

Korespondensi Jurnal Bioflux

The growth performance of the Pacific white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) cultured at various salinity conditions using single step acclimation

945 Article submission Yahoo/Sent ★



168

Hide

Hide

Hide

Download all attachments as a zip file



Compose

945 AACL Bioflux - manuscript reception confirmation 6 Yahoo/Inbox ★

168

Hide

Hide

Hide

Best regards,
Tudor Papuc
Editor, Bioflux
Visit our journals:

- Starred
- Drafts 172
- Sent
- Archive
- Spam
- Deleted Items
- ^ Less
- Views Hide
- Photos
- Documents
- Subscriptions
- Travel
- Folders Hide

supono supono <supono_unila@yahoo.com>
To: Tudor Papuc

Thu, 6 Jan 2022 at 8:59 pm

Dear Tudor Papuc

I send 2 reviewers as consideration to review the article that I wrote earlier

1. Dr.Vivi Endar Herawati (scopus ID : 57189848739; E-mail: viviendar23@gmail.com)
2. Dr. G. Nugroho Susanto (scopus ID: 7801438504 ; E-mail: gnugrohos@gmail.com)

thank you.

best regards

Dr. Supono

> [Show original message](#)

Compose

← Back

Archive
 Move
 Delete
 Spam
...

Tudor Papuc <ptudor2008@yahoo.com>
To: supono supono

Fri, 21 Jan at 3:16 am

Hello,

There is no progress as of yet. However, the paper is still in the preliminary evaluation, and will most likely be accepted.

Best Regards,
Tudor Papuc
Editor, Bioflux

> [Show original message](#)

Compose

Inbox 999+

- Unread
- Starred
- Drafts 169
- Sent
- Archive
- Spam
- Deleted Items
- Less
- Views Hide
- Photos
- Documents
- Subscriptions
- Deals
- Travel
- Folders Hide
- + New folder

supono supono Thanks a lot! Tue, 22 Feb at 9:19 am

supono supono Dear Tudor Papuc I'm sorry, I'd like to ask about the progress of the article with the title: The Growth Performance of The Pacific White Shrimp (Litopenaeus vannamei) Cultured at Various S...

Tudor Papuc <ptudor2008@yahoo.com> To: supono supono Sat, 26 Feb at 3:40 pm

Hello, sorry for the late reply (I had no access to internet in the last week),

Your paper is preliminary accepted for publication. Please find attached the preliminary acceptance letter.

After this acceptance you should pay 250 USD for publication (open access).
 As the payment and bank transfer may be time consuming you may start the process of payment right now; we will send you the invoice immediately after the payment.
 Please let us know when the payment is done (scanned receipt) in order to start the publishing process.

In case the peer-reviews suggest rejection (there is one positive evaluation until now), we will return the payment. The payment will not be returned in case of withdrawal request by authors or simply lack of feedback.
 Please note that the Publishing House Policy does not allow to start the manuscript editing prior payment.
 Here are the info for payment;
 take care to write correct the details:

Beneficiary: Bioflux SRL
City: Cluj-Napoca
Country: Romania, European Union

SWIFT CODE of the bank: BTRLRO22

Account USD: RO68BTRL01302202L28614XX

Bank: BANCA TRANSILVANIA

Important! When bank transfer is used to pay a publication fee, please pay attention to which modality of payment you chose! There are three options: Ben, Our, Us.

Use always "Us" option (meaning that all the bank transfer costs are in your concern/ authors support them and not the publisher). If you forgot to mention that and you let the bank to set "Ben" or "Our" options, we receive about 225 usd instead of 250 usd. Such payments are not valid and you need to pay again for the rest of the sum and one more transfer charge. This situation is not desirable.

There is a second method of payment, by Paypal, with credit card. Please let me know if you choose this method and I will redirect you to our colleague which is in charge of this procedure.

Inbox 999+

- Unread
- Starred
- Drafts 172
- Sent
- Archive
- Spam
- Deleted Items
- Less
- Views Hide
- Photos
- Documents
- Subscriptions
- Travel
- Folders Hide

+2 from me

Tudor Papuc Hello, sorry for the late reply (I had no access to internet in the last week) Sat, 26 Feb 2022 at 3:40 pm ☆

supono supono <supono_unila@yahoo.com> To: Tudor Papuc Fri, 4 Mar 2022 at 11:48 am ☆

Dear Tudor Papuc

I have sent the publication fee of my article (USD 250), thank you.

