copus.pdf	
WORD COUNT	CHARACTER COUNT
5966 Words	32225 Characters
PAGE COUNT	FILE SIZE
9 Pages	393.4KB
SUBMISSION DATE Feb 7, 2023 10:33 AM GMT+7	REPORT DATE Feb 7, 2023 10:34 AM GMT+7

Aeny\_Ginting\_dkk\_2020\_Biodeversitas\_S Cipta Ginting

AUTHOR

#### • 22% Overall Similarity

The combined total of all matches, including overlapping sources, for each database.

- 16% Internet database
- Crossref database

PAPER NAME

• 9% Submitted Works database

### • Excluded from Similarity Report

- Bibliographic material
- Manually excluded sources

- 20% Publications database
- Crossref Posted Content database
- Quoted material
- Manually excluded text blocks

# Characterization and host range assessment of *Dickeya zeae* associated with pineapple soft rot disease in East Lampung, Indonesia

TITIK NUR AENY<sup>1</sup>, RADIX SUHARJO<sup>1,</sup>, CIPTA GINTING<sup>1</sup>, DWI HAPSORO<sup>2</sup>, AININ NISWATI<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Lampung. Jl. Prof. Sumantri Brojonegoro No. 1 Bandar Lampung 35145, Lampung, Indonesia. Tel./fax.: +62-721-787029, •email: radix.suharjo@fp.unila.ac.id

<sup>2</sup>Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Lampung, Jl. Prof. Sumantri Brojonegoro No. 1, Bandar Lampung 35145, Lampung, Indonesia

<sup>3</sup>Department of Soil Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Lampung. Jl. Prof. Sumantri Brojonegoro No. 1, Bandar Lampung 35145, Lampung, Indonesia

Manuscript received: 15 November 2019. Revision accepted: 17 January 2020.

**Abstract.** Aeny TN, Suharjo R, Ginting C, Hapsoro D, Niswati A. 2020. Characterization and host range assessment of Dickey zeae associated with pineapple soft rot disease in East Lampung, Indonesia. Biodiversitas 21: 587-595. The study aims to characterize the Dickeya zeae associated with pineapple soft rot in East Lampung, Indonesia and to assess the bacterial host range. From the blister-like lesion-symptom, bacteria were isolated with the morphological characteristics: circular, convex, cream white milk-colored, with diameter colonies ranging from 1-2 mm in diameter. Two strains (N-Unila 5 and N-Unila 10) were selected for further investigation including pathogenicity test on pineapple seedling, species identification by phenotypic characteristics and molecular techniques using sequence analysis of 16SrDNA, *recA*, and *dnaX* as well as host range test on 25 different plant species. The result of the pathogenicity test showed similar symptoms to those observed in the field. Physiological and biochemical characterization revealed that the two isolates were Gram-negative bacteria, fermentative, lecithinase positive, non-fluorescent on King's B medium, able to grow on YDC medium at 41°C, did not produce H<sub>2</sub>S and did not grow in the presence of 5% NaCl. The isolates capable of using Myo-inositol, M-tartrate, mannitol, L-tartrate, lactose, glycerol, D-melibiose, D-arabinose, citrate, and cis-aconitic acid but did not utilize starch, S-ketoglucanate, L-ascorbic acid, inulin, folic acid, D-raffinose and tartrate as a sole carbon source. Phenotypic characteristics indicated that the strains were in the group of *Dickeya* spp. bv. 3 (phenon 1). Sequence analysis of 16S rDNA, *recA*, and *dnaX* revealed that the strains were placed in the same cluster with the reference strain of *D. zeae*. Host range assessment showed positive soft rot symptoms in Aloe vera, chinese cabbage, dragon-fruit, eggplant, lettuce, and welsh onion that have never been reported before.

Keywords: Characterization, Dickeya zeae, host range, pineapple, soft rot symptom

#### **INTRODUCTION**

The genus Dickeya, previously known as 24 rwinia chrysanthemi, is a facultative aerobic or anaerobic phytopathogenic bacterium that causes soft rot liseases on many crops. The genus *Dickeya* comprises of six species, namely D. dianthicola, D. dadantii, D. zeae, D. chrysanthemi, D. dieffenbachia and D. paradisiaca (Samson et al. 2005), and nine biovars which largely 3 rrespond to biovar classification of *E. chrysanthemi* gwira and Samson, 1990, Samson et al. 2005). The genus *Dicker* has undergone several taxonomic revisions and recently ne species of *D. dieffenbachiae* has been revised as D. dadantii subsp. dieffenbachiae (Brady et al. 2012). Some isolates f *Dickeya* from European potatoes have been determined as a new species, such as *D. solani* 48 van der Wolf et al. 2014). Another new species of *Dickeya* solated from waterways in the UK and Finland was identified as <u>56</u> aquatica (Parkinson et al. 2014). In addition, three strains of *Dickeya* is lated from pear bleeding cancer in China were proposed as a novel species as *D. fangzhongdai* (Tian et al. 2016). Therefore, at present, there are eight species in the genus Dickeya including D. dianthicola, D. dadantii, D. zeae, D. chrysanthemi, D. paradisiaca, D. solani, D. aquatica

and  $D_{59}$  fangzhongdai. Among these species, two of them (2). zeae and D dadantii) were der field as the causative agents of pineapple soft rot (samson et al. 2005) that better known as oacterial heart rot disease of pineapple.

Bacterial heart rot and fruit collapse disease on ineapple was first reported in Malaysia (Johnston, 1957). The disease is economically very important to pineapple producers and now has been spread to costa Rica, Brazil, Philippines (Rohrbach & Johnson, 2003), and Hawaii (Kaneshiro et al. 2008). The bacterial pathogen, however, has not been clearly identified. Bacterial pathogen firstly found in Malaysia was reported as E. carotovora (Johnston, 1957), but later was identified as E. chrysanthemi (Lim, 1974). The bacterial pathogen found in Philippines and Hawaii was also identified as *E. chrysanthemi* (Kaneshiro et al. 2008). Since *E. chrysanthemi* has been reclassified 12 to the genus *Dickeya* (Samson et al. 2005), the pathogen f bacterial heart rot and fruit collapse of pineapple found in Malaysia was then reported as Dickeya zeae or Dickeya sp. (Ramachandran et al. 2015). The symptoms observed in infected pineapples consisted of initial necrosis followed by a collapse of the tissue. Detailed studies of the morphology and physiology, as well as pathogenicity of Dickeya (E. chrysanthemi) isolated from pineapple and

several other crops, have be reported from several pineapple producing countries Avrova et al. 2002; Lim 1974; Kaneshiro et al. 2008; Ramachandran et al. 2015) but not from Indonesia. In this paper, the pacterial heart rot and fruit collapse disease will be referred bacterial soft rot.

