

PAPER NAME

**Sarkowi 2022-Text File.docx**

AUTHOR

**Muh Sarkowi**

WORD COUNT

**3543 Words**

CHARACTER COUNT

**20478 Characters**

PAGE COUNT

**14 Pages**

FILE SIZE

**145.2KB**

SUBMISSION DATE

**Nov 29, 2022 3:17 PM GMT+7**

REPORT DATE

**Nov 29, 2022 3:18 PM GMT+7**

### ● 18% Overall Similarity

The combined total of all matches, including overlapping sources, for each database.

- 7% Internet database
- 14% Publications database
- Crossref database
- Crossref Posted Content database
- 7% Submitted Works database

### ● Excluded from Similarity Report

- Bibliographic material
- Cited material
- Manually excluded sources

**IDENTIFICATION OF SEMANGKO FAULT BASED ON GRADIENT****GRAVITY DATA ANALYSIS**

Muh Sarkowi<sup>1</sup>, Rahmi Mulyasari<sup>1</sup>, I Gede Boy Darmawan<sup>1</sup>, Rahmat Catur Wibowo<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Geophysics Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Universitas Lampung,

Bandar Lampung, 35145, Indonesia

\* Corresponding author, Email address: [rahmat.caturwibowo@eng.unila.ac.id](mailto:rahmat.caturwibowo@eng.unila.ac.id)

**Abstract**

The Semangko Fault (SF) is a major active fault that is across from Aceh

(northern part) to Lampung Province (southern part) of Sumatra Island. The SF

produces much deformation and resulting in high seismicity along the fault. This

research aimed to determine the fault structure using gravity gradient analysis in the

Lampung area. Bouguer anomalies were analyzed from the satellite gravity data and

gravity gradient calculation to fault structure identification around SF, Lampung

Province. Based on Bouguer anomalies and gravity gradient analysis (first and second-

order horizontal derivatives, SF has a trend NW-SE. The SF is divided into two sides

from the Suoh area, West and East SF sides across to Semangko Bay. That trend is

confirmed with geological and topographical data analysis. These findings suggest that

gravity anomaly data and horizontal gravity gradient analysis can be used to determine

and identify the presence of the SF.

**Keywords:** gravity gradient, hazard mitigation, lampung, semangko fault.

25

## 26 **1. Introduction**

27           The Sumatra giant fault, the Semangko Fault (SF), is located on Sumatra Island.  
28 It extends from Semangko Bay in the northwest (NW) to the southeast (SE), parallel to  
29 the plate boundary or subduction area to the west (McCaffrey, 2009). SF in the  
30 Lampung segment extends from Semangko Bay in the south to the Suoh depression in  
31 the north. The southern part of the Semangko block is divided into landscapes: the  
32 Semangko Mountains, Ulubelu, and Walima depressions. Geological research by Sieh  
33 and Natawidjaja (2000) found that the active fault in Sumatra is a single right-step  
34 dextral fault consisting of several segments. The SF system in the Semangko segment  
35 stretches from Semangko Bay to the north to the Suoh depression along 65 km across  
36 through volcanic rocks (Bellier & Sébrier, 1994; Ariwibowo, Muslim, Winantris,  
37 Natawidjaja & Daryono, 2017). This fault forms an asymmetrical graben with a  
38 northwest-southeast orientation which has a fault escarpment as high as 500 m, while in  
39 the north, there is a dome-shaped Suoh depression with a width of 10 km and a length  
40 of 15 km (Natawidjaja, 2018; Farr et al., 2007; Alif, Fattah, & Kholil, 2020).

