

PAPER NAME

**7688-Article Text-26842-1-10-20210629
_Garmien_Arizka.pdf**

AUTHOR

Garmien

WORD COUNT

8064 Words

CHARACTER COUNT

46785 Characters

PAGE COUNT

15 Pages

FILE SIZE

235.5KB

SUBMISSION DATE

Jan 25, 2023 9:21 PM GMT+7

REPORT DATE

Jan 25, 2023 9:21 PM GMT+7**● 12% Overall Similarity**

The combined total of all matches, including overlapping sources, for each database.

- 11% Internet database
- 5% Publications database
- Crossref database
- Crossref Posted Content database

● Excluded from Similarity Report

- Submitted Works database
- Bibliographic material
- Quoted material
- Cited material
- Manually excluded sources

4 Submission	Review Process	Revised	Accepted	Published
26-04-2021	03-05 s/d 07-05-2021	23-05-2021	24-06-2021	30-06-2021

19 *Jurnal Studi Sosial dan Politik, Vol. 5, No. 1, 30 June 2021 (133-147)*
 ISSN 25978756
 E-ISSN 25978764

Jurnal Studi Sosial dan Politik Published by FISIP, Universitas Islam Negeri Raden Fatah Palembang

1 Managing Organizational Conflict in the 2019 Concurrent Election In Palembang

Garmien Mellia

18 Faculty of Social and Political Sciences, University of Lampung
 Email: garmien@yahoo.com

Hertanto

4 Faculty of Social and Political Sciences, University of Lampung
 Email: hertanto.1960@fisip.unila.ac.id

Arizka Warganegara

4 Faculty of Social and Political Sciences, University of Lampung
 Email: arizka.warganegara@fisip.unila.ac.id

Robi Cahyadi Kurniawan

4 Faculty of Social and Political Sciences, University of Lampung
 Email: robi.cahyadi@fisip.unila.ac.id

Abstract

1 In the 2019 concurrent election, the General Election Commission of Palembang City was prosecuted due to the accusation of not executing recommendations and not protecting voting rights. This research aims to : (1) identify the source of internal conflict; (2) identify the source of external conflict, and (3) analyze conflict management applied to resolve the conflict. This research's method is qualitative descriptive, data were collected through interviews with purposive informants and documentation. Research shows that conflict was mainly caused by lack of regulation and human resources factors which can be specified into internal conflict were caused by personal factors such as (1) communicational barrier; (2) conflict of interest in recruitment; (3) character differences. Functional factors were disproportioned structure and lack of competence. External conflicts were mainly caused by lack of regulation resulted in misperceptions and also personal and intervention factors. By using the framework of organizational conflict management, it has become clear that the General Election Commission of Palembang applied different approaches to conflict management: *forcing* and *withdrawal* for ad hoc electoral body, and *institutional* (legal approach) in dealing with the Election Supervisory Agency of Palembang. Although they might produce some agreements, those types of conflict management were not leading to genuine resolution since it was a win-lose pattern. It is important to formulate rigid regulation, enhance the competence of election organizers, develop check and balance coordination, and synergize as fellow election organizers to avoid conflict reoccurrence in the future.

Keywords: *Continuation Of Electoral Process, Election Crime, Conflict Management*

Abstrak

KPU Kota Palembang menghadapi gugatan pidana pemilu dan pelanggaran kode etik pada Pemilu Serentak 2019 karena dianggap tidak menjalankan rekomendasi Bawaslu dan menghilangkan hak pilih rakyat. Penelitian ini bertujuan mengetahui: (1) penyebab konflik internal dengan badan *ad hoc*; (2) penyebab konflik eksternal dengan Bawaslu Kota Palembang; dan (3) manajemen konflik yang diterapkan KPU Kota Palembang dalam menghadapi konflik. Metode penelitian ini adalah deskriptif kualitatif, dengan data yang bersumber dari hasil wawancara terhadap informan yang dipilih secara purposif serta dokumentasi. Hasil penelitian menunjukkan bahwa konflik pada dasarnya disebabkan oleh faktor regulasi dan SDM dimana konflik internal disebabkan oleh faktor personal yaitu (1) hambatan komunikasi; (2) konflik kepentingan dalam rekrutmen *ad hoc*; (3) perbedaan karakter, sementara dari faktor fungsional yakni distribusi personil yang timpang dan kurangnya pemahaman terhadap tugas. Konflik eksternal bersumber dari faktor regulasi yang menyebabkan perbedaan penafsiran serta konflik personal dan adanya upaya intervensi dari peserta pemilu. Analisis terhadap manajemen konflik yang dilakukan dengan menggunakan kerangka kerja konflik organisasi menunjukkan bahwa KPU Kota Palembang menggunakan pendekatan manajemen konflik yang berbeda yakni *forcing* dan *withdrawal* (menarik diri) terhadap badan *ad hoc*, serta *institutionalization* (legal) terhadap Bawaslu Kota Palembang. Pendekatan tersebut tidak menghasilkan resolusi konflik murni karena bersifat menangkalah, terbukti dari adanya ketidakpuasan pihak-pihak yang berkonflik terhadap penyelesaian konflik tersebut. Perlu adanya perumusan regulasi turunan yang rinci, peningkatan kompetensi SDM, pengembangan relasi koordinasi *check and balance* yang sehat, serta sinergitas antara KPU dan Bawaslu sebagai satu kesatuan penyelenggara pemilu untuk mencegah konflik terulang kembali di masa mendatang.

