















Determining the temperature at each well depth as a basis for estimating hydrocarbon maturation using the well logging and thermal methods

Ordas Dewanto^{1,a)}, Sandri Erfani^{1,b)}, Sri Rizky^{2,c)}, Perdana Rizki Ordas^{3,d)}, Istifani Ferucha^{1,e)}

¹⁾Geophysics Engineering, Engineering Faculty, University of Lampung, Bandar Lampung 35145, Indonesia ²⁾Petroleum Engineering, School of Minerals and Energy Resources Engineering, Australia ³⁾Department of Geological Engineering, Universitas Padjajaran, Bandung, Indonesia

^{a)}ordas.dewanto@eng.unila.ac.id, ^{b)}sandri.erfani@eng.unila.ac.id, ^{c)}sririzky1563@gmail.com ^{d)}perdana.rizkiordas@gmail.com, ^{e)}istifaniferucha@gmail.com

Abstract. Temperature measurements at drilling wells are usually not carried out thoroughly, some even only at the bottom of the well or Bore Hole Temperature (BHT). The Well Logging and thermal methods are used to determine the temperature at each depth, provided that the surface temperature and BHT of the well are available. The principle of the method is to determine the change in depth for each increase in temperature of 10° C or 5° C. By developing the basic concept of geothermal flow it can be seen that changes in depth at each increase in temperature of 10° C, so that we can know the temperature value at each depth of the well. In well A, the temperature in the depth of 502-629m is 60° C- 70° C with immature organic substances. The temperature in the depth of 1595-1699 m is 100° C- 120° C with overmature organic substances. The temperature in the depth of 1780-1964 m is 120° C- 130° C with gas organic substances. In the process of hydrocarbon maturity, an increase in temperature will convert heavy petroleum into light oil, then become condensate and finally only gas. This is a function of depth and temperature gradient.

Keywords: bore hole temperature, well logging, immature, mature, gas, temperature gradient

INTRODUCTION

Research on the maturation of hydrocarbons in sedimentary basins in Indonesia has generally been successful, with the aim of estimating the level of maturity of organic material in the source rock of the basin. The research studies are very helpful to support the exploration of hydrocarbons (oil and gas). The basis for determining the maturation of hydrocarbons, generally based on the changes in their chemical properties, where analysis of changes in chemical properties is one indicator that is quite accurate. As technology develops and it becomes increasingly difficult to find new reserves of hydrocarbons, geoscience is increasingly developing to overcome these problems. Previous research that used the basic concept of terrestrial heat flow, which was supported by geological data related to geochemical technology, has obtained a fairly accurate result and more clearly understood the problems in exploration activities. This implies the importance of understanding the relationship between the thermal and physical properties of rocks to the level of hydrocarbon maturation (Hanun et al, 2016).

Based on these needs, this study presents research to improve the qualitative and quantitative analysis of log data (Dewanto et al, 2016) in supporting the initial estimates of the occurrence of petroleum and the formation of petroleum.

Core analysis data generated from measurements and analysis of reservoir rocks in the laboratory are much needed information to find out the very specific characteristics of rock physics, which will ultimately be used to predict the performance of reservoir rock.

The heat that flows from the bottom up spreads to the surface of the earth affecting the rock space, so that in each rock room has a different temperature. The temperature in each rock space is different, because of the different porosity and heat conductivity, as well as the difference in hydrostatic pressure from the rock.

Temperature can affect organic substances contained in sediments. An increase in temperature will change heavy petroleum into light petroleum, then condensate and finally only gas. Dewanto et al (2017) research results in the laboratory are that oil shale derived from clay-organic material occurs at a temperature of $300-400^{\circ}$ C and for carbonate-organic material occurs at a temperature of $400-500^{\circ}$ C. Whereas at temperatures of $900-1000^{\circ}$ C both materials produce gas. Temperature values can also be used to determine the depth of oil shale in wells (Mulyanto et al, 2018).

The duration of the formation of petroleum from the release of fat or lipids from kerogen is a temperature-related process, which is exponential and starts at temperatures around 93^oC (Klemme, 1972). The value of temperature in a rock pore is one of the important parameters in the process of determining the maturity of organic matter, to predict the maturity of hydrocarbons in sedimentary rocks. Temperature values can help estimate the maturity of organic material in CaCO3 (Dewanto et al, 2019). Moreover, it can also be used as a basis for research relating to geothermal reservoirs in regions containing geothermal energy. Estimated reservoir temperatures are very important to assess the potential for geothermal exploitation (Zhang et al, 2015).

