



ISSN 1744-5019



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF
DISASTER
RISK
REDUCTION

Editor-in-Chief: D. Alexander
Editorial Board: Anthony Gifford, Dennis Hayes, Christopher



HALAMAN PENGESAHAN

Judul : **A post-tsunami sea change? Towards post-secular disaster response in Indonesia.**

Penulis : Maxim G.M.Samson, **Arizka Warganegara (anggota)**
NIP : 198106202006041003
Instansi : Fakultas ISIP Universitas Lampung
Publikasi : **International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction (Q1)**
ISSN : **2212-4209**
Tanggal Publikasi : **Vol.61 July 2021**
Penerbit : **Elsevier**
Website : [file:///C:/Users/USER/Downloads/1-s2.0-S221242092100337X-main%20\(1\)\(2\).pdf](file:///C:/Users/USER/Downloads/1-s2.0-S221242092100337X-main%20(1)(2).pdf)

Mengetahui/Menyetujui :
Dekan FISIP

Dr. Ida Nurhaida, M.Si.
NIP 196108071987032001

Bandar Lampung,

Penulis,

Arizka Warganegara, S.IP., M.A., Ph.D
NIP 198106202006041003

Mengetahui/Menyetujui
Ketua Lembaga Penelitian dan Pengabdian pada Masyarakat
Universitas Lampung



Dr. Ir. Lusmellia Afriani, DEA
NIP 196305101993032008

DOKUMENTASI LEMBAGA PENELITIAN DAN PENGABDIAN KEPADA MASYARAKAT UNIVERSITAS LAMPUNG	
TGL	14/09/2022
NO. INVEN	600/S/B/1/F/181P/2022
JENIS	Jurnal
PARAF	J

[The purok system of San Francisco, Camotes: A communication perspective of community-based Haiyan response](#)

Inez Z. Ponce de Leon
Article 102379

[Purchase PDF](#)

- select article Modelling post-disaster recovery process of industrial sectors: A case study of 2016 Kumamoto earthquakes
Research articleAbstract only

[Modelling post-disaster recovery process of industrial sectors: A case study of 2016 Kumamoto earthquakes](#)

Huan Liu, Hirokazu Tatano, Yoshio Kajitani, Yongsheng Yang
Article 102385

[Purchase PDF](#)

- select article Changing vulnerability for hurricane evacuation during a pandemic: Issues and anticipated responses in the early days of the COVID-19 pandemic
Research articleAbstract only

[Changing vulnerability for hurricane evacuation during a pandemic: Issues and anticipated responses in the early days of the COVID-19 pandemic](#)

Jennifer L. Whytlaw, Nicole Hutton, Juita-Elena (Wie) Yusuf, Tihara Richardson, ... Rafael Diaz
Article 102386

[Purchase PDF](#)

- select article Sharing experience by residents of past disaster sites: Effects of time from disasters and types of experience
Research articleOpen access

[Sharing experience by residents of past disaster sites: Effects of time from disasters and types of experience](#)

Takaaki Kato
Article 102390

[Download PDF](#)

- select article Assessing the impact of municipal government capacity on recovery from Hurricane Maria in Puerto Rico
Research articleAbstract only

[Assessing the impact of municipal government capacity on recovery from Hurricane Maria in Puerto Rico](#)

Etienne Rosas, Patrick S. Roberts, Andrew Lauand, Italo A. Gutierrez, Blas Nuñez-Neto
Article 102340

[Purchase PDF](#)

- select article Performance-based seismic assessment of capacity enhancement of building infrastructure and its cost-benefit evaluation
Research articleAbstract only

[Performance-based seismic assessment of capacity enhancement of building infrastructure and its cost-benefit evaluation](#)

H. Asfandyar Ahmed, Khan Shahzada, Muhammad Fahad
Article 102341

[Purchase PDF](#)

- select article The next big earthquake may inflict a multi-hazard crisis – Insights from COVID-19, extreme weather and resilience in peripheral cities of Israel
Research articleAbstract only

[The next big earthquake may inflict a multi-hazard crisis – Insights from COVID-19, extreme weather and resilience in peripheral cities of Israel](#)

Y. Finzi, N. Ganz, Y. Limon, S. Langer
Article 102365

[Purchase PDF](#)

- select article A post-tsunami sea change? Towards post-secular disaster response in Indonesia
Research articleAbstract only

[A post-tsunami sea change? Towards post-secular disaster response in Indonesia](#)

Maxim G.M. Samson, Arizka Warganegara
Article 102371

[Purchase PDF](#)

[Exploratory analysis of fire statistical data and prospective study applied to security and protection systems](#)

Geovana Pires Araujo Lima, Josiane Dantas Viana Barbosa, Valter Estevão Beal, Marcelo Albano Moret S. Gonçalves, ... Benjamin S. Lazarus
Article 102308


[Purchase PDF](#)

- select article Planning and assessment approaches towards disaster resilient hospitals: A systematic literature review



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijdr

A post-tsunami sea change? Towards post-secular disaster response in Indonesia

Maxim G.M. Samson^{a,*}, Arizka Warganegara^b

^a Department of Geography, DePaul University, 990 West Fullerton Avenue, Suite 4300, Chicago, IL, 60614, USA

^b Department of Government Studies, Jl. Soemantri Brodjonegoro Number 1, D Building, Faculty of Social and Political Science, Lampung University, Bandar, Lampung, Indonesia

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Post-secular
Disaster response
Tsunami
Collaborative governance
Indonesia
Asia

ABSTRACT

The role of religious actors in disaster response, an issue that is generally understood as being dominated by secular perspectives, is increasingly receiving attention. Surprisingly considering its likelihood to offer relevant insights, however, the concept of post-secularism has seldom been adopted as an analytical framework. In response, in this study we adopt a Habermasian lens to examine the relations between religious and secular actors in Indonesia with respect to the 2018 Sunda Strait tsunami, with particular focus on domestic faith organisations. Through interviewing representatives from three prominent religious organisations and a figure from a relevant independent statutory authority, we highlight these institutions' contributions to the disaster response, alongside their struggles to legitimise their leadership potential. Thus, by demonstrating the existence of constraints on religious groups playing a consequential role in a public concern that would benefit from multiple perspectives and competencies, we exemplify how Habermas' vision of a post-secular society is playing out in a disaster context and identify areas in which collaboration between ostensibly secular and religious actors might be improved.

1. Introduction

We have to start providing disaster education this year, especially in disaster-prone areas. We need to utilise schools and religious leaders ... The Almighty God has blessed Indonesia with fertile lands; yet, this country is also situated on the Pacific Ring of Fire and we must keep a watchful eye on it. (Joko Widodo 2019).¹

Opening the National Coordination Meeting of the Meteorology, Climatology and Geophysics Agency (BMKG) in February 2019 at the Presidential Palace, the Indonesian President, Joko 'Jokowi' Widodo, highlighted the importance of building the population's awareness that the country is vulnerable to natural hazards such as volcanic eruptions, earthquakes and tsunamis [1]. While a speech from a political leader concerning the need to prepare for and mitigate disasters may not appear particularly momentous, two aspects merit consideration. First, despite officially constituting a secular country, Indonesia's population manifests high levels of religiosity and fatalistic attitudes often hold

sway over scientific understandings of disasters here [2], potentially precluding Jokowi's message from being received as desired. However, perhaps more compelling was Jokowi's request for broad collaboration in disaster response, not only involving government agencies and disaster experts, but religious leaders and other community organisations [3]. Such groups have long been viewed with suspicion by ostensibly secular actors in officially secular countries, in the case of Indonesia most notably during the 'New Order' era under President Suharto's authoritarian regime (1967–1998) [4], but also more recently in terms of the increasingly pluralised and polarised socioreligious and political landscape of the country. For instance, considerable fragmentation between and among religious and secular groups was apparent both in the 2019 presidential election results [5] and in portrayals of Jokowi in the run-up to the 2014 presidential election as 'a secularist, communist and agent of foreign interests' ([6], p. 492). Although not formally stated, Jokowi's speech intimated that to date, an insufficient aggregation of actors (including religious groups) had been included in disaster response, an issue with which all citizens are necessarily

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: msamson6@depaul.edu (M.G.M. Samson), arizka.warganegara@fisip.unila.ac.id (A. Warganegara).