Kata Kunci: Pemilu Lanjutan, Pidana Pemilu, Manajemen Konflik

INTRODUCTION

In just six months after being inaugurated, all five commissioners of General Election Commission of Palembang City were suspended through the Chairman Decree of General Election Commission of Indonesia Number 1193/HK.06.1-Kpt/05/KPU/VII/2019 dated 5 July 2019, following the election crime violation case reported by the Election Supervisory Agency of Palembang City. This case originated from ballot shortage at numerous polling stations in Palembang during the 2019 Concurrent Election. District Supervisory Committee of Ilir Timur II then issued the recommendations to held continuation of electoral process at 70 (seventy) polling stations which were then forwarded by District Selection Committee of Ilir Timur II to the General Election Commission of Palembang City. General Election Commission of Palembang City followed up on the recommendation by doing identification and clarification to those polling stations stated in the recommendation. Identification was carried out by tracing supporting documents such as minutes reports, vote count results, attendance lists, etc. General Election Commission of Palembang City then gathered the election organizers at the ad hoc level to clarified on the case and to make sure that they are ready to held the continuation of electoral process. The results of the identification and clarification then was used by the General Election Commission of Palembang City to determine the continuation of electoral process in 31 (thirty one) polling stations. The number of polling stations was revised several times as there was a wave of rejection to held the continuation of electoral process from both citizens and election organizers at the ad hoc level by attaching a Statement Letter, stating that the election was completely held so there was no need to held further process.

On April 27, 2019, the continuation of electoral process was finally held, but only in 13 (thirteen) polling stations. The reduction in the number of polling stations was considered as an act of neglecting Election Supervisory Agency of Palembang City's recommendation and also eliminating the people's right to vote. After series of joint studies by Integrated Law Enforcement, the Election Supervisory Agency of Palembang City then filed a report against all five commissioner of the General Election Commission of Palembang City to the Sub Regional Police of Palembang for alleged election crime violations in the form of depriving the people's right to vote. At the same time, they also filed a report to the Election Organizer Ethics Council on violating the election organizer's code of ethics.

Palembang District Court through Sentence Number 1071/ Pid.B / 2019/ PN.PLG dated 12 July 2019 stipulates that all five commissioners of General Election Commission of Palembang City have been legally and convincingly proven guilty of "participating in criminal acts of election organizers which deliberately cause others to lose their rights to vote". General Election Commission of Palembang City then filed an appeal to the Palembang High Court, but Sentence Number 135/PID/2019/PT.PLG issued on July 26, 2019 simply corroborated the previous sentence from the Palembang District Court. The Election Organizer Ethics Council through Sentence Number 147- PKE-DKPP/VII/2019 dated September 4, 2019 then became the final verdict for this case, where this sentence states that all five commissioners of General Election Commission of Palembang City have violated the code of ethics of the election organizers and therefore no longer meet the requirements to become election organizers in the future. Previously, the General Election Commission of Indonesia had also issued the decree on the dismissal of all five commissioners of General Election Commission of Palembang City, as a follow-up to the District High Court sentence. The dismissal of all five commissioners of General Election Commission of Palembang City resulted in the vacancy of the commissioners, so that the General Election Commission of South Sumatra Province then took over the duties of the commissioners as a work unit one level above it.

As a mechanism to fill political positions, the election itself is already a means of preventing conflict between the parties competing in it. Based on this, it is not appropriate if the election organizers themselves were involved in conflict. General Election Commission of Palembang City was facing internal conflicts with election organizers at the ad hoc level, in particular with District Polling Committees of Ilir Timur II related to the continuation of electoral process mechanism and Voting Organizing Group of Sungai Buah Sub-district regarding the rejection to held the continuation of electoral process. The external conflict with the Election Supervisory Agency of Palembang City was based on the lawsuit for alleged election crime and violating the election organizer's code of ethics.

² This study aims to identify the causes of internal and external conflicts faced by the General Election Commission of Palembang City and to analyze the conflict management used to resolved those conflicts. Conflicts between fellow election organizers are very likely to reoccured so it is necessary to study the causes to prevent conflicts in the future for a better coordination in the future. The continuation of electoral process in Palembang City is a follow-up to an extraordinary incident (shortage of ballot) that occurred during the election. In addition to the continuation of electoral process, Law Number 7 of 2017 of General Election also includes other mechanisms, namely re-election and follow-up elections which refer to post-election voting with different causes and procedures. Re-election is a more popular term to public, even the initial documentation on this case still uses the term re-election before finally changing to the continuation of electoral process. Conflict caused by the allegation of neglecting the

Election Supervisory Agency's recommendation which resulted in the dismissal of all five commissioners of General Election Commission of Palembang City so far has only occurred in Palembang. Previous studies have mostly taken re-election as a research topic, regarding its impact in fragmenting groups in bureaucracy (Tinov et al., 2011) and the decreased of voter turnout (Hussein, 2013). Similar to the incident occurred in Palembang, the shortage of ballot in Tanjung Pinang causing the re-election were proven to be caused by the absence of explicit rules specifically regulating the re-election and how to handle the source of problems (in this case the ballot was swapped) so it was suggested that the General Election Commission have to issue more specific and technical regulations as precautions (Haryanti, 2014).

As a conflict-prone political mechanism, it is important for the government and election organizer in particular to design electoral systems and procedures that minimize conflict. Violations that usually occur are mostly administrative, so they must be resolved by preparing a legal procedures to prevent potential conflicts source to develop into open conflicts as revealed by Humaedi (2018) along with Anggraini, et.al (2019) which emphasizes the important role of election regulation in preventing conflict. In other perspective, Loilatu (2018) found that government institutionalization and local wisdom as well as kinship approaches can also be used as mechanisms for conflict prevention and resolution.

