



Jurnal Ilmu Pengetahuan dan Teknologi Kelautan e-ISSN : 2301-9069 – p-ISSN : 1829-8370 Department of Naval Architecture – Diponegoro University, Semarang – Indonesia. Phone & Fax :+62-24-76480784, e-mail : jurnal.kapal.undip@gmail.com https://ejournal.undip.ac.id/index.php/kapal/

COPYRIGHT TRANSFER AGREEMENT

I/We submit the above manuscript to Kapal: Jurnal Ilmu Pengetahuan dan Teknologi Kelautan certify that the work reported here has not been published before and contains no materials the publication of which would violate any copyright or other personal or proprietary right or financial interests of any person or entity.

I/We certify that the work reported here has not been published before and contains no materials the publication of which would violate any copyright or other personal or proprietary right of any person or entity

Manuscript Title	:	Hydrodynamic forces on submerged floating tube: The effect		
		of curvature radius and depth level		
Author(s)	:	Jamiatul Akmal*, Asnawi Lubis, Ahmad Su'udi, Novri Tanti,		
		Nurcahya Nugraha, Zaky Abyan Fahrain, and Panji Firmanul		
		Hakim		
Author(s)'s Affiliation	:	Jurusan Teknik Mesin, Fakultas Teknik, Universitas		
and address		Lampung, Indonesia		
e-Mail	:	Jamiatul.akmal@eng.unila.ac.id		

I, the corresponding author, acting with the consent of all authors listed on the above manuscript, hereby agree to transfer copyright of work to the Department of Naval Architecture, Diponegoro University as the journal publisher.

I/We reserve the following :

- 1) All proprietary rights other than copyright, such as patent rights,
- 2) The right to use all or part of this article in the future works of our own, such as in books and lectures.

Date

: 14 Februari 2022

Principal Author

: Jamiatul Akmal

J. Almon

Signature

Send this signed form to : jurnal.kapal.undip@gmail.com.



[Kapal] Submission Acknowledgement

1 message

Editor-in-Chief <jurnal.kapal@live.undip.ac.id> Reply-To: "Dr. Jamiatul Akmal" <jamiatul.akmal@eng.unila.ac.id> To: "Dr. Jamiatul Akmal" <jamiatul.akmal@eng.unila.ac.id> Sun, Jan 9, 2022 at 9:31 AM

Dr. Jamiatul Akmal:

Thank you for submitting the manuscript, "Hydrodynamic forces on submerged floating tube: The effect of curvature radius and depth level" to Kapal: Jurnal Ilmu Pengetahuan dan Teknologi Kelautan. With the online journal management system that we are using, you will be able to track its progress through the editorial process by logging in to the journal web site:

Manuscript URL: https://ejournal.undip.ac.id/index.php/kapal/author/submission/44019 Username: jamiatulakmal

If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Editor-in-Chief Kapal: Jurnal Ilmu Pengetahuan dan Teknologi Kelautan Department Of Naval Architecture, Faculty of Engineering, Diponegoro University, Tembalang Campus - Semarang Phone. +62-24-7680784, Fax. +62-24-7460055



[Kapal] Editor Decision (Revision Required)

7 messages

Dr. Andi Trimulyono <anditrimulyono@lecturer.undip.ac.id> Thu, Jan 27, 2022 at 4:52 PM Reply-To: "Dr. Andi Trimulyono" <anditrimulyono@lecturer.undip.ac.id> To: "Dr. Jamiatul Akmal" <jamiatul.akmal@eng.unila.ac.id> Cc: Asnawi Lubis <asnawi.lubis@eng.unila.ac.id>, Ahmad Su'udi <ahmad.suudi@eng.unila.ac.id>, Novri Tanti <novri.tanti@eng.unila.ac.id>, Nurcahya Nugraha <nurcahyanugraha11@gmail.com>, Zaky Abyan Fahrain <zakyabyanf@gmail.com>, Panji Firmanul Hakim <panjibkc@gmail.com>

Dr. Jamiatul Akmal:

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Kapal: Jurnal Ilmu Pengetahuan dan Teknologi Kelautan, "Hydrodynamic forces on submerged floating tube: The effect of curvature radius and depth level".

Our decision is to:

Please revise the manuscript as recommended by the reviewer. Please highlight the revised manuscripts with color. Please also write the revision results on the revision form available on the link below. https://drive.google.com/file/d/1AA5LjIMVbDjRBzFLNq4rvobnT6j5ohlv/view?usp=sharing Please submit the revised manuscript along with the revision form to our OJS System (not to email).

Thank you, Dr. Andi Trimulyono. Department of Naval Architecture, Faculty of Engineering, **Diponegoro University** Department Of Naval Architecture, Faculty of Engineering, Diponegoro University, Tembalang Campus - Semarang Phone. +62-24-7680784, Fax. +62-24-7460055

JAMIATUL AKMAL <jamiatul.akmal@eng.unila.ac.id> Sat, Jan 29, 2022 at 10:20 PM To: "Dr. Andi Trimulyono" <anditrimulyono@lecturer.undip.ac.id> Cc: Asnawi Lubis <asnawi.lubis@eng.unila.ac.id>, Ahmad Su'udi <ahmad.suudi@eng.unila.ac.id>, Novri Tanti <novri.tanti@eng.unila.ac.id>, Nurcahya Nugraha <nurcahyanugraha11@gmail.com>, Zaky Abyan Fahrain <zakyabyanf@gmail.com>, Panji Firmanul Hakim <panjibkc@gmail.com>