¹ Speech abridged from summaries in Cabinet Secretariat of the Republic of Indonesia [3] and Kuwado [89]. The authors take responsibility for copyediting the English translation of the former and for translating the latter from Indonesian. Any errors are our own.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdr.2021.102371>

Received 20 December 2020; Received in revised form 10 April 2021; Accepted 23 May 2021

Available online 31 May 2021

2212-4209/© 2021 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

concerned. His speech thus appeared to mark a sea change in Indonesian disaster management, actively appealing to religious groups to contribute to an issue that has long been predominated by a secular worldview.

Jokowi's speech provides a relevant jumping-off point to discuss the role of religious organisations in disaster response in Indonesia. With specific attention to the 2018 Sunda Strait tsunami, which resulted in 108 deaths and 279 injuries in South Lampung, Sumatra [7], we consider how the actions of and constraints on domestic religious actors exemplified several aspects of Jürgen Habermas' [8] vision of a post-secular society. We apply Habermas' notion in order to demonstrate both the potential value of including religious organisations and perspectives in disaster response and the consequent need to facilitate rather than marginalise them. The following section briefly discusses the emergence of the post-secular concept and its potential – albeit under-explored – relevance to disaster response. After introducing the study area and the place of religion in Indonesian politics, we present the results of our interview-based inquiry. Finally, our findings in relation to the post-secular enable us to draw important conclusions regarding areas in which greater collaboration still needs to be developed between governmental and religious actors.

2. Disaster response and the post-secular

In this investigation we build on Wilkinson's [9] study of secularity within the international humanitarian system to argue that aspects of Habermas' post-secular vision should be considered in domestic disaster response, in order to provide both effective and sensitive humanitarian action. Before presenting our study site of South Lampung and its relevance to Habermas' ideas, it is necessary to contextualise this philosopher's thought. Modernisation and secularisation were long understood as interrelated processes that, following the European example, would eventually be seen across the world [10,11]; see [12,13]. Although what is today meant by 'secularisation' is not uncontested [14–16], Casanova's [17] three elements have come to represent a commonly recognised standard. According to Casanova ([17], p. 211), *differentiation* refers to the separation of 'secular spheres from religious institutions and norms', *decline-of-religion* corresponds to the diminution of religious belief and/or practice among the people of a society, while *privatisation* pertains to religion's marginalisation as an increasingly private rather than public matter. Although these components may not be manifested in the same way or even occur in all societies deemed to be secularising [13,15,17], they have provided an important frame for discussion of religion's place in the contemporary world [18,19].

However, in recent decades, the long-established view that the world is proceeding on a path of secularisation has been widely challenged [12,20–22], even by authors who were long proponents of the theory (most notably [23]). Certainly, rather than being deemed paradigmatic, Europe – which is arguably the only continent in the world that exemplifies Casanova's [17] three attributes to any significant extent – has come to be widely perceived as exceptional, against the oft-perceived religious fervour found elsewhere [21,23,24]. Although it is again questionable whether much of the religious vibrancy identified reflects continued or even higher rates of religiosity rather than merely greater attention to 'newsworthy' religious issues [25], these debates have helped de-centre the European experience [13] and attend to parts of the world that have received less academic attention. Furthermore, they have prompted scholars to reconsider concepts such as 'religion' and 'secular', recognising how these are culturally, spatially and temporally contingent as opposed to fixed [13,16,17].

In attempting to make sense of the continued prominence of religion in societies that had long been deemed secular, Habermas ([8], p. 21) has proposed that affluent countries in Western Europe in particular can now be described as 'post-secular'. To Habermas ([8], p. 21), '[i]n these societies, religion maintains a public influence and relevance, while the secularistic certainty that religion will disappear worldwide in the

course of modernization is losing ground'. Furthermore, citizens here have undergone 'a change in consciousness' regarding the (limited) entwinement of modernisation and secularisation, the growing influence of religion in national public spheres, and the challenge of integrating diverse migrant communities in an increasingly globalised world ([8], p. 20). Given religion's continued 'public influence and relevance' rather than disappearance, it must therefore be treated seriously in order to ensure that 'social relations remain civil despite the growth of a plurality of cultures and religious worldviews' ([8], p. 21). This, to Habermas ([8], p. 22), is especially pertinent given the '*uneasy modus vivendi*' that characterises secularised states, in which secular citizens often refuse to recognise the views of their religious counterparts, resulting in a lack of genuine respect for difference and the general dominance of civil society and political institutions by secular voices. To tackle this issue, Habermas ([8], p. 27; see also [26], p. 4) suggests that 'complementary learning processes' are necessary, demanding that secular people willingly listen to the views of their religious counterparts and regard them of value in an inclusive civil society. To this end, 'both sides, each from its own viewpoint, must accept an interpretation of the relation between faith and knowledge that enables them to live together in a self-reflective manner' ([8], p. 29). Only in these ways can neutrality in the public domain be achieved and religious worldviews given due consideration.

Habermas' argument has stimulated considerable debate. In particular, important critiques have been made of his apparent secularist stance [27,28] – which ironically he criticises – such as his recommendation that 'all norms that can be legally implemented must be formulated and *publicly justified* in a language that all the citizens understand' ([8], p. 28), which would continue to privilege a 'secular' parlance. Moreover, his strict dichotomisation of secular and religious citizens (which is itself problematic) misleadingly implies that the latter have until now been uninvolved in civil society [27] as well as that individuals' identities are fixedly either secular or religious, even though many (perhaps most) people incorporate aspects of both [9]. Others have questioned whether the dynamics Habermas presents are even new [18,28].

Nevertheless, Habermas' [8,26] arguments are helpful in that they recognise the general dominance of society by secular values and organisations, the responsibility of secular people to become more religiously aware in order to facilitate cooperation rather than conflict, and the associated, *continued* value of religious discourses in the public domain beyond instrumental usage for secular goals [9,29,30]. Certainly, despite the fact that Habermas [8] focuses on the Western European experience and his portrayal of a post-secular society appears most relevant to this region, increasingly scholars have considered the possible existence of a post-secular *world* (e.g. [31]). It is well-known that natural hazards afflict wide parts of the world where rates of religiosity remain high (compare e.g. [32,33]); the two dynamics may even be connected [34]. However, although the potential value of religion in disaster response is increasingly receiving academic attention (e.g. [35–38]) and relevant notions of collaboration between secular and religious perspectives have been applied to a range of issues, including welfare services [39] and sustainable development [40], disaster response has very rarely been understood through a post-secular frame. Wilkinson [9] has offered an exception, demonstrating how following Typhoon Haiyan in the Philippines (2013), secular organisations engaged with religious beliefs and practices in varied ways, from a strict secularity that marginalised religious language and worldviews, to a degree of adaptation, such as by accommodating (although rarely actively welcoming) religious figures, prayers and rituals. In their drawing of boundaries of acceptability, these organisations' engagement with religious partners was thus somewhat limited, which Wilkinson [9] argues exemplifies Habermasian post-secularism, because although secular groups may attempt to behave in a somewhat culturally sensitive manner, religious groups must still make the greater effort to adapt. Furthermore, taking a theological perspective, Gregersen [41] has called

for greater attention to the potential intertwining of religious and secular interpretations of disasters, while Eriksen [42] has considered post-secularity by arguing that in disaster response, faith should be associated with the mental, spiritual and physical 'safe' spaces developed by believers and non-believers alike to cope with risks. Moreover, Hara [43], p. 194 has argued that the growing role of religious figures in attending to ghost-afflicted people and coalescing psychotherapy with their traditional practice following the 2011 earthquake and disaster in 'largely secularized' Japan provides evidence of post-secularity.

However, a significant issue in most studies connecting post-secularity with disaster response is that they accept the notion that we are in a 'post-secular age' quite uncritically. Indeed, the majority either fail to define post-secularity (e.g. [44,45]) or do so in a very simplistic manner, referring to a resurgence of religion in the public sphere [46,47] or secular and religious viewpoints working together [41] without considering the highly politicised and contested dynamics beneath the surface. Others contrast this presumed post-secular society with the continued secularism of disaster response, such as by recognising the 'functional secularism' of the humanitarian system that privatises, marginalises or instrumentalises religion ([46], p. 9). The latter is undoubtedly an important issue, as faith actors may be compelled to adapt their language and actions, rendering them 'ostensibly secular organizations' in their humanitarian work ([48], p. 325), but without careful consideration of what it actually means to be post-secular, the conclusions of such studies are inevitably limited.