In 2013, bacterial soft rot symptoms were observed in the harvested pineapple in East Lampung, Indonesia. The disease symptoms were very similar to the bacterial heart rot or soft rot and fruit collapse reported by Kaneshiro et al. (2008). This finding should not be ignored since the disease might have been introduced or even spread in Indonesia in several ways. The pathogen may have infected the previously imported crowns and was cultivated in Lampung then developed and spread in the pineapple field. Since there is no publication of this bacterial disease from any pineapple producing areas in Indonesia, so it is difficult to collect information on the disease intensity and yield loss caused by the disease.

Field observation showed that the disease symptoms on pineapple appeared as water-soaked lesions on the upper surface of leaves arising from the base. In general, the symptoms were similar to the bacterial heart rot af pineapple caused by Erwinia chrysanthemi found Hawaii (Kaneshiro et al. 2008) or caused by Dickeya zeae or *Dickeya* sp. found in Malaysia (Ramachandran et al. 2015). The previous study by Prasetyo and Aeny (2014) showed that the symptoms, pathogenicity, and morphological characteristics suggested that the pineapple soft rot and fruit collapse in Lampung was caused by E. chrysanthemi, that later on known as D. zeae (Aeny et al. 2018). Due to the serious threat of the bacterial soft rot disease to pineapple production, the suspected *Dickeya* sp. isolated from diseased pineapples in East Lampung, Indonesia must be accurately characterized and identified. The fact that its taxonomy remains unstable, it might cause difficulties in understanding disase management. This study aims to reveal the identity of the bacteria causing soft rot disect using a biochemical and molecular technique based on sequence analysis of 16S rDNA, *recA*, and *dnaX*, and to assess the host range. The result of this study will provide precious information on the species identity of the causative agent of pineapple soft rot in Lampung, Indonesia as well as various crops that may act as alternative hosts.



#### **Isolation of the pathogen**

The pineapple leaves showing blister-like lesion symptoms were collected from the pineapple field in 2015. Leaves were surface-disinfected using 70% ethanol. Small portions of leaf tissues were cut at the boundary chealthy and infected leaf tissue and placed in a microtube (1.5 mL) containing 500  $\mu$ L of sterile distilled water. The tissues were then submerged and macerated ing tweezers and left for 10 minutes. Subsequently, loopful of the suspension was streaked onto yeast peptone agar (YPA) (Suharjo et al. 2014 and incubated for 48 hours at room temperature (28°C). The selected isolates were then subcultured to obtain pure culture before being stored in skim milk agar (Suharjo et al. 2014) at-40°C for further investigation.

Affirming that the obtained bacterium was the causative agent of soft rot disease, so the bacterial inoculation was carried out to the healthy pineapple plants. Three healthy pineapple plants were planted in polybags and planed in the glasshouse. The bacterial suspension ( $\sim 10^8$  CFU mL) was prepared and injected into healthy 4-month-old plants using a sterilized syringe in the midsection of the bact suspension et al. 2008; Ramachandran et al. 2015). The inoculated plants were covered with transparent plastic bags to maintain relative humidity and were removed after three days. As for the negative control, the pineapple plants were injected with sterile distilled water. Symptoms of infection were observed and recorded every day for 3 weeks. Reisolation and characterization of the bacterial strains were conducted to fulfill Koch's Postulates.

#### Physic characterization

Gram reaction was investigated by the pn-staining method using 3% KOH (Suslow et al. 1982). Fluorescent pigment production was investigated on King's Penedium (King et al. 1954). Oxidation and fermentation est was performed using the medium described by Hugh and Leifson's (1953). Potato soft rot test, lecithinase test, and hydrolysis of casein test were conducted based on Lelliot et al. (1966). Arginine Dehydrolase (ADH) Moeller (Himedia, India) (with the addition of a 1% L-Arginine hydrochloride) test was performed based on Dickey (1979). Frowth capability at 5% NaCl was performed based on the nethods described by Dye (1968). The utilization of 11 organic compounds as a sole source of carbon was tested on the modified Ayers Medium Society of American Bacteriologist, 1957), with 0.1% (w/v) organic compounds incorporated. A positive reaction was assessed when bacterial growth was observed within 21 days at 27 °C. Growth capability at 36, 37, 39, 40 and 41°C was tested using the YP broth medium.

#### **DNA extraction and PCR amplification**

Bacteria were inoculated into 5 mL yeast peptone (YP) medium (Suharjo et al. 2014) and cultured in a sharing incubator (185 rpm) at 27°C overnight. The bacterial cells were harvested by centrifugation (14,000 rpm for 10 min). The DNA was extracted from the bacterial cells using the cetyltrimethylammonium bromide method (Ausubel et al. 2003). For molecular analysis, the DNA was used at the correntration of ~ 1  $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ L.

**C**R amplification was performed using 25 μL total volume of the mixture 16SrDNA, *recA*, and *dnaX* using MyTaq<sup>TM</sup> Red Mix (Bi line, USA) according to manufacturer's instruction. The PCRs were conducted using SensoQuest (Germany) thermal cycler machine. The PCR was carried as follows: 1 cycle of an initial denaturation at 94°C for 5 min, 30 cycles consisted of denaturation at 94°C for 5 min, annealing at 58°C (16S rDNA), 57 °C (*dnaX*) or 56°C (*recA*) for 1 min, primer extension at 72°C for 1 min, and final extension at 72°C for 5 min. The primers used in this study are listed in Table 1.