Thank you, I will do that. [Quoted text hidden]

Andi Trimulyono <anditrimulyono@lecturer.undip.ac.id> To: JAMIATUL AKMAL <jamiatul.akmal@eng.unila.ac.id> Cc: Asnawi Lubis <asnawi.lubis@eng.unila.ac.id>, Ahmad Su'udi <ahmad.suudi@eng.unila.ac.id>, Novri Tanti <novri.tanti@eng.unila.ac.id>, Nurcahya Nugraha <nurcahyanugraha11@gmail.com>, Zaky Abyan Fahrain <zakyabyanf@gmail.com>, Panji Firmanul Hakim <panjibkc@gmail.com>

Dear Dr. Jamiatul Akmal,

Thank you very much for uploading the revision manuscript, They are 2 reviewers assigned to review the manuscript, the revision form is only for reviewer A. Please complete it with reviewer B comments.

Regards, Editor in Chief Sat, Feb 5, 2022 at 7:22 PM

Dari: JAMIATUL AKMAL <jamiatul.akmal@eng.unila.ac.id> Dikirim: Sabtu, 29 Januari 2022 22.20 Kepada: Andi Trimulyono <anditrimulyono@lecturer.undip.ac.id> Cc: Asnawi Lubis <asnawi.lubis@eng.unila.ac.id>; Ahmad Su'udi <ahmad.suudi@eng.unila.ac.id>; Novri Tanti <novri.tanti@eng.unila.ac.id>; Nurcahya Nugraha <nurcahyanugraha11@gmail.com>; Zaky Abyan Fahrain <zakyabyanf@gmail.com>; Panji Firmanul Hakim <panjibkc@gmail.com>

Subjek: Re: [Kapal] Editor Decision (Revision Required)

[Quoted text hidden]

Andi Trimulyono <anditrimulyono@lecturer.undip.ac.id> To: JAMIATUL AKMAL <jamiatul.akmal@eng.unila.ac.id> Cc: Asnawi Lubis <asnawi.lubis@eng.unila.ac.id>, Ahmad Su'udi <ahmad.suudi@eng.unila.ac.id>, Novri Tanti <novri.tanti@eng.unila.ac.id>, Nurcahya Nugraha <nurcahyanugraha11@gmail.com>, Zaky Abyan Fahrain <zakyabyanf@gmail.com>, Panji Firmanul Hakim <panjibkc@gmail.com>

Kepada Dr. Jamiatul Akmal,

Mohon dapat segera merevisi dan mengupload kembali manuskrip beserta form revisi dengan 2 reviewer.

Kami akan memasukan manuskrip untuk edisi Feb. dimohon kerjasamanya

Tertanda, Editor in Chief

Dari: JAMIATUL AKMAL <jamiatul.akmal@eng.unila.ac.id> Dikirim: Sabtu, 29 Januari 2022 22.20 Kepada: Andi Trimulyono <anditrimulyono@lecturer.undip.ac.id>

Cc: Asnawi Lubis <asnawi.lubis@eng.unila.ac.id>; Ahmad Su'udi <ahmad.suudi@eng.unila.ac.id>; Novri Tanti <novri.tanti@eng.unila.ac.id>; Nurcahya Nugraha <nurcahyanugraha11@gmail.com>; Zaky Abyan Fahrain <zakyabyanf@gmail.com>; Panji Firmanul Hakim <panjibkc@gmail.com> **Subjek:** Re: [Kapal] Editor Decision (Revision Required)

[Quoted text hidden]

JAMIATUL AKMAL <jamiatul.akmal@eng.unila.ac.id> To: Andi Trimulyono <anditrimulyono@lecturer.undip.ac.id> Thu, Feb 10, 2022 at 2:16 PM

Thu, Feb 10, 2022 at 2:35 PM

Terimakasih pak Andi..., Saya belum menerima hasil review dari Reviewer B dan saya sedang menunggunya. Mohon arahannya... Terimakasih

Jamiatul Akmal [Quoted text hidden]

Andi Trimulyono <anditrimulyono@lecturer.undip.ac.id> To: JAMIATUL AKMAL <jamiatul.akmal@eng.unila.ac.id>

Terlampir review dari reviewer kedua, seharusnya di dashboard authors muncul Karena yang bersangkutan mengisi secara langsung form di ojs. Dimohon untuk menjadikan satu dan diunggah kembali ke ojs. Terima kasih

Salam, Andi Trimulyono

Dari: JAMIATUL AKMAL <jamiatul.akmal@eng.unila.ac.id> Dikirim: Kamis, 10 Februari 2022 14.16 Kepada: Andi Trimulyono <anditrimulyono@lecturer.undip.ac.id> [Quoted text hidden] [Quoted text hidden]



JAMIATUL AKMAL <jamiatul.akmal@eng.unila.ac.id> To: Andi Trimulyono <anditrimulyono@lecturer.undip.ac.id> Thu, Feb 10, 2022 at 2:52 PM

Terimakasih, segera direvisi.