In general viewing, electoral conflict were seen as conflicts involving election participants, including the community as their supporters. Fischer (2002) defines conflict and election violations as "any random or organized act or threat to intimidate, physically harm, blackmail, or abuse a political stakeholder in seeking to determine, delay, or to otherwise influence an electoral process". Furthermore, he stated that the conflict and electoral violations based on the chronology can be described as follows:

1. Identity conflict, conflicts arising during the registration process and updating voter data;
2. Campaign conflict, conflicts that arise during a campaign when election participants compete unfairly by carrying out black campaigns, spreading hoaxes, and intimidating voters with threats and violence;
3. Balloting conflict, conflicts that occurred during the election in which the election participants and their supporters caused disturbances or riots at polling stations or other places;
4. ⁹ Results conflict, conflicts arising from disputes over the results of vote counting and the inability of the judicial mechanism to resolve these disputes in a fair, timely and transparent manner;
5. Representation conflict, conflict that arises when the power in parliament is not distributed equally so that the concept of governance cannot be implemented properly.

⁶ Petit (2000) states that dispute in elections is an inherent matter. The challenges faced in the implementation of the election and the results of the election should not be considered as weaknesses of the system, but must be seen as evidence of the strength and ⁹ openness of the political system. He explains further that the important issues of the theories on election dispute / conflict resolution as follows:

1. The validity of the results, which then forms the basis for contesting the election results;
2. Administrative actions by the Electoral Management Body in solving problems, which in turn affect matters related to election and voting rights violations;
3. Criminal charges for parties who have or are indicated to have violated the electoral process.

Several important elements of the electoral conflict as described by Fischer (2002) and Petit (2000) above, in this case actually emerged from conflict between fellow election organizers, not conflict between pairs of candidates and their supporters as were commonly found in various examples of previous research cases mentioned earlier. The difference between conflicting parties also makes the different perspective for conflict management used in this study because it uses an organizational conflict management approach, not electoral conflict approach. Muspawi (2014) states that organizational conflict resolution is intended to avoid the bad impact of the conflict, and to bring wisdom and benefits to the organization concerned. In line with this, Dalimunthe (2016) states that conflict in the organization is triggered by inevitable changes and differences.

In this study, changes in adjudication authority and differences in perceptions regarding Election Supervisory Agency's recommendations is a real example of changes and differences that trigger conflict. This is confirmed by Heri & Elyasari (2019) in their journal which also refers to this case where the phrase "recommendation" in the Election Supervisory Agency ruling turned out to have undergone a shift in meaning to become obligatory, and the improper implementation of it can lead to serious law suit.

Robbins (1974) in Berkovitch, (1983) identify 3 (three) sources of organizational conflict in which the understanding of the sources of conflict will increase the effectiveness of conflict management applied. The sources of the conflict are: (1), Communicational, conflicts arising from misunderstanding or failure to communicate; (2) Structural, conflicts related to the role of the organization; and (3) Personal, conflicts triggered by individual differences.

According to Robbins, ²⁷ identification of the source of conflict will affect the conflict management method that will be applied because each source of conflict has a different conflict management approach. Although agrees with Robbins' opinion, Berkovitch has a different perspective in determining the sources of the conflict. According to him, conflict can be distinguished as follows:

1. Intrapersonal conflict, conflict within the individual that is triggered by conflict or inner conflict from the individual;
2. Interpersonal conflict, conflicts arising from human interaction, which can be divided into 2 (two) factors:
 - a. Personal. Every individual is different, each of which carries attitudes, values, needs and characters in which their interactions with others have the potential to trigger conflict and affect organizational performance;
 - b. Functional. Every individual in the organization has a set of behaviors related to their position. Interpersonal conflicts can arise in interactions between individuals regarding their function or position in the organization;
3. Interdepartmental conflict, differences in structure, objectives, specializations, and all matters related to the characteristics of the department / organization in which their interactions with other departments / organizations lead to conflicts.

Rusdiana (2015) states that conflict management ¹⁴ is a series of actions and reactions between actors or outsiders in a conflict. Conflict management includes a process-oriented approach that directs the form of communication (including behavior) from actors or outsiders by influencing decisions. Ross (1993) in Rusdiana (2015) states that conflict management is the steps taken by actors or third parties in order to direct disputes towards certain outcomes that may or may not result in conflict resolution and calm, positive, creative, consensus, or aggressive.

Berkovitch (1983) states that the main function of conflict management is to manage disputes or to avoid escalation of the conflict. Conflict resolution can refer to

either handling conflict or eliminating the source of conflict. He explained that organizational conflict management varies according to the cause, origin and context. Conflict management can be carried out by the parties involved or carried out by intervention from other parties. Conflict management is said to be effective if it is successful in: (1) Minimizing all disturbances arising from the existence of the conflict, and (2) Providing satisfying and acceptable solutions. Identification of the source of conflict is critical to proper conflict management. The right conflict management method determines the success of conflict resolution and the anticipation of conflict recurrence. Conflict management aims to achieve optimal performance by keeping conflict functional and minimizing the adverse impacts of conflict.

As stated before, election is a political-prone mechanism. In order to be able to establish democratic peace and integrity, all fellow election organizer have to be able to work together in harmony. Therefore, it is necessary to eliminate potential conflict factors and to analyze conflict management for better understanding in the future.

RESEARCH METHOD

This research is a qualitative descriptive study. This approach was chosen to analyze this case because of its capability of providing a clear and complete picture of the phenomena holistically. Sugiyono (2013) states that "Descriptive research is a research intended to investigate conditions or other things that have been mentioned, the results of which are presented in the form of a research report. Descriptive method is used to get a systematic, factual and accurate description of the facts and the characteristics of the phenomenon".