Table 1. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) primer sequences used in this study

Locus	Primer	Sequence (5'-3')	Reference
16S rDNA	fD1	CCGAATTCGTCGACAACAGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG	Weisburg et al. 1991
	rP2	CCCGGGATCCAAGCTTACGGCTACCTTGTTACGACTT	
dnaX	dnaXf	TATCAGGTYCTTGCCCGTAAGTGG	Sławiak et al. 2009
	dnaXr	TCGACATCCARCGCYTTGAGATG	
recA	RS1	GGTAAAGGGTCTATCATGCG	
	RS2	CCTTCACCATACATAATTTGGA	Suharjo et al. (2014)

DNA supercing The TCR products of 16S rDNA, *dnaX* and *recA* were electrophoresed 39 0.5% agarose gels containing ethidium bromide (10 mg 7 l<sup>-1</sup>) with Tris-Boric Acid-EDTA (TBE) buffer (pH 8.0) at 50 Volt for 70 min. The result was visualized under DigiDoc UV transilluminator (UVP, USA7 The PCR products of 16S rDNA, recA, and dnaX were sent to 1<sup>st</sup> Base, Malaysia for sequencing.

#### **Phylogenetic analysis**

The sequencing results were then analyzed ing BioEdit for Windows program ver. 7.2.6 (Hall, 1999). the phylogenetic tree was constructed based on sequences of 16S rDNA, recA are dnaX using the neighbor-joining method (Jukes and antor model) with MEGA7 for Windows (Kumar et al. 2016). Sequence data of *Dickeya* species reference strains were obtained from NCBI GenBank (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/).

#### Host range test

Seedlings of corn, rice aloe vera, celerv. chrysanthemum, spring onion, dendrobium were inoculated by stabbing the bacterial suspensions ( $\sim 10^8$  CFU/mL) into their stem. Slices of bulb onion; detached leaves of bok choi, cabbage, Chinese cabbage, and curly lettuce; fruits of avocado, bean, coyote, cucumber, dragon fruit, guava, long bean, tomato, and watermelon; and tuber of garlic and carrot were also inoculated using the stabbing method. The inoculated plant parts were placed in plastic boxes (40 x 40 x 60 cm) covered by transparent plastic and kept for 48 hours to maintain moisture. Observations were conducted every day for a week to record the symptoms indicated by color-changing (necrotic) and soft rot symptoms on the inoculated plant tissues.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### **Pathogen characteristics**

Bacterial isolation from pineapple leaves with blisterlike lesion symptoms resulted in ten bacterial isolates with the code of N-Unila 1 to N-Unila 10 that the colony characteristics as follows: round, white, convex, the opaque colony on YPA medium at 24 hours after inoculation. At 48 to 72 hours after inoculation, the colony shape turned to nearly round with regular edges. Microscopic observations showed that he cells were straight rods with rounded ends, occurred singly or in pairs, and non-sporeforming (Figure 1). Two representative strains (N-Unila 5 and N-Unila 10) were selected for further investigation.

The representative strains were capable of infecting plant tissue and caused symptoms on the inoculated pineapple plants within 7 days after inoculation. The disease symptoms were initiated as a small water-soaking lesion around the stabbed point, blotted and light brown discoloration. The water-soaking lesions enlarge and spread further from the inoculated area and formed dark 16 fection border. Further symptoms exhibited color changes from light brown to dark brown with chlisters-like appearance on the inoculated leaf (Figure 2). Iant leaves inoculated with sterile distilled water as control, did not show disease symptoms. Reisolated bacteria from the infected leaves also showed disease symptoms on the new inoculated plants and confirmed that the Koch's postulate W

Suffilled. Based on the biochemical tests, the representative strains (N-Unila 5 and N-Unila 10) were placed in phenon 1 volovar 3 and 8) which corresponds to D. zeae and D. dadantii (Table 2). These isolates could not at the presence of 5% NaCl but survived at 41°C. The isolates were Gram-negative, ADH Moeller negative, fermentative, soft rot positive on sliced potato tuber and lecithinase positive. They utilized several different sugars such as Myo-inositol, M-tartrate, mannitol, L-tartrate, lactose, glycerol, D-melibiose, D-arabinose, citrate, and cis-aconitic acid but did not utilize starch, 5-ketoglucanate, L-ascorbic acid, inulin, folic acid, D-raffinose and D-tartrate as a sole carbon source.



Figure 1. Colonies of *Dickeya* isolated from the pineapple plant



Figure 2. Symptoms of disease at seven days after artificial inoculation (*left*) and blister-like symptom in the pineapple field (*right*)

Test	Tested strains (n=2)	Phenon 1	Phenon 2	Phenon 3	Phenon 4	Phenon 5	Phenon 6
Lechitine	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
ADH Moeller	-	d (15)	-	-	+	d (69)	-
Casein	nt	+	d (75)	d (80)	+	d (75)	-
Utilization of :							
D-arabinose	+	+	-	+	-	-	+
D-tartrate	-	-	d (75)	-	-	+	+
Inulin	-	-	-	-	+	d (88)	-
Lactose	+	+	d (75)	-	d (20)	-	d (17)
Cis-aconitic acid	+	+	-	d (80)	d (20)	-	-
D-melibiose	+	+	+	-	+	d (44)	d (83)
D-raffinose	+	+	+	-	+	d (44)	d (83)
5-ketogluconate	-	-	-	d (20)	-	-	+
Mannitol	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
M-tartrate	+	+	d(25)	-	-	+	+
Myo-innositol	+	+	+	d (80)	+	+	-
Growth at 39 °C	+	+	+	+	+	-	d (83)
Species name	D. dadantii	D. dadantii	<i>D</i> .	<i>D</i> .	D. chrysanthemi	<i>D</i> .	<i>D</i> .
	+ <i>D. zeae</i>	+ <i>D. zeae</i>	chrysanthemi bv. parthemi	dieffenbachiae	bv.chrysanthemi	dianthicola	paradisiaca

Table 2. The phenon characteristics of tested strains

Note: nt: not tested; +: 90-100% positive; : 90-100% negative; d(n) : percentage of positive strains. Phenon characteristics were described based on Samson et al. (2005)