[Quoted text hidden]



[Kapal] [ID-44019] Revised Version Acknowledgement

2 messages

Editor-in-Chief <jurnal.kapal@live.undip.ac.id> Reply-To: "Dr. Jamiatul Akmal" <jamiatul.akmal@eng.unila.ac.id> To: "Dr. Jamiatul Akmal" <jamiatul.akmal@eng.unila.ac.id> Thu, Feb 10, 2022 at 6:18 PM

Dr. Jamiatul Akmal:

[Quoted text hidden]

Thank you for submitting the revision of manuscript, "Hydrodynamic forces on submerged floating tube: The effect of curvature radius and depth level" to Kapal: Jurnal Ilmu Pengetahuan dan Teknologi Kelautan. With the online journal management system that we are using, you will be able to track its progress through the editorial process by logging in to the journal web site:

Manuscript URL: https://ejournal.undip.ac.id/index.php/kapal/author/submission/44019 Username: jamiatulakmal Editor: Andi Trimulyono

If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Editor-in-Chief Kapal: Jurnal Ilmu Pengetahuan dan Teknologi Kelautan Department Of Naval Architecture, Faculty of Engineering, Diponegoro University, Tembalang Campus - Semarang Phone. +62-24-7680784, Fax. +62-24-7460055

Editor-in-Chief <jurnal.kapal@live.undip.ac.id> Reply-To: "Dr. Jamiatul Akmal" <jamiatul.akmal@eng.unila.ac.id> To: "Dr. Jamiatul Akmal" <jamiatul.akmal@eng.unila.ac.id> Thu, Feb 10, 2022 at 6:22 PM



[Kapal] Editor Decision (Accept Submission)

2 messages

 Dr. Andi Trimulyono <anditrimulyono@lecturer.undip.ac.id>
 Mon, Feb 14, 2022 at 5:42 PM

 Reply-To: "Dr. Andi Trimulyono" <anditrimulyono@lecturer.undip.ac.id>
 To: "Dr. Jamiatul Akmal" <jamiatul.akmal@eng.unila.ac.id>

 Cc: Asnawi Lubis <asnawi.lubis@eng.unila.ac.id>, Ahmad Su'udi <ahmad.suudi@eng.unila.ac.id>, Novri Tanti
 <andre style="text-align: center;">, Nurcahya Nugraha <nurcahyanugraha11@gmail.com>, Zaky Abyan Fahrain

<zakyabyanf@gmail.com>, Panji Firmanul Hakim <panjibkc@gmail.com>

Dr. Jamiatul Akmal:

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Kapal: Jurnal Ilmu Pengetahuan dan Teknologi Kelautan, "Hydrodynamic forces on the submerged floating tube: The effect of curvature radius and depth level".

Our decision is to: Accept Submission. Please fill out the COPYRIGHT TRANSFER AGREEMENT available on the link below and resend it to our email.

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1-PD1W4sd6J969CgHvgoMXRK-ejDOtTsB/view?usp=sharing

Thank you for your contribution to our Journal.

Dr. Andi Trimulyono Department Of Naval Architecture, Faculty of Engineering, Diponegoro University, Tembalang Campus - Semarang Phone. +62-24-7680784, Fax. +62-24-7460055

JAMIATUL AKMAL <jamiatul.akmal@eng.unila.ac.id>

Mon, Feb 14, 2022 at 7:22 PM

To: "Dr. Andi Trimulyono" <anditrimulyono@lecturer.undip.ac.id> Cc: Asnawi Lubis <asnawi.lubis@eng.unila.ac.id>, Ahmad Su'udi <ahmad.suudi@eng.unila.ac.id>, Novri Tanti <novri.tanti@eng.unila.ac.id>, Nurcahya Nugraha <nurcahyanugraha11@gmail.com>, Zaky Abyan Fahrain <zakyabyanf@gmail.com>, Panji Firmanul Hakim <panjibkc@gmail.com>

Thank you, I will do that. [Quoted text hidden]





Jurnal Ilmu Pengetahuan dan Teknologi Kelautan

journal homepage : http://ejournal.undip.ac.id/index.php/kapal

Hydrodynamic forces on submerged floating tube: The effect of curvature radius and depth level

Abstract

The discussion of hydrodynamic forces becomes an important issue in determining the dynamic behavior of the Submerged Floating Tunnel Bridge (SFTB) structure. As stated in the Morison Equation, the hydrodynamic forces are affected by the kinematics of water particles, but up to this date, there are only a few discussions for curved tube applications. This paper discusses the effect of curvature radius and depth level on hydrodynamic forces to get the correction factor for a straight tube. Tubes with variations in radius curvature (R/L) and diameter (D) were installed in a wave pool with a depth level (z/d). The hydrodynamic forces were detected by a load cell sensor placed on a pedestal at the end of the specimen. The data from the load cell was processed by the data acquisition system and displayed on the monitor screen. This study shows that the z/d ratio and the R/L ratio both affect the hydrodynamic forces. A larger z/d ratio (deeper) results in smaller hydrodynamic forces, while a smaller R/L ratio (more curved) results in smaller hydrodynamic forces. A correction factor (C) has been determined to calculate the hydrodynamic force on a curved tube based on the Morison equation.

Keywords : SFTB; wave; curvature radius; depth level; hydrodynamic forces

1. Introduction

Tubular structures are commonly used in maritime structures, such as; structural support poles [1], submarine cable lines [2], oil and gas pipelines [3], [4], mooring cables for Tension Leg Platform/TLP [5], and so on. Today, the use of this structure has developed into various fields and requires increasingly complex studies. For example, the use of this structure is being studied for application as a submerged floating tunnel bridge/SFTB [6], [7]. One important issue that needs to be discussed is the hydrodynamic force. This force occurs due to the interaction between the fluid and the tubular structure through the waves. The analysis is developed based on theoretical approaches to defining the various type of waves, including small-amplitude wave theory and finite wave theory [8], [9].