Knowledge about downhole and around the temperature of the wellbore formation is an important factor during drilling operations. It is important to estimate the effect of pressure and temperature on the formation fluid density (Kutasov, 2015). This will enable a more accurate prediction of differential pressure in the lower hole and will help reduce fluid losses resulting from miscalculated pressure differences. Reservoir temperature modeling is carried out to estimate reservoir parameters (Siswoyo, 1995).

In terms of calculating water saturation (Sw) to determine the hydocarbon content in reservoir rocks, it needs the parameter of Rw (formation water). The formation water value (Rw) of each layer can be determined based on temperature. Therefore, determining the temperature at a certain layer depth is very important to calculate the value of Rw (Ushie, 2001). Determination of temperature in the log well is also very useful for determining the maturation level of oil shale in source rock (Mulyanto et al, 2018). Then also to determine the level of hydrocarbon (oil and gas) maturation in source rock (Nopiyanti, 2019 and Maulina, 2019).

In this study, the temperature at each layer will be determined to a certain depth. For example in a drilling well, logging is usually carried out to determine the temperature value at any given depth. This temperature measurement is not done thoroughly on the well. In fact, some are only measured at the bottom of the well (BHT). But with the development of technology, the temperature at each depth can be estimated, with the condition that the well is known for the surface temperature and Bore Hole Temperature (BHT).

One method for estimating the temperature is to determine the change in depth for each 10° C or 5° C rise in temperature. Subono et al (1995) and Dewanto et al (2001), developed the basic concept of geothermal flow to determine changes in depth at each 10° C temperature rise, so that the value of temperature at each depth in the well can be known.

METHOD

The data required are BHT (bore hole temperature), porosity (ϕ), rock heat conductivity (K_B), heat flow (Q), temperature gradient, stratigraphy, rock age.

Data Processing Method

In this research several work stages are carried out, namely:

First Stage, Determination of Lithology, Age and Porosity

The first stage, determine the lithology in each formation of the well and determine the age and sedimentation time of the lithology. Then determine the value of porosity, as a reference base for doing work in the next stage.

Second stage, Calculation of Rock Thermal Conductivity

Rock heat conductivity can be determined by measurement and calculation. Calculation of rock heat conductivity, determined using the following equation:

$$K_B = K_f^{\phi} \times K_s^{(1-\phi)}$$

where, K_B = heat conductivity of the rock

 K_{f} = heat conductivity of the liquid fraction

 K_s = heat conductivity of solid fractions

 ϕ = porosity

The value of the heat conductivity of the formation (K_F) is determined by calculation based on the value of heat conductivity of rocks and thickness in the formation.

$$K_F = \left[\left(\frac{d_{B1}}{K_{B1}} + \frac{d_{B2}}{K_{B2}} + \dots \right) \times \frac{1}{d_{B1} + d_{B2} + \dots} \right]^{-1}$$

Where, K_F = heat conductivity of the formation (10⁻³ cgs)

 d_{B1} = the thickness of lithology-1 (m);

 $\begin{array}{l} d_{B2} = \mbox{the thickness of lithology-2 (m)} \\ d_{B1} + d_{B2} = \mbox{ formation thickness (m)} \\ K_{B1} = \mbox{ heat conductivity of lithology-1 (10⁻³ cgs)} \\ K_{B2} = \mbox{ heat conductivity of lithology-2 (10⁻³ cgs)} \end{array}$

and so on adjusted to the type of lithology. Meanwhile, to calculate the value of heat conductivity wells are used the following formula:

$$K_{SM} = \left[\left(\frac{d_{FA}}{K_{FA}} + \frac{d_{FB}}{K_{FB}} + \dots + \frac{d_{FN}}{K_{FN}} \right) \times \frac{1}{DA} \right]^{-1}$$

where, K_{SM} = heat conductivity calculated from the deepest well up to the surface (10⁻³ cgs)

 d_{FA} , d_{FB} , d_{FN} = formation thickness A, B s/d N (m or cm)

DA = total depth

Third stage, Calculating Temperature Gradients

Temperature gradients are determined according to the equation: $GT = \frac{BHTc - Tp}{DA} \times 100$

where, GT = temperature gradient (^oC/100m) BHTc = temperature corrected at DA (^oC) Tp = average temperature on the surface DA = total depth (m) In this case the temperature gradient of each formation is also calculated, using the following equation:

$$GT_F = \frac{Q}{K_F}$$
 (Subono, S. dan Siswoyo, 1995)

where, GT_F = formation temperature gradient (^oC/100m)

Q = geothermal flow, $(\times 10^{-6} \text{ cal cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1})$