## Phylogenetic analysis based on the sequence of 16S rDNA, *recA*, and *dna*X

BLAST analysis revealed that the DNA sequences of the three genes of the strains (N-Unila 5 and N-Unila 10) were 99% identical to those of *D. zeae* sequences available in the ConBank databases. Seventy-eight sequences of reference strains (including the type strain) of the known *Dickeya* species (16S rDNA; 20 sequences, *recA*; 29 sequences and *dnaX*; 29 sequences) for phylogenetic analysis were retrieved from NCBI GenBank. *E. coli* were used as outgroup control. Dased on 16S rDNA sequences analysis (Weisburg et al. 1991), it revealed that the tested strains (N-Unila 5 and N-Unila 10) were placed in the same group with the reference strains of *D. zeae* (MAFF311098 (corn), acc. no. AB713546; MAFF106502 (rice), acc. no. AB713560; and SUPP410 (Setaria), acc.no. AB713536) (Figure 3). The result of *recA* corresponded to these results of 16S rDNA sequence analysis. The strains were in the same group with the type strain of *C* zeae (NCPPB2538, acc. no. FJ216967) as well as other reference strains of *D*. zeae (MAFF311098 (corn), acc. no. AB713664; MAFF106502 (rice), acc. no. AB713671; SUPP410 (Setaria) acc. no. AB713693) (Figure 4). The same result was obtained ased on the sequence analysis of *dnaX*. The strains were in the same group with the type strain of *D*. zeae (IPO2131 acc. no. GQ904764) as well as other reference strains of *D*. zeae (MAFF311098 (corn) acc. no. AB713581; MAFF106502 (rice) acc. no. AB713593, SUPP410 acc. No. AB713596) (Figure 5). These phylogenetic studies apported and confirmed the result of biochemical tests reading to the conclusion that the bacterial pathogen of soft rot disease of pineapple in East Lampung Indonesia was *D*. zeae.

#### Host range of D. zeae isolated from pineapple

Isolated bacteria from pineapple that inoculated to several plant species showed the bacteria were capable of infecting most of the inoculated plant species. Out of 25 plant species within 16 families, 17 species positively infected at 24-72 hours after inoculation (11 species in 10 dicot plant families and 6 species in 5 monocot plant families) (Table 3). Among those tested plants, inoculated *Aloe vera* showed the most severe symptoms at one week after inoculation (Figure 6). However, inoculated avocado fruit, banana, carrot, cabbage, coyote, cucumber, kalanchoe, and rice did not show any symptom.

Table 3. Inoculated plant species and their reactions as rot symptoms

Plant family	Name of species	Common name	Inoculated parts	Reaction*)
Apiaceae	Apium graveolens	Celery	Stem	+
-	Daucus carota	Carrot	Tuber	-
Asteraceae	Chrysanthemum indicum	Chrysanthemum	Leaf	+
	Lactuca sativa	Curly lettuce	Leaf	+
Asphodelaceae	Aloe vera	Aloe vera	Leaf	+
Brassicaceae	Brassica oleracea	Cabbage	Leaf	-
(Cruciferae)	Brassica chinensis	Chinesse cabbage	Leaf	+
	Brassica rapa	Bok choi	Leaf	+
Bromeliaceae	Ananas comosus	Pineapple	Leaf	+
Cactaceae	Opuntia littoralis	Cactus	Leaf	+
	Hylocereus undatus	Dragon fruit	Fruit	+
Crassulaceae	Kalanchoe pinnata	Kalanchoe	Leaf	-
Cucurbitaceae	Cucumis sativus	Cucumber	Fruit	-
	Sechium edule	Coyote	Fruit	-
Fabaceae	Phaseolus vulgaris	Green bean	Pod	+
Lauraceae	Persea gratissima	Avocado	Fruit	-
Liliaceae	Allium cepa	Onion	Tuber	+
	Allium fistulosum	Welsh onion	Tuber	+
Myrtaceae	Psidium guajava	Guava	Fruit	+
Musaceae	Musa paradisiaca	Banana	Leaf, fruit	-
Orchidaceae	Dendrobium sp.	Orchid	Leaf	+
Poaceae	Oryza sativa	Rice	Stem	-
	Zea mays	Corn	Stem	+
Solanaceae	Solanum lycopersicum	Tomato	Fruit	+
	Solanum melongena	Eggplant	Fruit	+

Note: \*) +: a symptom of necrotic, rot, or changing of tissue color; -: no symptom



**Figure 3.** Phylogenetic tree based on 16S rDNA sequence. The tree was rooted using the sequence of *E. coli* strain Sam6-TMC1 (Acc no. GU1868340). The type strain (T) of *Dickeya* species were also included. Reference strains used in this study were collected from the study of Suharjo et al. (2014) and van der Wolf et al (2014).  $\blacksquare$ : *Dickeya* sp. used in this study.



**Figure 4.** Phylogenetic tree based in the *recA* gene sequence. The tree was rooted using the sequence of *E. coli* strain Sam6-TMC1. The tree was rooted using the sequence of *E. coli* strain (GU186834). The type strain (T) of *Dickeya* species was also included. Reference strains used in this study were collected from the study of Suharjo et al. (2014), Parkinson et al. (2014), van der Wolf et al. (2014) and Tian et al. (2016). **E**: *Dickeya* sp. used in this study.

#### Discussion

based on the phenotypic characteristics, *Dickeya* spp. wath vided into nine biovars (Ngwira and Samson, 1990) nd six phena (phenotypic groups) (Samson et al. 2005). chenon 1 (all the strains belong to biovar 3 and 8) resemble *D. zeae* or *D dadantii*, phenon 2 (all the strains belong to biovar 6) and phenon 4 (all the strains belong to biovar 5) resemble *D. chrysanthemi*, phenon 3 (all the strains belong to biovar 2) resemble *D. dieffenbachiae*, phenon 5 (all the strains belong to biovar 4, 7 and 9) resemble *D. dianthicola* and phenon 6 (all the strains belong to biovar 4) resemble *D. paradisiaca*.

Since the species of *Dickeya* have great variations and are closely related (Nassar et al. 1996), so identification using only phenotypic characteristics or singlagene is not recommended (Kolbert et al. 1999; Marrero et al. 2013). Ngwira and Samson (1990) and Samson et al. (2005) reported that two species of *Dickeya*, namely *D. zeae* and *D. dadantii* could not be differentiated using phenotypic characterization. These two *Dickeya* species belonged to phenon 1 (biovar 3 and 8). It has also been reported that a group of putative new species of *Dickeya* was also placed within biovar 3 (Suharjo et al. 2014; Parkinson et al. 2009; Slawiak et al. 2009). The time consuming and the high subjectivity on the reading of phenotypic characteristics became another problem. The possibility of mislabeling or mishandling is one of the main reasons that the use of a single gene for sequence analysis is really not enough for accurate identification. Therefore in this study, three different gene sequences (16SrDNA, *recA*, and *dnaX*) were used for phylogenetic analysis.