The hydrodynamic forces are affected by the *KC* number and the drag coefficient, C_D [10]. The *KC* number is the ratio of the wave motion to the cylinder diameter, while the C_D value depends on the geometry and Reynolds number. This theory has been proved analytically and numerically [11], [12]. One example of the application of the *KC* number is to calculate the hydrodynamic force on a pipeline on the seabed using the wake II equation. This equation can predict the hydrodynamic force accurately [13].

The hydrodynamic forces consist of two components; the drag and the inertial force, each of which is affected by the velocity and acceleration of the water particles, as proposed by Morison, well known as Morison Equation [14], [15]. Morison Equation is effectively used to predict the hydrodynamic forces on

Comment [AT1]: it's better to use subject In first sentences, delete this or use present study.

Comment [AT2]: merge

Comment [AT3]: merge

_	Comment [AT4]: merge
_	Comment [AT5]: merge
	Comment [AT6]: what is the wake II equation
_	Comment [AT7]: each of which is.?

a vertically or horizontally installed tube [16]. The numerical study of the hydrodynamic forces on a floating tube subjected to internal solitary waves also proves the accuracy of this equation [17], [18].

In certain constructions, a curved tubular structure is required for several technical reasons, such as the Submerged Floating Tunnel Bridge/SFTB [19]. One of the reasons for using a curved tubular structure is to gain flexibility during operation, especially anticipating changes in length caused by temperature differences [20]. Flexibility is needed to reduce cyclic loads that can cause fatigue failure in structures [21], [22]. The curved cylindrical construction also improves stability by increasing stiffness to reduce lateral movement. However, there is not much research on hydrodynamic forces on the curved tubular structure on the hydrodynamic forces that occur.

2. Methods

The research was conducted on an experimental pool, which is a modified model from our previous research [23], as shown in Fig. 1. Specimens are made of tubes with varying degrees of curvature, being assembled on a holder frame. At both ends of the specimen, the supports are equipped with load cells to measure the force received by the waves. The signal from the loadcell is read by a set of data acquisition system tools. Fig. 2 shows the design of the specimens with variations in the degree of curvature (R/L) and their sizes are shown in Table 1. The specimens are placed with varying degrees of depth (z/d).



rigure 1. Experimental poor set ap

Tabel 1. Specimen specifica	tions
-----------------------------	-------

Radius curvature, <i>R</i> mm	Tube length, <i>L</i> mm	Ratio, <i>R/L</i>	Tube Diameter, D inch (mm)	Total depth (mm)	Depth level, <i>z/d</i>
∞ (straight) 400 600 800 1000 1200	500	- 0.8 1.2 1.6 2 2.4	1 (25.4) 1,5 (38.1) 2 (50.8) 2,5 (63.5) 3 (76.2)	600	1/6 2/6 3/6

Comment [AT8]: this figure is the same figur the previous paper, please cited it.



Figure 2. Specimens (tubes) with variations in the degree of curvature (R/L)

2.1 Kinematics of Water Particles and Hydrodynamic Forces

In this research, wave characteristics were developed based on Airy's theory. This theory is considered the most relevant because the waves that occur are relatively small and conform with (1), where η_0 is the wave amplitude and *H* is the total wave height, as shown in Fig. 3. The motion of water particles can be determined from the potential velocity using the Laplace equation, as shown in (2) [24]. Here, the *x*-axis represents a horizontal direction, while the *z*-axis represents a vertical direction.

$$\frac{\eta_0}{H}\langle 0.5 \tag{1}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial z^2} = 0$$
⁽²⁾



Figure 3. Wave and Specimen Specifications

Setting the boundary conditions on the seabed (at z/d=1), $\partial \phi/\partial z=0$, the solution for potential velocity can be solved by the variable separation method, as shown in (3). Here, k is the wavenumber, as shown in (4).

$$\phi = \frac{\pi H}{kT} \frac{\cosh[k(z+d)]}{\sinh(kd)} \sin(kx - \omega t)$$
(3)

$$k = \frac{2\pi}{L} \tag{4}$$

The velocity and acceleration of water particles for various depth levels based on Airy's theory can be seen in (5) and (6), respectively [25].

Comment [AT9]: what is (1).?

Comment [AT10]: what is (2).?

$$u = \frac{\pi H}{T} \frac{\cosh[k(z+d)]}{\sinh(kd)} \sin\theta$$
(5)

$$\dot{u} = \frac{-2\pi H}{T^2} \frac{\cosh[k(z+d)]}{\sinh(kd)} \cos\theta \tag{6}$$

The hydrodynamic force is obtained from the Morison Equation, as shown in (7), where CD is the coefficient of drag, Cm is the coefficient of inertia, ρ is water density, D is tube diameter, u and \dot{u} is velocity and acceleration, respectively, as shown in (5) and (6).

$$f(t) = \frac{1}{2} C_D \rho u |u| + C_m \frac{\rho \pi D^2}{4} \dot{u}$$
(7)

2.2 Numerical Analysis

The numerical analysis is modeled as Volume of Fluid (VoF)-Open Channel Wave BC. The meshing element size is 30 mm with a Quadrilateral/Hexahedron shape. There are four boundary conditions; Cylinder Wall (Wall), Channel Wall (Wall), Inlet (Velocity Inlet), and Outlet (Pressure Outlet), as shown in Fig. 4.