A related issue is that the majority of this research is centred on various *international* organisations and *transnational* networks *vis-à-vis* local communities, rather than adequately acknowledging the important contributions made by diverse *domestic* faith actors. Paying specific attention to national-scale or local faith actors (LFAs) and civil society organisations (CSOs) is however crucial when analysing whether a humanitarian mission exhibits post-secular traits. To be clear, this not to suggest that international faith-based organisations (FBOs) such as Caritas, World Vision International, the Salvation Army, Islamic Relief Worldwide, Muslim Hands and Muslim Aid are not important as well. These groups and others have rightly been recognised for gathering donations, housing victims, providing food, water and sanitation and offering psychological and spiritual support in a range of contexts, such as following Hurricane Katrina in the United States in 2005 [49], the tsunamis that afflicted much of the Indian Ocean in 2004 [50] and Japan in 2011 [51], the major earthquake in Haiti in 2010 [52], tropical cyclones in the Pacific [53] and conflicts such as in Iraq [54] and Sri Lanka [55]. Nevertheless, it is striking how much more attention what are often highly visible and internationally renowned organisations have received in studies of post-secular disaster response compared to domestic faith groups. Although a range of studies have (separately) emphasised the crucial role played by the latter in disaster response across the world, whether through providing shelter and volunteers, or building community resilience through prayer and ritual (e.g. [35,37,38,56,57]), their relationship to post-secular dynamics is in need of greater scrutiny.

The general dynamic of a prioritisation of international organisations in the literature most relevant to our post-secular focus is reflected in the ways in which domestic actors are regularly overlooked by global development and humanitarian organisations in practice. As Tomalin [48] has recently highlighted, international religious organisations tend to be more proficient in 'secular' language and more likely to downplay their faith dimensions in certain interactions, enabling them to be seen as more acceptable partners to secular development actors. By contrast, domestic faith groups' involvement can trigger scepticism or even hostility among secular international humanitarian agencies. For instance,

the Code of Conduct for the International Red Cross and Red Crescent Movement and Non-Governmental Organisations in Disaster Relief's² third principle is to not use aid 'to further a particular political or religious standpoint', while the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees ([58], p. 9) identifies exclusion, hate speech, incitement to violence, proselytisation, 'harmful traditional practices', gender stereotypes and a range of stigmas as potential challenges that may emerge when partnering with faith actors. Although there is the possibility of any or even all of these issues occurring [59,60], it is necessary to recognise that faith-based humanitarian actors are highly diverse in their approaches as well as across contexts [61] and that one should not generalise the actions of a few extreme cases to the entire gamut of religious organisations. Nonetheless, while the international humanitarian system adheres to supposedly secular standards and principles such as humanity, neutrality, impartiality and independence, religion is still largely deemed to fall outside this frame (e.g. [9,46,59]). Attending to domestic as well as international organisations is crucial to re-centring the focus and recognising the contributions to disaster response that faith actors can make when given the opportunity.

One of the results of the current 'religion–development–humanitarianism nexus' is, as Tomalin ([48], p. 326) cogently argues with respect to global development, 'a binary opposition between the "turn to religion" as evidence either of post-secular partnerships or of the instrumentalisation of religion by the secular global aid business', both of which portray faith actors as somewhat passive players in development discourses. We hope to build on Tomalin's [48] argument and fill the lacuna of research considering domestic religious organisations' engagement in disaster response through a Habermasian post-secular lens. Indeed, the post-secular model offers the opportunity to question the assumptions presented in the previous paragraph and to reorient attention to the role of government as well as merely international humanitarianism. In our efforts to address both the substance of the post-secular and the contributions that domestic religious organisations can contribute to disaster response when given a chance – thereby tying Habermas' interest in (secularism in) the state to previous work on (secularism in) international humanitarianism – we focus on the role of three prominent Indonesian religious groups in aiding the victims of the 2018 Sunda Strait tsunami. By highlighting these organisations' contributions to the disaster response alongside the difficulties they faced in legitimising their leadership potential, we demonstrate the continued constraints on religious groups playing a significant role in public concerns like tsunami response. In doing so, we additionally reinforce Wilkinson's [9] argument that interactions between religious and secular actors in disaster response evidence post-secularity, through viewing this issue from the perspective of religious rather than secular actors. Therefore, the aim here is not to gauge the extent to which Indonesia is post-secular, but rather to understand how Habermas' vision of a post-secular society is playing out in a disaster context, contrasting an officially secular government's response with that of nationally relevant religious organisations working at the local scale and identifying areas in which collaboration between these groups might be improved.

In the following section, we provide a brief introduction to some of the principal religious and secular aspects of Indonesia's contemporary political system in order to contextualise our study site. We also describe the methods utilised to collect data regarding key religious actors' involvement in responding to the 2018 Sunda Strait tsunami.

3. Study site and methods

Indonesia provides an excellent case of a country that is both prone

² Prepared jointly by the International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies and the International Committee of the Red Cross. The relevant principle is stated on page 3.

to a number of natural hazards and whose political and social structure is characterised by a balance between religious and secular themes. Certainly, any study of politics and decision making in Indonesia must consider the prominent role played by religion – especially Islam – in most regions of the country. Although some versions of Islam view the religion as oppositional to democracy, with any judgement ultimately in the hands of *Allah* and not an elected individual, others regard the two as compatible [62]. Indonesia exemplifies the latter and has even been described as ‘a leading example of successful democracy in a Muslim majority state’ ([63], p. 472). Indeed, following its independence in 1945 and a protracted debate between religious and nationalist groups concerning whether the country should be Islamic or secular, its founders proclaimed that it would embody the five principles of the philosophical theory of Pancasila, of which the first is acceptance of a single God [62,64], even while chapter 1, article 1 of the country’s constitution declares that the country is a secular unitary republic with ‘sovereignty on the people’s will’. Moreover, despite this official, *general* secularity, today 87.2% of the country’s population identifies as Muslim, including 95.7% in our study area of South Lampung [65].

Religious organisations play an important role in Indonesian society. The two most influential religious organisations in Indonesia are Muhammadiyah, which was established in 1912 and has historically embraced Islamic modernism, and Nahdlatul Ulama (NU), which was founded in 1926 in response and assumes a more traditional approach to Islamic scholarship [63]. Both have extensive educational and health care networks, but they have also long represented significant actors in Indonesian politics, even if increased scepticism towards religious groups among many political elites has prompted them to focus more on the former than the latter over time. In the post-independence period under the leadership of Indonesia’s first president, Sukarno (in office from 1945 to 1967), the country’s politics was dominated by the Nationalist Party (PNI), the Communist Party (PKI) and two Islamist parties (NU and Masyumi) [63]. Indeed, NU was primarily a political party until Sukarno’s successor, Suharto, pressured it to instead operate as a religious organisation as part of his goal of reducing the role of religion, especially political Islam, in Indonesian politics [4]; see also [66]. Consequently, community and Islamist parties had little room to publicise their manifestos – especially as Suharto additionally banned several political activities linked with Islamic streams in Indonesia – while nationalist parties were strengthened [4]. Nevertheless, despite the weakness of political Islam in this period, it was continued covertly in Islamic organisations such as NU and Muhammadiyah, whose leaders later became central to facilitating Indonesia’s political reform and development of a lively civil society sector in the democratic transition period following Suharto’s resignation as the country’s president in 1998 [63,67].

The collapse of Suharto’s New Order has facilitated greater vibrancy and diversity in Indonesian politics, reflected in the dramatic increase of parties competing in elections, from two parties and a functional organisation from 1977 to 1997, to 48 parties representing a wide plurality of ideologies and religious backgrounds in 1999 [4]. Although individuals’ voting behaviour in contemporary Indonesia does not appear to be greatly influenced by personal piety, Islam may afford some parties a political advantage [64,67] and accordingly many candidates have started to develop stronger links with Islamist groups and institutions such as *pesantren*³ [68]. In response, under the incumbent president Jokowi (since 2014), the Indonesian government alongside various state agencies and civil society groups has worked to generally marginalise more conservative Islamic voices, which are perceived as compromising the country’s secularism and pluralism [69,70]. Simultaneously, the government has sought to partner itself with the more moderate Muhammadiyah and NU, but such efforts have been limited by its tendency to reduce them to fellow opponents of religious extremism rather

than recognising the broader contributions to politics that they hope to offer [71,72]. In these ways, Indonesia provides an interesting example of a country where religion is undoubtedly public and has the potential to play a key role in politics, yet is kept somewhat in check, not dissimilar to Habermas’ critique of post-secular societies.

