

KORESPONDENSI JURNAL

WJERT (World Journal of Engineering Research and Technology)


Article submission Dr. Ordas Dewanto, 2-4-2022




Article Received [WJERT/2190/8/2022], 2 Apr 2022




WJERT Manuscript ID No. WJERT/ 2190/8/2022, 2 Apr 2022








Article Received [WJERT/2190/8/2022], 3-4-2022





Acceptance Letter for Manuscript WJERT/2190/8/2022, 6-4-2022

































Fees Details Received WJERT/2190/8/2022, 11-4-2022










Copy Proof of WJERT/2190/8/2022, 12-4-2022









15-4-2022, 30-4-2022




WJERT: 1 May 2022 Issue Published [Visit to Find Your Article], 1-5-2022





2-5-2022



Determining the temperature at each well depth as a basis for estimating hydrocarbon maturation using the well logging and thermal methods

Ordas Dewanto^{1,a)}, Sandri Erfani^{1,b)}, Sri Rizky^{2,c)}, Perdana Rizki Ordas^{3,d)}, Istifani Ferucha^{1,e)}

¹⁾Geophysics Engineering, Engineering Faculty, University of Lampung, Bandar Lampung 35145, Indonesia ²⁾Petroleum Engineering, School of Minerals and Energy Resources Engineering, Australia ³⁾Department of Geological Engineering, Universitas Padjajaran, Bandung, Indonesia

^{a)}ordas.dewanto@eng.unila.ac.id, ^{b)}sandri.erfani@eng.unila.ac.id, ^{c)}sririzky1563@gmail.com ^{d)}perdana.rizkiordas@gmail.com, ^{e)}istifaniferucha@gmail.com

Abstract. Temperature measurements at drilling wells are usually not carried out thoroughly, some even only at the bottom of the well or Bore Hole Temperature (BHT). The Well Logging and thermal methods are used to determine the temperature at each depth, provided that the surface temperature and BHT of the well are available. The principle of the method is to determine the change in depth for each increase in temperature of 10°C or 5°C. By developing the basic concept of geothermal flow it can be seen that changes in depth at each increase in temperature of 10°C, so that we can know the temperature value at each depth of the well. In well A, the temperature in the depth of 502-629m is 60°C-70°C with immature organic substances. The temperature in the depth of 1096-1276 m is 90°C-100°C with overmature organic substances. The temperature in the depth of 1780-1964 m is 120°C-130°C with gas organic substances. The temperature in the depth of 1780-1964 m is 120°C-130°C with gas organic substances. The temperature in the depth of 1780-1964 m is 120°C-130°C with gas organic substances. The temperature in the depth of 1780-1964 m is 120°C-130°C with gas organic substances. The temperature in the depth of 1780-1964 m is 120°C-130°C with gas organic substances. The temperature in the depth of 1780-1964 m is 120°C-130°C with gas organic substances. The temperature in the depth of 1780-1964 m is 120°C-130°C with gas organic substances.

Keywords: bore hole temperature, well logging, immature, mature, gas, temperature gradient

INTRODUCTION

Research on the maturation of hydrocarbons in sedimentary basins in Indonesia has generally been successful, with the aim of estimating the level of maturity of organic material in the source rock of the basin. The research studies are very helpful to support the exploration of hydrocarbons (oil and gas). The basis for determining the maturation of hydrocarbons, generally based on the changes in their chemical properties, where analysis of changes in chemical properties is one indicator that is quite accurate. As technology develops and it becomes increasingly difficult to find new reserves of hydrocarbons, geoscience is increasingly developing to overcome these problems. Previous research that used the basic concept of terrestrial heat flow, which was supported by geological data related to geochemical technology, has obtained a fairly accurate result and more clearly understood the problems in exploration activities. This implies the importance of understanding the relationship between the thermal and physical properties of rocks to the level of hydrocarbon maturation (Hanun et al, 2016).

Based on these needs, this study presents research to improve the qualitative and quantitative analysis of log data (Dewanto et al, 2016) in supporting the initial estimates of the occurrence of petroleum and the formation of petroleum.

Core analysis data generated from measurements and analysis of reservoir rocks in the laboratory are much needed information to find out the very specific characteristics of rock physics, which will ultimately be used to predict the performance of reservoir rock.

The heat that flows from the bottom up spreads to the surface of the earth affecting the rock space, so that in each rock room has a different temperature. The temperature in each rock space is different, because of the different porosity and heat conductivity, as well as the difference in hydrostatic pressure from the rock.

Temperature can affect organic substances contained in sediments. An increase in temperature will change heavy petroleum into light petroleum, then condensate and finally only gas. Dewanto et al (2017) research results in the laboratory are that oil shale derived from clay-organic material occurs at a temperature of 300-400°C and for carbonate-organic material occurs at a temperature of 400-500°C. Whereas at temperatures of 900-1000°C both materials produce gas. Temperature values can also be used to determine the depth of oil shale in wells (Mulyanto et al, 2018).

The duration of the formation of petroleum from the release of fat or lipids from kerogen is a temperature-related process, which is exponential and starts at temperatures around 93°C (Klemme, 1972). The value of temperature in a rock pore is one of the important parameters in the process of determining the maturity of organic matter, to predict the maturity of hydrocarbons in sedimentary rocks. Temperature values can help estimate the maturity of organic material in CaCO3 (Dewanto et al, 2019). Moreover, it can also be used as a basis for research relating to geothermal reservoirs in regions containing geothermal energy. Estimated reservoir temperatures are very important to assess the potential for geothermal exploitation (Zhang et al, 2015).

Knowledge about downhole and around the temperature of the wellbore formation is an important factor during drilling operations. It is important to estimate the effect of pressure and temperature on the formation fluid density (Kutasov, 2015). This will enable a more accurate prediction of differential pressure in the lower hole and will help reduce fluid losses resulting from miscalculated pressure differences. Reservoir temperature modeling is carried out to estimate reservoir parameters (Siswoyo, 1995).

In terms of calculating water saturation (Sw) to determine the hydocarbon content in reservoir rocks, it needs the parameter of Rw (formation water). The formation water value (Rw) of each layer can be determined based on temperature. Therefore, determining the temperature at a certain layer depth is very important to calculate the value of Rw (Ushie, 2001). Determination of temperature in the log well is also very useful for determining the maturation level of oil shale in source rock (Mulyanto et al, 2018). Then also to determine the level of hydrocarbon (oil and gas) maturation in source rock (Nopiyanti, 2019 and Maulina, 2019).

In this study, the temperature at each layer will be determined to a certain depth. For example in a drilling well, logging is usually carried out to determine the temperature value at any given depth. This temperature measurement is not done thoroughly on the well. In fact, some are only measured at the bottom of the well (BHT). But with the development of technology, the temperature at each depth can be estimated, with the condition that the well is known for the surface temperature and Bore Hole Temperature (BHT).

One method for estimating the temperature is to determine the change in depth for each 10° C or 5° C rise in temperature. Subono et al (1995) and Dewanto et al (2001), developed the basic concept of geothermal flow to determine changes in depth at each 10° C temperature rise, so that the value of temperature at each depth in the well can be known.

METHOD

The data required are BHT (bore hole temperature), porosity (ϕ) , rock heat conductivity (K_B) , heat flow (Q), temperature gradient, stratigraphy, rock age.