Figure 4. Meshing element and boundary conditions

2.3 Experimental set up

The experiment was carried out in an experimental pool equipped with a set of wave generators, as shown in Fig. 5. The dimensions of the pool and the characteristics of the waves are shown in Table 2.



Figure 5. Test equipment settings

Comment [AT11]: be consistent for using variable CD or C_d

Comment [AT12]: this section deserves deta information numerical setup for VOF setup/ CFD

Description	Symbol	unit	Value
Length		mm	2000
Wide		mm	600
Depth	d	mm	600
Wave direction	F(t)		x-direction
Excitation frequency	ω	Hz	(9,77)
		(rad/s)	
Wave Height	H	mm	23
Wavelength	L	mm	558

Tabel 2. The dimensions of the pool and the characteristics of the waves

3. Results And Discussion

In this section, research results will be presented and discussed, including; velocity and acceleration of water particles and their effect on hydrodynamic forces. Then discussed the effect of curvature, the effect of depth level and the effect of tube diameter on the hydrodynamic force.

3.1 Profile graph of velocity and acceleration of water particles

At the surface of the water, the velocity and acceleration of the water particles are relatively larger than those in deeper positions. These profiles are obtained from solving equations (2) and (3), respectively. Based on this graph, at depths z=-2 to z=-6, the rate of reduction of the hydrodynamic force does not change significantly. Thus, it can be recommended that the optimum placement for SFTB is at the level of z=-2 (z/d=1/3), as shown in Fig. 6(a) and Fig. 6(b).



Figure 6. Fluid particle kinematics (a) Velocity and (b) Acceleration

3.2 Verification of hydrodynamic force on straight tube

Fig. 7 shows the hydrodynamic force on a straight tube obtained experimentally and numerically. The analytical solution of the Morison Equation is also shown for comparison. Visually, all the graphs tend to have the same trend, although there are slight inaccuracies. The numerical graph is relatively more precise than the experimental one, compared to Morison's graph. The experimental graph is relatively higher than Morison's graph near the water surface (z/d=1/6). Otherwise, it is lower at the deeper position (z/d=3/6). It is due to the wave generator's closer placement to the surface and causes it unable to reach the pool's bottom.

Comment [AT13]: the different of the doma between experiment and numerical is missing in section. In the experiment it showed the numeri domain is short, This can be one of the reason w is the accuracy slight decrease. Please add more explanation or discussion in this section.



Figure 7. Hydrodynamic forces: (a) depth level z/d=1/6, (b) depth level z/d=2/6, and (c) depth level z/d=3/6

3.3 Effect of Curvature radius on Hydrodynamic Force

The hydrodynamic forces on the specimen are corrected by the curvature radius R/L, where the smaller R/L ratio given will results in the smaller amount of forces received, as shown in Fig. 8. If the Morison Equation applied to a straight tube is considered a reference, multiplying it by the correction factor (C) will make it applicable for a curved tube. The correction factor in each variation of curvature radius for the most recommended depth level (z/d=1/3) based on Fig. 6 is served in Table 3. This correction factor is calculated numerically, which is considered relatively more accurate. Thus, Equation (7) can be modified to calculate the Morison force on a curved cylinder, as shown in (8).



Figure 8. Effect of curvature radius on hydrodynamic force

$$f\left(\frac{R}{L}\right) = C\left(\frac{1}{2}C_D\rho u|u| + C_m\frac{\rho\pi D^2}{4}\dot{u}\right)$$
(8)

Tabel 3. The correction factor for variations in the curvature radius at optimal placement (z/d = 2/6)

R/L	Hydrodynamic	Correction
	Force (N)	Factor, C
∞ (Straight)	0.296	1
0.8	0.284	0.96
1.2	0.279	0.94
1.6	0.275	0.92
2	0.272	0.91
2.4	0.272	0.91

3.4 Effect of depth level on hydrodynamic forces

Fig. 9 shows the depth level's effect on the hydrodynamic force amplitude, both experimentally and numerically. Placing the specimen at a high depth level further reduces the amplitude of the hydrodynamic force. This result is in good agreement with the Morison force equation (Equation (4), where the hydrodynamic forces are directly proportional to the velocity and acceleration of the water particles. In the kinematics equations of water particles, Equation (2) and Equation (3), expressed in the deeper position, the velocity and the acceleration of the water particles get smaller.



Figure 9. Effect of depth level on hydrodynamic forces

Comment [AT14]: Please added more explanation this section

3.5 Effect of tube diameter on hydrodynamic forces

Fig. 10 shows the tube diameter's effect on the hydrodynamic forces' amplitude, numerically. The diameter of the tube is directly proportional to the hydrodynamic forces it receives. This corresponds with the Morison Force Equation (Equation 7): the larger the diameter, the greater the drag and inertia forces received.



Figure 10. Effect of tube diameter on hydrodynamic forces

4. Conclusion

The hydrodynamic forces are influenced by depth level, curvature radius, and tube diameter. The hydrodynamic forces are the greatest near the water surface (z/d=0) and will gradually decrease at a deeper position until the bottom of the pool (z/d=1). The rate of reduction of the hydrodynamic forces is not linear but satisfies a hyperbolic function. At the depth level $z/d\approx 2/6$ to z/d=1, the hydrodynamic forces are not significantly reduced. Thus, we recommend $z/d\approx 2/6$ is optimal for SFTB placement. In addition, the smaller the curvature radius (R/L), the smaller the hydrodynamic force. A correction factor, *C*, has been determined to calculate the hydrodynamic force on a curved tube based on the Morison equation which applies to a straight tube.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to acknowledge Universitas Lampung, for founding this research under the scheme of Hibah Pascasarjana, SPK: 1543/UN26.21/PN/2021, Date 21 April 2021.