Dr. Supono

> Show original message



CamScannerpdf
363.5kB

Compose

Inbox 999+

Unread

Starred

Drafts 172

Sent

Archive

Spam

Deleted Items

^ Less

Views Hide

Photos


Documents

Subscriptions

Travel

Folders Hide

Show original message

T Tudor Papuc <ptudor2008@yahoo.com>  Mon, 11 Apr 2022 at 7:51 pm ☆
To: supono supono

Hello, I have not yet got an answer from the second reviewer.
I have asked him to finish the evaluation. If he does not respond, I will find a new reviewer.

After the evaluation arrives (it will most likely be positive), I will need about 1-2 weeks to correct the English and the formatting, and then to send you the manuscript with comments from reviewers.

So, I think that sometime between 25-30 April I will be able to send you the paper for revisions (or sooner). Afterwards, the publication will go much faster, because it depends only on us.

Best Regards,
Tudor Păpuc
Editor, Bioflux

Show original message

Compose

Inbox 999+

Unread

Starred

Drafts 172

Sent

Archive

Spam

Deleted Items

^ Less

Views Hide









Photos



Documents

Subscriptions

Travel

Folders Hide

Back    Archive  Move  Delete  Spam ...   Sun, 24 Apr 2022 at 4:01 pm ☆

T Tudor Papuc <ptudor2008@yahoo.com>   Sun, 24 Apr 2022 at 4:01 pm ☆
To: supono supono

Hello, I am back with the paper with comments. What you need to do is this:

1. Read all the paper carefully, because the English was corrected and the text was formatted.
2. Read carefully all the comments first (before starting the corrections, because some comments might relate to each other) and try to correct as best as you can. **Please work on this version of the manuscript. Please mark your changes (highlight with yellow, or use track changes; you can also leave the comments), so I can check them.** If you cannot correct, do not wish to do so, or have your own explanations, please write the reason as a reply to the comment or as a new comment.
3. If you have anything to add/change to the text not based on comments, please do so, but mark the changes like in point 2.
4. Try to respect the formatting when making changes.
5. After you make the corrections, please check again, to make sure everything is in order.
6. Send me back the corrected version of the manuscript.

I will check it, give it a final form, and send you the final version for a last check before publication.

Thank you,


Inbox 999+
Unread
Starred
Drafts 172
Sent
Archive
Spam
Deleted Items
Less
Views Hide
Photos
Documents
Subscriptions
Travel
Folders Hide

supono supono <supono_unila@yahoo.com>
To: Tudor Papuc
Tue, 26 Apr 2022 at 12:00 pm ☆

I hereby submit the article that has been revised, the yellow color indicates that I have fixed it, thank you.

best regards

Supono
> Show original message


Supono for r... .doc
112kB

Navigation icons: back, forward, search, etc.

Compose

Inbox 999+
Unread
Starred
Drafts 172
Sent
Archive
Spam
Deleted Items
Less
Views Hide
Photos
Documents
Subscriptions
Travel
Folders Hide

Tudor Papuc <ptudor2008@yahoo.com>
To: supono supono
Wed, 4 May 2022 at 7:37 pm ☆

Please find attached the final version of the manuscript. All changes were accepted. I added a reference for one of the methods added (method 200.7 - US EPA 1994), because it was needed.

Please read the paper very carefully. If you have more changes, please do them, but mark them with yellow, as you did before. Please note that we cannot change anything in the paper after publication.

If you don't have changes, let me know in a message that you agree with the publication. If you have changes, send me back the manuscript with changes.

After you send me back the message or the manuscript, I will also check again the paper, and publish it in 1-3 days (I will send you a message after the paper is published).

The March-April issue is still open, and if it remains open by the time you send me your answer, I will publish it there, with the publication date of 30 April. If the issue closes, I will publish it in the May-June issue, with a publication date at the start of May.

Thank you,

Best Regards,
Tudor Dănuș

Navigation icons: Back, Archive, Move, Delete, Spam, etc.

Inbox 999+

Unread

Starred

Drafts 172

Sent

Archive

Spam

Deleted Items

^ Less

Views Hide

Photos

Documents

Subscriptions

Travel

Folders Hide



supono supono <supono_unila@yahoo.com>
To: Tudor Papuc


Wed, 4 May 2022 at 10:36 pm

oke...I agree with the final version, thank you....