Data Processing Method

In this research several work stages are carried out, namely:

First Stage, Determination of Lithology, Age and Porosity

The first stage, determine the lithology in each formation of the well and determine the age and sedimentation time of the lithology. Then determine the value of porosity, as a reference base for doing work in the next stage.

Second stage, Calculation of Rock Thermal Conductivity

Rock heat conductivity can be determined by measurement and calculation. Calculation of rock heat conductivity, determined using the following equation:

$$K_B = K_f^{\phi} \times K_s^{(1-\phi)}$$

where, K_B = heat conductivity of the rock

 K_f = heat conductivity of the liquid fraction

 K_s = heat conductivity of solid fractions

 ϕ = porosity

The value of the heat conductivity of the formation (K_F) is determined by calculation based on the value of heat conductivity of rocks and thickness in the formation.

$$K_F = \left[\left(\frac{d_{B1}}{K_{B1}} + \frac{d_{B2}}{K_{B2}} + \dots \right) \times \frac{1}{d_{B1} + d_{B2} + \dots} \right]^{-1}$$

Where, K_F = heat conductivity of the formation (10⁻³ cgs)

 d_{B1} = the thickness of lithology-1 (m);

 d_{B2} = the thickness of lithology-2 (m)

 $d_{B1}+d_{B2}$ = formation thickness (m)

 K_{B1} = heat conductivity of lithology-1 (10⁻³ cgs) K_{B2} = heat conductivity of lithology-2 (10⁻³ cgs)

and so on adjusted to the type of lithology. Meanwhile, to calculate the value of heat conductivity wells are used the following formula:

$$K_{SM} = \left[\left(\frac{d_{FA}}{K_{FA}} + \frac{d_{FB}}{K_{FB}} + \dots + \frac{d_{FN}}{K_{FN}} \right) \times \frac{1}{DA} \right]^{-1}$$

where, K_{SM} = heat conductivity calculated from the deepest well up to the surface (10⁻³ cgs)

 $d_{FA}, d_{FB}, d_{FN} =$ formation thickness A, B s/d N (m or cm)

DA = total depth

Third stage, Calculating Temperature Gradients

Temperature gradients are determined according to the equation: $GT = \frac{BHTc - Tp}{DA} \times 100$

= temperature gradient (^oC/100m) where, GT

BHTc = temperature corrected at DA (°C)

= average temperature on the surface

= total depth (m)

In this case the temperature gradient of each formation is also calculated, using the following

$$GT_F = \frac{Q}{K_F}$$
 (Subono, S. dan Siswoyo, 1995)

where, GT_F = formation temperature gradient (${}^{O}C/100m$)

Q = geothermal flow, $(\times 10^{-6} \text{ cal cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1})$

 K_F = heat conductivity of the formation (×10⁻³ cal cm⁻¹ det^{-1 O}C⁻¹)

Fourth stage, Determination of Heat Flow

After obtaining the value of heat conductivity and temperature gradient as mentioned above, then determine the value of heat flow (heat flow) at the well. Calculated using the formula according to the equation as follows:

$$Q = K \frac{dT}{dZ}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow Q = (K_f^{\phi} \times K_s^{(1-\phi)}) \times GT \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad Q = K_F \times GT$$

$$\Leftrightarrow Q = \left[\left(\frac{d_{FA}}{K_{FA}} + \frac{d_{FB}}{K_{FB}} + \dots + \frac{d_{FN}}{K_{FN}} \right) \times \frac{1}{DA} \right]^{-1} \times GT$$

$$\Leftrightarrow Q = K_{SM} \times GT$$

where, Q = geothermal flow, HFU or $\mu cal/cm^2 s$, or mW/m^2 K_{SM} = heat conductivity, 10^{-3} cal cm $^{-1}$ dt $^{-1}$ O C $^{-1}$ or W/m^{O} C dT/dZ = temperature gradient, O C/100m (GT) 1 HFU = 10^{-6} kal cm $^{-2}$ dt $^{-1}$

The method for estimating the temperature is to determine the change in depth for each 10°C or 5°C rise in temperature. Determination of temperature in well A, the temperature value will be determined by calculation. By knowing the change in depth at every 10°C temperature rise, the value of the

temperatur can be estimated. Basic formula used: $\Delta Z = \frac{10^{O} C}{GT_{KF}} \Rightarrow GT_{KF} = \frac{Q(t)}{K_{KF}}$

where, ΔZ : depth change (m) Q: heat flow (HFU)

 GT_{KF} : temperature gradient of the formation group (${}^{O}C/100m$): heat conductivity of rock formation groups (mks)

By doing calculations to determine the change in depth of each 10° C temperature rise, a model of the value of each depth will be obtained.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this study using the thermal method. Well A has a total depth of 6443.4 ft (1963.9 m) located in the Central Sumatra Basin. The data needed for data processing in this study are stratigraphic data and rock heat conductivity data (Table 1), temperature gradient data and BHT (Table 2), and porosity data (log data). Heat Flow is the flow of heat that comes from inside the earth to flow to the surface through a space / rock material. Because the nature and density or compactness of rocks differ, the value of heat flow (Q) for each well in an area also varies besides that there must be the same. The difference in the value of heat flow, in addition to the difference in temperature gradient is also influenced by the conductivity of rock heat (K_B) on the rock. Rock heat conductivity can be determined from measurements of rock samples (cores) directly in the laboratory. Moreover, it can also be determined by calculation based on the value of the porosity of the rock. Researchers determine the value of rock heat conductivity (K_B) by direct measurement.

Table 1. Stratigraphic Data and Heat Conductivity of Well Rocks A

FORMATION		AGE			ROCK
		(million	LITOLOGY	DEPTH (m)	CONDUCTIVITY
		years)			(cal/cm dt ^o C)
MINAS		0	sand	0 - 55	4.25
		1.6	shale	55 - 90	4.00
			sand	90 - 130	4.25
		1.6	shale	130 - 170	4.00
PETANI		3	shale	170 - 284	4.26
		11			
TELISA		14	shale	284 - 678	4.41
		3	sand	678 - 698	4.99
SIHAPAS	Upper	17	sand	698 - 738	5.32
	Оррег	1	Sand	070 730	3.32
			shale	738 - 778	4.64
	Lower	18	sand	778 - 803	5.52
			shale	803 - 828	4.87
			sand	828 - 853	
		3	shale	853 - 883	
			sand	883 - 908	
			shale	908 - 933	
		21	sand	933 - 969	5.52
PEMATANG	Pmt. SS	22.5	sand	969 - 1009	6.36
GROUP			shale	1009 - 1029	5.81
			sand	1029 -1069	6.38
			shale	1069 - 1089	5.81
			sand	1089 - 1131	6.63
			shale	1131 - 1151	5.81
		6.7	sand	1151 - 1211	6.65
			shale	1211 - 1231	6.00
			sand	1231 - 1291	7.55
			shale	1291 - 1311	6.00
			sand	1311 - 1391	7.97
			shale	1391 - 1411	6.00
			sand	1411 - 1457	
	Pmt. MS	29.2	shale	1457 - 1719	
		4.2			
	BRSH	33.4	coal	1719 - 1780	6.80
		1.3			
	LP	34.7	shale	1780 - 1815	
		1.3	sand	1815 - 1840	
			shale	1840 - 1880	
BASEMENT		36	Quarzt	1880 - 1964	7.59

Table 2. Well BHT Data and Temperature Gradient A

AMELI	Depth	Depth Depth Temperature Temperature C		Temperature		re Gradient	
A WELL	(ft)	(m)	(cm)	(°F)	(°C)	(°C/100cm)	(°C/100m)
Total Depth	6443.4	1963.9	196385.2	-	-		
ВНТ	6456.0	1967.7	196769.3	244.00	117.78	0.0464	4.64
Surface Temperature	-	-	-	80.00	26.67		

After obtaining the value of KB then determine the value of heat conductivity (KF). To calculate the heat conductivity of the formation (KF), data on the thickness of the formation, the type and thickness of the rock in the formation are needed. From the calculation results, the heat conductivity value of the formation in well A is shown in Table 3. Furthermore, determining the value of the heat conductivity of the well (KSM), from the calculation results obtained the heat conductivity value of well A (KSM) is 5.4910⁻³ cgs.