In 2005, *Dickeya* spp. was differentiated into 5 species, namely *D. dianthicola*, *D. dadantii*, *D. zeae*, *D. chrysanthemi* and *D. paradisiaca* based on host range test, phenotypic characteristics, molecular analysis as well as serological assay (Damson et al. 2005). Afterward, another three *Dickeya* species were proposed *i.e. D. solani* (van der Wolf et al. 2004), *D. aquatica* (Parkinson et al. 2014) and *D. fangzhongdai* (Tian et al. 2016). In 2012, Brady et al. (2012) reclassified *D. dieffenbachiae* into subspecies of *D. dadantii* and identified as *D. dadantii* subsp. *diefenbachiae*. Meanwhile, *D. dadantii* was renamed as *D. dadantii* subsp *dadantii*.



**Figure 5.** Phylogenetic tree based on *dnaX* gene sequence. The tree was rooted using the sequence of *E. coli* strain Sam6-TMC1. The tree was rooted using the sequence of *E. coli* strain (GU186834). The type strain (T) each of *Dickeya* species was also included. Reference strains used in this study were collected from the study Suharjo et al. (2014), Parkinson et al. (2014), van der Wolf et al. (2014) and Tian et al. (2016).  $\blacksquare$ : *Dickeya* sp. used in this study

<sup>6</sup>Dased on the biochemical tests, the two strains (biovar 3 and 8) are placed in the group of phenon 1 which belonged to *D. zeae* or *D. dadantii* (Samson et al. 2005). In order to reveal the species identity, another identification method using a multilocus sequence analysis was erformed to draw an accurate conclusion. In this study, miree different genes namely 16S rDNA, *recA*, and *dnaX* were used for bacteria identification. The 16S rDNA approach is considered as one of the most widely used standard techniques to infer phylogenetic relationships among bacteria but is sometimes insufficient to distinguish closely related species. The *recA* and *dnaX* genes have been proven as powerful markers for inferring bacterial phylogeny and have been used successfully to differentiate species of *Dickeya* (samson et al. 2005; Slawiak et al. 2009; Parkinson et al. 2009; Suharjo et al. 2014; Zhang et al. 5014).

The result of BLAST analysis showed that the two pacterial strains contain 99% homologs to that of *D. zeae*. based on the sequence analysis of 16S rDNA, *recA*, and *dnaX*, the two strains were placed in the group of *D. zeae*. Based on these findings, it is confirmed that the causative agent of pineapple soft rot in Lampung was the species of *D. zeae*. This report is considered as the first one on the pineapple soft rot disease caused by *D. zeae* in Indonesia.

Several fruit crops other than pineapple that cultivated in Lampung Province, such as guava and dragon fruit, were also considered as a potential host of the *Dickeya* soft rot pathogen. The tested fruits of guava and dragon fruit showed typical eacterial soft rot symptoms. As a bacterial soft rot pathogen, *Dickeya* sp. has been known as a pathogen of a wide range of tropical and subtropical crops, in the greenhouse and the field. Ma et al. (2007) reported that the genus *Dickeya* is composed of broad-host-range pathogens, including almost half of the orders of Angiosperm plants. The bacteria was also reported to cause soft rot disease in various plant species within 11 dicot families in 10 plant orders, and in 10 monocot families within 5 orders (Ma et al. 2007).



Figure 6. Positive symptoms produced by the strains on inoculated plants: A. Celery, B. Lettuce, C. Chinese cabbage, D. Dragon fruit, E. Maize, F. Welsh onion, G. Tomato, H. Cactus, I. Green bean, J. Long bean, K. Aloe vera, L. Eggplant, M. Bok choi, N. Chrysanthemum, and O. Dendrobium

The assessment of the host range in this study showed that *D. zeae* isolated from the pineapple field in Lampung, Indonesia was capable of infecting vegetables, ornamentals, and fruits but inable to infect banana. However, a study by Zhang it al. (2014) showed that *Dickeya zeae* was the causative agent of soft rot disease of banana in China. This difference was an interesting phenomenon showing variation in infection capability within *D. zeae* species that should be further investigated.

The information in the extent of the host ranges of D. *zeae* is very important to anticipate and prevent the spread of the disease, especially to other valuable crops. The occurrence of D. *zeae* in pineapple and other crops in Lampung should be considered as a warning for the production of pineapple as well as other crops. The pathogen might be a new latently infected strain or indigenous strain.



This study was supported by the Ministry of Research, Technology and Higher Education, Republic of Indonesia, through a fundamental research program at the University of Lampung in the 2016 fiscal year. The authors are grateful to the Paculty of Agriculture, University of Lampung for permitting us using research facilities during this study as well as the Great Giant Food Co. for providing access to collect pineapple samples.

#### REFERENCES

- Aeny TN, Prasetyo J, Suharjo R, Dirmawati SR, Niswati A. 2018. Short Communication: Isolation and identification of actinomycetes potential as the antagonist of *Dickeya zeae* pineapple soft rot in Lampung, Indonesia. Biodiversitas 19: 2052-2058.
- Ausubel FM, Brent R, Kingston RE, Moore DD, Seidman JG, Smith JA, Struhl K. 2003. Current Protocols in Molecular Biology. John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- Avrova AO, Hyman LJ, Toth RL, Toth IK. 2002. Application of amplified fragment length polymorphism fingerprinting for taxonomy and identification of the soft rot bacteria *Erwinia carotovora* and *Erwinia chrysanthemi*. Appl Environ Microbiol 68: 1499-1508.
- Brady CL, Cleenwerck I, Denman S, Venter SN, Rodriguez-Palenzuela P, Coutinho TA, Vos PD. 2012. Proposal to reclassify *Brenneria quercina* (Hildebrand and Schroth 1967) Hauben et al 1999 into a new genus, *Lonsdalea* gen. nov., as *Lonsdalea quercina* comb. nov., description of *Lonsdalea quercina* subsp. *quercina* comb. nov., *Lonsdalea quercina* subsp. *Iberica* subsp. nov and *Lonsdalea quercina* subsp. *britannica* subsp. nov., emendation of the description of the genus Brenneria, reclassification of *Dickeya dieffenbachiae* as *Dickeya dadantii* subsp. *dieffenbachieae* comb. nov., and emendation of the description of *Dickeya dadantii*. Intl J Syst Evol Microbiol 62: 1592-1602.
- Dickey RS. 1979. *Erwinia chrysanthemi*: a comparative study of phenotypic properties of strains from several hosts and other *Erwinia* species. Phytopathology 69: 324-329.
- Dye DW. 1968. A taxonomic study of the genus Erwinia. I. The "amylovora" group. New Zeal J Sci 11: 590-607.
- Hall TA. 1999. BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. Nucl Acids Symp Ser 41: 95-98.
- Hugh R, Leifson E. 1953. The taxonomic significance of fermentative versus oxidative metabolism of carbohydrates by various gramnegative bacteria. J Bacteriol 66: 24-26.