41           The SF produces much deformation and resulting in high seismicity along the  
42 fault. Major destructive earthquakes in western Lampung (occurred in 1908 and 1933),  
43 and in 1994, occurred in the Kotaagung, Suoh, and Liwa areas (Naryanto, 2008). The  
44 earthquake caused enormous damage to infrastructure and loss of life and property.  
45 Mapping the SF zone is very important, considering that the fault zone has the potential  
46 for destructive earthquakes, and many people live in the area along the SF zone. Fault  
47 zone identification is generally carried out by several methods, such as aerial photo  
48 analysis, Landsat imagery, DEM-SRTM, geological mapping, and several geophysical

49 methods (gravity, magnetic, magnetotelluric, seismic) (Ngadenin, Subiantoro, Widana,  
50 Sutriyono, & Widito, 2012; Martí et al., 2020; Hanafy, Aboud, & Mesbah, 2012;  
51 Schulte, Lyatsky, & Bridge, 2019).

52 The DEM-SRTM analysis found that this fault formed an asymmetrical graben  
53 with an NW-SE orientation with a fault girder as high as 500 m in the west, while in the  
54 north, there was a dome-shaped Suoh depression with a width of 10 km and 15 km a  
55 length. The SE trend of the SF zone has a width of 18 km, and the northern part before  
56 the Suoh depression has 5 km (Alif et al., 2020).

57 In this study, an analysis of gravity data and horizontal gradient gravity was  
58 carried out to obtain the location of the SF in the Lampung segment. The research used  
59 satellite gravity data with Bouguer<sup>5</sup> correction to obtain the Bouguer anomaly. Finally,  
60 the horizontal gradient analysis<sup>1</sup> was carried out to obtain the location and zone of the  
61 fault.

62 The Sumatra island, which is physiographically trending NW-SE, is an  
63 extension to the south of the Eurasian Plate, precisely on the<sup>3</sup> western boundary of  
64 Sundaland. The position of Sumatra island is adjacent to the boundary between the  
65 Indo-Australian and Eurasian plates. The subduction of the two plates is marked by the  
66 active Sunda arc system extending from Burma in the north to the south, where the  
67 Indo-Australian plate collides with eastern Indonesia (Hamilton, 1979).

68 The SF was formed<sup>12</sup> due to the collision between the India-Australia and the  
69 Eurasian continental plates. This tectonic collision occurs obliquely and creates two  
70 components of the force. This force causes the India-Australia plate to be dragged under  
71 the Eurasian plate (Meltzner et al., 2010). The subduction between India-Australia and  
72 Eurasian plates forms an oblique convergent pattern at an N 20° E angle. The<sup>14</sup>

73 subduction of the Indian-Australian plate accommodates the downward movement  
74 under the Eurasian plate, resulting in two forces effect (downward movement and  
75 horizontal movement). The horizontal movement is reflected in the shear fault patterns  
76 that form a series of dextral wrenching structures within the Eurasian plate. The series  
77 of shear fault structures eventually formed a giant Sumatran fault known as the SF. The  
78 shift produces a weak zone that allows magma to escape during volcanism, producing a  
79 mountain range stretching to the west of Sumatra Island from Lampung to Aceh (Figure  
80 1).

81 The SF position is the western side of the Mountain Volcanic-Arc line,  
82 evidenced by the many wrench faults found in the mountain range. The tensional regime  
83 affects the back-arc basin area with the force's direction perpendicular to the subduction  
84 zone. The heat flow below the surface causes this tensional regime. The compression  
85 force that produces dextral wrenching is parallel to the plate boundary and strongly  
86 influences the tensional regime of the back-arc basin. The SF is a dextral wrench fault  
87 whose clockwise movement direction (da Silva, Purwoko, Siswoyo, Thamrin, &  
88 Vacquier, 1980).

89 The SF is an active fault on the mainland that divides Sumatra Island, starting  
90 from Semangko Bay, stretching along the Bukit Barisan Mountains to the Aceh region  
91 in the north, parallel to the plate boundary or subduction area to the west (McCaffrey,  
92 2009).

93 Geological research by Sieh and Natawidjaja (2000) found that the active fault  
94 in Sumatra is a single right-step dextral fault consisting of several segments. The  
95 Sumatran fault system in the Semangko Lampung segment stretches from Semangko  
96 bay to the north to the Suoh depression along the 65 km cutting through volcanic rock

97 (Bellier & Sébrier, 1994; Ariwibowo et al., 2017). This fault forms an asymmetrical  
98 graben with a northwest-southeast orientation with a fault plane as high as 500 m, while  
99 to the north, there is a dome-shaped Suoh depression with a width of 10 km and a length  
100 of 15 km (Figure 2).