Table 3. Results of Formation Heat Conduct Calculation, Well A

FORMATION	K-FORMATION (cal cm ⁻¹ dt ⁻¹ OC ⁻¹) K _B measurement in Well A
Minas	4.14 (0-170 m)
Petani	4.26 (170-284 m)
Telisa	4.43 (284-698 m)
Sihapas-Upper	4.96 (698-778 m)
Sihapas-Lower	5.23 (778-969 m)
Pematang SS	6.81 (969-1457 m)
Pematang MS	6.81 (1457-1719 m)
BRSH	6.80 (1719-1780 m)
LP	7.38 (1780-1880 m)
Basement	7.59 (1880-1964 m)

For the value of heat flow, can be obtained by looking at the value gradient temperature (GT) and K-Well determination of well temperature gradients, calculated using the equation:

$$\frac{dT}{dZ} = \frac{(Tf - Tm)}{D} \times 100 \text{ (Dresser Atlas, 1982)}$$

The temperature gradient value for well A is $4.64\,^{\circ}\text{C}$ / 100m. While the value of geothermal flow (Q) can be determined using the equation:

$$Q = K_{SM} \frac{dT}{dZ}$$
 (Gretener, 1982)

Table 4. Calculation Results of K-Well, GT-Well, Heat Flow in Wells A-1 and B-1 Based on KB measurements

Well	K -Well	<i>GT</i> -Well	HEAT FLOW	HEAT FLOW	
	$(cal cm^{-1} dt^{-1} C^{-1})$	(°C/100cm)	(HFU)	(mW/m^2)	
A	5.491348×10 ⁻³	0.046	2.75	110	

The result of heat flow (Q) calculation for well A is 110 mW / m2. The values of GT-Well, K-Well, and Q of well A are shown in Table 4. After the value of heat flow in well A is obtained, the heat conductivity value of the formation group is calculated. Then determine the value gradient for the formation group temperature, using the equation:

$$GT_{KF} = \frac{Q}{K_{KF}}$$
 (Subono dan Siswoyo, 1995)

Furthermore, determine the change in depth (ΔZ) for each temperature increase of $10^{\rm O}$ C (100C / Z). The results of the K_{KF} , GT_{KF} and ΔZ calculations are shown in Table 5. Next step is the determination of the depth change (ΔZ) for each temperature increase of $10^{\rm O}$ C (100C / Z). The results of the K_{KF} , GT_{KF} and ΔZ calculations are shown in Table 5

Table 5. Calculation results of K_{KF}, GT_{KF}, and depth change (dZ) in well A based on K_B measurements

To di	Thickness of	_	Temperature Gradient of	
Formation	Formation Group	Formation Group	Formation Group	$dZ = \dots m$
	(cm)	(cal/cm dt °C)	(°C/100m)	
Minas				
Petani				
Telisa	96900	4.53	6.06	165
Sihapas Upper				
Sihapas Lower				
Pematang SS				
Pematang MS	01100	6.97	4.00	250
Pematang	91100	6.87	4.00	250
BRSH				
Pematang LP				
Basement	8400	7.59	3.62	276




Figure 1. Changes in depth for each 10°C temperature rise, in well A

The depth of well A is 1964 m, with a surface temperature of 30° C. From the data processing it is produced that 5x the same depth change every 10° C temperature increase is 165 m. Then 4x the same depth change every 10° C temperature increase is 250 m, and 1x the same depth change every 10° C temperature increase is 276 m. Then a depth change model is made for every 10° C temperature increase in well A, which is shown in Figure 1. Seen in Figure 1, the surface temperature is 30° C and the temperature is 130° C at a depth of 2100 m, while the Bore Hole Temperature (BHT) is 117.78° C at a depth of 1967.7 m. So if we compare the results of the BHT measurement from the log method with the results of the calculation model of the depth change of each 100C temperature rise, the results are close to similarity. BHT log measurement results (1967.7m = 117.78° C), the model changes depth every 10° C (2100m = 130° C).

CONCLUSION

From the data processing it is produced that 5x the same depth change every 10°C temperature increase is 165 m. Then 4x the same depth change every 10°C temperature increase is 250 m, and 1x the same depth change every 10°C temperature increase is 276 m. The depth of well A is 1964 m, with a surface temperature of 30°C . So the temperature value from a depth of 165 m to a depth of 2100 m is 40°C to 130°C . Then a depth change model is made for every 10°C temperature increase in well.

REFERENCES

- Dewanto, O. 2001. Estimated Level of Hydrocarbon Maturation Using the Heat Method. Universitas Indonesia. Jakarta.
- Dewanto, O., Mulyatno, B.S., Rizky, S., Alimuddin. 2016. Petrophysical Properties Analysis of Reservoir Rock Using Core Analysis and Interpretation Log Data Method To Estimated The Water Saturation (Sw) at OD-1 and OD-2 Wells in The X-Area. *Proceeding PIT HAGI 41*. Bandar Lampung. ISBN: 978-79-98933-9-0.
- Dewanto, O., Mulyatno, B.S., Rustadi, Wibowo, R.C. 2017. Determining the Temperature of Shale Material Conversion Into Crude Oil Based on Organic Clay and Organic Carbonate Test Outside Reservoir. *International Journal of Mechanical & Mechatronics Engineering IJMME-IJENS*. Vol:17 No:05. pp.84-89.
- Dewanto, O., Rizky, S., Mulyanto, B.S., and Rustadi. 2019. The Maturity Estimation of Material Organic in CaCO3 with Determining Tmax and Energy Activation Using Pyrolysis Method. *Journal of Computational and Theoretical Nanoscience*. Vol. 16, No.1–12.
- Dresser Atlas, Dresser Industries Inc. 1982. *Well Logging and Interpretation Techniques*. The Course For Home Study, p. 22-32, 39-94, 102-129, 165-178.
- Gretener, P.E. 1981. *Geothermics: Using Temperature in Hydrocarbone Exploration*. Short Course San Francisco Annual Meeting. The American Association of Petroleum Geologists Tulsa, Oklahoma, USA. p.1-67.
- Hanun, K., Dewanto, O., Mulyatno, B.S., Wibowo, R.C., Priyanka, F. 2016. Estimated Formation Temperature On Oil Well Using Log and Thermal Method in 'H' Region, Central Sumatra. *Proceeding PIT HAGI 41*. Bandar Lampung. ISBN: 978-79-98933-9-0.
- Klemme, H.D. 1972. Heat Influences size of oil giants-geothermal gradients. *The Oil and Gas J.* Vol. 17, p. 136-144 (pt.I), dan Vol. 24, p. 76-78 (pt.II).