Informants in this study was chosen carefully through purposive sampling technique. Hagan (2006) in Lune & Berg (2017) use the term judgmental sampling because the researcher's assessment plays a major role in the selection of informants with this method. He stated, "In the pre-research process, the researcher first investigates the related parties to determine which informants represent the attributes / positions related to the research problem or who are considered to represent a group of people involved in the problem. "

The informants in this study were were selected based on their role with the subject in this study as follows:

Table 1. Informants

No	Name	Position
1	Eftiyani	Chairman of the General Election Commission of Palembang City in the 2019 Concurrent Election;
2	Chemi Martin Punggar	Head of Technical Subdivision of General Election Commission of Palembang City in the 2019 Concurrent Election;
3	Trianandha Rindha	Head of Subdivision of Law of General Election Commission of Palembang City;
4	INF1	One of the member of District Selection Committee of Ilir Timur II;
5	INF2	One of the member of Voting Organizing Group of Sungai Buah Sub District;
6	Kelly Mariana	Chairman of the General Election Commission of South Sumatra Province;
7	Hepriyadi	Commissioner of the General Election Commission of South Sumatra Province, Legal Division;
8	Eko Kusnadi	Commissioner of Election Supervisory Agency of Palembang City;
9	Anisatul Mardiah	Academics from UIN Raden Fatah, also

		serves as one of Local Investigating Team of Election Organizer Ethics Council;
10	Zen Zanibar	Academics from Sriwijaya University;
11	Kemas Achmad Rivai	Journalist from Sumatera Ekspres;
12	Kemas Khoirul Mukhlis	Director of Lintas Politika;
13	INF3	Community leaders in Sungai Buah Sub District.

Sources: Compiled by the Authors, ¹¹2020

Sources of data in this study are primary data and secondary data. Silalahi (2012) states that the primary source is an object or original document - the raw material of the perpetrator or "first hand information". Primary data in this study were obtained from interviews with informants. Secondary data in this study were obtained from literature / documentation on the Laws and Regulations; documentation of correspondence; minutes, reports, court sentences, etc, including news from the mass media.

The reliability of the data collected must be supported by the validity of the data. Creswell (2009) states that validity in qualitative research is based on ascertaining whether the research results are accurate from the perspective of the researcher, participant, or reader in general. In this study, the strategy used to ensure the validity of the data is to triangulate the data by collecting data through 3 (three) groups of sources with different perspectives to understand the phenomena that occur from the perspective of the three and so that a common thread that justifies the data can be drawn. this research.

The analysis of these data was carried out according to the activity stages as stated by Miles, Huberman, & Saldana (2014): Data condensation, Data display, and Drawing and verifying conclusion. Creswell (2009) explained that the data analysis process in qualitative research is carried out inductively where the researcher builds patterns, categories and themes in a bottom up manner, by organizing the data into more tangible units of information. In this study, the data collected will be selected and collected in several categories based on data sources and informants, then grouped into theme groups according to the frame of mind. The results of the processed data are then presented to begin the process of drawing conclusions and verifying the results of the study.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Palembang City consists of 18 (eighteen) districts and 107 (one hundred seven) sub-districts which are divided into 6 (six) Electoral Regions. The Plenary Meeting of Recapitulation and Determination of the Second Revised Voters List through Minutes Number: 354/PL.01.2-BA/01/Kota/XII/2018 determined the number of voters in Palembang City amounted to 1,126,087 (one million one hundred twenty six thousand eight seven) people with male voters totaling 557,261 (five hundred fifty seven thousand two hundred sixty one) people and women totaling 568,826 (five hundred sixty eight thousand eight hundred twenty six) people. The number of voters has an effect on the number of ballots in the 2019 concurrent election with 5 (five) ballot boxes so that the number of ballot managed by the General Election Commission of Palembang City becomes more than 5 (five) million ballot. Ballot in the 2019 concurrent elections are one of the election logistics, which are procured centrally by the General Election Commission of Indonesia and are sent gradually throughout Indonesia.

The Concurrent Election in 2019 was held less than one year after the Election for the Governor and Deputy Governor of South Sumatra and the Election for the Mayor and Deputy Mayor of Palembang within the scope of the 2018 Concurrent Local Election. This adjacent period of time impacted on several election stages of Concurrent elections that must be carried out in the Concurrent Local Election stages, such as updating voter's

list, preparing for candidacy registration, preparation of electoral arrangement proposals, including the formation of an election organizer for the ad hoc level.

The stages of the 2019 Concurrent Election which began in 2017 (for planning and budgeting) remains the responsibility of the General Election Commission of Palembang City's Commissioner for the 2013-2018 term. General Election Commission of Palembang City recruited the ad hoc body to form an election management structure at the district, sub-district and polling station levels before the election. Decree of the General Election Commission of the Republic of Indonesia Number 51/PP.05/Kpt/03/KPU/ I/2018 concerning Technical Guidelines for the Establishment of District Election Committees (PPK), Voting Committees (PPS), and Voting Organizing Groups (KPPS) in The General Elections became the basis for the General Election Commission of Palembang City to form District Election Committees and Voting Committees with a re-appointment mechanism through evaluation of their performance while serving in the 2018 Palembang Mayor and Deputy Mayor Election.

General Election Commission of Palembang City's commissioners for the term of office of 2019-2024 were inaugurated on January 7, 2019 based on General Election Commission of Indonesia's Decree Number 155/PP.06-Kpt/05/KPU/I/2019 dated January 5, 2019 concerning the Appointment of Members of the General Election Commission of Palembang City Period 2019-2024. After the inauguration, all five General Election Commission of Palembang City's Commissioners only have about 3 (three) months before the 2019 Concurrent Election on April 17, 2019. This means that all five General Election Commission of Palembang City's Commissioners must quickly adapt the hectic work rhythm before the election, developed good coordination with the secretariat, ad hoc bodies and stakeholders, and continued the election stages that had been started by the previous commissioners.

Internal Conflict between General Election Commission of Palembang City and Ad hoc Bodies

The internal conflict in this study initially referred to the conflict between the General Election Commission of Palembang City and the Voting Organizing Groups of Sungai Buah Sub-district who refused to held continuation of the electoral process which resulted in a election crime violation, because the continuation of the electoral process was not held in as many polling stations as recommended by Election Supervisory Agency of Palembang City. The research later showed that internal conflicts occurred not only with the Voting Organizing Groups of Sungai Buah Sub-district, but also with ad hoc agencies at its upper level, namely the District Polling Committees of Ilir Timur II. The conflict between the General Election Commission of Palembang City and the District Polling Committees of Ilir Timur II began to emerge since there was a shortage of ballot in the concurrent elections and escalated after the occurrence of the election crime violation case, in which the District Polling Committees of Ilir Timur II was considered to be impartial and not supporting the General Election Commission of Palembang City.