- Johnston A. 1957. Bacterial heart rot of the pineapple. Malay Agric J 40: 2-8.
- Kaneshiro WS, Burger M, Vine BG, De Silva AS, Alvarez AM. 2008. Characterization of *Erwinia chrysanthemi* from a Bacterial Heart Rot of Pineapple Outbreak in Hawaii. Plant Dis 92: 1444-1450.
- King EO, Ward MK, Raney DE. 1954. Two simple media for the demonstration of pyocyanin and fluorescein. J Lab Clin Med 44: 301-307.
- Kumar S, Stecher G, Tamura K. 2016. MEGA: 7 Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. Mol Biol Evol 33: 1870-1874.
- Lelliot RA, Billing E, Hayward AC. 1966. A determinative scheme for the fluorescent plant pathogenic pseudomonads. J Appl Bacteriol: 29: 470-489.
- Lim WH. 1974. The etiology of fruit collapse and bacterial heart rot of pineapple. MARDI Res Bull 2: 11-16.
- Ma B, Hibbing ME, Kim H-S, Reedy RM, Yedidia I, Breuer J, Breuer J, Glasner JD, Perna NT, Kelman A, Charkowski AO. 2007. Host range and molecular phylogenies of the soft rot enterobacterial Genera *Pectobacterium* and *Dickeya*. Phytopathology 97: 1150-1163.
- Ngwira N, Samson R. 1990. Erwinia chrysanthemi: description of two new biovars (bv 8 and bv 9) isolated from kalanchoe and maize host plant. Agronomie 10: 341-345.
- Parkinson N, Stead D, Bew J, Heeney J, Tsror L, Elphinstone J. 2009. *Dickeya* species relatedness and clade structure determined by comparison of *recA* sequence. Intl J Syst Evol Microbiol 59: 2388-2393.
- Parkinson N, DeVos P, Pirhonen M, Elphinstone J. 2014. *Dickeya aquatica* sp. nov., isolated from waterways. Intl J Syst Evol Microbiol 64: 2264-2266.
- Prasetyo J, Aeny TN. 2014. Pineapple fruit collapse: Newly emerging disease of pineapple fruit in Lampung, Indonesia. J Hama dan Penyakit Tumbuhan Tropika 14: 96-99.
- Ramachandran K, Manaf UA, Zakaria L. 2015. Molecular characterization and pathogenicity of *Erwinia* spp. associated with pineapple [*Ananas comosus* (L.) Merr.] and papaya (*Carica papaya* L.). J Plant Prot 55: 396-404.

- Rohrbach KG, Johnson MW. 2003. Pests, Diseases and Weeds. In: Bartholomew DP, Paull RE, Rohrbach KG. The pineapple botany, production and uses. CABI Publishing, New York.
- Samson R, Legendre JB, Christen R, Fischer-Le Saux M, Achouak W, Gardan L. 2005. Transfer of *Pectobacterium chrysanthemi* (Burkholder et al. 1953) Brenner et al. 1973 and *Brenneria paradisiaca* to the genus *Dickeya* gen. nov. as *Dickeya chrysanthemi* comb. nov. and *Dickeya paradisiaca* comb. nov. and delineation of four novel species *Dickeya*. Intl J Sys Evol Microbiol 55: 1415-1427.
- Sławiak M, Van Beckhoven JRCM, Speksnijder AGCL, Czajkowski R, Grabe G, van der Wolf JM. 2009. Biochemical and genetical analysis reveal a new clade of biovar 3 *Dickeya* spp. strains isolated from potato in Europe. European J Plant Pathol 125: 245-261.
- Society of American Bacteriologists. 1957. manual of microbiological methods. McGraw-Hill, New York.
- Suharjo R, Sawada H, Takikawa Y. 2014. Phylogenetic study of Japanese Dickeya spp. and development of new rapid identification methods using PCR-RFLP. J Gen Plant Pathol 80: 230-254.
- Suslow TV, Schroth MN, Isaka M. 1982. Application of a rapid method for gram differentiation of plant pathogenic and saprophytic bacteria without staining. Phytopathology 72: 917-918.
- Tian Y, Zhao Y, Yuan X, Yi J, Fan J, Xu Z, Hu B, De Boer SH, Li X. 2016. Dickeya fangzhongdai sp. nov., a plant pathogenic bacterium isolated from pear trees (*Pyrus pyrifolia*). Intl J Syst Evol Microbiol 66: 2831-2835.
- van der Wolf JM, Nijhuis EH, Kowalewska MJ, Saddler GS, Parkinson N, Elphinstone JG, Pritchard L, Toth IK, Lojkowska E, Potrykus M, Waleron M, De Vos P, Cleenwerck I, Pirhonen M, Garlant L, Hélias V, Pothier JF, Pflüger V, et al. 2014. *Dickeya solani* sp. nov., a pectinolytic plant-pathogenic bacterium isolated from potato (*Solanum tuberosum*). Intl J Syst Evol Microbiol 64: 768-774.
- Weisburg WG, Barns SM, Pelletier DA, Lane DJ. 1991. 16S ribosomal DNA amplification for phylogenetic study. J Bacteriol 173: 697-703.
- Zhang J, Shen, H, Pu X, Lin B, Hu J. 2014. Identification of *Dickeya zeae* as a causal agent of bacterial soft rot in banana in China. Plant Dis 98: 436-442.