101 The regional stratigraphy of Lampung is grouped into three parts: Pre-Tertiary  
102 rock groups, including the Mount Kasih group, the Sulah complex, and the Mananga  
103 Formation. The Tertiary rock group consists of Contour Formation, and the Quaternary  
104 rock group consists of Lampung Formation, Kasai Formation, Sukadana Basalt, young  
105 volcanic deposits, and Alluvial (Mangga, Amirudin, Suwarti, Gafoer, & Sidarta, 1993).  
106 The geomorphology of the western part of Lampung is divided into five units, namely  
107 lowlands, rolling hills, highlands, mountainous areas, and volcanic cones (Amin,  
108 Sidarto, Santoso, & Gunawan, 1994). The lowlands are located around the west coast of  
109 Lampung and Semangko Bay around Kota Agung. Wavy hills dominate Lampung's  
110 western part, consisting of mountains, volcanic cones, and highlands (Figure 3).

111 The Bukit Barisan highlands, which is a geanticline with a syncline located to  
112 the east. The mountain ridges from the Cretaceous were deformed during the Tertiary  
113 period, namely the occurrence of fault symptoms (vertical forces) resulting in geological  
114 phenomena such as the long SF along Way Semaka and Semangko Bay, oval-shaped  
115 volcanoes (Tanggamus, Rindingan, Rebang and others around it). Tectonic depressions  
116 such as the Suoh, Gedong Surian, and Way Lima valleys are covered by volcanic  
117 sediments (Amin et al., 1994).

118 The SF produces much deformation during its movement, resulting in high  
119 seismic elements along the fault (Figure 4) (Naryanto, 2008). Major destructive  
120 earthquakes in the western part of Lampung occurred in 1908, 1933 ( $M = 7.5$ ), and

121 1994 ( $M = 7.0$ ) due to earthquakes associated with the SF and originating in Kotaagung,  
122 Suoh, and Liwa areas (Natawidjaja & Triyoso, 2007).

123

## 124 2. Materials and Methods

125 The 1144 free air anomaly satellite gravity data has been used with intervals of  
126 1800-1900 meters ([https://topex.ucsd.edu/cgi-bin/get\\_data.cgi](https://topex.ucsd.edu/cgi-bin/get_data.cgi)) to create a Bouguer  
127 anomaly map and gravity gradient analysis, and 30 m topographic data (DEM-SRTM)  
128 to produce surface structure trend pattern ([https://www.indonesia-  
129 geospasial.com/2020/01/download-dem-srtm-30-meter-se-indonesia.html](https://www.indonesia-geospasial.com/2020/01/download-dem-srtm-30-meter-se-indonesia.html)).

130 The data processing carried out includes three main stages, namely:

131 a) Data processing to obtain gravity anomaly. Data processing is carried out by  
132 calculating surface density, Bouguer correction, and calculation of gravity  
133 anomaly with Matlab. The Bouguer correction value is calculated using the  
134 infinite slab model approach (Telford, Geldart, & Sheriff, 1990):

$$135 B_c = 2\pi G\rho h = 0.04193\rho h$$

136 Where  $\rho$  is the average rock density, which in this study uses a density value of 2.4  
137 g/cc,  $h$  is high (m), and  $B_c$  is the Bouguer correction (mGal).

138 b) Gradient gravity analysis processing, which includes: horizontal gradient gravity  
139 anomaly first order ( $SFHD = FHD_x + FHD_y$ ) and horizontal gradient gravity  
140 anomaly second-order ( $SSHHD = SHD_x + SHD_y$ ) (Sumintadireja, Dahrin, &  
141 Grandis, 2018; Sarkowi & Wibowo, 2021b; Sarkowi & Wibowo, 2021a;  
142 Sarkowi, Wibowo, & Karyanto, 2021).