The internal conflict with the ad hoc body referred to in this sub-section is the conflict between the General Election Commission of Palembang City and the District Polling Committees of Ilir Timur II regarding the continuation of electoral process mechanism and Voting Organizing Groups of Sungai Buah Sub District regarding the rejection of the implementation of the continuation of electoral process. The analysis of the research was carried out using an interpersonal conflict approach (Berkovitch, 1983). Interpersonal conflict in organizations as described by Berkovitch (1983) can arise due to factors of personal differences, differences in perceptions, and functional differences that can lead to distrust, misunderstanding, and unhealthy competition.

The interpersonal approach is used because conflict occurs in an organizational structure and is personal (does not involve all personnel in the organizational structure). Berkovitch (1983) states that interpersonal conflicts occur in interactions within organizations, especially those that are hierarchical in nature such as relationships between superiors and subordinates, in this case the General Election Commission Palembang City with the ad hoc structure underneath.

Analysis of the interviews conducted with informants showed that there were personal and functional differences that triggered conflict between the General Election Commission of Palembang City and the Ad hoc bodies. These personal and functional factors then lead to differences in perceptions between the two parties in carrying out their duties, as well as in implementing mechanisms for handling extraordinary incident that were not properly anticipated.

The sources conflict between the General Election Commission of Palembang City and Ad-hoc Bodies are:

A. Personal Factors

Personal factors contributes as the source of conflict between the General Election Commission Palembang City and ad hoc bodies are:

1. Miss-Communication

Communicational barriers began with the formation stages of the PPK and PPS which preceded the appointment/inauguration of the General Election Commission of Palembang City's Commissioner.

The stages of the 2019 Concurrent Election, which began at the end of 2017, made the initial stages of the 2019 election were under the responsibility of the General Election Commission of Palembang City's Commissioner for the 2013-2018 term of office. Analysis of the interviews with informants shows that the ad hoc bodies structures were formed long before the General Election Commission of Palembang City's Commissioner for the 2019-2024 term of office inauguration. After the inauguration, the new commissioner only have about three months before the election, made it difficult for both parties to develop cooperation well.

The term of office of the commissioners should refer to the election cycle. Ideally, one membership period carries out a complete election cycle from the start. The end of the term of office that was not in accordance with the election cycle resulted in a communication gap with the ranks below, especially election organizers at the ad hoc level who could not coordinate directly with the commissioners at any time. The beginning of the term of office which is too close to the election also causes the newly appointed commissioners to immediately adapt to the hectic stages of the election. Given that not all commissioners have the same electoral background and level of ability to master all tasks, there should be an evaluation to the term of office.

2. Conflict of interest in the recruitment of ad hoc bodies.

Interviews with several informants indicated that there was an influence from political elements and conflicts of interest in the recruitment of ad hoc bodies which later affected the relationship between the General Election Commission of Palembang City and the ad hoc agency because it was difficult to develop good coordination without the trust from both parties.

The conflict of interest referred in this factor is the intervention of local government officials during the recruitment of ad hoc bodies. The intervention was carried out by "entrusting" a list of the names of PPK and PPS candidate personnel who were generally confidants, relatives, or other relations with personal goals or political interests.

Previous research on ad hoc management of the Palembang Local Elections in 2018 by Trisnawati (2019) revealed that political interests can come from the local government (district and sub-district) by entrusting or recommending their trusted people, because they still have political interests with election participants, especially incumbent candidates. Another aspect that was also revealed from Trisnawati's research was that in addition to being entrusted by the local government, members of the ad hoc body also came from people closest to members of the General Election Commission of Palembang City's Commissioner (Trisnawati, 2019). Considering that the recruitment was carried out in the previous commissioner period, this then led to an opinion from the new commissioner regarding the personnel of the ad hoc agency which then influenced the relationship between the two. The relationship overshadowed by the prejudice (presumption) such as this contributes to the internal conflict between the ³General Election Commission of Palembang City and the ad hoc agency because it is difficult to build effective communication upon the suspicions.

3. Differences in individual character.

Differences in individual character as explained by Robbins (1974) in Berkovitch (1983) is a source of conflict that emerges from the fact that every individual is not the same, each one carries attitudes, values, needs and personal characteristics which in their interactions with other people have the potential to trigger conflict and affect organizational performance. In line with this, Rahim (2001) states that each individual has its own set of values and priorities when faced with a situation where each can make different decisions regarding this matter.

Interviews with informants showed that the problem of character differences was not properly resolved. Although both parties stated that they were professional, based on the analysis of the interviews, the perception of the character of certain parties was proven to hinder communication and coordination in the implementation of election administration.

B. Sources of interpersonal conflict are:

1. Unequal (disproportioned) distribution of personnel.

The General Election Commission of Palembang City oversees 90 (ninety) District Polling Committee members, 321 (three hundred twenty one) Voting Committee members and 33,635 (thirty three thousand six hundred and thirty five) Voting Organizing Group members spread over 18 (eighteen) districts, 107 (one hundred seven) sub districts and 4,805 (four thousand eight hundred and five) polling stations throughout Palembang during the 2019 Concurrent Election. The figures show that the structure formed at the lowest level is quite large and managed by only 3 (three) members of Voting Committee per sub district. Since the Voting Organizing Groups inauguration was held only one week prior to the election, the limited time did not give adequate condition to create a good climate for cooperation to the levels above, and certainly not an ideal condition for establishing good coordination and communication relationships, especially with the composition of human resources that is not balanced in terms of numbers.

