$$\bar{Dx} = 6,3$$

The standard deviation for the x coordinate of the device can be calculated in the following way

$$\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{\sum(\bar{Dx}_1 - \bar{Dx})^2}{n}}$$

$$\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{(0 - 6,3)^2 + (10 - 6,3)^2 + \dots}{10}}$$

$$\sigma = 8,5$$

Then:

Average correction : 6.3
 Standard Deviation of the correction : 8.5
 Minimum tool correction : 0

From the Tables 1-5 data, the average correction of the y coordinates of the reading of the device taken from the sensor is

$$\bar{Dy} = \frac{0 + 2 + 3 + 2 + 3 + 3 + 3 + 3 + 3 + 3}{10}$$

$$\bar{Dy} = 2,5$$

The standard deviation for the y coordinate of the device can be calculated in the following way

$$\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{\sum(\bar{Dy}_1 - \bar{Dy})^2}{n}}$$

$$\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{(0 - 2,5)^2 + (2 - 2,5)^2 + \dots}{10}}$$

$$\sigma = 2,66$$

Then:

Average correction : 2,5
 Standard Deviation of the correction : 2,66
 Minimum tool correction : 0

From the Tables 1-5 data, the average correction of the z coordinates of the reading of the device taken from the sensor is

$$\bar{Dz} = \frac{3 + 0 + 0 - 2 - 2 - 3 + 3 + 1 + 1 + 1}{10}$$

$$\bar{Dz} = 0,2$$

The standard deviation for the z coordinate of the device can be calculated in the following way

$$\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{\sum(\bar{Dz}_1 - \bar{Dz})^2}{n}}$$

$$\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{(3 - 0,2)^2 + (0 - 0,2)^2 + \dots}{10}}$$

$$\sigma = 1,9$$

Then:

Average correction : 0,2
 Standard Deviation of the correction : 1,9
 Minimum tool correction : -3

3.2 Calibration Data of DHT11 Sensor

The calibration data were conducted using DHT11 in 5 cm depth. Tables 6-10 shows the result of the calibration process.

No. Order : 1 Location : Lab. FisDas
 Device : DHT11 Environment condition : Temp (°C)
 Brand : DFRobotDHT11 Depth of measurement : 5 cm
 Resolution : 1 °C
 Range : 0 - 50 °C

Table 6. Depth 5 cm Area 1

Std(°C)	DHT11(°C)	Correction(°C)
24,98	25	-0,02
24,98	25	-0,02
24,98	25	-0,02
24,98	25	-0,02
24,98	25	-0,02
24,98	25	-0,02
24,98	25	-0,02
24,98	25	-0,02
24,98	25	-0,02
24,98	25	-0,02

Table 7. Depth 5 cm Area 2

Std(°C)	DHT11(°C)	Correction(°C)
25,11	26	-0,89
25,11	26	-0,89
25,11	26	-0,89
25,11	26	-0,89
25,11	26	-0,89
25,11	26	-0,89
25,11	26	-0,89
25,11	26	-0,89
25,11	26	-0,89
25,11	26	-0,89

Table 8. Depth 5 cm Area 3

Std(°C)	DHT11(°C)	Correction(°C)
25,25	26	-0,75
25,25	26	-0,75
25,25	26	-0,75
25,25	26	-0,75
25,25	26	-0,75
25,25	26	-0,75
25,25	26	-0,75
25,25	26	-0,75
25,25	26	-0,75
25,25	26	-0,75

Table 9. Depth 5 cm Area 4

Std(°C)	DHT11(°C)	Correction(°C)
25,65	27	-1,35
25,65	27	-1,35
25,65	27	-1,35
25,65	27	-1,35
25,65	27	-1,35
25,65	27	-1,35
25,65	27	-1,35
25,65	27	-1,35
25,65	27	-1,35
25,65	27	-1,35

Table 10. Depth 5 cm Area 5

Std(°C)	DHT11(°C)	Correction(°C)
23,97	24	-0,03
23,97	24	-0,03
23,97	24	-0,03
23,97	24	-0,03
23,97	24	-0,03
23,97	24	-0,03
23,97	24	-0,03
23,97	24	-0,03
23,97	24	-0,03
23,97	24	-0,03

From the Tables 6-10 data, the average correction of the instrument reading taken from the maximum temperature is:

$$\bar{D} = \frac{-1,35 - 1,35 - 1,35 - 1,35 - 1,35 - 1,35 - 1,35 - 1,35 - 1,35 - 1,35}{10}$$

$$\bar{D} = -1,485$$

The standard deviation of the device can be calculated in following way

$$\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{\sum(\bar{D}_1 - \bar{D})^2}{n}}$$

$$\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{(-1,35 + 1,485)^2 + (-1,35 + 1,485)^2 + \dots}{10}}$$

$$\sigma = 0,1161$$

Then:

Average correction : -1,485
 Standard Deviation of the correction : 0,1161
 Minimum tool correction : -0,02

4. Conclusion

The calibration of MAG3110 sensor and DHT11 sensor obtain the standard correction results, the standard deviation of MAG3110 from 3 axes, namely x axis is 8.5 then y axis is 2.66 then z axis is 1.9, whereas the standard deviation for DH11 sensor is 0.1161. The calibration data is expected to improve the earthquake early warning system.

References

- [1] Murdiono at al. Intelligent Monitoring System on Prediction of Building Damage Index using Neural-Network. *TELKOMNIKA (Telecommunication Computing Electronics and Control)*. 2012; 10(1): 155-164.
- [2] Seth Stein & Mian Liu, Long aftershock squences within continents and implications for earthquake hazard assessment, *Nature*, London. 2009; 462(7269): 87-9.
- [3] Liu, ChiChing; Linde, Alan T; Sacks, I Selwyn, Slow earthquakes triggered by typhoons, *Nature*; London. 2009; 459(7248): 833-6.
- [4] Nakai, Hisao; Itatani, Tomoya; Horiike, Ryo; Kyota, Kaoru; Tsukasaki, Keiko. PLoS ONE, Tsunami evacuation simulation using geographic information systems for homecare recipients depending on electric devices. 2018; 13(6): 18
- [5] Setyawan DY, Zaidal N. Earthquake Early Warning System Real Time Design Using Total Electron Content and Geomagnetism with Fuzzy Logic. *IJCSI International Journal of Computer Science Issues*. 2014; 11(6,1): 38.

-
- [6] Jazi Eko Istiyanto, Eko Purwadi. Alat Pemantau Suhu Jarak Jauh Berbasis SMS (AN SMS-Based Remote Temperature Monitoring Device). *TELKOMNIKA (Telecommunication Computing Electronics and Control)*. 2006; 3(2): 95–99.
- [7] Seno D Panjaitan et al. Telemonitoring Temperature and Humidity at Bio-energy Process using Smart Phones. *TELKOMNIKA (Telecommunication Computing Electronics and Control)*. 2016; 14(2): 762-771.
- [8] Alan S Morris. *Measurement and Instrumentation Principles*. Third edition, Madras India, Planta A Tree. 2001: 64.
- [9] Budi Gunawan et al. Characterization of Polymeric Chemiresistors for Gas Sensor. *TELKOMNIKA (Telecommunication Computing Electronics and Control)*. 2012; 10(2): 275-280.
- [10] Yue Zhao, Jianchong Lei. A Camera Self-Calibration Method Based on Plane Lattice and Orthogonality. *TELKOMNIKA (Telecommunication Computing Electronics and Control)*. 2013; 11(4): 767-774.
- [11] Song Bingbing et al. Sampling Deviation Real-Time Calibration Method for Wideband Simulator. *TELKOMNIKA (Telecommunication Computing Electronics and Control)*. 2015; 13(2): 510–517.
- [12] Achmad Munir et al. High Sensitivity Very Low Frequency Receiver for Earthquake Data Acquisition. *TELKOMNIKA (Telecommunication Computing Electronics and Control)*. 2017; 15(1): 150–155.
- [13] Liu F Y, et al. Modeling of flexible wheat straw by discrete element method and its parameters calibration, *International Journal of Agricultural & Biological Engineering*. 2018; 11(3): 42-46.

● 12% Overall Similarity

Top sources found in the following databases:

- 11% Internet database
- Crossref database
- 2% Submitted Works database
- 1% Publications database
- Crossref Posted Content database

TOP SOURCES

The sources with the highest number of matches within the submission. Overlapping sources will not be displayed.

1	garuda.kemdikbud.go.id Internet	10%
2	Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia on 2019-08-26 Submitted works	<1%
3	pdfs.semanticscholar.org Internet	<1%
4	garuda.ristekdikti.go.id Internet	<1%

● Excluded from Similarity Report

- Bibliographic material
- Cited material
- Manually excluded sources
- Quoted material
- Small Matches (Less than 10 words)

EXCLUDED SOURCES

journal.uad.ac.id	82%
Internet	
telkomnika.uad.ac.id	75%
Internet	
journal.uad.ac.id	10%
Internet	