143 - Calculation of the first-order horizontal gradient gravity anomaly using the  
144 equation:

145  $SFHD = FHD_x + FHD_y$

146 with:  $FHD_x = \frac{\Delta g}{\Delta x} = \frac{g_2 - g_1}{x_2 - x_1}$  and  $FHD_y = \frac{\Delta g}{\Delta y} = \frac{g_2 - g_1}{y - y_1}$

147 - Calculation of the second-order horizontal gradient gravity anomaly using  
 148 the equation:

149  $SSHD = SHD_x + SHD_y$

150 with:  $SHD_x = \frac{FHD_{x2} - FHD_{x1}}{\Delta x}$  and  $SHD_y = \frac{FHD_{y2} - FHD_{y1}}{\Delta y}$

151 The equation for the number of horizontal gradients of second-order (SSHD =  
 152  $SHD_x + SHD_y$ ) based on the Laplace equation is called the Second Vertical  
 153 Derivative (SVD) (Saibi, Nishijima, Ehara, & Aboud, 2006; Elkins, 1951).

154  $\nabla^2 \Delta g = 0$  or  $\frac{\partial^2 \Delta g}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \Delta g}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \Delta g}{\partial z^2} = 0$

155  $\frac{\partial^2 \Delta g}{\partial z^2} = - \frac{\partial^2 \Delta g}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2 \Delta g}{\partial y^2}$

156 The first-order horizontal gradient of the Bouguer anomaly, the second-order  
 157 horizontal gradient of the gravity anomaly, and the SVD of the gravity anomaly  
 158 are filters that can be used to generate shallow anomalies and determine the  
 159 structure boundaries (faults) (Elkins (1951); Al-Khafaji (2017); Ming et al.  
 160 (2021)). The fault (the anomaly boundary or fault objects) is indicated by the  
 161 maximum or minimum first-order horizontal gradient value and by the second-  
 162 order horizontal gradient value equal to 0 (zero), and the SVD of the Bouguer  
 163 anomaly equal to 0 (zero) (Sarkowi, 2010; Sumintadireja et al., 2018; Sarkowi &  
 164 Wibowo, 2021b).

165 c) Interpretation and analysis. Interpretation and analysis were carried out by  
 166 comparing the first-order Bouguer anomaly gradient map ( $SFHD = FHD_x + FHD_y$ )  
 167 and the second-order Bouguer anomaly horizontal gradient map ( $SSHD = SHD_x$



168 + SHD<sub>y</sub>) with geological maps and fault structures along the SF zone. This  
169 analysis is expected to know the effectiveness of gravity data and horizontal  
170 gradient analysis to identify the faults along the SF zone.

171

### 172 3. Results and Discussion

173 The Bouguer anomaly in the study area has a value from 15 to 115 mGal, is a  
174 dominant contour trending southeast-northwest according to the geological structure  
175 pattern of the SF. The high anomaly is in the southwest SF zone (Pematang Cawang  
176 Harjo, Pematang Luwi, and Pematang Langgar), with an anomaly trending southeast-  
177 northwest. The low anomaly is along the SF, especially in the north and northeast  
178 (Figure 5).

179 To support the Bouguer anomaly analysis in identifying fault structures and  
180 lithological boundaries and to generate shallow effect anomalies, a vertical gradient  
181 analysis of the Bouguer anomaly was performed. Theoretically, the horizontal gradient  
182 method of the Bouguer anomaly is the derivative of the Bouguer anomaly for the  
183 horizontal direction, which can be written as: first-order horizontal gradient in x =  
184  $FHD_x = \frac{\Delta g}{\Delta x}$  and first-order horizontal gradient in y =  $FHD_y = \frac{\Delta g}{\Delta y}$ . The  
185 horizontal gradient in x =  $SHD_x = \frac{\Delta^2 g}{\Delta x^2}$  and a second-order horizontal gradient in the y-  
186 direction is y =  $SHD_y = \frac{\Delta^2 g}{\Delta y^2}$ .