Indonesia’s Lampung province is a notoriously disaster-prone area. Prior to the 2018 Sunda Strait tsunami, which was triggered by the eruption of the Anak Krakatau volcano on 22 December 2018, two major seismic events especially had already captured widespread attention. In 1883, the volcanic eruption of Krakatau off Lampung’s southern coast inflicted casualties as far as 120 km away [73]. Over a century later, in 1994, the 7.2-magnitude Liwa earthquake caused 134 fatalities and more than 500 injuries, mostly in West Lampung [74]; controversially, the disaster response was compromised by a corruption scandal that prevented much of the aid reaching the victims [75]. Then, in 2018, a broader corruption scandal in South Lampung undermined the relevant local government’s ability to provide relief to communities affected by the Sunda Strait tsunami as well [76]. Although there is always the risk that the arrival of more actors will further complicate a tense and pressurised situation [77], it may reasonably be argued that the deficiencies observed in the local authorities’ responses to these events necessitates the involvement of agencies that enjoy high levels of public trust [76]. Religious leaders and organisations continue to be held in high regard by many people in Indonesia [37,38] – not least in South Sumatra [66] – and hence would appear to be convenient collaborators.

This potential for religious organisations to become important actors in disaster response has been recognised by Muhammadiyah and NU. Both organisations have aimed to maintain their public relevance by becoming prominent actors in a range of ostensibly ‘secular’ activities, including health care and, crucially to this study, humanitarianism. To this end, both have established their own professional disaster response organisations: Muhammadiyah Disaster Management Center (MDMC), created soon after the 2006 Yogyakarta earthquake, and the Lembaga Penanggulangan Bencana dan Perubahan Iklim Nahdlatul Ulama (LPBI NU; Disaster Management and Climate Change Agency of NU), initiated in 2010. Consequently, these organisations present a different model of disaster response from international humanitarian FBOs like Caritas and Muslim Aid in that they are primarily concerned with *da’wah*⁴ and have tended to evolve in response to various external political dynamics, including by *integrating* humanitarianism under their broad umbrellas, rather than having a long history of specialising in this issue. In order to understand their roles in the aftermath of the 2018 Sunda Strait tsunami, interviews were conducted in Indonesian by the second author with a representative from Muhammadiyah, NU and MDMC as well as a figure from a relevant independent statutory authority, as part of a larger investigation into post-tsunami response in the area [76]. The four respondents were selected via purposive sampling based on criteria such as role, knowledge and experience related to the tsunami. Indeed, although this sample of religious organisations was small, the four respondents were all intimately engaged in the post-tsunami response and could be regarded as the most knowledgeable individuals in the region with respect to both the different organisations’ missions and the intricacies of the above-mentioned event. The interviews were audio-recorded with the participants’ permission, transcribed, translated into English and deductively coded based on Habermas’ [8,26] attributes of a post-secular society, including ‘religion active in public space’, ‘marginalisation of religious worldviews’ and ‘desire for complementary learning processes’. Ethical approval for this project (Research Protocol #MS120319GEO) was granted by DePaul University’s Institutional Review Board (IRB). The following section presents the results, focusing first on issues of neutrality and impartiality and second on the potential worth of religious perspectives becoming

³ Islamic boarding schools.

⁴ Teaching others about Islamic values and knowledge for the purpose of proselytisation.

more publicly integrated in disaster response.

4. Neutrality and impartiality

Habermas' [8] post-secular vision both acknowledges that secular individuals and groups cannot hastily disparage religious counterparts for a lack of neutrality and impartiality, given secularism's own biases, and provides the opportunity for religious perspectives to prove their value in broader society. Of relevance to both of these aspects, one theme that was emphasised by the representatives of all three religious organisations interviewed was *da'wah*. For example, the respondent from Muhammadiyah described how his organisation was 'established as a *da'wah* or preaching organisation that seeks to develop and Islamic values through good deeds while fighting against bad deeds'. Nevertheless, in contrast to prevalent secularist assumptions that religious groups are inevitably partial towards their own adherents instead of providing universal support (see [9,59]), our respondents were adamant that despite their preaching missions, they would not treat their members or adherents any differently from others:

Based on our values, all humans are equal even if they are not Muslim; our humanitarian aid was not based on a religious view. (Muhammadiyah)

We helped them even if they were not Muslim. (MDMC)

We have to push ourselves to provide a benefit for the whole community, not only the Islamic community. (NU)

This raises an interesting question about proselytisation, an issue that is often perceived as a possible drawback of involving religious groups in disaster response and development activities (see [50,60]): although it may be operationalised to entice or even coerce vulnerable people to religion [59], might it also encourage religious groups to support all victims, regardless of religious background? In the case of Typhoon Haiyan, Wilkinson [78] found that for many religious believers, the background faith of a humanitarian organisation was unimportant as long as it took a holistic approach to disaster response, with individuals perceiving that they had benefited from the opportunities they were afforded to pray and to reflect, without feeling compelled to convert. Similarly, Nurdin [79] recognised that in the case of Aceh following the 2004 Indian Ocean tsunami, pan-Islamic religious relief missions that sought 'caliphatisation' were often welcomed, but were largely unsuccessful in proselytising in the long term. By contrast, other studies have revealed considerable competition, 'othering' and even conflict among religious groups during the disaster recovery period [80–82]. Even though interviews are limited by the fact that they do not reveal people's behaviours, our respondents were nevertheless insistent that their Muslim faith informed their sense of responsibility to assist all people and thereby uphold a principle of universal humanity (see also [83,84]), as opposed to viewing the disaster simply as an opportunity to convert others.

Additionally pertaining to questions of neutrality and impartiality, our interviewees noted that in the context of disaster response, as locally known religious figures they were able to command considerable trust and confidence among the affected population [36,37,78]. MDMC in particular viewed itself as a potential 'command centre' in disaster response, training volunteers to cook and to provide therapy and first aid. Furthermore, Muhammadiyah noted how it 'received many donations from the public because they trust us' and therefore was able to 'collect aid and assistance from various people and organisations [... including] outside Lampung' that it could distribute. Our interviewees deemed their actions particularly important given that the local government, which was officially in charge, was unanimously perceived as ill-equipped to manage the disaster response:

I was so disappointed with the local government, and they have everything, they need to do more than us. (NU).

The local government was not competent to coordinate us. For example, there were many second-hand clothes that were not distributed properly to the victims due to the lack of coordination. (Muhammadiyah).

The lack of coordination was due to the low capacity of the local government to respond to the tsunami event ... [it] lacked the ability and skill to deal with or respond to the natural disaster. (MDMC).

These issues were reinforced by the interviewee from the independent statutory authority, who noted that 'there was no collaboration between institutions internally and externally to handle the tsunami. There was no proper standard operating procedure ... so most of them [organisations involved] preferred to distribute it [aid] individually'. The government's ineffective response was exacerbated by a political scandal that saw South Lampung's regent, Zainuddin Hasan, arrested in July 2019 following a sting operation by the Indonesian Corruption Eradication Committee (KPK) related to a corruption case connected to an infrastructure project in the regency, while the recovery process was still ongoing. Consequently, people 'lost ... trust in the local government' (MDMC), even as it continued to assume control over the different actors, leading to a fragmented process that would almost certainly have benefited from a clearer leadership role for these religious organisations. Despite the fact that many religious organisations around the world combine the benefits of having extensive networks [37,50,60] with a personal touch guided by their relational aspects [59] and local knowledge [38], and may even be perceived as *more* impartial than their secular counterparts [78], they can feel marginalised in disaster response due to assumptions that their motives are self-serving or particularistic. Thus, the challenge for humanitarian actors that have long been rooted in secular principles is to alter their mentality so that religious voices, rather than being presented as irrelevant (or worse detrimental) to their work, are instead perceived as potentially valuable in disaster response [9]. This will be explored further in the following section.