 K_F = heat conductivity of the formation (×10⁻³ cal cm⁻¹ det⁻¹ °C⁻¹)

Fourth stage, Determination of Heat Flow

After obtaining the value of heat conductivity and temperature gradient as mentioned above, then determine the value of heat flow (heat flow) at the well. Calculated using the formula according to the equation as follows:

$$Q = K \frac{dI}{dZ}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \quad Q = (K_f^{\phi} \times K_s^{(1-\phi)}) \times GT \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad Q = K_F \times GT$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \quad Q = \left[\left(\frac{d_{FA}}{K_{FA}} + \frac{d_{FB}}{K_{FB}} + \dots + \frac{d_{FN}}{K_{FN}} \right) \times \frac{1}{DA} \right]^{-1} \times GT$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \quad Q = K_{SM} \times GT$$

where, Q = geothermal flow, HFU or μ cal/cm²s, or mW/m^2 K_{SM} = heat conductivity, 10^{-3} cal cm⁻¹ dt⁻¹ °C⁻¹ or $W/m^{\circ}C$ dT/dZ = temperature gradient, $^{\circ}C/100m$ (GT) 1 HFU = 10^{-6} kal cm⁻² dt⁻¹

The method for estimating the temperature is to determine the change in depth for each 10° C or 5° C rise in temperature. Determination of temperature in well A, the temperature value will be determined by calculation. By knowing the change in depth at every 10° C temperature rise, the value of the

temperatur can be estimated. Basic formula used: $\Delta Z = \frac{10^{O} C}{GT_{KF}} \implies GT_{KF} = \frac{Q(t)}{K_{KF}}$

where, ΔZ : depth change (m) Q : heat flow (HFU) GT_{KF} : temperature gradient of the formation group (^oC/100m) K_{KF} : heat conductivity of rock formation groups (mks)

By doing calculations to determine the change in depth of each 10° C temperature rise, a model of the value of each depth will be obtained.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this study using the thermal method. Well A has a total depth of 6443.4 ft (1963.9 m) located in the Central Sumatra Basin. The data needed for data processing in this study are stratigraphic data and rock heat conductivity data (Table 1), temperature gradient data and BHT (Table 2), and porosity data (log data). Heat Flow is the flow of heat that comes from inside the earth to flow to the surface through a space / rock material. Because the nature and density or compactness of rocks differ, the value of heat flow (Q) for each well in an area also varies besides that there must be the same. The difference in the value of heat flow, in addition to the difference in temperature gradient is also influenced by the conductivity of rock heat (K_B) on the rock. Rock heat conductivity can be determined from measurements of rock samples (cores) directly in the laboratory. Moreover, it can also be determined by calculation based on the value of the porosity of the rock. Researchers determine the value of rock heat conductivity (K_B) by direct measurement.

		AGE			ROCK
FORMA	TION	(million	LITOLOGY	DEPTH (m)	CONDUCTIVITY
		years)			$(cal/cm dt ^{O}C)$
MINAS		0	sand	0 - 55	4.25
		1.6	shale	55 - 90	4.00
			sand	90 - 130	4.25
		1.6	shale	130 - 170	4.00
PETANI		3	shale	170 - 284	4.26
		11			
TELISA		14	shale	284 - 678	4.41
		3	sand	678 - 698	4.99
SIHAPAS	Upper	17	sand	698 - 738	5.32
		1			
			shale	738 - 778	4.64
	Lower	18	sand	778 - 803	5.52
			shale	803 - 828	4.87
			sand	828 - 853	5.52
		3	shale	853 - 883	4.87
			sand	883 - 908	5.52
			shale	908 - 933	4.87
		21	sand	933 - 969	5.52
PEMATANG	Pmt. SS	22.5	sand	969 - 1009	6.36
GROUP			shale	1009 - 1029	5.81
			sand	1029 -1069	6.38
			shale	1069 - 1089	5.81
			sand	1089 - 1131	6.63
			shale	1131 - 1151	5.81
		6.7	sand	1151 - 1211	6.65
			shale	1211 - 1231	6.00
			sand	1231 - 1291	7.55
			shale	1291 - 1311	6.00
			sand	1311 - 1391	7.97
			shale	1391 - 1411	6.00
			sand	1411 - 1457	8.40
	Pmt. MS	29.2 4.2	shale	1457 - 1719	6.81
	BRSH	33.4	coal	1719 - 1780	6.80
		1.3			
	LP	34.7	shale	1780 - 1815	6.81
		1.3	sand	1815 - 1840	8.83
			shale	1840 - 1880	7.17
BASEM	ENT	36	Quarzt	1880 - 1964	7.59