187 In general, the existence of fault structures, intrusions, lithological boundaries,  
188 and boundary models of anomaly objects are not always in the x or y direction, so the  
189 horizontal gradient analysis uses the sum of the horizontal gradients in the x and y  
190 directions. In this research, we analyze the sum of the horizontal gradients of first-order

191 (SFHD= $FHD_x+FHD_y$ ) and the sum of the horizontal gradients of second-order  
192 (SSHD= $SHD_x+SHD_y$ ). Identification of fault structures, intrusions, lithological  
193 boundaries, and model boundaries of anomalous bodies is indicated by the horizontal  
194 gradient value of the minimum or maximum order Bouguer anomaly and the horizontal  
195 gradient value of the Bouguer anomaly of second-order = 0 (Sarkowi, 2010;  
196 Sumintadireja et al., 2018; Sarkowi & Wibowo, 2021b).

197 The first-order horizontal gradient anomaly map (SFHD= $FHD_x+ FHD_y$ ) has a  
198 dominant anomaly contour pattern with a NE-SW direction according to the structural  
199 direction of the SF (Figure 6). The maximum or minimum contour value indicates a  
200 fault structure or lithological boundary from the first-order horizontal gradient anomaly  
201 map (SFHD= $FHD_x+ FHD_y$ ). From the horizontal gradient anomaly of first-order  
202 (SFHD= $FHD_x+ FHD_y$ ), the presence of the SF in the West segment corresponds to the  
203 maximum contour and the SF in the East segment.

204 The second-order horizontal gradient anomaly map (SSHD= $SHD_x+SHD_y$ ) has a  
205 dominant anomaly contour pattern with a NE-SW direction according to the structural  
206 direction of the SF (Figure 7). The presence of fault structures or lithological boundaries  
207 from the second-order horizontal gradient anomaly map (SSHD= $SHD_x+SHD_y$ ) is  
208 indicated by 0 (zero). The horizontal gradient anomaly of second-order  
209 (SSHD= $SHD_x+SHD_y$ ) shows the presence of the West segment of the SF, which  
210 corresponds to the 0 (zero) contour of the anomaly of the SF East segment.

211 The topographic map of 30 m DEM-SRTM data and contour map of the second  
212 horizontal derivative anomaly gravity ( $SHD_x+SHD_y = 0$ ) and the presence of the SF due  
213 to geological and DEM-SRTM analysis along the SF zone from the Suoh depression are  
214 shown in Figure 8. The map shows that the SF's western part correlates with the second

215 horizontal derivative anomaly gravity contour ( $\text{SHD}_x + \text{SHD}_y = 0$ ), especially in the  
216 southern part. In contrast, for the northern part, the second horizontal derivative  
217 anomaly Bouguer contour ( $\text{SHD}_x + \text{SHD}_y = 0$ ) is correlated with the peak of the fault  
218 zone while fault from DEM-SRTM 30m and geology is in the lower fault zone.

219 The comparison map <sup>8</sup> between the total first-order horizontal gradient gravity  
220 anomaly and the total second-order horizontal gradient gravity anomaly is shown in  
221 Figure 9. Based on Figure 9, an analysis of faults around the fault zone has been carried  
222 out. The fault boundary change <sup>1</sup> is indicated by the value of the first-order horizontal  
223 gradient of the gravity anomaly maximum or minimum. <sup>1</sup> The second-order horizontal  
224 gradient value of the gravity anomaly equals 0 (zero). The West segment of the SF has a  
225 straight and continuous pattern trending southeast-northwest. On the West side of the  
226 West SF, several minor faults appear in a relatively perpendicular direction and minor  
227 faults parallel to the SF. This fault follows previous results of researchers (Natawidjaja,  
228 2018; Amin et al., 1994; Alif et al., 2020).

229 This research can update a comprehension of the modern map of an active fault  
230 zone, especially the SF around the Lampung area, based on gravity data. However,  
231 geological activity still controlled the seismicity and hence future earthquakes.  
232 Therefore, we must consider the potential hazards in this area associated with surface  
233 faultings, such as landslides and liquefaction.