5. Religious perspectives: the key to post-secular tsunami response

Ager and Ager ([85], p. 466) argue that religion can be understood as 'non-rational', bringing a lens to issues such as disaster response that differs from 'secular' organisations in its recognition that all positions can offer insights into rationality and irrationality, and which may thus be better suited in specific contexts (see also [86]). In responding to the 2018 Sunda Strait tsunami, the religious organisations embodied a post-secular approach, integrating 'secular' and 'religious' understandings of the disaster and ensuring that local communities received support that was appropriate to their needs. For instance, the interviewee from Muhammadiyah did not merely refer to the significance of *da'wah* as a form of preaching, as demonstrated in the previous section, but additionally accepted the importance of seemingly profane concerns such as money in people's lives:

We always have regular *da'wah* activities and ... we often to suggest to our members living in tsunami-prone locations to move to a safer place. For example, we advised people to relocate their homes to a higher area. But it is so difficult because the current location is a tourist attraction and it can therefore generate income for them. (Muhammadiyah).

In this way, the organisation does not limit itself to explicitly religious activities and instead recognises locals' broader concerns. For Muhammadiyah, this is also exemplified in the concept of disaster *fiqih*,⁵ 'a technical mechanism that suggests how people should deal with and

⁵ Islamic jurisprudence.

respond to a natural disaster event ... based on some of the verses in the *Qur'an*, especially verses related to natural disasters'. Thus, even though the organisation emphasises the emotional qualities of a 'belief in God's destiny as Muslims', it also stresses practical action:

We have to do something to deal with this ... we provide them [members who live near the sea] with certain skills to anticipate tsunamis by explaining to them, if there is a low tide, we need to be ready, it means that a tsunami is coming. (Muhammadiyah).

MDMC, as Muhammadiyah's disaster response arm, unsurprisingly takes a similar approach, organising volunteers from local hospitals and universities as well as preparing susceptible communities for future disasters so that they can react in a pragmatic as well as spiritual manner:

Philosophically, we prepare to face a disaster; this does not mean that we want to get a natural disaster. We have to realise that Indonesia is a place that is geographically fragile with natural disasters. (MDMC).

Hence MDMC regarded *da'wah* as a means of enabling people to 'realise that the disaster was not the end of everything: we tried to increase their survival spirit by using a religious approach ... but we also organised social activities and an education on dealing with tsunamis in the future'. These responses encapsulate Muhammadiyah's broader understanding of disasters as *musibah*: 'calamities' that according to a fatalistic attitude are unavoidable, but whose impacts can be alleviated through a mixture of religion and practical action [37,84]. For the more traditionalist NU, too, *da'wah* and teaching the community about 'bad or good deeds' could not be separated from making a 'social contribution', such as by demonstrating 'how to improve our members' [economic] situation' and providing health care. Consequently, the religious groups' responses can be regarded as integrating rational support within their distinctive religiously informed 'non-rational' approaches.

Certainly, the religious organisations played a far broader role than a simple definition of *da'wah* as preaching or proselytisation might suggest. Although the notion that 'God's destiny' (Muhammadiyah) can be integrated as one aspect of disaster response would be refuted by a strict secularist approach – 'a polemical stance toward religious doctrines that maintain a public influence despite the fact that their claims cannot be scientifically justified' ([8], p. 27) – it is more congruent with local perceptions⁶ and thus offered greater potential to mobilise the affected communities. While religious interpretations of disasters are still often perceived as backward and superstitious by international actors, recent research is helping to challenge the secularisation thesis' traditional association with modernisation by highlighting the fact that many people even in high-income Western nations turn to religion to explain such events [37]. Religious perspectives may aid psychological coping and recovery by providing an explanation for a disaster, stimulating people to help others and potentially even see the emergence of positive impacts [37,56,87,88]. They may also help communities to build awareness that a disaster may occur at any time, demonstrating how religious and scientific understandings can be complementary rather than contradictory [37,38,76].

As noted in the previous section, despite their contributions, the potential of the three religious organisations presented here was nevertheless constrained by the local government's assumption of overall responsibility for tsunami response. It is worth noting that such a dynamic is far from uncommon at the international level, too: religious language and experiences are often marginalised in international humanitarian actions, unless they are deemed coherent with the dominant

secular agenda, a clearly tokenist form of engagement [9,46,48,85]. Ironically, the three religious groups were overlooked as valued actors despite reacting to the disaster more quickly (see [76]):

We did it [provided these services] before the local government, we moved quickly to respond to the tsunami event. First, we provided many tents for a week, then we built the non-permanent shelter Our non-permanent shelter was equipped with toilets and a prayer room [...] we gave them [the victims] vitamins and checked their health. We knew that there was still trauma so we needed to be active by visiting them. (NU).

Relatedly, the respondent from NU viewed the disaster response as a longer term process than was perceived to be true of the local government (see also [78] on secular non-governmental organisations):

We made sure the victims could live there for one year and they could stay safe from the rain and hot weather. You know, instead of just being equipped with a toilet and a prayer room and a bedroom we have also provided them with a small kitchen so that they can cook. We rent the land from the landowner for one year and now it is already more than one year, it is supposed to be continued by the local government, and we are a bit worried because the rent duration has already finished, and they [the victims] might be kicked out by the landowner. (NU).

This interviewee was consequently concerned about how the local government's general failure to act would implicate victims being sheltered in rented locations, whose future at the time of our fieldwork was still uncertain. As this section has demonstrated, the organisations played a holistic role and deemed any strict demarcation between 'religious' and 'secular' humanitarianism impossible: material needs were regarded as related to faith. By contrast, Wilkinson [9] found that the secular organisations involved in the disaster response to Typhoon Haiyan regarded 'universal' basic needs such as water, food and shelter as separate from faith or religion. Given this disjuncture in perspectives at the levels of both international humanitarianism and the state, we believe that a post-secular approach to disaster response should recognise the common criticism that secular groups prioritise the material aspects of humanitarian response out of a concern for efficiency and rationality (despite the fact that many distribute aid in a highly bureaucratic manner), while struggling or even refusing to appreciate the importance of 'soft' qualities such as approachability, social interaction and relationship building, to which religious groups are often well-suited (see also [78]). Furthermore, in the specific case of our study, the fact that the three religious organisations were compelled to adapt and seek collaboration (and thus legitimisation) reflects broader concerns that humanitarian discourse remains wedded to narrow secularist principles of universalism and modernity while limiting the potential contributions of religious institutions in unique social contexts [40]. This additionally illustrates the uneven power relationship between 'religious' and 'secular' groups as well as the latter's reluctance or even refusal to learn from the former. For complementary learning processes to occur, secular actors must acknowledge the potential of religious groups in disaster response. We will discuss this further in the conclusion.

6. Conclusion: towards post-secular disaster response

In this article, we have related the actions of three prominent Indonesian religious organisations in responding to the 2018 Sunda Strait tsunami to Habermas' [8] notion of a post-secular society. We have considered both the ways in which the groups made valuable contributions to the disaster response and their relative marginalisation at the expense of local government actors. As a result, we have identified key areas where greater collaboration between religious and secular actors is necessary at the local scale.

⁶ Scriptural texts such as the *Qur'an* are often used to explain disasters in countries such as Indonesia [35]. Many Indonesians additionally believe in invisible powers and legends and regard these as explanations for natural disasters [87,90].

In this conclusion, we would particularly like to stress the importance of complementary learning processes. In a context in which religious views remain publicly significant but are not necessarily treated with the same esteem as 'modern', 'scientific' secular counterparts, Habermas [8] makes the case that if religious groups are to be (rightly) prohibited from discriminatory practices, the same should be true of secular society. By listening to rather than immediately rejecting the viewpoints of other citizens on the basis of religion, a more inclusive and harmonious civil society can be created [8]. We believe that this principle applies to disaster response, which at both the international [40, 46, 48] and – as we have found – the domestic level remains dominated by secular discourses of neutrality, impartiality and material needs, while potentially limiting the role of local knowledge and understanding, long-term commitment to communities and the value of religious beliefs in resilience building. The result is that disaster response becomes a reified Western secular standard that is received with scepticism (or worse) by local communities [50]. As Habermas ([8], p. 29) warns,

[w]ere secular citizens to encounter their fellow citizens with the reservation that the latter, because of their religious mindset, are not to be taken seriously as modern contemporaries, they would revert to the level of a mere *modus vivendi*—and would thus relinquish the very basis of mutual recognition which is constitutive for shared citizenship.