Table 1. Stratigraphic Data and Heat Conductivity of Well Rocks A

	Depth	Depth	Depth	Tempe	erature	Temperatu	re Gradient
A WELL	(ft)	(m)	(cm)	(^o F)	(^o C)	(^o C/100cm)	(^o C/100m)
Total Depth	6443.4	1963.9	196385.2	-	-		
BHT	6456.0	1967.7	196769.3	244.00	117.78	0.0464	4.64
Surface	-	-	-	80.00	26.67		
Temperature							

Table 2. Well BHT Data and Temperature Gradient A

After obtaining the value of KB then determine the value of heat conductivity (KF). To calculate the heat conductivity of the formation (KF), data on the thickness of the formation, the type and thickness of the rock in the formation are needed. From the calculation results, the heat conductivity value of the formation in well A is shown in Table 3. Furthermore, determining the value of the heat conductivity of the well (KSM), from the calculation results obtained the heat conductivity value of well A (KSM) is 5.4910^{-3} cgs.

Table 3. Results of Formation Heat Conduct Calculation, We	ell A
--	-------

FORMATION	K-FORMATION (cal cm ^{-1} dt ^{-1 °C^{-1})}				
FURMATION	K _B measurement in Well A				
Minas	4.14 (0-170 m)				
Petani	4.26 (170-284 m)				
Telisa	4.43 (284-698 m)				
Sihapas-Upper	4.96 (698-778 m)				
Sihapas-Lower	5.23 (778-969 m)				
Pematang SS	6.81 (969-1457 m)				
Pematang MS	6.81 (1457-1719 m)				
BRSH	6.80 (1719-1780 m)				
LP	7.38 (1780-1880 m)				
Basement	7.59 (1880-1964 m)				

For the value of heat flow, can be obtained by looking at the value gradient temperature (GT) and K-*Well* determination of well temperature gradients, calculated using the equation:

$$\frac{dT}{dZ} = \frac{(Tf - Tm)}{D} \times 100 \quad \text{(Dresser Atlas, 1982)}$$

The temperature gradient value for well A is 4.64 $^{\rm O}$ C / 100m. While the value of geothermal flow (Q) can be determined using the equation:

$$Q = K_{SM} \frac{dT}{dZ}$$
 (Gretener, 1982)

Table 4. Calculation Results of K-Well, GT-Well, Heat Flow in Wells A-1 and B-1 Based on KB measurements

Wall	K -Well	GT-Well	HEAT FLOW	HEAT FLOW	
wen	$(cal cm^{-1} dt^{-1} C^{-1})$	(^o C/100cm)	(HFU)	(mW/m^2)	
А	5.491348×10 ⁻³	0.046	2.75	110	

The result of heat flow (Q) calculation for well A is 110 mW / m2. The values of *GT*-Well, *K*-Well, and Q of well A are shown in Table 4. After the value of heat flow in well A is obtained, the heat conductivity value of the formation group is calculated. Then determine the value gradient for the formation group temperature, using the equation:

$$GT_{KF} = \frac{Q}{K_{KF}}$$
 (Subono dan Siswoyo, 1995)

Furthermore, determine the change in depth (ΔZ) for each temperature increase of 10^o C (10OC / Z). The results of the K_{KF}, GT_{KF} and ΔZ calculations are shown in Table 5. Next step is the determination of the depth change (ΔZ) for each temperature increase of 10^o C (10OC / Z). The results of the K_{KF}, GT_{KF} and ΔZ calculations are shown in Table 5

	Thickness of	Heat Conductivity of	Temperature Gradient of	$dT = 10 \ ^{\circ}C$
Formation	Formation Group	Formation Group	Formation Group	$dZ = \dots m$
	(cm)	(cal/cm dt °C)	(°C/100m)	
Minas				
Petani				
Telisa	96900	4.53	6.06	165
Sihapas Upper				
Sihapas Lower				
Pematang SS				
Pematang MS	01100	6.97	4.00	250
Pematang	91100	0.87	4.00	230
BRSH				
Pematang LP				
Basement	8400	7.59	3.62	276

Table 5. Calculation results of K_{KF}, GT_{KF}, and depth change (dZ) in well A based on K_B measurements



Figure 1. Changes in depth for each 10°C temperature rise, in well A

The depth of well A is 1964 m, with a surface temperature of 30° C. From the data processing it is produced that 5x the same depth change every 10° C temperature increase is 165 m. Then 4x the same depth change every 10° C temperature increase is 250 m, and 1x the same depth change every 10° C temperature increase is 276 m. Then a depth change model is made for every 10° C temperature increase is 276 m. Then a depth change model is made for every 10° C temperature increase in well A, which is shown in Figure 1. Seen in Figure 1, the surface temperature is 30° C and the temperature is 130° C at a depth of 2100 m, while the Bore Hole Temperature (BHT) is 117.78° C at a depth of 1967.7 m. So if we compare the results of the BHT measurement from the log method with the results of the calculation model of the depth change of each 100C temperature rise, the results are close to similarity. BHT log measurement results (1967.7m = 117.78° C), the model changes depth every 10° C (2100m = 130° C).