2. Personnel competence (the ability to comprehend duties, authorities and obligations).

Although the General Election Commission has held a series of human resource capacity building activities for commissioners, the short period of time since being inaugurated along with the busy activities of the election stages has affected the control of the General Election Commission of Palembang City's Commissioner over their duties, especially for personnel who do not have an election organizer background. From the point of view of election organizers at the ad hoc level, the results of the research show that the technical

guidance held for ad hoc bodies, especially at the lowest level, was not good enough in terms of quantity and quality, which greatly affects the competence of election administrators. Technical course modules mainly focus on updating voter list, election administration procedures and filling administrative forms.

The research analysis shows that the incompatible stages between forming an ad hoc body and the end of the commissioner's term of office resulted in a lack of time to develop a good communication relationship, since both parties only had three months to interact before the election. The hectic electoral stage activities also did not provide room to develop more intense interpersonal interactions, especially at the KPPS level with the largest number of human resources who were just inaugurated a week before the election. Harmonious relationships based on trust are also difficult to build because of the influence of an element of interest in the recruitment of ad hoc bodies.

An understanding of the duties, authorities and obligations within the organization is very important, especially for election organizers with hectic work stages in an pressing period of time. The research showed that the factors as mentioned above caused inconsistencies in carrying out the following tasks below:

1. In handling the shortage of ballot in Ilir Timur II Subdistrict at the time of the 2019 Concurrent Election, the research show that the mechanism for handling ballot shortages is not fully understood by the ad hoc agency and is not properly resolved by the General Election Commission of Palembang City;
2. The post-special election implementation mechanism (ballot shortage) and the handling of Election Supervisory Agency recommendations, the study show that ad hoc bodies did not fully understand the mechanisms for handling extraordinary incidents and how to follow-up to Panwascam's recommendations, which are also not properly addressed to the General Election Commission of Palembang City.

External Conflict between General Election Commission of Palembang City and Election Supervisory Agency of Palembang City

Analysis of the conflict between the two institutions is carried out with the perspective of interdepartmental conflict (Berkovitch, 1983). Berkovitch states that Interdepartmental Conflict is triggered by differences in structure, objectives, specializations, and all things related to the characteristics of the department / organization which in their interactions with other departments / organizations can lead to conflict. Rahim (2001) use the term intergroup conflict which refers to incompatibilities or disagreements between two or more divisions, departments, or subsystems in relation to tasks, information, and so on.

The use of this approach is based on the position of the General Election Commission and Election Supervisory Agency as fellow election organizers, both referring to the same laws and regulations, namely Law No.7 of 2017 concerning General Elections. The law states that the General Election Commission, Election Supervisory Agency and Election Organizer Ethics Council have a unitary function to hold general elections. This unity of functions then makes the distinctive relationship between the three of them, especially between the General Election Commission and Election Supervisory Agency as two institutions whose main tasks are intersecting each other.

General Election Commission and Election Supervisory Agency as two institutions that jointly carry out the stages of election administration have a task-dependency relationship where each party cannot carry out its duties and functions properly without the involvement of the other party. Berkovitch (1983) stated that interdependence can actually produce collaboration, but on the other hand it can also be a source of conflict.

The analysis of the research results shows that the external conflict between the General Election Commission of Palembang City and the Election Supervisory Agency of Palembang City is basically caused by the following things:

- 1) The difference in interpreting the procedures / provisions and mechanisms for implementing the continuation of electoral process. Though it is based on the same Law, the lack of derivative regulations regarding the continuation of electoral process mechanism causes different interpretations between the General Election Commission of Palembang City and the Election Supervisory Agency of Palembang City regarding the continuation of electoral process;
- 2) Different perspective about suffrage protection. The research showed that both institutions have their own way on interpreting the protection of the right to vote. This disagreement raises debate about voting as right and not obligation and limitation to suffrage protection, especially for people who have declared themselves unwilling to vote;
- 3) Different perspective of the Statement Letter, the existence of the Statement Letter which was originally intended as evidence that the election was running smoothly and therefore no need to held continuation of electoral process later bounced back because it was considered as an act of mobilizing KPPS and citizens to not carry out continuation of electoral process and at the same time, closing access to use their voting rights;
- 4) Differences perspective on how to follow-up of Election Supervisory Agency's recommendations. For General Election Commission of Palembang City, the recommendations were already followed up by doing clarification and identification of voting stations, and also the implementation of the continuation of electoral process. But the decision to reduced the number of voting stations less than recommended which lead to an election crime lawsuit, has rise to the impression that the Election Supervisory Agency recommendation is mandatory for compliance;
- 5) Personal conflicts and intervention from election participants. From interview with Chairperson of the Palembang City General Election Commission in the 2019 Concurrent Election, he revealed that he received political pressure from certain groups who wanted to change the election results, and therefore urge to held re-election. This has led to allegations of Election Supervisory Agency as a political tool and further exacerbated the conflict between the two.

Conflict Management Applied by General Election Commission of Palembang City
Berkovitch (1983) explained that recognition of the sources and characteristics of conflict plays an important role in determining the conflict management method that should be used, where the source and type of conflict will determine the different conflict management methods. Conflict management methods for interpersonal conflict according to Berkovitch (1983) divided into 5 (five) methods namely withdrawal, smoothing, compromise, forcing and problem solving. As for interdepartmental conflicts, Berkovitch (1983) also distinguishes conflict management methods into 5 (five) methods, namely avoidance, institutionalization (seeking legal certainty through a higher institution), rules and procedures (establishing new rules and procedures), bargaining, mediation / arbitration (appoint a third party to mediate / arbitrate).