The outcomes of disaster response are undoubtedly important, but one must also consider the processes and methods of action, within which religious actors may play an important role (see [78]). Although secular groups may seek to present themselves as neutral, in reality all are subject to biases and this may take the form of a suspicion towards religious counterparts, constraining the effectiveness of local partnerships [40, 78, 85]. Habermas' notion of complementary learning processes is helpful in this regard, as it addresses the importance of viewing religious understandings as relevant and as making contributions that are impossible of secular perspectives alone. For instance, our respondents highlighted how religious and secular understandings of disasters could be combined and thus made congruent with local perspectives. Furthermore, being informed by values of universal humanity, religious groups are often active in supporting broad populations that secular counterparts may find hard to reach. In short, as Ager and Ager ([85], p. 49) claim with respect to international organisations, we require 'a more sustained acknowledgement of the importance of local religious practice and belief in shaping humanitarian strategy' as well as consideration of 'the epistemological fragility of functional secularism as a foundation for concerted, sustainable and just action'.

To be clear, in contrast to commentators such as Hara [43] and Norichika [47], we are not arguing that disaster response is at present 'post-secular', or that it is even close. The dominance of secular voices and expectations to which religious groups have had to adapt demonstrates this [46, 48], evidenced in our study by the limited role afforded the three organisations by the local government, despite the fact that they were first on the scene and played a broad role in supporting victims. Considering the public prominence of these religious organisations in Indonesian society, it stands to reason that other faith actors are even more marginalised. Instead, our adoption of a post-secular frame has allowed us to critique the extent to which the response to the 2018 Sunda Strait tsunami was characterised by collaboration between religious and secular organisations and to consequently identify the need for greater inclusivity of religious voices among the domestic as well as international actors involved in humanitarianism (see also [76]). We hope that our article will contribute to future debates regarding the potential of post-secularity to inform a more inclusive blueprint for disaster response. This should be characterised by the earnest consideration of religious views and a broader recognition that religious organisations are not limited to purportedly religious issues [76]. The

sheer size of many religious groups' networks as well as the broad perception that they are highly trusted, community-oriented agents renders them capable of attracting and distributing donations and mobilising a wide range of human resources. Moreover, the fact that values of charity and assistance are entrenched in religion [50, 83] should ensure that they remain important in the long term, rather than necessarily being obligated by international groups and thus potentially subject to change or decline. It is also necessary that humanitarian workers, regardless of affiliation, develop a religious literacy that can ensure their sensitivity to local needs, just as religious actors adapt to secular expectations [9, 45, 60]. However, until secular and religious groups interact on an equal footing, disaster response cannot be regarded as more than a perpetuation of a hegemonic secular worldview.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the anonymous reviewers for their insightful comments, and all of the interviewees who agreed to participate in the research.

References

- [1] D. Iradat, Jokowi Calls for Stronger Disaster Mitigation Cooperation, Medcom.id, 23 July, 2019. Available from: <https://www.medcom.id/english/national/Wb7LLyMk-jokowi-calls-for-stronger-disaster-mitigation-cooperation>. (Accessed 19 December 2020).
- [2] W. Adiyoso, H. Kanegae, The preliminary study of the role of Islamic teaching in the disaster risk reduction (a qualitative case study of Banda Aceh, Indonesia), *Procedia Environ. Stud.* 17 (2013) 918–927, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proenv.2013.02.110>.
- [3] Cabinet Secretariat of the Republic of Indonesia, Disaster Education Important, President Jokowi Says. 2 February, 2019. Available from: <https://setkab.go.id/en/disaster-education-important-president-jokowi-says/>. (Accessed 19 December 2020).
- [4] A. Azra, Political Islam in post-Soeharto Indonesia, in: V. Hooker, A. Saikal (Eds.), *Islamic Perspectives on the New Millennium*, Institute of Southeast Asian Studies, Singapore, 2004, pp. 133–149.
- [5] E. Aspinall, Indonesia's Election and the Return of Ideological Competition. *New Mandala*, 22 April, 2019. Available from: <https://www.newmandala.org/indonesia-s-election-and-the-return-of-ideological-competition/>. (Accessed 19 December 2020).
- [6] M. Muhtadi, B. Muhtadi, Explaining the 2016 Islamist mobilisation in Indonesia: religious intolerance, militant groups and the politics of accommodation, *Asian Stud. Rev.* 42 (3) (2018) 479–497, <https://doi.org/10.1080/10357823.2018.1473335>.
- [7] Antara, BNPB Merilis Korban Tsunami Selat Sunda Sementara 429 Jiwa. *Tempo*, Co, 25 December, 2018. Available from: <https://nasional.tempo.co/read/1158699/bnpb-merilis-korban-tsunami-selat-sunda-sementara-429-jiwa/full&view=ok>. (Accessed 19 December 2020).
- [8] J. Habermas, Notes on post-secular society, *New Perspect. Q.* 25 (4) (2008) 17–29, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5842.2008.01017.x>.
- [9] O. Wilkinson, Secular humanitarians and the postsecular: reflections on Habermas and the Typhoon Haiyan disaster response, *J. Contemp. Relig.* 33 (2) (2018) 193–208, <https://doi.org/10.1080/13537903.2018.1469260>.
- [10] M. Weber, in: P. Baehr, G.C. Wells (Eds.), *The Protestant Ethic and the "Spirit" of Capitalism and Other Writings*, Penguin Books, New York, 1905/2002. <http://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/mono/10.4324/9781315063645/protestant-ethic-spirit-capitalism-max-weber-stephen-kalberg>.
- [11] P.L. Berger, *The Sacred Canopy: Elements of a Sociological Theory of Religion*, Doubleday & Company, Inc., Garden City, 1967. <https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=WcC-AYOq6QC&oi=fnd&pg=PT8&dq=the+sacred+canopy&ots=cjeBm6qtG&sig=ElG2imyY67nKcWomUjSoePzk-xA#v=onepage&q=the%20sacred%20canopy&f=false>.
- [12] A. Gill, Religion and comparative politics, *Annu. Rev. Polit. Sci.* 4 (2001) 117–138, <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.polisci.4.1.117>.
- [13] J. Torpey, A (post-) secular age? Religion and the two exceptionalisms, *Soc. Res.* 77 (1) (2010) 269–296. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/40972251>.
- [14] D. Hervieu-Léger, *Religion as a Chain of Memory*. Translated by S. Lee, Polity Press, Cambridge, 2000. <https://www.wiley.com/en-us/Religion+as+a+Chain+of+Memory-p-9780745620473>.