CONCLUSION

From the data processing it is produced that 5x the same depth change every 10° C temperature increase is 165 m. Then 4x the same depth change every 10° C temperature increase is 250 m, and 1x the same depth change every 10° C temperature increase is 276 m. The depth of well A is 1964 m, with a surface temperature of 30° C. So the temperature value from a depth of 165 m to a depth of 2100 m is 40° C to 130° C. Then a depth change model is made for every 10° C temperature increase in well.

REFERENCES

- Dewanto, O. 2001. Estimated Level of Hydrocarbon Maturation Using the Heat Method. Universitas Indonesia. Jakarta.
- Dewanto, O., Mulyatno, B.S., Rizky, S., Alimuddin. 2016. Petrophysical Properties Analysis of Reservoir Rock Using Core Analysis and Interpretation Log Data Method To Estimated The Water Saturation (Sw) at OD-1 and OD-2 Wells in The X-Area. *Proceeding PIT HAGI 41*. Bandar Lampung. ISBN: 978-79-98933-9-0.
- Dewanto, O., Mulyatno, B.S., Rustadi, Wibowo, R.C. 2017. Determining the Temperature of Shale Material Conversion Into Crude Oil Based on Organic Clay and Organic Carbonate Test Outside Reservoir. International Journal of Mechanical & Mechatronics Engineering IJMME-IJENS. Vol:17 No:05. pp.84-89.
- Dewanto, O., Rizky, S., Mulyanto, B.S., and Rustadi. 2019. The Maturity Estimation of Material Organic in CaCO3 with Determining Tmax and Energy Activation Using Pyrolysis Method. *Journal of Computational and Theoretical Nanoscience*. Vol. 16, No.1–12.
- Dresser Atlas, Dresser Industries Inc. 1982. *Well Logging and Interpretation Techniques*. The Course For Home Study, p. 22-32, 39-94, 102-129, 165-178.
- Gretener, P.E. 1981. *Geothermics: Using Temperature in Hydrocarbone Exploration*. Short Course San Francisco Annual Meeting. The American Association of Petroleum Geologists Tulsa, Oklahoma, USA. p.1-67.
- Hanun, K., Dewanto, O., Mulyatno, B.S., Wibowo, R.C., Priyanka, F. 2016. Estimated Formation Temperature On Oil Well Using Log and Thermal Method in 'H' Region, Central Sumatra. *Proceeding PIT HAGI 41.* Bandar Lampung. ISBN: 978-79-98933-9-0.
- Klemme, H.D. 1972. Heat Influences size of oil giants-geothermal gradients. *The Oil and Gas J.* Vol. 17, p. 136-144 (pt.I), dan Vol. 24, p. 76-78 (pt.II).

- Kutasov, I.M., and Eppelbaum, L.V. 2015. Wellbore and Formation Temperatures During Drilling, Cementing of Casing and Shut-in. Proceedings World Geothermal Congress.
- Maulina. 2019. Identification of Prospect Zone of Oil and Gas Reservoir and Biogenic Gas Using Log, Petrophysical and Geochemical Data at MN Basin in Nias Basin, North Sumatra. *Skripsi*. Teknik Geofisika Fakultas Teknik. Universitas Lampung.
- Mulyanto, B.S., Dewanto, O., Rizky, S. 2018. Determining Layer Oil Shale as New Alternative Energy Sources Using Core Analysis and Well Log Method. *International Journal of Engineering & Technology*. Vol.7 No. 4.36, p. 941-949.
- Mulyanto, B.S., Dewanto, O., Rizky, S. 2018. Determining Layer Oil Shale as New Alternative Energy Sources Using Core Analysis and Well Log Method. *International Journal of Engineering & Technology.* Vol.7 No.4.36. pp.941-949.
- Nopiyanti, T. 2019. Characterization of Oil and Gas Reservoirs and Source Rock Using Log Data and Geochemical Data in the Kangean Block Area. *Skripsi*. Teknik Geofisika Fakultas Teknik. Universitas Lampung.
- Siswoyo and Subono, S. 1995. Heat Flow, Hydrocarbon Maturity and Migration in Northwest Java. *CCOP Technical Bulletin.* Vol. 25, p. 23-36.
- Subono, S. and Siswoyo. 1995. Thermal Studies of Indonesian Oil Basin. *CCOP Technical Bulletin*. Vol. 25, pp. 37 to 54.
- Ushie, F.A., 2001. Formation Water Resistivity (Rw) Determination: The Sp Method. J. Appl. Sci. Environ. Mgt. Vol 5 (1), 25-28.
- Zhang, X., Guo, Q., Li, J., Liu, M., Wang, Y., 2015. Yijun YangEstimation of reservoir temperature using silica and cationic solutes geothermometers: a case study in the Tengchong geothermal area. *Chin. J. Geochem.* 34(2):233–240.