Best regards

Supono

> Show original message



Supono final....doc
11kB

Tudor Papuc

Add keywords

Advanced

Compose

Back

Archive

Move

Delete

Spam

Inbox 999+

Unread

Starred

Drafts 172

Sent

Archive

Spam

Deleted Items

^ Less

Views Hide

Photos

Documents

Subscriptions

Travel

Folders Hide



Tudor Papuc <ptudor2008@yahoo.com>
To: supono supono

Fri, 6 May 2022 at 5:02 pm


Congratulations! The manuscript is published!
You can find it here, on our site: <http://www.bioflux.com.ro/docs/2022.1061-1066.pdf> or in the attachment below.

Thank you for your hard work and cooperation!
Thank you for publishing with us!

Best Regards,
Tudor Păpuc
Editor, Bioflux

> Show original message





The growth performance of the Pacific white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) cultured at various salinity conditions using single step acclimation

^{1,2}Supono, ¹Rehulina T. Pinem, ²Munti Sarida

¹ Department of Coastal and Marine Zone Management, Postgraduate Program, Lampung University, Indonesia; ² Department of Aquaculture, Faculty of Agriculture, Lampung University, Indonesia. Corresponding author: Supono, supono_unila@yahoo.com

Abstract. The Pacific white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) is a euryhaline species that can be reared in aquatic environments with a wide range of salinity, from 0.5 to 40 ppt. The ability of Pacific white shrimps to adapt to extreme saline conditions allows the expansion of shrimp farming in inland aquatic environments, away from the coast. Therefore, this study aimed to analyze the specific growth rate (SGR), survival rate (SR), and feed conversion ratio (FCR) of Pacific white shrimp reared at various salinity levels using a single-step acclimation method. The study employed a completely randomized design with five treatments and three replications, namely T1, T2, T3, T4, and T5 at 5 ppt, 10 ppt, 15 ppt, 20 ppt, and 25 ppt, respectively. Each treatment unit was incorporated with Pacific white shrimp PL 15 at a density of 2000 PL m⁻³. Subsequently, the shrimps were fed commercial pellets three times daily through a blind feeding program during the 40 days of rearing. The results showed that different salinities of culture media had an effect on SGR, SR, and FCR of Pacific white shrimp. The most desirable SGR and FCR were observed in the 15 ppt culture media at 12.3% and 1.5, respectively, while the best survival rate was observed in the 20 ppt salinity at 79.3%. Generally, Pacific white shrimp performed best in the culture media with a salinity of 15 ppt.

Key Words: euryhaline, growth, *L. vannamei*, survival rate.

Introduction. The Pacific white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) is a euryhaline species that can tolerate a wide range of salinity between 0.5 to 45 ppt (Wyban & Sweeny 1991), allowing for high-yield rearing away from the coast. The rearing of Pacific white shrimp at low salinity is one of the best options to optimize the use of low-salinity ponds located far from seawater sources, due to the high salinity tolerance range of this shrimp (Chong-Robles et al 2014). Furthermore, this is important since there are numerous brackish water aquaculture centers located inland that can be used for Pacific white shrimp farming (Supono 2021).

Although the Pacific white shrimp can adapt to a wide range of salinities, it grows best in iso-osmotic media at a salinity equal to the osmotic tolerance range of common shrimps (Anggoro et al 2018). The rate of osmosis is a measure of the processes that occur in aquatic animals during times of environmental stress (Cheng et al 2006). Differences in media salinity and fluid osmolarity in shrimp (hemolymph) can lead to increased energy requirements for adaptation, retardation of shrimp growth and metabolism (Gao et al 2016). Meanwhile, shrimps can only survive by utilizing available energy during conditions of low salinity, where an extremely high osmotic load reduces shrimp survival (Jayasankar et al 2009; Susilowati et al 2014). The salinity of the media can be adjusted based on the osmotic pressure of the media to increase the growth of cultured species (Lukas et al 2017). Acclimatization to temperature and salinity is an important factor that affects the success of low-salinity shrimp farming. Acclimatization systems for stocking shrimp juveniles are classified into one-step acclimation and gradual acclimation (Jayasankar et al 2009). Each technique has its advantages and disadvantages. The single-step acclimation is easy to implement, but sometimes has a

Comment [u1]: at first mentioning, please use the full words, and only afterwards the abbreviations

Comment [u2]: inland where?

low survival rate. Gradual acclimation is difficult to implement in the field. Therefore, this study aimed to evaluate the growth rate, survival rate, and feed conversion ratio of Pacific white shrimp reared under various salinity conditions.