- [15] S. Bruce, *God Is Dead: Secularization in the West*, Blackwell Publishers Ltd., Oxford, 2002. <https://www.wiley.com/en-us/God+is+Dead%3A+Secularization+in+the+West-p-9780631232759>.
- [16] C. Taylor, *A Secular Age*, The Belknap Press of Harvard University Press, Cambridge, 2007. <https://www.hup.harvard.edu/catalog.php?isbn=9780674026766>.
- [17] J. Casanova, *Public Religions in the Modern World*, The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1994. <https://press.uchicago.edu/ucp/books/book/chicago/P/bo3683997.html>.
- [18] L. Kong, Global shifts, theoretical shifts: changing geographies of religion, *Prog. Hum. Geogr.* 34 (6) (2010) 755–776, <https://doi.org/10.1177/0309132510362602>.
- [19] J. Wilford, Sacred archipelagos: geographies of secularization, *Prog. Hum. Geogr.* 34 (3) (2010) 328–348, <https://doi.org/10.1177/0309132509348558>.
- [20] D. Martin, *The Religious and the Secular: Studies in Secularization*, Schocken Books, New York, 1969. <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/000271627139300174>.
- [21] D. Martin, The secularization issue: prospect and retrospect, *Br. J. Sociol.* 42 (3) (1991) 465–474, <https://doi.org/10.2307/591190>.
- [22] R. Stark, Secularization, R.I.P., *Sociol. Relig.* 60 (3) (1999) 249–273, <https://doi.org/10.2307/3711936>.
- [23] P.L. Berger, The desecularization of the world: a global overview, in: P.L. Berger (Ed.), *The Desecularization of the World: Resurgent Religion and World Politics*, Ethics and Public Policy Center, Washington, D.C., 1999, pp. 1–18. https://www.google.com/books/edition/The_Desecularization_of_the_World/FRV9RQB0X2YC?hl=en&gbpv=1&dq=the+desecularization+of+the+world&printsec=frontcover.
- [24] G. Davie, Europe: The Exceptional Case: Parameters of Faith in the Modern World, Darton, Longman & Todd, London, 2002. <https://www.dartonlongmantodd.co.uk/titles/1866-9780232524253-europe-the-exceptional-case>.
- [25] S. Bruce, Post-secularity and religion in Britain: an empirical assessment, *J. Contemp. Relig.* 28 (3) (2013) 369–384, <https://doi.org/10.1080/13537903.2013.831642>.
- [26] J. Habermas, Religion in the public sphere, *Eur. J. Philos.* 14 (1) (2006) 1–25, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0378.2006.00241.x>.
- [27] M. Dillon, Can post-secular society tolerate religious differences? *Sociol. Relig.* 71 (2) (2010) 139–156, <https://doi.org/10.1093/socrel/srq024>.
- [28] J.A. Beckford, SSSR presidential address public religions and the postsecular: critical reflections, *J. Sci. Stud. Relig.* 51 (1) (2012) 1–19, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-5906.2011.01625.x>.
- [29] M. Junker-Kenny, Habermas and Theology, T&T Clark International, London, 2011. <https://www.bloomsbury.com/us/habermas-and-theology-9780567033239/>.
- [30] L.M. Lee, Reconciling religion, spirituality and secularity: on the post-secular and the question of human mortality, *Int. J. Philos. Theol.* 78 (3) (2017) 258–269, <https://doi.org/10.1080/21692327.2017.1285718>.
- [31] G. Bettiza, F. Dionigi, Beyond Constructivism's Liberal Bias: Islamic Norm Entrepreneurs in a Post-Secular World Society, European University Institute (EUI) Working Papers, Max Weber Programme (MWP) 2014/10, 2014. Available from: <https://cadmus.eui.eu/handle/1814/31692>.
- [32] Pew Research Center, *The Age Gap in Religion Around the World*, Pew Research Center, Washington D.C., 2018. <https://www.pewforum.org/2018/06/13/the-age-gap-in-religion-around-the-world/>.
- [33] H. Ritchie, M. Roser, *Natural Disasters. Our World in Data*, 2019. Available from: <https://ourworldindata.org/natural-disasters>. (Accessed 19 December 2020).
- [34] J.S. Bentzen, Acts of God? Religiosity and natural disasters across subnational world districts, *Econ. J.* 129 (622) (2019) 2295–2321, <https://doi.org/10.1093/ej/uez008>.
- [35] M. Rokib, The significant role of religious group's response to natural disaster in Indonesia: the case of Santri Tanggap Bencana (Santana), *IJIMS, Indonesian J. Islam Muslim Soc.* 2 (1) (2012) 53–77, <https://doi.org/10.18326/ijims.v2i1.53-77>.
- [36] R. Bush, P. Fountain, R.M. Feener, Religious actors in disaster relief: an introduction, *Int. J. Mass Emergencies Disasters* 33 (1) (2015) 1–16. <http://www.ijmed.org/articles/667/>.
- [37] E.P. Joakim, R.S. White, Exploring the impact of religious beliefs, leadership, and networks on response and recovery of disaster-affected populations: a case study from Indonesia, *J. Contemp. Relig.* 30 (2) (2015) 193–212, <https://doi.org/10.1080/13537903.2015.1025538>.
- [38] A. Gianisa, L. Le De, The role of religious beliefs and practices in disaster: the case study of 2009 earthquake in Padang City, Indonesia, *Disaster Prev. Manag.* 27 (1) (2017) 74–86, <https://doi.org/10.1108/DPM-10-2017-0238>.
- [39] P. Cloke, J. Beaumont, Geographies of postsecular rapprochement in the city, *Prog. Hum. Geogr.* 37 (1) (2012) 27–51. <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/0309132512440208>.
- [40] A. Ager, J. Ager, Sustainable development and religion: accommodating diversity in a post-secular age, *Rev. Faith Int. Affairs* 14 (3) (2016) 101–105, <https://doi.org/10.1080/15570274.2016.1215813>.
- [41] N.H. Gregersen, Positive loss and tragic memory: on the preservation of community, *Dialog* 56 (4) (2017) 361–372, <https://doi.org/10.1111/dial.12356>.
- [42] C. Eriksen, Coping, caring and believing: the embodied work of disaster recovery workers, *Emotion, Space Soc.* 32 (2019), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.emospa.2019.100592>.
- [43] T. Hara, The ghosts of tsunami dead and *Kokoro no kea* in Japan's religious landscape, *J. Relig. Jpn.* 5 (2016) 176–198, <https://doi.org/10.1163/22118349-00502002>.
- [44] J. Haynes, What do faith-based organisations seek at the United Nations?, 2013. Available from: <https://www.e-ir.info/2013/11/15/what-do-faith-based-organisations-seek-at-the-united-nations/>. (Accessed 19 December 2020).
- [45] A. Ager, Faith and the secular: tensions in realising humanitarian principles, *Forced Migr. Rev.* 48 (2014) 16–18. Available from: <https://www.fmreview.org/sites/fmr/files/FMRdownloads/en/faith/ager.pdf>.
- [46] A. Ager, J. Ager, Faith, Secularism, and Humanitarian Engagement: Finding the Place of Religion in the Support of Displaced Communities, Palgrave Macmillan, New York, 2015. <https://www.palgrave.com/gp/book/9781137472137>.
- [47] H. Norichika, Continuing bonds in the Tōhoku disaster area: locating the destinations of spirits, *J. Relig. Jpn.* 5 (2016) 199–226, <https://doi.org/10.1163/22118349-00502006>.
- [48] E. Tomalin, Global aid and faith actors: the case for an actor-orientated approach to the 'turn to religion', *Int. Aff.* 96 (2) (2020) 323–342, <https://doi.org/10.1093/ia/iaaa006>.
- [49] J. Jelle, Enduring Katrina: a firsthand look at the Salvation Army's disaster relief efforts, *Weatherwise* 61 (5) (2008) 14–19, <https://doi.org/10.3200/WEWI.61.5.14-19>.
- [50] A. McGregor, Geographies of religion and development: rebuilding sacred spaces in Aceh, Indonesia, after the tsunami, *Environ. Plann.* 42 (2010) 729–746, <https://doi.org/10.1068/a4273>.
- [51] L. McLaughlin, In the wake of the tsunami: religious responses to the Great East Japan Earthquake, *CrossCurrents* 61 (3) (2011) 290–297, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1939-3881.2011.00184.x>.
- [52] A. Shafer, Spirituality and mental health in humanitarian contexts: an exploration based on World Vision's Haiti earthquake response, *Intervention* 8 (2) (2010) 121–130, <https://doi.org/10.1097/WTF.0b013e32833c1f57>.
- [53] A. Gero, S. Fletcher, J. Thiessen, N. Kuruppu, J. Buchan, J. Daly, J. Willetts, Disaster Response and Climate Change in the Pacific. National Climate Change Adaptation Research Facility (NCCARF), Gold Coast, 2013. Available from: <https://opus.lib.uts.edu.au/bitstream/10453/30882/1/20120023940K.pdf>.
- [54] B. De Cordier, Faith-based aid, globalisation and the humanitarian frontline: an analysis of Western-based Muslim aid organisations, *Disasters* 33 (4) (2009) 608–628, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-7717.2008.01090.x>.
- [55] G. Clarke, Trans-faith humanitarian partnerships: the case of Muslim Aid and the United Methodist Committee on Relief, *Eur. J. Dev. Res.* 22 (4) (2010) 510–528, <https://doi.org/10.1057/ejdr.2010.22>.
- [56] R.M. Feener, P. Daly, Religion and reconstruction in the wake of disaster, *Asian Ethnol.* 75 (1) (2016) 191–202. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/43855331>.
- [57] P. Fountain, L. McLaughlin, Salvage and salvation, *Asian Ethnol.* 75 (1) (2016) 1–28. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/43855324>.
- [58] United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR), *On Faith-Based Organizations, Local Faith Communities and Faith Leaders*, UNHCR, Geneva, 2014. Available from: <https://www.unhcr.org/539ef28b9.pdf>.
- [59] K. Kraft, Faith and impartiality in humanitarian response: lessons from Lebanese evangelical churches providing food aid, *Int. Rev. Red Cross* 97 (897/898) (2015) 395–421, <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1816383115000570>.
- [60] T.R. Gingerich, D.L. Moore, R. Brodrick, C. Beriont, *Local Humanitarian Leadership and Religious Literacy: Engaging with Religion, Faith, and Faith Actors*, Oxfam, Oxford, 2017. Available from: https://s3.amazonaws.com/oxfam-us/www/static/media/files/Oxfam_Harvard_Local_humanitarian_leadership_and_religious_literacy.pdf.
- [61] L.C. Thaut, The role of faith in Christian faith-based humanitarian agencies: constructing the taxonomy, *Voluntas* 20 (2009) 319–350, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11266-009-9098-8>.
- [62] K.H.A. Wahid, Indonesia's mild secularism, *SAIS Rev.* 21 (2) (2001) 25–28, <https://doi.org/10.1017/sais.2001.0051>.
- [63] G. Barton, Indonesia: legitimacy, secular democracy and Islam, *Polit. Pol.* 38 (3) (2010) 471–496, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1747-1346.2010.00244.x>.
- [64] T.B. Pepinsky, R.W. Liddle, S. Mujani, Testing Islam's political advantage: evidence from Indonesia, *Am. J. Polit. Sci.* 56 (3) (2012) 584–600, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5907.2011.00570.x>.
- [65] Badan Pusat Statistik, *Penduduk Menurut Wilayah Dan Agama Yang Dianut, Provinsi Lampung*, 2010. Available from: <https://sp2010.bps.go.id/index.php/situ/table?tid=321&wid=1800000000>. (Accessed 19 December 2020).
- [66] E.F. Collins, Islam and the habits of democracy: Islamic organizations in post-New Order South Sumatra, Indonesia 78 (2004) 93–120. <https://ecommons.cornell.edu/handle/1813/54330>.
- [67] R.W. Liddle, S. Mujani, Leadership, party, and religion: explaining voting behavior in Indonesia, *Comparat. Polit. Sci.* 40 (7) (2007) 832–857, <https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414006292113>.
- [68] M. Buehler, Elite competition and changing state-society relations: *shari'a* policymaking in Indonesia, in: M. Ford, T. Pepinsky (Eds.), *Beyond Oligarchy: Wealth, Power, and Contemporary Indonesian Politics*, Cornell Southeast Asia Program Publications, Ithaca, 2014, pp. 157–175. <https://www.degruyter.com/document/doi/10.7591/9781501719158/pdf>.
- [69] S. Schäfer, Democratic decline in Indonesia: the role of religious authorities, *Pac. Aff.* 92 (2) (2019) 235–255, <https://doi.org/10.5509/2019922235>.
- [70] G. Fealy, Jokowi in the Covid-19 era: repressive pluralism, dynasticism and the overbearing state, *Appl. Artif. Intell.* 56 (4) (2020) 301–323, <https://doi.org/10.1080/00074918.2020.1846482>.
- [71] R.A. Alvian, Reconfiguring Jokowi's Partnership with NU and Muhammadiyah. *East Asia Forum*, 19 November, 2020. Available from: <https://www.eastasiaforum.org/2020/11/19/reconfiguring-jokowi-partnership-with-nu-and-muhammadiyah/>. (Accessed 3 April 2021).