234

#### 235 4. Conclusions

236 The gravity anomaly along the SF from the Semangko Bay to the Suoh  
237 depression has an anomalous pattern forming a dominant contour trending NW-SE. In  
238 contrast, the gravity anomaly area in the Suoh depression area forms a circular contour

239 pattern. The first-order gradient horizontal gradient map shows the maximum and  
240 minimum gravity gradient contours in the same location as the 0 (zero) second-order  
241 horizontal gravity gradient contour identified as a fault in the area. The analysis of  
242 gravity anomaly maps and gravity gradient indicated the presence of the West segment  
243 of SF. The pattern of the SF structure has suitable for the results of geological analysis  
244 and DEM-SRTM data. These results indicate that gravity anomaly map and gradient  
245 data analysis successfully determine and identify the SF, both the western and eastern  
246 segment of the SF, around the Lampung area. Future research about the geophysical  
247 method to identify a landslide and liquefaction can anticipate the surface structure  
248 hazard, such as electrical resistivity tomography and HVSR. Likewise, ground-shaking  
249 hazards with deterministic and probabilistic seismic hazard assessments can mitigate all  
250 people in the vicinity of the SF area.

251

## 252 **Acknowledgments**

253 We want to thank all those who have helped implement this article, especially  
254 the LPPM Universitas Lampung that funded this research and Geophysics Engineering  
255 Department Universitas Lampung, where all the data was processed.

256

## 257 **References**

- 258 Alif, S. M., Fattah, E. I., & Kholil, M. (2020). Geodetic slip rate and locking depth of  
259 east Semangko Fault derived from GPS measurement. *Geodesy and Geodynamics*,  
260 *11*(3), 222–228. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geog.2020.04.002>
- 261 Al-Khafaji, W. M. S. (2017). Gravity field interpretation for major fault depth detection  
262 in a region located SW- Qa'im / Iraq. *Baghdad Science Journal*, *14*(3), 625–636.  
263 <https://doi.org/10.21123/bsj.2017.14.3.0625>

- 264 Amin, T. C., Sidarto, S., Santoso, & Gunawan, W. (1994). *Peta Geologi Lembar*  
265 *Kotaagung, Sumatera, skala 1:250.000*.
- 266 Ariwibowo, S., Muslim, D., Winantris, Natawidjaja, D. H., & Daryono, M. R. (2017).  
267 Sub-Segmentasi Sesar Pada Segmen Kumering Antara Danau Ranau Hingga  
268 Lembah Suoh, Lampung Barat. *Journal of Environment and Geological Hazard*,  
269 8(692), 31–45.
- 270 Bellier, O., & Sébrier, M. (1994). Relationship between tectonism and volcanism along  
271 the Great Sumatran Fault Zone deduced by spot image analyses. *Tectonophysics*,  
272 233(3), 215–231. [https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951\(94\)90242-9](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951(94)90242-9)
- 273 da Silva, C. H., Purwoko, Siswoyo, Thamrin, M., & Vacquier, V. (1980). Terrestrial  
274 heat flow in the tertiary basin of central Sumatra. *Tectonophysics*, 69(1), 163–188.  
275 [https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951\(80\)90132-8](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951(80)90132-8)
- 276 Elkins, T. A. (1951). The second derivative method of gravity interpretation.  
277 *Geophysics*, 16(1), 29–50. <https://doi.org/10.1190/1.1437648>
- 278 Farr, T. G., Rosen, P. A., Caro, E., Crippen, R., Duren, R., Hensley, S., Kobrick, M.,  
279 Paller, M., Rodriguez, E., Roth, L., Seal, D., Shaffer, S., Shimada, J., Umland, J.,  
280 Werner, M., Oskin, M., Burbank, D., & Alsdorf, D. (2007). The Shuttle Radar  
281 Topography Mission. *Reviews of Geophysics*, 45(2).  
282 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1029/2005RG000183>
- 283 Hamilton, W. B. (1979). Tectonics of the Indonesian region. In *Professional Paper*.  
284 <https://doi.org/10.3133/pp1078>
- 285 Hanafy, S. M., Aboud, E., & Mesbah, H. S. A. (2012). Detection of subsurface faults  
286 with seismic and magnetic methods. *Arabian Journal of Geosciences*, 5(5), 1163–  
287 1172. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12517-010-0255-6>
- 288 Mangga, S. A., Amirudin, Suwarti, T., Gafoer, S., & Sidarta. (1993). *Peta Geologi*  
289 *Lembar Tanjungkarang, Sumatera, skala 1:250.000*.
- 290 Martí, A., Queralt, P., Marcuello, A., Ledo, J., Rodríguez-Escudero, E., Martínez-Díaz,  
291 J. J., Campanyà, J., & Meqbel, N. (2020). Magnetotelluric characterization of the  
292 Alhama de Murcia Fault (Eastern Betics, Spain) and study of magnetotelluric  
293 interstation impedance inversion. *Earth, Planets and Space*, 72(1), 16.  
294 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40623-020-1143-2>
- 295 McCaffrey, R. (2009). The Tectonic Framework of the Sumatran Subduction Zone.  
296 *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences*, 37(1), 345–366.  
297 <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.earth.031208.100212>