### 22% Overall Similarity Top sources found in the following databases: 16% Internet database 20% Publications database Crossref database Crossref Posted Content database 9% Submitted Works database **TOP SOURCES** The sources with the highest number of matches within the submission. Overlapping sources will not be displayed. shizuoka.repo.nii.ac.jp 4% Internet ppjonline.org <1% 2 Internet agriculture.gov.au 3 <1% Internet Kogeethavani Ramachandran, Uyub Abdul Manaf, Latiffah Zakaria. "Mo...<1%4 Crossref ncbi.nlm.nih.gov 5 <1% Internet Radix Suharjo, Hiroyuki Sawada, Yuichi Takikawa. "Phylogenetic study ... <1% 6 Crossref ejbpc.springeropen.com 7 <1% Internet digital.csic.es 8 <1% Internet

9	doczz.net Internet	<1%
10	Qian Zhang, Chengsong Zhang, Yang Yu, Fuhua Li. "Analysis of genetic Crossref	<1%
11	parasitesandvectors.biomedcentral.com	<1%
12	Universiti Teknologi MARA on 2018-01-11 Submitted works	<1%
13	Suriani, Baharuddin Patandjengi, Muh. Junaid, Amran Muis. "The prese Crossref	<1%
14	link.springer.com	<1%
15	hrpub.org Internet	<1%
16	"Tropical and Subtropical Fruits", Wiley, 2012 Crossref	<1%
17	Taketo Fujimoto, Shinji Yasuoka, Yoshiyuki Aono, Takato Nakayama, T <sup>Crossref</sup>	<1%
18	onlinelibrary.wiley.com Internet	<1%
19	"Systematic Bacteriology", Springer Science and Business Media LLC,	<1%

21	apsjournals.apsnet.org Internet	<1%
22	biorxiv.org Internet	<1%
23	"Plant Diseases Caused by Dickeya and Pectobacterium Species", Spri Crossref	<1%
24	Li, B., Y. Shi, M. Ibrahim, H. Liu, C. Shan, Y. Wang, M. Kube, GL. Xie, an <sup>Crossref</sup>	<1%
25	Marrero, G., K. L. Schneider, D. M. Jenkins, and A. M. Alvarez. "Phyloge Crossref	<1%
26	Š. Alič, T. Naglič, M. Tušek-Žnidarič, M. Peterka, M. Ravnikar, T. Dreo. " <sup>Crossref</sup>	<1%
27	A. Prokić, N. Zlatković, N. Kuzmanović, M. Ivanović, K. Gašić, Ž. Pavlovi Crossref	<1%
28	Jarred Yasuhara-Bell, Glorimar Marrero, Mohammad Arif, Asoka de Sil Crossref	<1%
29	Mae Fah Luang University on 2018-08-31 Submitted works	<1%
30	S. Dobhal, G. Boluk, B. Babler, M.J. Stulberg et al. " Comparative geno Crossref	<1%
31	staging.cabi.org	<1%
32	<b>fedoa.unina.it</b> Internet	<1%

33	ros.hw.ac.uk Internet	<1%
34	A. Young. "Notes on <i>Pseudomonas syringae</i> pv. <i>syringae</i> Crossref	<1%
35	Elham Golkhandan, Sijam Kamaruzaman, Meon Sariah, Mior Ahmad Za Crossref	<1%
36	Siti Herlinda, Radix Suharjo, Melati Elbi Sinaga, Fairuz Fawwazi, Suwan Crossref	<1%
37	Universiti Teknologi MARA on 2017-05-18 Submitted works	<1%
38	eprints.uthm.edu.my Internet	<1%
39	koreascience.or.kr Internet	<1%
<b>3</b> 9 <b>4</b> 0	koreascience.or.kr Internet M. GOTO. "Pseudomonas pseudoalcaligenes subsp. konjaci subsp. no Crossref	<1% <1%
39 40 41	koreascience.or.kr Internet M. GOTO. "Pseudomonas pseudoalcaligenes subsp. konjaci subsp. no Crossref Sriwijaya University on 2022-07-15 Submitted works	<1% <1% <1%
<ul> <li>39</li> <li>40</li> <li>41</li> <li>42</li> </ul>	koreascience.or.kr Internet M. GOTO. "Pseudomonas pseudoalcaligenes subsp. konjaci subsp. no Crossref Sriwijaya University on 2022-07-15 Submitted works Adesh Kumar, Mandeep Singh Hunjan, Harleen Kaur, Roomi Rawal, Aja Crossref	<1% <1% <1%
<ul> <li>39</li> <li>40</li> <li>41</li> <li>42</li> <li>43</li> </ul>	koreascience.or.kr Internet M. GOTO. "Pseudomonas pseudoalcaligenes subsp. konjaci subsp. no Crossref Sriwijaya University on 2022-07-15 Submitted works Adesh Kumar, Mandeep Singh Hunjan, Harleen Kaur, Roomi Rawal, Aja Crossref Ana Palacio-Bielsa. "Phenotypic diversity, host range and molecular ph Crossref	<1% <1% <1%

45	Greenwood High on 2015-12-16 Submitted works	<1%
46	L. Settanni, A. Corsetti. "The use of multiplex PCR to detect and differe Crossref	<1%
47	Monash University on 2014-08-31 Submitted works	<1%
48	Parkinson, N. M., P. de Vos, M. U. Pirhonen, and J. Elphinstone. "Dickey Crossref	<1%
49	S. Jafra. "Potential of bulb-associated bacteria for biocontrol of hyacin Crossref	<1%
50	Universiti Putra Malaysia on 2017-05-09 Submitted works	<1%
51	intl-clincancerres.aacrjournals.org	<1%
	Š Alič F Van Gijsegem, I Pédron M Ravnikar T Dreo, "Diversity wit	
52	Crossref	<1%
52	Crossref Adriaenssens, Evelien M., Johan Van Vaerenbergh, Dieter Vandenheuv Crossref	<1% <1%
52 53 54	Crossref Adriaenssens, Evelien M., Johan Van Vaerenbergh, Dieter Vandenheuv Crossref Amy O. Charkowski. "The Changing Face of Bacterial Soft-Rot Disease Crossref	<1% <1% <1%
52 53 54 55	Crossref Adriaenssens, Evelien M., Johan Van Vaerenbergh, Dieter Vandenheuv Crossref Amy O. Charkowski. "The Changing Face of Bacterial Soft-Rot Disease Crossref The Prokaryotes, 2014. Crossref	<1% <1% <1%