The results showed that the General Election Commission of Palembang City adopted a different approach in dealing with conflicts with ad hoc agencies. The General Election Commission of Palembang City used its authority as an institution with a higher structural hierarchy to force (forcing) PPK Ilir Timur II to just accept what had been

stipulated. Meanwhile, for KPPS that refused to hold further elections, the General Election Commission of Palembang City took an act of neglect and instead provided access by receiving a Statement Letter so that the conflict management method used by the General Election Commission of Palembang City against KPPS was withdrawal.

A formal legal approach through legal channels through higher institutions (institutionalization) is used in dealing with conflicts with the Election Supervisory Agency of Palembang City. As the defendant, the General Election Commission of Palembang City actually did not have any other choice but to follow the legal process, especially since the Election Supervisory Agency did not provide room for conflict resolution by other methods because it was not in accordance with the applicable violation resolution procedures.

The above conflict methods (forcing, withdrawal, and institutionalization) were not leading into a genuine conflict resolution because it is only oriented towards a win-lose relationship and tends to bury the conflict without solving the root of the problem. The internal and external conflicts faced by the General Election Commission of Palembang City after the 2019 Concurrent Election finally resolved on their own by the end of the working period of election organizers at the ad hoc body level and the issuance of the sentence from the District Courts and High Courts and also the Election Organizer Council as the final verdict.

However, the research show that the parties involved in the conflicts are not fully satisfied with the resulting conflict resolution, but have no other choice but to accept what has been determined. This dissatisfaction shows that the root causes of the conflict have not been resolved completely and the resulting conflict resolution is not a genuine solution so that without fundamental changes to the factors causing the conflict above, the potential for conflict can reoccured in the future.

CONCLUSION

The results showed that the internal conflict between the General Election Commission of Palembang City and the ad hoc agency was caused by personal and functional factors, whilst the external conflict was basically caused different perspective and also personal conflicts and intervention from election participants..

The conflict management method applied by the KPU of Palembang City in dealing with conflicts with ad hoc bodies was forcing and withdrawal. External conflict was resolved with a formal legal approach through higher institutions (institutionalization). As a win-lose conflict management method, the those methods tend to bury conflicts without solving the root of the problem because they do not guarantee genuine resolution. The conflict eventually resolved itself with the end of the election administration's tenure at the ad hoc body level and the issuance of legal sencenté. However, the results of the research show that the parties involved are not fully satisfied with the resulting conflict resolution, but have no other choice but to accept what has been determined.

Based on this research, it is important to fix the causative factors above to avoid conflict reoccurred. General Election Commission needs to evaluate on commissioner's terms of office, improving election logistics supervision and technical course for election organizer, creating effective coordination, and providing more detailed derivative regulations regarding recommendation follow up, the mechanism for implementing further elections, shifting and fulfilling the shortage of ballot, and also handling extraordinary incidents during the election. It is important to formulate rigid regulation, enhance the competence of election organizer, develop check and balance coordination, and to synergize as fellow election organizer to avoid conflict reoccurrence in the future.

REFERENCES

- Anggraini, S., & Dkk. (2019). Regulasi Konflik Pilkada (Studi Kasus Resolusi Konflik Pilkada 2015 dan Persiapan Pemilu 2019 di Kabupaten Sijunjung). *Jurnal Antropologi: Isu-Isu Sosial Budaya*, 21(2 (Desember 2019)), 177–184. <https://doi.org/10.25077/jantro.v21.n2.p177-184.2019>
- Berkovitch, J. (1983). Conflict and Conflict Management in Organizations: A Framework for Analysis. *Hong Kong Journal of Public Administration*, 5(2), 104–123. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02529165.1983.10800140>
- Creswell, J. W. (2009). *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches* (Third Edit). Sage Publications, Inc.
- Dalimunthe, S. F. (2016). Manajemen Konflik Dalam Organisasi. *Jurnal BAHAS Universitas Negeri Medan*, 27(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/02529165.1983.10800140>
- Fischer, J. (2002). *White Paper: Electoral Conflict and Violence. A Strategy for Study and Prevention*. Retrieved from <https://www.ifes.org/sites/default/files/econflictpaper.pdf>
- Haryanti, D. (2014). Kebijakan Penyelenggara Pemilihan Umum Terkait Pemungutan Suara Ulang Pada Pemilu Legislatif Tahun 2014 (Studi:Tinjauan Yuridis tentang Pemungutan Suara Ulang di Kota Tanjung Pinang). *Selat*, 1(2). Retrieved from <https://ojs.umrah.ac.id/index.php/selat/article/view/114>
- Heri, F., & Elyasari, R. (2019). Frase Kata Memerintahkan dan Merekomendasikan dalam Putusan Bawaslu Terkait Pelanggaran Administratif Pemilu. *Jurnal Adhyasta Pemilu*, 1(Evaluasi Pemilu Serentak 2019). Retrieved from <https://www.bawaslu.go.id/sites/default/files/publikasi/01%20JURNAL%20BAWASLU%202019%20.pdf>
- Humaedi, S, D. (2018). Resolusi Konflik Pilkada di Kota Cimahi Jawa Barat. *Social Work Journal Unpad*, 8(1), 101–113. <https://doi.org/10.24198/share.v8i1.16032>
- Hussein, A. (2013). Dampak Putusan Mahkamah Konstitusi Tentang Pemungutan Suara Ulang Terhadap Partisipasi Masyarakat Dalam Pemilihan Umum Kepala Daerah Kabupaten Pati. *Jurnal Pandecta*, 8(2, Juli 2013). Retrieved from <https://journal.unnes.ac.id/nju/index.php/pandecta/article/view/2687/2752>
- Loilatu, M. J. (2018). Resolusi Konflik Pemilihan Kepala Daerah (Pilkada) Kabupaten Buru Selatan tahun 2015. *Journal of Local Government Issues (LOGOS)*, 1, 152–177. Retrieved from [https://pemerintahan.umm.ac.id/files/file/M_%20Jafar%20Loilatu\(1\).pdf](https://pemerintahan.umm.ac.id/files/file/M_%20Jafar%20Loilatu(1).pdf)
- Lune, H., & Berg, B. L. (2017). *Qualitative Research Methods for the Social Sciences* (Ninth Edit). Pearson Education.
- Miles, M. B., Huberman, A. M., & Saldana, J. (2014). *Qualitative Data Analysis A Methods Sourcebook Third Edition*. Sage Publications.
- Muspawi, M. (2014). Manajemen Konflik (Upaya Penyelesaian Konflik Dalam Organisasi). *Jurnal Penelitian Universitas Jambi Seri Humaniora*, 16(2), 41–46. Retrieved from <https://media.neliti.com/media/publications/43447-ID-manajemen-konflik-upaya-penyelesaian-konflik-dalam-organisasi.pdf>
- Petit, D. (2000). *Resolving Election Disputes in the OSCE Area: Towards a Standard Election Dispute Monitoring System*. Retrieved from <https://www.osce.org/files/f/documents/b/b/17567.pdf>
- Rahim, M. A. (2001). *Managing Conflict in Organizations* (Third Edit). Quorum Books. Retrieved from http://www.untag-smd.ac.id/files/Perpustakaan_Digital_1/CONFLICT%20MANAGEMENT%20Ma