References

- A. Malekjafarian, S. Jalilvand, P. Doherty, and D. Igoe, "Foundation Damping for Monopile Supported Offshore Wind Turbines: A Review," *Mar. Struct.*, vol. 77, p. 102937, 2021.
- [2] F. Dinmohammadi *et al.*, "Predicting Damage and Life Expectancy of Subsea Power Cables in Offshore Renewable Energy Applications," *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 54658–54669, 2019.
- [3] X. Cheng, Y. Wang, and G. Wang, "Hydrodynamic Forces on a Large Pipeline and a Small Pipeline in Piggyback Configuration under Wave Action," *J. Waterw. Port Coast. Ocean Eng.*, vol. 138, no. 5, pp. 394–405, 2012.
- [4] N. J. Shankar, H.-F. Cheong, and K. Subbiah, "Wave Force Coefficients for Submarine Pipelines," J. Waterw. Port Coast. Ocean Eng., vol. 114, no. 4, pp. 472–486, 1988.
- [5] J. Yu, S. Hao, Y. Yu, B. Chen, S. Cheng, and J. Wu, "Mooring Analysis for a Whole TLP with TTRs under Tendon One-Time Failure and Progressive Failure," *Ocean Eng.*, vol. 182, pp. 360– 385, 2019.

Comment [AT15]: Please add more explanat on this section based different R/L

- [6] S. Seo, H. Mun, J. Lee, and J. Kim, "Simplified Analysis for Estimation of the Behavior of a Submerged Floating Tunnel in Waves and Experimental Verification," *Mar. Struct.*, vol. 44, pp. 142–158, 2015.
- [7] M. Sharma, R. B. Kaligatla, and T. Sahoo, "Wave Interaction with a Submerged Floating Tunnel in the Presence of a Bottom Mounted Submerged Porous Breakwater," *Appl. Ocean Res.*, vol. 96, p. 102069, 2020.
- [8] R. G. Dean and R. A. Dalrymple, *Water Wave Mechanics for Engineers and Scientists*, vol. 2. World Scientific Publishing Company, 1991.
- [9] R. M. Sorensen, Basic Coastal Engineering, vol. 10. Springer Science & Business Media, 2005.
- [10] T. Sarpkaya, "Force on a Circular Cylinder in Viscous Oscillatory Flow at Low Keulegan— Carpenter Numbers," J. Fluid Mech., vol. 165, pp. 61–71, 1986.
- [11] Y. Li and M. Lin, "Hydrodynamic Coefficients Induced by Waves and Currents for Submerged Circular Cylinder," *Procedia Eng.*, vol. 4, pp. 253–261, 2010.
- [12] V. Venugopal, K. S. Varyani, and P. C. Westlake, "Drag and Inertia Coefficients for Horizontally Submerged Rectangular Cylinders in Waves and Currents," *Proc. Inst. Mech. Eng. Part M J. Eng. Marit. Environ.*, vol. 223, no. 1, pp. 121–136, 2009.
- [13] I. Iyalla, M. Hossain, and J. Andrawus, "Calculating Hydrodynamic Loads on Pipelines and Risers: Practical Alternative to Morison's Equation," in *Advanced Materials Research*, 2012, vol. 367, pp. 431–438.
- [14] H. Kunisu, "Evaluation of Wave Force Acting on Submerged Floating Tunnels," *Procedia Eng.*, vol. 4, pp. 99–105, 2010.
- [15] J. R. Morison, J. W. Johnson, and S. A. Schaaf, "The Force Exerted by Surface Waves on Piles," J. Pet. Technol., vol. 2, no. 05, pp. 149–154, 1950.
- [16] P. Boccotti, F. Arena, V. Fiamma, and A. Romolo, "Two Small-Scale Field Experiments on the Effectiveness of Morison's Equation," *Ocean Eng.*, vol. 57, pp. 141–149, 2013.
- [17] X. Zan and Z. Lin, "On the Applicability of Morison Equation to Force Estimation Induced by Internal Solitary Wave on Circular Cylinder," *Ocean Eng.*, vol. 198, p. 106966, 2020.
- [18] S. Zhang, C. Chen, Q. Zhang, D. Zhang, and F. Zhang, "Wave Loads Computation for Offshore Floating Hose Based on Partially Immersed Cylinder Model of Improved Morison Formula," *Open Pet. Eng. J.*, vol. 8, no. 1, 2015.
- [19] B. Jakobsen, "Design of the Submerged Floating Tunnel Operating under Various Conditions," *Procedia Eng.*, vol. 4, pp. 71–79, 2010.
- [20] E. M. M. Fonseca, F. De Melo, and C. A. M. Oliveira, "The Thermal and Mechanical Behaviour of Structural Steel Piping Systems," *Int. J. Press. Vessels Pip.*, vol. 82, no. 2, pp. 145–153, 2005.
- [21] M. Ali Ghaffari and H. Hosseini-Toudeshky, "Fatigue Crack Propagation Analysis of Repaired Pipes with Composite Patch under Cyclic Pressure," J. Press. Vessel Technol., vol. 135, no. 3, p. 031402, 2013.
- [22] A. Samanci, A. Avci, N. Tarakcioglu, and Ö. S. Şahin, "Fatigue Crack Growth of Filament Wound GRP Pipes with a Surface Crack under Cyclic Internal Pressure," *J. Mater. Sci.*, vol. 43, no. 16, pp. 5569–5573, 2008.
- [23] J. Akmal, A. Lubis, N. Tanti, N. Nuryanto, and A. W. Murti, "The TLP 2-DOF as an Alternative Model for Extreme Wave Application," *Kapal J. Ilmu Pengetah. Dan Teknol. Kelaut.*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 80–87, 2021.
- [24] T. Sarpkaya, Wave Forces on Offshore Structures. Cambridge university press, 2010.
- [25] V. Sundar, Ocean Wave Mechanics: Applications in Marine Structures. John Wiley & Sons, 2017.