Material and Method

Experimental design and setup. This study used a completely randomized design (CRD), which consisted of 5 treatments and 3 replications. The treatments include the following: T1 - culture media at salinity of 5 ppt; T2 - culture media at salinity of 10 ppt; T3 - culture media at salinity of 15 ppt; T4 - culture media at salinity of 20 ppt; T5 - culture media at salinity of 25 ppt.

The study made use of 15 plastic containers filled with 70 L of water, which was sterilized with chlorine at a concentration of 30 mg L⁻¹. Each container was filled with 70 L of water at varying salinity based on the treatment. Furthermore, the aeration settings were performed in each maintenance container, with up to four aeration stones per container serving as an oxygen supply to the culture container. Each treatment unit was stocked with 140 Pacific white shrimp (PL 15), or the equivalent of 2000 PL m⁻³. Acclimatization was conducted using a single-step acclimation system, while feeding was carried out using a blind feeding program three times daily at 6.30, 12.00, and 19.00, throughout the 45-day culture period. The feed used was commercial feed containing protein fat, fiber, ash, and moisture at 41%, 7%, 3%, 13%, and 10%, respectively.

Statistical analysis. Pacific white shrimp was evaluated based on specific growth rate (SGR), survival rate (SR), biomass, and feed conversion ratio (FCR). The data were analyzed using ANOVA at a 95% confidence level (p<0.05) using the SPSS 23.0 software. Further testing was conducted using the Duncan test when there were significant differences between variables.

Results and Discussion. Table 1 shows the data from observations made during the study on SGR, SR, biomass, and FCR of Pacific white shrimp. The ANOVA statistical test results showed that the rearing of white shrimps in various saline media has a significant effect on their SGR, SR, biomass, and FCR (p<0.05).

Table 1
Growth performance of Pacific white shrimp

Parameter	P1 (5 ppt)	P2 (10 ppt)	P3 (15 ppt)	P4 (20 ppt)	P5 (25 ppt)
Growth rate (g)	0.6±0.1 ^a	1.4±0.2 ^c	2.8±0.1 ^d	0.8±0.2 ^{ab}	1.2±0.1 ^{bc}
SGR (%)	8.4±0.7 ^a	10.4±0.3 ^c	12.3±0.1 ^d	9.4±0.8 ^{ab}	10.3±0.2 ^{bc}
Biomass (g)	40.6±17.5 ^a	114±19.4 ^b	251.3±33.3 ^c	98.3±29.3 ^b	106±11.5 ^b
SR (%)	47±6 ^a	56±2 ^{ab}	63±11 ^b	79±6 ^c	61±2 ^b
FCR	1.9±0.2 ^b	1.8±0.1 ^b	1.5±0.1 ^a	1.6±0.1 ^a	1.8±0.2 ^b

Note: SGR - specific growth rate; SR - survival rate; FCR - feed conversion ratio; different superscripts indicate significant differences (p<0.05).

The highest absolute weight growth and SGR were obtained in the 15 ppt salinity, 2.8±0.1 g and 12.3±0.1% per day, respectively. Additionally, this was followed by 10 ppt salinity, with 1.4±0.2 g and 10.4±0.3% per day, 25 ppt salinity at 1.2±0.1 g and 10.3±0.2% per day, as well as 20 ppt salinity at 0.8±0.2 g and 9.4±0.8% per day, respectively. The lowest value was obtained in the 5 ppt salinity at 0.6±0.1 g and 8.4±0.7% per day. According to Duncan's test, there were significant differences among the salinity conditions at 15 ppt and the 5 ppt, 10 ppt, 20 ppt, and 25 ppt.

The highest SR of Pacific white shrimp occurred in 20 ppt salinity at 79±6%, which was followed by 15 ppt, 25 ppt, 10 ppt, and 5 ppt salinity at 63±11%, 61±2%, 56±2%, and 47±6%, respectively. According to Duncan's further test, there was a

Comment [u3]: please mention where and where the study was conducted

Comment [u4]: where were the shrimp obtained from?

Comment [u5]: did you measure other water quality parameters besides salinity? you should mention at least if the other parameters were relatively similar

Comment [u6]: please use the same notations as presented in Material and Method

significant difference in the salinity of 20 ppt compared to the 5 ppt, 10 ppt, 15 ppt, and 25 ppt.