- Kutasov, I.M., and Eppelbaum, L.V. 2015. Wellbore and Formation Temperatures During Drilling, Cementing of Casing and Shut-in. Proceedings World Geothermal Congress.
- Maulina. 2019. Identification of Prospect Zone of Oil and Gas Reservoir and Biogenic Gas Using Log, Petrophysical and Geochemical Data at MN Basin in Nias Basin, North Sumatra. *Skripsi*. Teknik Geofisika Fakultas Teknik. Universitas Lampung.
- Mulyanto, B.S., Dewanto, O., Rizky, S. 2018. Determining Layer Oil Shale as New Alternative Energy Sources Using Core Analysis and Well Log Method. *International Journal of Engineering & Technology*. Vol.7 No. 4.36, p. 941-949.
- Mulyanto, B.S., Dewanto, O., Rizky, S. 2018. Determining Layer Oil Shale as New Alternative Energy Sources Using Core Analysis and Well Log Method. *International Journal of Engineering & Technology*. Vol.7 No.4.36. pp.941-949.
- Nopiyanti, T. 2019. Characterization of Oil and Gas Reservoirs and Source Rock Using Log Data and Geochemical Data in the Kangean Block Area. *Skripsi*. Teknik Geofisika Fakultas Teknik. Universitas Lampung.
- Siswoyo and Subono, S. 1995. Heat Flow, Hydrocarbon Maturity and Migration in Northwest Java. *CCOP Technical Bulletin*. Vol. 25, p. 23-36.
- Subono, S. and Siswoyo. 1995. Thermal Studies of Indonesian Oil Basin. *CCOP Technical Bulletin*. Vol. 25, pp. 37 to 54.
- Ushie, F.A., 2001. Formation Water Resistivity (Rw) Determination: The Sp Method. *J. Appl. Sci. Environ.* Mgt. Vol 5 (1), 25-28.
- Zhang, X., Guo, Q., Li, J., Liu, M., Wang, Y., 2015. Yijun YangEstimation of reservoir temperature using silica and cationic solutes geothermometers: a case study in the Tengchong geothermal area. *Chin. J. Geochem.* 34(2):233–240.

World Journal of Engineering Research and Technology

(An ISO 9001: 2015 Certified Journal)

SJIF Impact Factor: 5.924 ICV 79.45 ISSN 2454 – 695X

Acceptance Letter

Manuscript No: WJERT/2190/8/2022 **Date: 06/04/2022**

TITLE: DETERMINING THE TEMPERATURE AT EACH WELL
DEPTH AS A BASIS FOR ESTIMATING HYDROCARBON
MATURATION USING THE WELL LOGGING AND THERMAL
METHODS

Dear Ordas Dewanto, Sandri Erfani, Sri Rizky, Perdana Rizki Ordas, Istifani Ferucha

We are pleased to inform you that out of various research articles submitted, Experts/ Referees Panel of WJERT has recommended your manuscript for publication, so World Journal of Engineering Research and Technology has accepted your manuscript for publication in Current (April) Issue of WJERT.

World Journal of Engineering Research and Technology publishes all its article in full open access format which are easily accessible for scientific community.

Kindly send the scanned copy of CTA form (Copyright Transfer Agreement). As early as possible. CTA form available at

www.wjert.org

Thanking You

Editor in chief

Prof. Dr. Starodub, Nickolaj

WJERT

World Journal of Engineering Research and Technology (WJERT)

Subject:- Regarding Processing Fees-WJERT

Dear Author,

It pleased to inform you that your manuscript WJERT/2190/8/2022 has been approved by the Experts/ Referees Panel for publication in Current issue of World Journal of Engineering Research and Technology.

We are requesting you to submit the Processing Fees 60 USD/Article (3900 Indian Rupees) as early as possible, we will process for Publication.

You can submit Processing fees by using **International Credit** or **Debit Card** through direct link https://wjpr.net/processingfeesn

OR

Account Details:

Name: Dr Kundlik Girhepunje

Account No: 11072702573 **SWIFT Code** – SBININBB534 IFSC Code: SBIN0001633

Branch: Ramdaspeth Branch, Nagpur

Address: 249, Bajaj Nagar, Nagpur, MH, India.

STATE BANK OF INDIA (INDIA)

OR

You can also submit Processing fees through Online PayPal on Paypal ID editor@wjpps.com

Note: Do Not Submit Processing Fees through Western Union.

Copy proof for your Article will send you after receiving of Processing fees.

After sending the processing fees inform us through mail with following Details.

Manuscript No
Manuscript Title:
Date of fees deposition:
Scan copy of Receipt of Payment deposition

Thanks
Editor in Chief
WJERT



Tanggal/Date : 11-4-2022

Formulir Kiriman Uang

	IFSC Code: SIN	000(635			
Penerima / Beneficiary Penduduk/ Bukan Penduduk/ Resident Non Resident	Jenis Pengiriman/: Type of Transfer	☐ LLG/Clearing ☐ RTGS	☐ Draft SWIFT CC		ININ B8 534
Nama/Name: Dr Kundlik Girhepunje Alamat/Address: 249, Bayay Nagar, Nagpur, MH, India.	Sumber Dana/Source Tunai / Cash Debit Rek. / Debit A	COV / BG NO	1633		
Telepon/Phone: Kota/City: Nagpur Negara/Country: India	Mata Uang / Currency:	□IDR	USD		
Bank Penerima / Beneficiary Bank : STATE BANK OF INDIA (INDIA) Kota/City : Nagpur Negara/Country : India	Jumlah Dana yang Diki Jumlah /		Kurs / Rate	Nilai/7	otal Amount
No. Rek./Acc. No.: 11072702573	300				
Pengirim / Remitter Penduduk/ Resident* Non Resident** Nama/Name: Ordas Dewanto	Terbilang / Amount in V	Words Sixte)	us Dolais		
Alamat/Address: Perum Polri C6 -14 Hayimena Natar	,				
Telepon/Phone : 0012-71471554 Kota/Citv : Negara/Country :	Biaya / C/	harge	Valas / Amount in Foreign Exchange	Kurs / Rate	Nilai / Total Amount
Keterangan Pembayaran/Details of Payment: Paying Sournal (Dr. Ordas Dewanto) in World	Komisi / Commission Pengiriman / Handling Bank Koresponden / Co	orrespondent Bank	NDON		. The
Journal Of Engineering Research And Technology (WJERT)	Jumlah Biaya / Amour	nt Charge	SERIO		
liaya dari bank koresponden dibebankan ke rekening / Correspondent bank charges are for account of : Penerima/Beneficiary Pengirim/Remitter Sharing		NG NG	Sayamenyetu yang Aercantu CABAN formulir ini / I KARAN termis and con NURUL	um pada h uncondition nditions on WWW!	SPDAS
h jika ada cetakan data komputer atau tanda tangan yang berwenang/ <i>The application form will be vali</i>	Pejabat Bank / Ban			mohon / A	oplicant

KMU 1.0/KTK/0706

* Transaksi oleh penduduk di atas Rp. 100 juta wajib mengisi form P2MN (KYC)/Transaction by resident amounting over Rp. 100 million must fill in the P2MN (KYC) Form.
** Transaksi oleh bukan penduduk di atas USD 10.000 atau ekuivalennya wajib mengisi form LLD1/Transaction by non-resident amounting over US\$ 10.000 or its equivalent must fill in the LLD1 form

Lembar 1 : Bank

STOPKEDDOLALVS DEFFERENCE

61969-982632-96962 TRAN 11/04/2022-10:27:53 000000912882533-8dri NURUIL RIZKI ORDAS IDR KARANG TITAGE A H

61969 982632 96962 TILAN 11/04/2022 10:27:53 NO. REK. TIMIT AH

35,000 1568 TITE

KARANG 142 - TANTING

61969 982632 96962 TRAN 11/04/2022 10:27:53 MO. TRK.