- [72] A.N. Burhani, Nahdlatul Ulama versus Jokowi: the NU Normal? Institute of Southeast Asian Studies (ISEAS), 19 October, 2020. Available from: <https://www.iseas.edu.sg/media/commentaries/nahdlatul-ulama-versus-jokowi-the-nu-normal/>. (Accessed 4 April 2021).
- [73] J.H. Latter, Tsunamis of volcanic origin: summary of causes, with particular reference to Krakatoa, 1883, *Bull. Volcanol.* 44 (3) (1981) 467–490, <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02600578>.
- [74] The New York Times, At Least 134 Are Killed in Indonesian Earthquake. 17 February, 1994. Available from: <https://www.nytimes.com/1994/02/17/world/at-least-134-are-killed-in-indonesian-earthquake.html>. (Accessed 4 April 2021).
- [75] Tempo.co, Korupsi di Lampung Mencapai Rp 470,2 Miliar. 24 July, 2003. Available from: <https://nasional.tempo.co/read/3992/korupsi-di-lampung-mencapai-rp-4702-miliar>. (Accessed 3 April 2021).
- [76] A. Warganegara, M.G.M. Samson, Collaboration and disaster: critical responses after tsunami events in Indonesia, *Environ. Hazards* (2020), <https://doi.org/10.1080/17477891.2020.1813680>.
- [77] S. Parmar, A. Lobb, S. Purdin, S. McDonnell, Enhancing collaboration during humanitarian response: an interim report from stakeholders survey, *Prehospital Disaster Med.* 22 (5) (2007) 414–417, <https://doi.org/10.1017/s1049023x00005136>.
- [78] O. Wilkinson, 'Faith can come in, but not religion': secularity and its effects on the disaster response to Typhoon Haiyan, *Disasters* 42 (3) (2018) 459–474, <https://doi.org/10.1111/disa.12258>.
- [79] M.R. Nurdin, Disaster 'caliphization': Hizbut Tahrir Indonesia, Islamic Aceh, and the Indian Ocean tsunami, *Int. J. Mass Emergencies Disasters* 33 (1) (2015) 75–95, <http://ijmed.org/articles/671/>.
- [80] M.O. Ensor, Disaster evangelism: religion as a catalyst for change in post-Mitch Honduras, *Int. J. Mass Emergencies Disasters* 21 (2) (2003) 31–49, <http://ijmed.org/articles/58/>.
- [81] K.-M. Ha, The role of religious beliefs and institutions in disaster management: a case study, *Religions* 6 (2015) 1314–1329, <https://doi.org/10.3390/rel6041314>.
- [82] P. Fountain, Mennonite disaster relief and the interfaith encounter in Aceh, Indonesia, *Asian Ethnol.* 75 (1) (2016) 163–190, <https://www.jstor.org/stable/43855330>.
- [83] K. Rosenow-Williams, Z. Sezgin, Islamic migrant organizations: little-studied actors in humanitarian action, *Int. Migrat. Rev.* 48 (2) (2014) 324–353, <https://doi.org/10.1111/imre.12061>.
- [84] Z. Baidhaw, The role of faith-based organization in coping with disaster management and mitigation: Muhammadiyah's experience, *J. Indonesian Islam* 9 (2) (2015) 167–194, <https://doi.org/10.15642/JIIS.2015.9.2.167-194>.
- [85] A. Ager, J. Ager, Faith and the discourse of secular humanitarianism, *J. Refug. Stud.* 24 (3) (2011) 456–472, <https://doi.org/10.1093/jrs/fer030>.
- [86] E.K. Wilson, 'Power differences' and 'the power of difference': the dominance of secularism as ontological injustice, *Globalizations* 14 (7) (2017) 1076–1093, <https://doi.org/10.1080/14747731.2017.1308062>.
- [87] B. Adeney-Risakotta, Is there a meaning in natural disasters? Constructions of culture, religion and science, *Exchange* 38 (3) (2009) 226–243, <https://doi.org/10.1163/157254309X449719>.
- [88] H. Taylor, R. Peace, Children and cultural influences in a natural disaster: flood response in Surakarta, Indonesia, *Int. J. Disaster Risk Reduct.* 13 (2015) 76–84, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2015.04.001>.
- [89] F.J. Kuwado, Jokowi Minta Pemuka Agama Dilibatkan Untuk Edukasi Bencana. *Kompas*, 2 February, 2019. Available from: <https://nasional.kompas.com/read/2019/02/02/18020031/jokowi-minta-pemuka-agama-dilibatkan-untuk-edukasi-bencana/>. (Accessed 19 December 2020).
- [90] J. Schlehe, Anthropology of religion: disasters and the representations of tradition and modernity, *Religion* 40 (2010) 112–120, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.religion.2009.12.004>.