The highest FCR occurred in the 5 ppt media salinity at 1.9 ± 0.2 , which was followed by 10, 25, 20, and 15 ppt at salinities of 1.8 ± 0.1 , 1.8 ± 0.2 , 1.6 ± 0.1 , and 1.5 ± 0.1 , respectively. Duncan's further test showed that there was a significant difference in the salinity conditions of 5 ppt and 10 ppt compared to the 15 ppt, 20 ppt, and 25 ppt.

Table 2 shows the water quality data collected during the study, which included sodium, magnesium, calcium, potassium, pH, temperature, and dissolved oxygen. The water quality in the culture media is still in accordance with the standards for Pacific white shrimp culture.

Water quality parameters during culture

Table 2

No	Parameters	Unit	5 ppt	10 ppt	15 ppt	20 ppt	25 ppt
1	Sodium (Na)	mg L ⁻¹	1195	2384.5	3585	4774.6	5964.1
2	Magnesium (Mg)	mg L ⁻¹	130.6	258.5	387.5	515.3	643.2
3	Calcium (Ca)	mg L ⁻¹	73	142.2	212	281.2	350.4
4	Potassium (K)	mg L ⁻¹	65.1	128.8	193	256.6	320.3
5	pH		7.2-7.9	7.2-7.9	7.3-7.9	7.3-7.9	7.2-7.9
6	Suhu	°C	28-29	28-29	28-29	28-29	28-29
7	Dissolved oxygen	mg L ⁻¹	4.6-6.2	4.6-6.2	4.9-6.4	4.9-6.4	4.8-6.5

Comment [u7]: please delete; the information has been already presented in the table and the text does not bring anything new to what was already presented

Comment [u8]: please mention what parameters you determined, how, and what instruments in Material and Methods

Comment [u9]: please add a reference for the standard values

Comment [u10]: please add another column in the table with the standard values, for a clear comparison

Comment [u11]: temperature? please use English

The use of single-step acclimation reduced SR of Pacific white shrimp. The results show that the SR of Pacific white shrimp ranged between 47 and 79%. According to Jayasankar et al (2009), the single-step acclimation of Pacific white shrimp in a media of 5 ppt salinity led to a SR of 53%. A sudden drop in salinity over a wide range makes osmoregulation difficult for aquatic animals, which can lead to death (Laramore et al 2001).

The highest SR was observed in the culture media with a salinity of 20 ppt, $79 \pm 6\%$. The shrimp had little difficulty in adapting to a salinity of 20 ppt, which was similar to the salinity of the previous culture media. Salinity differences have a significant impact on the SR of Pacific white shrimp. Meanwhile, shrimp mortality during rearing could be caused by a large difference in the osmotic pressure between the shrimp's body and its environment. Physiological processes can be disrupted when the osmotic value between the body fluids and the environment is too high, leading to stress and cell damage (Brockner et al 2012). Additionally, the SR of shrimps can be affected by the frequency of shrimp molting, cannibalism, and water quality. The low salinity treatment led to the incomplete molting of vannamei shrimps since the exoskeleton was not hard enough to trigger the release of the carapace. Therefore, this reduced the SR of Pacific white shrimp, provoking their gradual death.

The Pacific white shrimp in the culture media with a salinity of 15 ppt produced the best growth ($SGR = 12.3 \pm 0.1\%$), which was accompanied by a drop in the value of FCR. According to Wyban & Sweeny (1991) and Davis et al (2002), Pacific white shrimp grow very well at low salinities around 10-15 ppt, where there is an iso-osmotic equilibrium between the environment and the hemolymph of the shrimp. According to Jaffer et al (2020), the isosmotic point occurs at a salinity of 21.1 ppt. A previous study by Rahman et al (2016) obtained results consistent with those of this study, discovering that a salinity treatment of 15 ppt is the most effective for Pacific white shrimp growth. According to Bückle et al (2006), *L. vannamei* hyperregulates between salinities of 10 to 20 ppt and hyporegulates between 20 to 40 ppt.