61969 982632 96962 TRAN 11/04/2022 10:27:53 000000912882533 Sdri NURUT RIZKI ORDAS

363,750 1568

142 - TANITING KARANG

TUE

HIMINA :

873,000- 1568 1100 HIMILAH :

142 - TANITIME KARANG

61969 982632 96962 TRAN 11/04/2022 10:27:53

0951.09 142 - TANITING KARANG TITMIT A H

MBN

/////NACPUR

MOBN

H

LOMBAY

WALL ONDAS DEWANTO WUERT

//SWIFTCODE SUININDEXXX ///STATE DANK OF INDIA

WALLE TO KUNDLAK TIMIEPUNAE

Sender to Receiver Information:

Details Of Charges:

:71A:OUR

:72:/ACCOUNT NO 11072702573

PT. BANK NECARA INDONESIA (Persero), CABANG : TANJUNG KAPANG

11/04/2022 60610

> TOTION Date "Lime

Maintenance (S10)

THOC

Value Date/Currency/Interbank Settled Amount: :70:/PAYING JOURNAL DR ORDAS DEWANTO IN PERUM POLRI CG 14 HAJIMENA 003 001 ///NESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY WJERT NATAR LAMPUNG SELATAN INDONESIA AIGNI MA NAGAM NAGAM DATAR 942 /WOULD JOURNAL OF ENGINEERLING Account With Institution: Remittance Information: SDRI NURUL RIZKI OPDAS :50K:/000000012882533 DR KUNDLIK GIRHEPUNJE Ordering Institution: Leneficiary Customer: Dank Operation Code: Sender's Reference: :20:S10TKR00016122 Ordering Customer: PAMDASPETH BRANCH :32A:220411USD60, :59:/11072702573 57A:SEININEBXXX : 52A: BNINIDJAXXX

60° × 14°550° = 873°000°

000

003

0 • *

25° ★ 14°550° = 363°750° ←

873,000 · + 363,750 · +

35,000 . 4

1,271,750 *



World Journal of Engineering Research and Technology (WJERT)

TRANSFER OF COPYRIGHT AGREEMENT

Manuscript No:			
Title:			
Corresponding Author N	ame:		
Address/Affiliation:			
Fax:	Tel:	E-mail	

On behalf of all authors, as the corresponding author of the manuscript, I warrant that:

- 1. I/We hereby assign completely and absolutely to WJERT with effect from the date of acceptance of the above titled manuscript for publication in WJERT Journals, all present and future copyrights to the manuscript. Such assignment of copyright shall include, without limitation to the foregoing, the exclusive right to do any and all acts in all countries in which the copyright (or analogous rights) in the manuscript subsists (or in the future subsists) together with all rights of action in respect of any past or existing infringement of such copyright.
- 2. The manuscript above is my/our original work without fabrication, fraud, or plagiarism and has not been published previously elsewhere (printed or electronic form in the internet/discussion groups/electronic bulletin boards) or has been submitted or under consideration for publication elsewhere.
- 3. The manuscript contains no violation of any existing copyright or other third party right or any material of an obscene, libelous or otherwise unlawful nature, and that I/we will indemnify the Editors of WJERT against all claims and expenses (including legal costs and expenses) arising from breach of this warranty and the other warranties on my/our behalf in this agreement.
- 4. That I/we have obtained permission for and acknowledged the original authors of the source of any illustrations, diagrams or other materials used in the manuscript of which I am/we are not the original copyright owner/s.
- 5. All authors warrant that they each meet the requirements for authorship enumerated in the Journal's Instructions for Authors and understand that if the paper or part of the paper is found to be faulty or fraudulent, each shares the responsibility.

Signature & Date:		
Name	Signature	Date signed
1	_	
2	_	
3	_	
4	_	
5		
6		


Corresponding Author Name:

Note: After completion of this form, please email the scanned copy of original signed form to editor@wjert.org (Without this signed undertaking your paper would not get appeared in our Journal).



World Journal of Engineering Research and Technology WJERT

www.wjert.org



DETERMINING THE TEMPERATURE AT EACH WELL DEPTH AS A BASIS FOR ESTIMATINGHYDROCARBON MATURATION USING THE WELL LOGGING AND THERMAL METHODS

Ordas Dewanto*¹, Sandri Erfani¹, Sri Rizky², Perdana Rizki Ordas³ and Istifani Ferucha¹

¹Geophysics Engineering, Engineering Faculty, University of Lampung, Bandar Lampung 35145, Indonesia.

²Petroleum Engineering, School of Minerals and Energy Resources Engineering, Australia. ³Department of Geological Engineering, Universitas Padjajaran, Bandung, Indonesia.

Article Received on 01/03/2022

Article Revised on 20/03/2022

Article Accepted on 10/04/2022

SJIF Impact Factor: 5.924

*Corresponding Author Ordas Dewanto

Geophysics Engineering, Engineering Faculty, University of Lampung, Bandar Lampung 35145, Indonesia.

 $\underline{ordas dewanto@gmail.com}$

ABSTRACT

Temperature measurements at drilling wells are usually not carried out thoroughly, some even only at the bottom of the well or Bore Hole Temperature (BHT). The Well Logging and thermal methods are used to determine the temperature at each depth, provided that the surface temperature and BHT of the well are available. The principle of the method is to determine the change in depth for each increase in temperature of 10°C or 5°C. By developingthe basic concept of

geothermal flow it can be seen that changes in depth at each increase in temperature of 10° C, so that we can know the temperature value at each depth of the well. In well A, the temperature in the depth of 502-629m is $60^{\circ}\text{C-}70^{\circ}\text{C}$ with immature organic substances. The temperature in the depth of 1096-1276 m is $90^{\circ}\text{C-}100^{\circ}\text{C}$ with mature organic substances. The temperature in the depth of 1595-1699 m is $100^{\circ}\text{C-}120^{\circ}\text{C}$ with overmature organic substances. The temperature in the depth of 1780-1964 m is $120^{\circ}\text{C-}130^{\circ}\text{C}$ with gas organic substances. The process of hydrocarbon maturity, an increase in temperature will convert heavy petroleum into light oil, then become condensate and finally only gas. This is a function of depth and temperature gradient.

www.wjert.org ISO 9001 : 2015 Certified Journal

World Journal of Engineering Research and Technology

Ordas et al.

KEYWORDS: Bore hole temperature, well logging, immature, mature, gas, temperature

gradient.