Garmien Mellia, Hertanto, Arizka Warganegara, Robi Cahyadi Kurniawan, *Managing Organizational Conflict in the 2019 Concurrent Election in Palembang, JSSP, Vol. 5, No. 1, 30*
June 2021

naging%20conflict%20in%20organizations.pdf

Rusdiana. (2015). *Manajemen Konflik* (Cetakan Ke). CV Pustaka Setia.

Silalahi, U. (2012). *Metode Penelitian Sosial*. PT. Refika Aditama.

Sugiyono. (2013). *Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif, Kualitatif dan R&D*. Alfabeta.

Tinov, M. Y. T., Amin, R. M., & Marta, A. (2011). *Dampak Kebijakan (Studi Kasus pada Pemungutan Suara Ulang (PSU) Pemilihan Kepala Daerah dan Wakil Kepala Daerah Kota Pekanbaru Tahun 2011)* (Issue 5).
<https://doi.org/10.35967/jipn.v12i2.2904>

Trisnawati, E. (2019). *Implikasi Disfungsi Manajemen KPU Kota Palembang Terhadap Kinerja Panitia Pemilihan Kecamatan dan Panitia Pemungutan Suara pada Pemilihan Kepala Daerah Kota Palembang Tahun 2018*. Universitas Lampung.
Retrieved from :
<http://repository.lppm.unila.ac.id/18313/1/Implikasi%20Disfungsi%20Manajemen%20KPU.pdf>

● 12% Overall Similarity

Top sources found in the following databases:

- 11% Internet database
- 5% Publications database
- Crossref database
- Crossref Posted Content database

TOP SOURCES

The sources with the highest number of matches within the submission. Overlapping sources will not be displayed.

1	semanticscholar.org	Internet	3%
2	infor.seaninstitute.org	Internet	2%
3	digilib.unila.ac.id	Internet	<1%
4	eprints.umm.ac.id	Internet	<1%
5	repo.unhi.ac.id	Internet	<1%
6	iiste.org	Internet	<1%
7	"The International Conference on ASEAN 2019", Walter de Gruyter Gmb...	Crossref	<1%
8	journal.uny.ac.id	Internet	<1%
9	unpan1.un.org	Internet	<1%

10	"Advances in Digital Science", Springer Science and Business Media LL...	<1%
	Crossref	
11	jurnal.stie-aas.ac.id	<1%
	Internet	
12	jurnal.fh.unila.ac.id	<1%
	Internet	
13	jurnal.peneliti.net	<1%
	Internet	
14	atlantis-press.com	<1%
	Internet	
15	ejournal.uigm.ac.id	<1%
	Internet	
16	ejournal.umm.ac.id	<1%
	Internet	
17	journal.uinjkt.ac.id	<1%
	Internet	
18	E Rosa, C.N Ekowati, T T Handayani, E L Widiastuti. "Isolation and ident...	<1%
	Crossref	
19	garuda.kemdikbud.go.id	<1%
	Internet	
20	Eny Kusdarini, Anang Priyanto, Sri Hartini, Suripno Suripno. "Roles of J...	<1%
	Crossref	
21	Imam Arif Rahardjo, Muhammad Rif'an, Massus Subekti, Endiansyah P...	<1%
	Crossref	

- 22 **Jacob Bercovitch. "Conflict and Conflict Management in Organizations...** <1%
Crossref
-
- 23 **aceproject.org** <1%
Internet
-
- 24 **fliphtml5.com** <1%
Internet
-
- 25 **jdih.kpu.go.id** <1%
Internet
-
- 26 **jurnalanthropologi.fisip.unand.ac.id** <1%
Internet
-
- 27 **novateurpublication.com** <1%
Internet
-
- 28 **puspanlakuu.dpr.go.id** <1%
Internet
-
- 29 **tandfonline.com** <1%
Internet
-
- 30 **Bahari Bahari, Laode Bariun, Winner Agustinus Siregar. "The Effectiven...** <1%
Crossref
-
- 31 **Widia Novita Lukitasari WA, Roby Cahyadi Kurniawan, Arizka Wargane...** <1%
Crossref

● Excluded from Similarity Report

- Submitted Works database
- Quoted material
- Manually excluded sources
- Bibliographic material
- Cited material

EXCLUDED SOURCES

repository.lppm.unila.ac.id	84%
Internet	
jurnal.radenfatah.ac.id	84%
Internet	
journal.scadindependent.org	<1%
Internet	
S Ali, R Sulistiowati, C Wulandari, M Riniarti. "Maximizing the social-economy i...	<1%
Crossref	