Getting Started Started Watc	h Full <mark>Movies</mark>	MDb: Ratings, Revi 🔇 LKD - 0022126602		
≡ 🎽 Gmail		Q Telusuri email	<u>∓</u> ⑦ �	🚳
/ Tulis			300 dari 4.002 🔨	> E
 kotak Masuk ☆ Berbintang Oitunda Terkirim Draf ✓ Selengkapnya Label Junk Unwanted 	102 +	The reference number for this manuscript is <i>WJERT/2190/8/2022</i> Kindly quote this in correspondence related to your manuscript. The Edit the Acceptance Letter for the article. During this process you are free to o processing site on (Track your article) <u>http://wjert.org/home/track_articles</u> We thank you for submitting your valuable research work to the WORLD You are requested to convey/circulate/forward the information abou teacher/ colleagues and students. For further details please visit us Yours sincerely The Editorial Team	litors will send to review the submitted manuscript initially. If found suitable, Editor will send check the progress of the manuscript through various phases from our online manuscript) JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY . ut World Journal of Engineering Research and Technology to all of your friends/ s at: http://wjert.org	i G
		(← Balas) (→ Teruskan)		-





World Journal of Engineering Research and Technology

(An ISO 9001: 2015 Certified Journal)

SJIF Impact Factor: 5.924

ICV 79.45

ISSN 2454 - 695X

Acceptance Letter

Manuscript No: WJERT/2190/8/2022

Date: 06/04/2022

TITLE: DETERMINING THE TEMPERATURE AT EACH WELL DEPTH AS A BASIS FOR ESTIMATING HYDROCARBON MATURATION USING THE WELL LOGGING AND THERMAL METHODS

Dear Ordas Dewanto, Sandri Erfani, Sri Rizky, Perdana Rizki Ordas, Istifani Ferucha

We are pleased to inform you that out of various research articles submitted, Experts/ Referees Panel of WJERT has recommended your manuscript for publication, so World Journal of Engineering Research and Technology has accepted your manuscript for publication in Current (April) Issue of WJERT.

World Journal of Engineering Research and Technology publishes all its article in full open access format which are easily accessible for scientific community.

Kindly send the scanned copy of CTA form (Copyright Transfer Agreement). As early as possible. CTA form available at

www.wjert.org

Thanking You

Editor in chief **Prof. Dr. Starodub, Nickolaj** WJERT

World Journal of Engineering Research and Technology (WJERT)

Subject:- Regarding Processing Fees-WJERT

Dear Author,

It pleased to inform you that your manuscript WJERT/2190/8/2022 has been approved by the Experts/ Referees Panel for publication in Current issue of World Journal of Engineering Research and Technology.

We are requesting you to submit the Processing Fees 60 USD/Article (3900 Indian Rupees) as early as possible, we will process for Publication.

You can submit Processing fees by using **International Credit** or **Debit Card** through direct link <u>https://wjpr.net/processingfeesn</u>

OR

Account Details:

Name: Dr Kundlik Girhepunje Account No: 11072702573 SWIFT Code – SBININBB534 IFSC Code: SBIN0001633 Branch: Ramdaspeth Branch, Nagpur Address: 249, Bajaj Nagar, Nagpur, MH, India. STATE BANK OF INDIA (INDIA)

OR

You can also submit Processing fees through Online PayPal on Paypal ID editor@wjpps.com

Note: Do Not Submit Processing Fees through Western Union.

Copy proof for your Article will send you after receiving of Processing fees.

After sending the processing fees inform us through mail with following Details.

Manuscript No.-----Manuscript Title:-----Date of fees deposition:-----Scan copy of Receipt of Payment deposition.....