INTRODUCTION

Research on the maturation of hydrocarbons in sedimentary basins in Indonesia has

generally been successful, with the aim of estimating the level of maturity of organic

material in the source rock of the basin. The research studies are very helpful to support

the exploration of hydrocarbons (oil and gas). The basis for determining the maturation of

hydrocarbons, generally based on the changes in their chemical properties, where

analysis of changes in chemical properties is one indicator that is quite accurate. As

technology develops and it becomes increasingly difficult to find new reserves of

hydrocarbons, geoscience is increasingly developing to overcome these problems.

Previous research that used the basic concept of terrestrial heat flow, which was

supported by geological data related to geochemical technology, has obtained a fairly

accurate result and more clearly understood the problems in exploration activities. This

implies the importance of understanding the relationship between the thermal and

physical properties of rocks to the level of hydrocarbon maturation (Hanun et al, 2016).

Based on these needs, this study presents research to improve the qualitative and

quantitative analysis of log data (Dewanto et al, 2016) in supporting the initial estimates

of the occurrence of petroleum and the formation of petroleum.

Core analysis data generated from measurements and analysis of reservoir rocks in the

laboratory are much needed information to find out the very specific characteristics of

rock physics, which will ultimately be used to predict the performance of reservoir rock.

The heat that flows from the bottom up spreads to the surface of the earth affecting the

rock space, so that in each rock room has a different temperature. The temperature in

each rock space is different, because of the different porosity and heat conductivity, as

well as the difference in hydrostatic pressure from the rock.

Temperature can affect organic substances contained in sediments. An increase in

temperature will change heavy petroleum into light petroleum, then condensate and

finally only gas. Dewanto et al (2017) research results in the laboratory are that oil shale

derived from clay-organic material occurs at a temperature of 300-400°C and for

ISO 9001: 2015 Certified Journal

carbonate-organic material occurs at a temperature of 400-500°C. Whereas at temperatures of 900-1000°C both materials produce gas. Temperature values can also be used to determine the depth of oil shale in wells (Mulyanto et al, 2018).

The duration of the formation of petroleum from the release of fat or lipids from kerogen is a temperature-related process, which is exponential and starts at temperatures around 93°C (Klemme, 1972). The value of temperature in a rock pore is one of the important parameters in the process of determining the maturity of organic matter, to predict the maturity of hydrocarbons in sedimentary rocks. Temperature values can help estimate the maturity of organic material in CaCO3 (Dewanto et al, 2019). Moreover, it can also be used as a basis for research relating to geothermal reservoirs in regions containing geothermal energy. Estimated reservoir temperatures are very important to assess the potential for geothermal exploitation (Zhang et al, 2015).

Knowledge about downhole and around the temperature of the wellbore formation is an important factor during drilling operations. It is important to estimate the effect of pressure and temperature on the formation fluid density (Kutasov, 2015). This will enable a more accurate prediction of differential pressure in the lower hole and will help reduce fluid losses resulting from miscalculated pressure differences. Reservoir temperature modeling is carried out to estimate reservoir parameters (Siswoyo, 1995).

In terms of calculating water saturation (Sw) to determine the hydocarbon content in reservoir rocks, it needs the parameter of Rw (formation water). The formation water value (Rw) of each layer can be determined based on temperature. Therefore, determining the temperature at a certain layer depth is very important to calculate the value of Rw (Ushie, 2001). Determination of temperature in the log well is also very useful for determining the maturation level of oil shale in source rock (Mulyanto et al, 2018). Then also to determine the level of hydrocarbon (oil and gas) maturation in source rock (Nopiyanti, 2019 and Maulina, 2019).

In this study, the temperature at each layer will be determined to a certain depth. For example in a drilling well, logging is usually carried out to determine the temperature value at any given depth. This temperature measurement is not done thoroughly on the well. In fact, some are only measured at the bottom of the well (BHT). But with the development of technology, the temperature at each depth can be estimated, with the

condition that the well is known for the surface temperature and Bore Hole Temperature (BHT).

One method for estimating the temperature is to determine the change in depth for each 10°C or 5°C rise in temperature. Subono et al (1995) and Dewanto et al (2001), developed the basic concept of geothermal flow to determine changes in depth at each 10°C temperature rise, so that the value of temperature at each depth in the well can be known.

METHOD

The data required are BHT (bore hole temperature), porosity, rock heat conductivity (K_B) , heat flow (Q), temperature gradient, stratigraphy, rock age.

Data Processing Method

In this research several work stages are carried out, namely.

First Stage, Determination of Lithology, Age and Porosity

The first stage, determine the lithology in each formation of the well and determine the age and sedimentation time of the lithology. Then determine the value of porosity, as a reference base for doing work in the next stage.

Second stage, Calculation of Rock Thermal Conductivity

Rock heat conductivity can be determined by measurement and calculation. Calculation of rockheat conductivity, determined using the following equation:

$$K_B = K_f^{\phi} \times K_s^{(1-\phi)}$$

Where, K_B = heat conductivity of the rock

 K_f = heat conductivity of the liquid fraction

 K_s = heat conductivity of solid fractions porosity

The value of the heat conductivity of the formation (K_F) is determined by calculation based on the value of heat conductivity of rocks and thickness in the formation.

$$K_F = \left[\left(\frac{d_{B1}}{K_{B1}} + \frac{d_{B2}}{K_{B2}} + \dots \right) \times \frac{1}{d_{B1} + d_{B2} + \dots} \right]^{-1}$$

Where, K_F = heat conductivity of the formation $(10^{-3} \text{ cgs})d_{B1}$ = the thickness of lithology-1 (m); d_{B2} = the thickness of lithology-2 (m) d_{B1} + d_{B2} = formation thickness (m)

 K_{B1} = heat conductivity of lithology-1 (10⁻³ cgs) K_{B2} = heat conductivity of lithology-2

(10⁻³ cgs) and so on adjusted to the type of lithology. Meanwhile, to calculate the value of heat conductivity wells are used the following formula:

$$K_{SM} = \left[\left(\frac{d_{FA}}{K_{FA}} + \frac{d_{FB}}{K_{FB}} + \dots + \frac{d_{FN}}{K_{FN}} \right) \times \frac{1}{DA} \right]^{-1}$$

Where, K_{SM} = heat conductivity calculated from the deepest well up to the surface (10^{-3} cgs) d_{FA} , d_{FB} , d_{FN} = formation thickness A, B s/d N (m or cm)

DA = total depth

Third stage, Calculating Temperature Gradients

Temperature gradients are determined according to the equation: $GT = \frac{BHTc - Tp}{DA} \times 1000$

where, GT = temperature gradient (^OC/100m) BHTc = temperature corrected at DA (^OC) Tp = average temperature on the surfaceDA = total depth (m)

In this case the temperature gradient of each formation is also calculated, using the following equation:

$$GT_F = \frac{Q}{K_F}$$

(Subono, S. dan Siswoyo, 1995)

where, GT_F = formation temperature gradient ($^{O}C/100m$)Q = geothermal flow, ($\Box 10^{-6}$ cal cm $^{-2}s^{-1}$)

 K_F = heat conductivity of the formation $(10^{-3} \text{ cal cm}^{-1} \text{ det}^{-1} \text{ OC}^{-1})$