- 1. Write your comments about "Research Objectives and / or Benefits" *
- The objective and the benefit of this study were not be stated.
- Please describe clearly the aims of this research and explain what do the readers get from this study.
- 2. Write your comments on "Research Objects and Treatment of Objects". *
- The methodology of the research was not deeply explained.
- Please explain step by step both experimental and numerical methods. What are the conditions and the setup? especially for numerical setup.
- This section is essential as the reader can adopt this method to be used.
- in Table 2. Which unit to be used in frequency, Hz or rad/s ?
- 3. Write your comments about "Research Results". (e.g. is it in accordance with existing theories, makes sense and so on) *
- - In chapter 3.1, author state depth with Z=-2 and -3. What is the unit? because in the graph the unit in metre, and the values between 0 to -0.6.
- - In Chapter 3.2, authors mention about the placement of wave maker influence the results. We can see it in Fig 7 c. Have you calibrated the experimental results before the test? Because, if

you've known that in this condition (z/d = 3/6) the results will be not accurate, why do you keep this condition on?

- Please give an explanation in this sub-chapter.
- - In Chapter 3.3, could you please explain in more detail how is the correction factor C (Table 3), obtained?
- in Figure 8, how could the force in Y abscissa transform from time dependent into single force? Did you mean the force in Y abscissa is the force amplitude?
- "The correction factor in each variation of curvature radius for the most recommended depth level (z/d=1/3) based on Fig. 6 is served in Table 3" this sentence was not clear and confusing. Because in table 3 the z/d=2/3, but you stated the most recommended z/d was 1/3. Which method in Table 3 did you use? Morison, experimental or numerical?
- - in chapter 3.4, please change this sentence to be more understandable. "In the kinematics equations of water particles, Equation (2) and Equation (3), expressed in the deeper position, the velocity and the acceleration of the water particles get smaller."
- You stated "the force" in the first sentence of this chapter as force amplitude. Could you please change the Y abscissa in Fig 9 from force to force amplitude?
- Please add more deep explanation, such as how many percent the force decreasing relating to the water depth.
- - in Chapter 3.5, Please add more deep explanation.
- Over all, in Chapter 3, the authors need to add more explanation relating the results. You can add the references to support your finding.
- 4. Write your comments about "Conclusions". *
- This statement: "Thus, we recommend z/d=2/6 is optimal for SFTB placement" should be explained in detail in Chapter 3 why do you determine z/d = 2/6 as the optimal placement?
- Please add the future work in this chapter.





ISSN: 1829-8370 (Print); 2301-9069 (Online) Terindeks di Google scholar, DOAJ dan Portal Garuda S-1 Teknik Perkapalan – Fakultas Teknik Undip Kampus Tembalang – Semarang Telp. 024-7680784, Fax. 024 7460055e-mail : kapal.ft.undip.ac.id http://ejournal.undip.ac.id/index.php/kapal

Revision Form

#Reviewer A

Title	Hydrodynamic forces on submerged floating tube: The effect of curvature radius and depth level
Reviewer	#A

Abstract

No	Reviewer comments	Revision
1#A	Comment [AT1]: it's better to use subject In the first sentences, delete this or use present study.	Revised: ,showed that

1. Introduction

No	Reviewer comments	Revision
	Comment [AT2,3,4 &5]: merge	Done
	Comment [AT6]: what is the wake II	an explanation sentence has been added
	equation?	
	Comment [AT7]: each of which is.?	Revised

2. Method

No	Reviewer comments	Revision
	Comment [AT8]: this figure is the same figure in the previous paper, please cited it.	Done
	Comment [AT9]: what is (1).	Revised to eq. (1)
	Comment [AT10]: what is (1).?	Revised to eq. (1)
	Comment [AT11]: be consistent for using variable CD or C_d	<i>CD</i> , revised to C_{D} .
	Comment [AT12]: this section deserves detailed information numerical setup for VOF setup/ CFD	has been added a paragraph of explanatory sentence

3. Results and Discussion

No	Reviewer comments	Revision
	Comment [AT13]: the different of the domain between experiment and	an explanation sentence has been added





ISSN: 1829-8370 (Print); 2301-9069 (Online) Terindeks di Google scholar, DOAJ dan Portal Garuda S-1 Teknik Perkapalan – Fakultas Teknik Undip Kampus Tembalang – Semarang Telp. 024-7680784, Fax. 024 7460055e-mail : kapal.ft.undip.ac.id

http://ejournal.undip.ac.id/index.php/kapal

numerical is missing in this section. In the experiment it showed the numerical domain is short, This can be one of the reason why is the accuracy slight decrease. Please add more explanation or discussion in this section.	
Comment [AT14]: Please added more explanation this section	an explanation sentence has been added
Comment [AT15]: Please add more explanation on this section based different R/L	an explanation sentence has been added
	numerical is missing in this section. In the experiment it showed the numerical domain is short, This can be one of the reason why is the accuracy slight decrease. Please add more explanation or discussion in this section. Comment [AT14]: Please added more explanation this section Comment [AT15]: Please add more explanation on this section based different R/L