The osmotic pressure of the culture medium has an effect on the osmoregulation of aquatic organisms, such as shrimp. According to Anggoro et al (2018), shrimps lose much water through the diffusion process at high salinity (hypertonic). Furthermore, they adapt to this condition by drinking much water and avoiding excess salt through various mechanisms, such as the hardening of the exoskeleton, which inhibits the molting

process. The regulation of ion concentration in the blood of crustaceans is very important, since the osmotic pressure of the blood is higher than the external pressure of the environment at low salinity, and vice versa at high salinity. Additionally, the differences in osmotic pressure affect the bioenergetics of aquatic organisms (Rivera-Ingraham & Lignot 2017). According to Anggoro et al (2018), the salinity of iso-osmotic media has an effect on the level of osmotic workload required to maintain osmolarity pressure balance (media and blood) or electrolyte content balance; a higher osmotic work rate means more energy wasted on osmotic performance, which leads to a reduction in shrimp growth. According to Rachmawati et al (2012), the growth of white vannamei shrimps can also be inhibited when more energy is consumed during osmoregulation, since this reduces the amount of energy available for growth. Therefore, aquatic organisms will grow optimally if the culture medium is iso-osmotic with their body fluids (Ferraris et al 1987).

Minerals are important for the growth and survival of cultured shrimps, especially potassium (Kaligis 2010), calcium (Kaligis 2015), and magnesium (Roy et al 2010). The salinity of the water can give a measure of its mineral content, which has an influence on the growth performance of shrimps, even though salinity itself plays a role in SGR and SR (Boyd 2018). Shrimp cultures often experience mineral deficiencies in low salinity, such as calcium and potassium deficiency. Table 3 shows the calcium and potassium concentrations in the culture media, which were still within the standards for Pacific white shrimp farming.

Table 3

Calcium and potassium concentrations in culture media and standards

Salinity (ppt)	Current study		Standards (Boyd 2018)	
	Calcium (mg L ⁻¹)	Potassium (mg L ⁻¹)	Calcium (mg L ⁻¹)	Potassium (mg L ⁻¹)
5	73	65	58	55
10	142.2	129	116	110
15	212	193	174	165
20	281.2	257	232	220
25	350.4	320	290	275

Conclusions. The salinity of the culture medium had an effect on SGR, SR, and FCR of Pacific white shrimp. The optimal SGR, biomass, and FCR occurred at 15 ppt salinity, while the optimal SR occurred at 20 ppt salinity. Therefore, it can be concluded that a media salinity of 15 ppt provided the best performance for Pacific white shrimp rearing.

Acknowledgements. This study was funded by Lampung University through the Postgraduate Research program in 2021.

Conflict of Interest. The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

References

- Anggoro S. D., Suprpto D., Purwanti F., 2018 Osmoregulation pattern of fingerling vannamee shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) rearing in three molt stage iso-osmotic media. Ilmu Kelautan 23(3):119-122.
- Boyd C. E., 2018 Revisiting ionic imbalance in low-salinity shrimp aquaculture. Global Aquaculture Advocate, 4 p.
- Brockner C., Thompson D. C., Vasiliou V., 2012 The role of hyperosmotic stress in inflammation and disease. Biomolecular Concepts 3(4):345-364.
- Bückle L. F., Barón B., Hernández M., 2006 Osmoregulatory capacity of the shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei* at different temperatures and salinities, and optimal culture environment. Revista de Biología Tropical 54(3):745-753.