Thanks Editor in Chief WJERT

WJERT	World Journal of Engineering Research and Technology (WJERT) TRANSFER OF COPYRIGHT AGREEMENT
Manuscript No:	
Title:	
Corresponding Auth	or Name:
Address/Affiliation:	

Fax: Tel: E-mail

On behalf of all authors, as the corresponding author of the manuscript, I warrant that:

1. I/We hereby assign completely and absolutely to WJERT with effect from the date of acceptance of the above titled manuscript for publication in WJERT Journals, all present and future copyrights to the manuscript. Such assignment of copyright shall include, without limitation to the foregoing, the exclusive right to do any and all acts in all countries in which the copyright (or analogous rights) in the manuscript subsists (or in the future subsists) together with all rights of action in respect of any past or existing infringement of such copyright.

2. The manuscript above is my/our original work without fabrication, fraud, or plagiarism and has not been published previously elsewhere (printed or electronic form in the internet/discussion groups/electronic bulletin boards) or has been submitted or under consideration for publication elsewhere.

3. The manuscript contains no violation of any existing copyright or other third party right or any material of an obscene, libelous or otherwise unlawful nature, and that I/we will indemnify the Editors of WJERT against all claims and expenses (including legal costs and expenses) arising from breach of this warranty and the other warranties on my/our behalf in this agreement.

4. That I/we have obtained permission for and acknowledged the original authors of the source of any illustrations, diagrams or other materials used in the manuscript of which I am/we are not the original copyright owner/s.

5. All authors warrant that they each meet the requirements for authorship enumerated in the Journal's Instructions for Authors and understand that if the paper or part of the paper is found to be faulty or fraudulent, each shares the responsibility.

Corresponding Author Name:

Signature & Date:		
Name	Signature	Date signed
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		

Note: After completion of this form, please email the scanned copy of original signed form to <u>editor.wjertjournal@gmail.com</u> or <u>editor@wjert.org</u> (Without this signed undertaking your paper would not get appeared in our Journal).



























	IFEC Code: SBIN0001633		
Penerima / Beneficiary Penduduk/ Bukan Penduduk/ Resident Non Resident	Jenis Pengiriman/ : LLG/Clearing Type of Transfer RTGS	Draft	DE - SBININ BB53
Nama/Name : Dr Kundlik Girhepunje Alamat/Address : 249, Bayay Nagar, Nagpur, MH, India. Telepon/Phone :	Sumber Dana/Source of Fund : Tunai / Cash Cek / BG No. Debit Rek. / Debit Acc. No. 0912889	1633	
Kota/City: Nagpur Negara/Country: India	Mata Uang / Currency : DR	USD	
Bank Penerima / Beneficiary Bank : STATE BANK OF INDIA (INDIA) Kota/City : Nagpur Negara/Country : India No. Rek./Acc. No. : 11072702573	Jumlah Dana yang Dikirim / Amount Transfe Jumlah / Amount	er : Kurs / Rate	Nilai/Total Amoun
Pengirim / Remitter Penduduk/ Bukan Penduduk/ Resident* Non Resident**	S Terbilang / Amount in Words	US Dolais	1
elepon/Phone: 0012-71471554	Biaya / Charge	Valas / Amount in Foreign Exchange	Kurs / Nilai / Rate Total Amo
eterangan Pembayaran/Details of Payment : aying Journal (Dr. Ordes Dewanto) in World	Komisi / Commission Pengiriman / Handling Bank Koresponden / Correspondent Bank	NDOV	ie.
Sournal OF Engineering Research And Technology (WJERT)	Jumlah Biaya / Amount Charge	SERO	
aya dari bank koresponden dibebankan ke rekening / prrespondent bank charges are for account of : Penerima/Beneficiary	B Dorg	Sayarmenyetu yang tercantu caban formulir ini / I KARAN terms and cor NURUL	ijui sepenuhnya syarat-sy im pada halaman bela unconditionally accept a nditions on the reverse fo

KMU 1.0/KTK/0706

REFERENCE : SIOTEDOOI6122

NO. TRN. : 51959 982532 96962 TRAN 11/04/2022 10:2/:53 ND. REW. : 000000912882533 8dri NUBUL PITKT ORDAS TRALAH : TDP 142 - TANJUMG KARANG