- 298 Meltzner, A. J., Sieh, K., Chiang, H.-W., Shen, C.-C., Suwargadi, B. W., Natawidjaja,  
299 D. H., Philibosian, B. E., Briggs, R. W., & Galetzka, J. (2010). Coral evidence for  
300 earthquake recurrence and an AD 1390–1455 cluster at the south end of the 2004  
301 Aceh–Andaman rupture. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 115(B10).  
302 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JB007499>
- 303 Ming, Y., Niu, X., Xie, X., Han, Z., Li, Q., & Sun, S. (2021). Application of gravity  
304 exploration in urban active fault detection. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and  
305 Environmental Science*, 660(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/660/1/012057>
- 306 Naryanto, H. S. (2008). Analisis potensi kegempaan dan tsunami di kawasan pantai  
307 barat Lampung kaitannya dengan mitigasi dan penataan kawasan. *Jurnal Sains Dan  
308 Teknologi Indonesia*, 10(2), 71–77.
- 309 Natawidjaja, D. H. (2018). Updating active fault maps and slip rates along the Sumatran  
310 Fault Zone, Indonesia. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*,  
311 118(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/118/1/012001>
- 312 Natawidjaja, D. H., & Triyoso, W. (2007). The Sumatran fault zone – form source to  
313 hazard. *Journal of Earthquake and Tsunami*, 1(1), 21–47.
- 314 Ngadenin, Subiantoro, L., Widana, K. S., Sutriyono, A., & Widito, P. (2012). Pemetaan  
315 Geologi dan Identifikasi Keberadaan Sesar di Lokasi Calon Tapak PLTN Ketapang  
316 dan Sekitarnya, Madura. *Eksplorium*, 33(1), 1–14.
- 317 Saibi, H., Nishijima, J., Ehara, S., & Aboud, E. (2006). Integrated gradient  
318 interpretation techniques for 2D and 3D gravity data interpretation. *Earth, Planets  
319 and Space*, 58(7), 815–821. <https://doi.org/10.1186/BF03351986>
- 320 Sarkowi, M. (2010). Identifikasi struktur daerah panasbumi ulubelu berdasarkan analisa  
321 data svd anomali bouguer. *J. Sains MIPA*, 16(2), 111–118.
- 322 Sarkowi, M., & Wibowo, R. C. (2021a). Geothermal Reservoir Identification based on  
323 Gravity Data Analysis in Rajabasa Area- Lampung. *RISSET Geologi Dan  
324 Pertambangan*, 31(2), 77. <https://doi.org/10.14203/risetgeotam2021.v31.1164>
- 325 Sarkowi, M., & Wibowo, R. C. (2021b). Reservoir Identification of Bac-Man  
326 Geothermal Field Based on Gravity Anomaly Analysis and Modeling. *Journal of  
327 Applied Science and Engineering*, 25(2), 329–338.  
328 [https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.6180/jase.202204\\_25\(2\).0009](https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.6180/jase.202204_25(2).0009) 1.
- 329 Sarkowi, M., Wibowo, R. C., & Karyanto. (2021). Geothermal reservoir identification  
330 in way ratai area based on gravity data analysis. *Journal of Physics: Conference  
331 Series*, 2110(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/2110/1/012004>