- Cheng K. M., Hu C. Q., Liu Y. N., Zheng S. X., Qi X. J., 2006 Effects of dietary calcium, phosphorus, and calcium/phosphorus ratio on the growth and tissue mineralization of *Litopenaeus vannamei* reared in low-salinity water. *Aquaculture* 251(2-4):472-483.
- Chong-Robles J., Charmantier G., Boulo V., Lizárraga-Valdéz J., Enríquez-Paredes L. M., Giffard-Mena I., 2014 Osmoregulation pattern and salinity tolerance of the white shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei* (Boone, 1931) during post-embryonic development. *Aquaculture* 422-423:261-267.
- Davis D. A., Saoud I. P., McGraw W. J., Rouse D. B., 2002 Consideration for *Litopenaeus vannamei* reared in inland low salinity waters. *Avances en nutrición acuícola VI memories del VI Simposium Internacional de Nutrición Acuícola 3 al 6 de September del 2002, Cancun, Quintana Roo*, pp. 73-90.
- Ferraris R. P., Estepa F. D. P., De Jesus E. G., Ladja J. M., 1987 Osmoregulation in *Penaeus monodon* effects of molting and external salinity. *The First Asean Fisheries Forum, Manila*, pp. 637-640.
- Gao W., Tian L., Huang T., Yao M., Hu W., Xu Q., 2016 Effect of salinity on the growth performance, osmolarity and metabolism-related gene expression in white shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Aquaculture Reports* 4:125-129.
- Rivera-Ingraham G., Lignot J. V., 2017 Osmoregulation, bioenergetics and oxidative stress in coastal marine invertebrates: Raising the questions for future research. *The Journal of Experimental Biology* 220(10):1749-1760.
- Jaffer Y. D., Saraswathy R., Ishfaq M., Antony J., Bundela D. S., Sharma P. C., 2020 Effect of low salinity on the growth and survival of juvenile Pacific white shrimp, *Penaeus vannamei*: A revival. *Aquaculture* 515:734561.
- Jayasankar V., Jasmani S., Nomura T., Nohara S., Huong D. T. T., Wilder M. N., 2009 Low salinity rearing of the Pacific white shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei*: Acclimation, survival and growth of postlarvae and juveniles. *JARQ* 43(4):345-350.
- Kaligis E. Y., 2010 [Growth rate, feed utilization efficiency, body potassium content, and postlarvae vaname (*Litopenaeus vannamei*, Boone) osmotic gradient on different potassium media]. *Jurnal Perikanan dan Kelautan Tropis* 6(2):92-97. [In Indonesian].
- Kaligis E. Y., 2015 [Growth response of white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) reared in low salinity medium, fed different protein and calcium levels]. *Jurnal Ilmu dan Teknologi Kelautan Tropis* 7(1):225-234. [In Indonesian].
- Laramore S., Laramore C. R., Scarpa J., 2001 Effect of low salinity on growth and survival of postlarvae and juvenile *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Journal of the World Aquaculture Society* 32(4):385-392.
- Lukas A. Y. H., Djokosetiyanto D., Budiardi T., Sudrajat A. O., Affandi R., 2017 Optimization of salinity and calcium on Indonesian shortfin eel *Anguilla bicolor* maintenance. *AAFL Bioflux* 10(4):951-961.
- Rachmawati D., Hutabarat J., Anggoro S., 2012 [Effect of different media salinity on the growth of tiger snail (*Babylonia spirata* L.) in the domestication process]. *Jurnal Ilmu Kelautan* 2(3):249-257. [In Indonesian].
- Rahman F., Rusliadi, Putra I., 2016 [Growth and survival rate of western white prawns (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) on different salinity]. *Journal Online Mahasiswa* 3(1). [In Indonesian].
- Roy L. A., Davis D. A., Saoud I. P., Boyd C. A., Pine H. J., Boyd C. E., 2010 Shrimp culture in inland low salinity waters. *Reviews in Aquaculture* 2(4):191-208.
- Supono, 2021 Current status of technical and economic analysis of inland shrimp culture in Lampung Province, Indonesia. *AAFL Bioflux* 14(1):218-216.
- Susilowati T., Hutabarat J., Anggoro S., Zainuri M., 2014 The improvement of the survival, growth and production of vaname shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) and seaweed (*Gracilaria verucosa*) based on polyculture cultivation. *International Journal of Marine and Aquatic Resource Conservation and Co-existence* 1(1):6-11.
- Wyban J. A., Sweeney J. N., 1991 Intensive shrimp production technology. *The Institute*, 158 p.

Received: . Accepted: . Published online: xx xxxx 2022.

Authors:

Supono, Department of Coastal and Marine Zone Management, Postgraduate Program, Lampung University, 1 Sumantri Brojonegoro St., 35141 Bandar Lampung, Indonesia, e-mail: supono_unila@yahoo.com

Rehulina Tresia Pinem, Department of Coastal and Marine Zone Management, Postgraduate Program, Lampung University, 1 Sumantri Brojonegoro St., 35141 Bandar Lampung, Indonesia, e-mail:

Munti Sarida, Department of Aquaculture, Faculty of Agriculture, Lampung University, 1 Sumantri Brojonegoro St., 35141 Bandar Lampung, Indonesia, e-mail:

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

How to cite this article:

Supono, Pinem R. T., Sarida M., 2022 The growth performance of the Pacific white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) cultured at various salinity conditions using single step acclimation. *AAFL Bioflux* 15(x):xxx-xxx.

Comment [u12]: please add the email address of this author

Comment [u13]: please add the email address of this author