Fourth stage, Determination of Heat Flow

After obtaining the value of heat conductivity and temperature gradient as mentioned above, then determine the value of heat flow (heat flow) at the well. Calculated using the formula according to the equation as follows:

$$Q = K \frac{dT}{dZ}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow Q = (K_f^{\phi} \times K_s^{(1-\phi)}) \times GT \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad Q = K_F \times GT$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \qquad Q = \left[\left(\frac{d_{FA}}{K_{FA}} + \frac{d_{FB}}{K_{FB}} + \dots + \frac{d_{FN}}{K_{FN}} \right) \times \frac{1}{DA} \right]^{-1} \times GT$$

 \Leftrightarrow $Q = K_{SM} \times GT$

```
where, Q = geothermal flow, HFU or \mucal/cm<sup>2</sup>s, or mW/m<sup>2</sup>

K_{SM} = heat conductivity, 10^{-3} cal cm<sup>-1</sup> dt<sup>-1</sup> °C<sup>-1</sup> or W/m<sup>o</sup>C

dT/dZ = temperature gradient, °C/100m (GT)

1 \text{ HFU} = 10^{-6} \text{ kal cm}^{-2} \text{ dt}^{-1}
```

The method for estimating the temperature is to determine the change in depth for each 10°C or 5°C rise in temperature. Determination of temperature in well A, the temperature value will be determined by calculation. By knowing the change in depth at every 10°C temperature rise, the value of the temperatur can be estimated. Basic formula used:where, Z: depth change (m)

$$\Delta Z = \frac{10^{O} C}{GT_{KF}} \implies GT_{KF} = \frac{Q(t)}{K_{KF}}$$

 GT_{KF} : temperature gradient of the formation group ($^{O}C/100m$) K_{KF} : heat conductivity of rock formation groups (mks)

By doing calculations to determine the change in depth of each 10° C temperature rise, a model of the value of each depth will be obtained.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this study using the thermal method. Well A has a total depth of 6443.4 ft (1963.9 m) located in the Central Sumatra Basin. The data needed for data processing in this study are stratigraphic data and rock heat conductivity data (Table 1), temperature gradient data and BHT (Table 2), and porosity data (log data). Heat Flow is the flow of heat that comes from inside the earth to flow to the surface through a space / rock material. Because the nature and density or compactness of rocks differ, the value of heat flow (Q) for each well in an area also varies besides that there must be the same. The difference in the value of heat flow, in addition to the difference in temperature gradient is also influenced by the conductivity of rock heat (K_B) on the rock. Rock heat conductivity can be determined from measurements of rock samples (cores) directly in the laboratory. Moreover, it can also be determined by calculation based on the value of the porosity of the rock. Researchers determine the value of rock heat conductivity (K_B) by direct measurement.

Table 1: Stratigraphic Data and Heat Conductivity of Well Rocks A.

		AGE	TITOLO		ROCK
FORMATION		(million	LITOLO GY	DEPTH (m)	CONDUCTIVITY
		years)	GY	, ,	(cal/cm dt ^O C)
		0	sand	0 - 55	4.25
MINIAC		0	shale	55 - 90	4.00
MINAS		1.6	sand	90 - 130	4.25
		1.6	shale	130 - 170	4.00
PETANI		<i>3</i> 11	shale	170 - 284	4.26
TELISA		14 3	shale	284 - 678	4.41
IELISA		14 3	sand	678 - 698	4.99
	Unnar	<i>17</i> 1	sand	698 - 738	5.32
	Upper	1/1	shale	738 - 778	4.64
				778 - 803	5.52
			sandshale	803 - 828	4.87
SIHAPAS		18	sand shale	828 - 853	5.52
	Lower	10	sand shale	853 - 883	4.87
			sand shale	883 - 908	5.52
		3	Sand	908 - 933	4.87
		3		933 - 969	5.52
				969 - 1009	6.36
		21		1009 - 1029	5.81
		22.5		1029 -1069	6.38
			sandshale	1069 - 1089	5.81
			sandshale	1089 - 1131	6.63
			sandshale	1131 - 1151	5.81
	Pmt. SS		sandshale	1151 - 1211	6.65
			sandshale	1211 - 1231	6.00
PEMATAN		6.7	sand	1231 - 1291	7.55
GGROUP			shalesand	1291 - 1311	6.00
	`	0.7		1311 - 1391	7.97
		,		1391 - 1411	6.00
				1411 - 1457	8.40
	Pmt. MS	29.2 4.2	shale	1457 - 1719	6.81
	BRSH	<i>33.4</i> 1.3	coal	1719 - 1780	6.80
		<i>34.7</i> 1.3	shalesand	1780 - 1815	6.81
1 3	LP		shale	1815 - 1840	8.83
				1840 - 1880	7.17
BASEMENT		36	Quarzt	1880 - 1964	7.59

Table 2: Well BHT Data and Temperature Gradient A.

A WELL	Depth	Depth	Depth	Temperature		Temperatur	e Gradient
A WELL	(ft)	(m)	(cm)	$(^{\mathbf{O}}\mathbf{F})$	(°C)	(⁰ C/100cm)	$(^{O}C/100m)$
Total Depth	6443.4	1963.9	196385.2	-	-		
BHT	6456.0	1967.7	196769.3	244.00	117.78	0.0464	4.64
Surface Temperature	-	-	-	80.00	26.67	0.0404	

www.wjert.org ISO 9001 : 2015 Certified Journal

After obtaining the value of KB then determine the value of heat conductivity (KF). To calculate the heat conductivity of the formation (KF), data on the thickness of the formation, the type and thickness of the rock in the formation are needed. From the calculation results, the heat conductivity value of the formation in well A is shown in Table 3. Furthermore, determining the value of the heat conductivity of the well (KSM), from the calculation results obtained the heat conductivity value of well A (KSM) is 5.4910⁻³ cgs.

FORMATION K-FORMATION (cal cm⁻¹ dt⁻¹ OC⁻¹) K_B measurement in Well A 4.14 (0-170 m) Minas Petani 4.26 (170-284 m) 4.43 (284-698 m) Telisa Sihapas-Upper 4.96 (698-778 m) Sihapas-Lower 5.23 (778-969 m) Pematang SS 6.81 (969-1457 m) Pematang MS 6.81 (1457-1719 m) BRSH 6.80 (1719-1780 m) LP 7.38 (1780-1880 m) Basement 7.59 (1880-1964 m)

Table 3: Results of Formation Heat Conduct Calculation, Well A.

For the value of heat flow, can be obtained by looking at the value gradient temperature (GT) and K-Well determination of well temperature gradients, calculated using the equation:

$$\frac{dT}{dZ} = \frac{(Tf - Tm)}{D} \times 100 \text{ (Dresser Atlas, 1982)}$$

The temperature gradient value for well A is 4.64 °C / 100m. While the value of geothermal flow (Q)can be determined using the equation:

$$Q = K_{SM} \frac{dT}{dZ}$$
 (Gretener, 1982)

Table 4: Calculation Results of K-Well, GT-Well, Heat Flow in Wells A-1 and B-1 Based on KBmeasurements.