- 332 Schulte, B. W. M. P. G., lyatsky, H., & Bridge, D. (2019). Methods of fault detection  
333 with geophysical data and surface geology. *Canadian Journal of Exploration*  
334 *Geophysics*, 44(5), 1–23.
- 335 Sieh, K., & Natawidjaja, D. H. (2000). Neotectonics of the Sumatran fault, Indonesia.  
336 *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 105(B12), 31.  
337 <https://doi.org/doi:10.1029/2000JB900120>
- 338 Sumintadireja, P., Dahrin, D., & Grandis, H. (2018). A Note on the Use of the Second  
339 Vertical Derivative ( SVD ) of Gravity Data with Reference to Indonesian Cases.  
340 *Journal Engineering, Technology, and Science*, 50(1), 127–139.  
341 <https://doi.org/10.5614/j.eng.technol.sci.2018.50.1.9>
- 342 Telford, W. M., Geldart, L. P., & Sheriff, R. E. (1990). *Applied Geophysics: Second*  
343 *Edition*. Cambridge University Press.
- 344
- 345
- 346

## ● 18% Overall Similarity

Top sources found in the following databases:

- 7% Internet database
- Crossref database
- 7% Submitted Works database
- 14% Publications database
- Crossref Posted Content database

### TOP SOURCES

The sources with the highest number of matches within the submission. Overlapping sources will not be displayed.

1	<b>M Sarkowi, R C Wibowo, Karyanto. "Geothermal Reservoir Identificatio...</b>	8%
	Crossref	
2	<b>repositori.uji.es</b>	2%
	Internet	
3	<b>Catur Cahyaningsih, Puja Fransismik Crensonni, Adi Suryadi, Husnu IK...</b>	2%
	Crossref	
4	<b>Universiti Teknologi Malaysia on 2021-07-13</b>	<1%
	Submitted works	
5	<b>Richard Lewerissa, Nur Alzair, Laura Laponi. "Identification of Ransiki ...</b>	<1%
	Crossref	
6	<b>jevtonline.org</b>	<1%
	Internet	
7	<b>Universitas Pertamina on 2020-05-14</b>	<1%
	Submitted works	
8	<b>Luan Thanh Pham, Erdinc Oksum, Thanh Duc Do, Minh Le-Huy, Minh D...</b>	<1%
	Crossref	



- 9 S Erfani, F M S Siregar, A Zaenudin, Rustadi, I B S Yogi, R C Wibowo. "C... <1%  
Crossref

---

- 10 Yan Liu, Yuanfei Li, Jincheng Shi. "Estimates for the linear viscoelastic ... <1%  
Crossref

---

- 11 iopscience.iop.org <1%  
Internet

---

- 12 "Terrestrial Heat Flow and the Lithosphere Structure", Springer Nature, ... <1%  
Crossref

---

- 13 Swarnapriya Chowdari, Bijendra Singh, B Nageswara Rao, Niraj Kumar, ... <1%  
Crossref

---

- 14 journal.uir.ac.id <1%  
Internet

---

- 15 jrisetgeotam.lipi.go.id <1%  
Internet

---

- 16 University of Leeds on 2011-08-17 <1%  
Submitted works

---

- 17 file.scirp.org <1%  
Internet

---

- 18 link.springer.com <1%  
Internet

---

- 19 pertambangan.fst.uinjkt.ac.id <1%  
Internet

● Excluded from Similarity Report

- Bibliographic material
- Cited material
- Manually excluded sources

---

EXCLUDED SOURCES

**repository.lppm.unila.ac.id**

Internet

**10%**