57	Harper Adams University College on 2018-06-19 Submitted works	<1%
58	Institute of Graduate Studies, UiTM on 2017-06-14 Submitted works	<1%
59	<b>Jingxin Zhang, Huifang Shen, Xiaoming Pu, Birun Lin, John Hu. " Identif</b> Crossref	<sup>.</sup> <1%
60	digitalcommons.library.umaine.edu Internet	<1%
61	hdl.handle.net Internet	<1%

Excluded from Similarity Report		
Bibliographic material	Quoted material	
Manually excluded sources	<ul> <li>Manually excluded text blocks</li> </ul>	
EXCLUDED SOURCES		
smujo.id	1	82%
Internet		
repository.lppm.unila.ac.id		6%
researchgate.net		6%
Radix Suharjo, Hani Anggrainy Oktavia Crossref	ına, Titik Nur Aeny, Cipta Ginting et al. "	6%
agriculturejournals.cz		5%
publikasi.fp.unila.ac.id		4%
<b>smujo.id</b> Internet		4%
biodiversitas.mipa.uns.ac.id		4%
cabi.org Internet		4%
doaj.org Internet		3%

ppjonline.org	3%
Internet	
plantwise.org	3%
Internet	
EXCLUDED TEXT BLOCKS	

### BIODIVERSITA SVolume 21, Number

Universitas Papua on 2021-09-06

### Characterization

pubag.nal.usda.gov

#### of

biodiversitas.mipa.uns.ac.id

associated with pineapple soft rot disease in East Lampung, Indonesia. Biodiversit...

biodiversitas.mipa.uns.ac.id

#### 587-595. The study aims to characterize theDickeya zeae associated with pineapp...

www.plantwise.org

#### 588BIODIVERSITAS

pinpdf.com

#### Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) primer sequences used in

www.geneticsmr.com

#### this

Takashi Fujikawa, Nobuyoshi Ota, Makoto Sasaki, Taiki Nakamura, Toru Iwanami. "Emergence of apple bact...

#### et al

www.ros.hw.ac.uk

### GGTAAAGGGTCTATCATGCGCCTTCACCATACATAATTTGGAReferenceWeisburg

K. Geider. "Erwinia tasmaniensis sp. nov., a non-phytopathogenic bacterium from apple and pear trees", INT...

### 590BIODIVERSITAS

pinpdf.com

#### Phenon 1Phenon 2Phenon 3Phenon 4Phenon 5Phenon 6

Taketo Fujimoto, Shinji Yasuoka, Yoshiyuki Aono, Takato Nakayama, Takehiro Ohki, Mitsuru Sayama, Tetsuo...

#### **ADH Moeller**

Taketo Fujimoto, Shinji Yasuoka, Yoshiyuki Aono, Takato Nakayama, Takehiro Ohki, Mitsuru Sayama, Tetsuo...

#### D-arabinose++-+--+D-tartrate--d

link.springer.com

### d (80)d (20)--D-melibiose+++-+d (44)d (83)D-raffinose+++-+d (44)d (83)5

Taketo Fujimoto, Shinji Yasuoka, Yoshiyuki Aono, Takato Nakayama, Takehiro Ohki, Mitsuru Sayama, Tetsuo...

#### D. dadantiiD

R. Samson. "Transfer of Pectobacterium chrysanthemi (Burkholder et al. 1953) Brenner et al. 1973 and Bren...

#### Growth at 39

link.springer.com

#### dadantii

R. Samson. "Transfer of Pectobacterium chrysanthemi (Burkholder et al. 1953) Brenner et al. 1973 and Bren...

#### positive;-: 90-100% negative; d(n) : percentage of positive strains

shizuoka.repo.nii.ac.jp

#### Phylogenetic analysis based on

The Prokaryotes, 2014.

#### of 16SrDNA, recA, and dnaX

www.cabi.org

### ApiaceaeApium graveolensCeleryDaucus carotaCarrotAsteraceaeChrysanthemum

edepot.wur.nl

#### LeafLeafLeafLeafLeafLeaf

businessdocbox.com

#### LiliaceaeAllium cepaOnion

SUGIHARA, Satoru, Mariko KONDÃ", Yûko CHIHARA, Mami YÃ>JI, Hiroyuki HATTORI, and Munehiro YOSHI...

#### Leaf

Universitas Brawijaya on 2018-02-15

#### D dieffenbachiae CFBP 2051.T

Marrero, G., K. L. Schneider, D. M. Jenkins, and A. M. Alvarez. "Phylogeny and classification of Dickeya base...

#### D dadantii CFBP 1269.T. (pelargonium

Marrero, G., K. L. Schneider, D. M. Jenkins, and A. M. Alvarez. "Phylogeny and classification of Dickeya base...

#### D dianthicola

Marrero, G., K. L. Schneider, D. M. Jenkins, and A. M. Alvarez. "Phylogeny and classification of Dickeya base...

#### Figure 3. Phylogenetic tree based on 16S rDNA sequence

www.mdpi.com

#### The tree was rooted using the sequence of E. coli strain Sam6-TMC1

shizuoka.repo.nii.ac.jp

#### **Reference strains**

ejbpc.springeropen.com

#### 2014

apsjournals.apsnet.org

#### BIODIVERSITAS

pinpdf.com

### The tree was rooted using the sequence of E. coli strain Sam6-TMC1. The

shizuoka.repo.nii.ac.jp

#### Figure 4. Phylogenetic tree based

Georgia Southern University on 2020-03-12

#### 594BIODIVERSITAS

pinpdf.com

#### et al. 2007

en.wikipedia.org

#### tree was rooted using the sequence of E. coli strain

shizuoka.repo.nii.ac.jp

#### The type

shizuoka.repo.nii.ac.jp

#### et al

www.biorxiv.org

#### Referencestrains

ejbpc.springeropen.com

#### The tree was rooted using the sequence of E. coli strain Sam6-TMC1. The

shizuoka.repo.nii.ac.jp

#### Figure 5. Phylogenetic tree based on

ijpps.ut.ac.ir

#### tree was rooted using the sequence of E. coli strain

shizuoka.repo.nii.ac.jp

#### GU186834). The type

shizuoka.repo.nii.ac.jp

### **Reference strains**

ejbpc.springeropen.com

### et al

www.biorxiv.org