Well	K-Well (cal cm ⁻¹ dt ⁻¹ OC ⁻¹)	<i>GT</i> -Well (^O C/100cm)	HEAT FLOW (HFU)	HEAT FLOW (mW/m^2)
A	5.491348 \(\text{10}^{-3} \)	0.046	2.75	110

The result of heat flow (Q) calculation for well A is 110 mW / m2. The values of *GT*-Well, *K*-Well, and Q of well A are shown in Table 4. After the value of heat flow in well A is obtained, the heat conductivity value of the formation group is calculated. Then

determine the value gradient for the formation group temperature, using the equation:

$$GT_{KF} = \frac{Q}{K_{KF}}$$
 (Subono dan Siswoyo, 1995)

Furthermore, determine the change in depth ($\square Z$) for each temperature increase of 10^{O} C (10OC / Z). The results of the K_{KF} , GT_{KF} and $\square Z$ calculations are shown in Table 5. Next step is the determination of the depth change ($\square Z$) for each temperature increase of 10^{O} C (10OC / Z). The results of the K_{KF} , GT_{KF} and $\square Z$ calculations are shown in Table 5

Table 5: Calculation results of K_{KF} , GT_{KF} , and depth change (dZ) in well A based on K_B measurements.

Formation	Thickness of Formation Group (cm)	Heat Conductivity ofFormation Group (cal/cm dt °C)	Temperature Gradient of Formation Group (°C/100m)	dT = 10 °C dZ = m
Minas Petani		4		
Telisa				
Sihapas	96900	4.53	6.06	165
Upper	90900	4.55	0.00	103
Sihapas				
Lower				
Pematang SS				
Pematang MS				
Pematang	91100	6.87	4.00	250
BRSH				
Pematang LP				
Basement	8400	7.59	3.62	276




Figure 1: Changes in depth for each 10^oC temperature rise, in well A.

www.wjert.org ISO 9001 : 2015 Certified Journal

The depth of well A is 1964 m, with a surface temperature of 30°C. From the data processing it is produced that 5x the same depth change every 10°C temperature increase is 165 m. Then 4x the same depth change every 10°C temperature increase is 250 m, and 1x the same depth change every 10°C temperature increase is 276 m. Then a depth change model is made for every 10°C temperature increase in well A, which is shown in Figure 1. Seen in Figure 1, the surface temperature is 30°C and the temperature is 130°C at a depth of 2100 m, while the Bore Hole Temperature (BHT) is 117.78°C at a depth of 1967.7 m. So if we compare the results of the BHT measurement from the log method with the results of the calculation model of the depth change of each 10°C temperature rise, the results are close to similarity. BHT log measurement results (1967.7m = 117.78°C), the model changes depth every 10°C (2100m = 130°C).

CONCLUSION

From the data processing it is produced that 5x the same depth change every 10°C temperature increase is 165 m. Then 4x the same depth change every 10°C temperature increase is 250 m, and 1x the same depth change every 10°C temperature increase is 276 m. The depth of well A is 1964 m, with a surface temperature of 30°C . So the temperature value from a depth of 165 m to a depth of 2100 m is 40°C to 130°C . Then a depth change model is made for every 10°C temperature increase in well.

REFERENCES

- 1. Dewanto, O. Estimated Level of Hydrocarbon Maturation Using the Heat Method. Universitas Indonesia. Jakarta, 2001.
- Dewanto, O., Mulyatno, B.S., Rizky, S., Alimuddin. Petrophysical Properties Analysis of Reservoir Rock Using Core Analysis and Interpretation Log Data Method To Estimated The Water Saturation (Sw) at OD-1 and OD-2 Wells in The X-Area. *Proceeding PIT HAGI 41*. Bandar Lampung. ISBN: 978-79-98933-9-0, 2016.
- 3. Dewanto, O., Mulyatno, B.S., Rustadi, Wibowo, R.C. Determining the Temperature of Shale Material Conversion Into Crude Oil Based on Organic Clay and Organic Carbonate Test Outside Reservoir. *International Journal of Mechanical & Mechatronics Engineering IJMME-IJENS.*, 2017; 17(05): 84-89.
- 4. Dewanto, O., Rizky, S., Mulyanto, B.S., and Rustadi. The Maturity Estimation of Material Organic in CaCO3 with Determining Tmax and Energy Activation Using Pyrolysis Method. *Journal of Computational and Theoretical Nanoscience*, 2019; 16:

1-12.

- 5. Dresser Atlas, Dresser Industries Inc. *Well Logging and Interpretation Techniques*. The CourseFor Home Study, 1982; 22-32, 39-94, 102-129, 165-178.
- 6. Gretener, P.E. *Geothermics: Using Temperature in Hydrocarbone Exploration*. Short Course San Francisco Annual Meeting. The American Association of Petroleum Geologists Tulsa, Oklahoma, USA, 1981; 1-67.
- Hanun, K., Dewanto, O., Mulyatno, B.S., Wibowo, R.C., Priyanka, F. Estimated Formation Temperature On Oil Well Using Log and Thermal Method in 'H' Region, Central Sumatra. *Proceeding PIT HAGI 41*. Bandar Lampung. ISBN: 978-79-98933-9-0, 2016.
- 8. Klemme, H.D. Heat Influences size of oil giants-geothermal gradients. *The Oil and Gas J.*, 1972; 17: 136-144: 24: 76-78.
- Kutasov, I.M., and Eppelbaum, L.V. Wellbore and Formation Temperatures During Drilling, Cementing of Casing and Shut-in. Proceedings World Geothermal Congress, 2015.
- 10. Maulina. Identification of Prospect Zone of Oil and Gas Reservoir and Biogenic Gas Using Log, Petrophysical and Geochemical Data at MN Basin in Nias Basin, North Sumatra. *Skripsi*. Teknik Geofisika Fakultas Teknik. Universitas Lampung, 2019.
- 11. Mulyanto, B.S., Dewanto, O., Rizky, S. Determining Layer Oil Shale as New Alternative Energy Sources Using Core Analysis and Well Log Method. *International Journal of Engineering & Technology*, 2018; 7(4): 36: 941-949.
- 12. Mulyanto, B.S., Dewanto, O., Rizky, S. Determining Layer Oil Shale as New Alternative Energy Sources Using Core Analysis and Well Log Method. *International Journal of Engineering & Technology*, 2018; 7(4): 36: 941-949.
- 13. Nopiyanti, T. Characterization of Oil and Gas Reservoirs and Source Rock Using Log Data and Geochemical Data in the Kangean Block Area. *Skripsi*. Teknik Geofisika Fakultas Teknik. Universitas Lampung, 2019.
- 14. Siswoyo and Subono, S. Heat Flow, Hydrocarbon Maturity and Migration in Northwest Java. *CCOP Technical Bulletin*, 1995; 25: 23-36.
- 15. Subono, S. and Siswoyo. Thermal Studies of Indonesian Oil Basin. *CCOP Technical Bulletin*, 1995; 25: 37 to 54.
- 16. Ushie, F.A. Formation Water Resistivity (Rw) Determination: The Sp Method. *J. Appl. Sci. Environ.* Mgt, 2001; 5(1): 25-28.
- 17. Zhang, X., Guo, Q., Li, J., Liu, M., Wang, Y. Yijun YangEstimation of reservoir

www.wjert.org

temperature using silica and cationic solutes geothermometers: a case study in the Tengchong geothermal area. *Chin. J. Geochem*, 2015; 34(2): 233–240.

ISO 9001: 2015 Certified Journal