4. Conclusion

No	Reviewer comments	Revision

5. Overall Comments

No	Reviewer comments	Revision

Revision Form

Reviewer #B

No	Reviewer comments	Revision
1	 Write your comments about "Research Objectives and / or Benefits" * 	in the last paragraph of chapter 1, added:
	• The objective and the benefit of this study were not be stated.	"The study was conducted to obtain a correction factor C to calculate the
	• Please describe clearly the aims of this research and explain what do the readers get from this study.	hydrodynamic force on a curved tube based on the Morison equation."
2.	2. Write your comments on "Research Objects and Treatment of Objects". *	has been added a paragraph of explanatory sentence:
	The methodology of the research was not deeply explained.Please explain step by step both	"The parameters used in the numerical analysis are the same as the specifications





ISSN: 1829-8370 (Print); 2301-9069 (Online) Terindeks di Google scholar, DOAJ dan Portal Garuda S-1 Teknik Perkapalan – Fakultas Teknik Undip Kampus Tembalang – Semarang Telp. 024-7680784, Fax. 024 7460055e-mail : kapal.ft.undip.ac.id http://ejournal.undip.ac.id/index.php/kapal

	•	experimental and numerical methods. What are the conditions and the setup? especially for numerical setup. This section is essential as the reader can adopt this method to be used.	on the experimental equipment. The analysis stages consist of preprocessing, solution, and postprocessing. In the preprocessing stage, geometry and meshing are made. In the solution stage, boundary conditions and mechanical properties of the material are defined. The results of the analysis are presented in the Postprocessing stage."
	•	in Table 2. Which unit to be used in frequency, Hz or rad/s ?	Tabel 2 Revised: ω Hz 1,55 (rad/s) (9,77)
3	•	Write your comments about "Research Results". (e.g. is it in accordance with existing theories, makes sense and so on) * - In chapter 3.1, author state depth with Z=-2 and -3. What is the unit? because in the graph the unit in metre, and the values between 0 to -0.6.	• Revised to: at depths z=-0.2 to z=-0.6 (z/d=1/3 to z/d=1),
	•	- In Chapter 3.2, authors mention about the placement of wave maker influence the results. We can see it in Fig 7 c. Have you calibrated the experimental results before the test? Because, if you've known that in this condition ($z/d = 3/6$) the results will be not accurate, why do you keep this condition on?	an explanation sentence has been added: In furture research, the difference of the domain between experimental and numerical can be solved by improving the design of the wave generator to get more accurate results.
	•	Please give an explanation in this sub-chapter.In Chapter 3.3, could you please explain in more detail how is the correction factor C (Table 3), obtained?	an explanation sentence has been added: The value of C is calculated based on the ratio of the hydrodynamic force on the curved tube to the hydrodynamic force of the straight tube
	•	in Figure 8, how could the force in Y abscissa transform from time dependent into single force? Did you mean the force in Y abscissa is the	Revised: Force in Y abscissa, revised to Force Amplitude





ISSN: 1829-8370 (Print); 2301-9069 (Online) Terindeks di Google scholar, DOAJ dan Portal Garuda S-1 Teknik Perkapalan – Fakultas Teknik Undip Kampus Tembalang – Semarang Telp. 024-7680784, Fax. 024 7460055e-mail : kapal.ft.undip.ac.id http://ejournal.undip.ac.id/index.php/kapal

	force amplitude?	
	 "The correction factor in each variation of curvature radius for most recommended depth level (z/d=1/3) based on Fig. 6 is server Table 3" this sentence was not c and confusing. Because in table 3 z/d=2/3, but you stated the most recommended z/d was 1/3. Whic method in Table 3 did you use? Morison, experimental or numeric 	Revised to:Tabel 3. The correction factor for variations in the curvature radius at optimal placement $(z/d = 1/3)$ ed in lear 3 thehcal?
	 - in chapter 3.4, please change the sentence to be more understandar "In the kinematics equations of we particles, Equation (2) and Equate (3), expressed in the deeper posite the velocity and the acceleration the water particles get smaller." 	is Sentence has revised to: ble. "In the kinematics equation of water particles as stated in Equation (2) and Equation (3), it can be seen that at deeper positions, the velocity and acceleration of water particles become smaller."
	• You stated "the force" in the first sentence of this chapter as force amplitude. Could you please cha the Y abscissa in Fig 9 from forc force amplitude?	Revised: Force in Y abscissa, revised to Force nge Amplitude e to
	 - in Chapter 3.5, Please add more deep explanation. 	an explanation sentence has been added: "In addition, the curvature ratio (R/L) affects the hydrodynamic forces, in agreement with those discussed in section 3.4."
4	 Write your comments about "Conclusions". * This statement: "Thus, we recommend z/d=2/6 is optimal for SFTB placement" should be explained in detail in Chapter 3 w do you determine z/d = 2/6 as the optimal placement? Please add the future work in this chapter. 	explained in the following sentence: "At the depth level $z/d\approx 1/3$ to $z/d=1$, the hydrodynamic forces are not significantly reduced/" s