MO. TRX. : 61969 982632 96962 TRAN 11/04/2022 10:2/:53 MD. REK. : 147360420601001 PENDAPATAN PROPISI NU UIMLAH : TDR 35,000 1560 142 - TANJUNG KARANG NO. TDX. : 61969 992632 96962 TRAN 11/04/2022 10:2/:53 NO. REK. : 000000912882513 34ri NUPUL RITKT ORDAS ANNLAH : TDR 142 - TANJING KARANG MO. TRX. : 51969 982632 95962 TRAN 11/04/2022 10:2/:53 MO. RFK. : 142840300101001 KU YAKIF JUMIAH : USD 60 1560 142 - TAMINNG KAFANG **S**BNI

N/////NAGPUR

PT. BANK NEGARA INDONESIA (PERDERO), TER CARANG : TANJUNG KARANG 1200 Maintenance (S10)

619.69

QT D

Teller

11/04/2022 10:32:45 Value Date/Currency/Interbank Settled Amount: "70:/PAYING JOUNNAL DR OLDAS DEWANTO IN E) PERUM POLNI C6 14 HAJIMENA 003 001 LOMUAY ///INESEANCH AND TECHNOLOCY WUENT NATAR LAMPING SELATAN INPONESIA 249 EAJAJ NACAN NACPUR NH INDIA Sender to Meceiver Information: //WORLD JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING :72:/ACCOUNT NO 11072702573 THELW OTNEWED BEWANTO MUT//// Account With Institution: //SWIFTCODE SEININEXXX ///STATE DANK OF INDIA ADMULTINITY ALL NUMBER OF A Remittance Information: SDRI NURUL RIZKI OPDAS :50K:/000000012882533 DR RUNDLIK GIRHEPUNJE Ueneficiary Customer: Ordering Institution: Uank Operation Code: Details Of Charges: Sender's Neference: :20:SI0TKR00016122 Ordering Customer: PAMDASPETH BPANCH :32A:220411USD60, :59:/11072702573 :57A:SEININEEXXX :52A:ENINIDJAXXX :23B:CNED :71A:OUR MAGPUP Date Surt



60 • 🗙 14 • 550 • = 873 • 000 •

000

0 • *

25 * 🛪

363 . 750 . -

873+000 + + 363+750 + + 35+000 + ↓

003

1,271,750 * *

















Orígínal Artícle

ISSN 2454-695X

World Journal of Engineering Research and Technology



WJERT

www.wjert.org

SJIF Impact Factor: 5.924



DETERMINING THE TEMPERATURE AT EACH WELL DEPTH AS A BASIS FOR ESTIMATINGHYDROCARBON MATURATION USING THE WELL LOGGING AND THERMAL METHODS

Ordas Dewanto^{*1}, Sandri Erfani¹, Sri Rizky², Perdana Rizki Ordas³ and Istifani Ferucha¹

¹Geophysics Engineering, Engineering Faculty, University of Lampung, Bandar Lampung 35145, Indonesia.

²Petroleum Engineering, School of Minerals and Energy Resources Engineering, Australia. ³Department of Geological Engineering, Universitas Padjajaran, Bandung, Indonesia.

Article Received on 01/03/2022

Article Revised on 20/03/2022

Article Accepted on 10/04/2022

*Corresponding Author Ordas Dewanto Geophysics Engineering, Engineering Faculty, University of Lampung, Bandar Lampung 35145, Indonesia.

ABSTRACT

Temperature measurements at drilling wells are usually not carried out thoroughly, some even only at the bottom of the well or Bore Hole Temperature (BHT). The Well Logging and thermal methods are used to determine the temperature at each depth, provided that the surface temperature and BHT of the well are available. The principle of the method is to determine the change in depth for each increase in

temperature of 10° C or 5° C. By developing the basic concept of geothermal flow it can be seen that changes in depth at each increase in temperature of 10° C, so that we can know the temperature value at each depth of the well. In well A, the temperature in the depth of 502-629m is 60° C- 70° C with immature organic substances. The temperature in the depth of 1096-1276 m is 90° C- 100° C with mature organic substances. The temperature in the depth of 1595-1699 m is 100° C- 120° C with overmature organic substances. The temperature in the depth of 1595-1699 m is 120° C- 130° C with gas organic substances. The temperature in the depth of 1780-1964 m is 120° C- 130° C with gas organic substances. In the process of hydrocarbon maturity, an increase in temperature will convert heavy petroleum into light oil, then become condensate and finally only gas. This is a function of depth and temperature gradient.

KEYWORDS: Bore hole temperature, well logging, immature, mature, gas, temperature gradient.

INTRODUCTION

Research on the maturation of hydrocarbons in sedimentary basins in Indonesia has generally been successful, with the aim of estimating the level of maturity of organic material in the source rock of the basin. The research studies are very helpful to support the exploration of hydrocarbons (oil and gas). The basis for determining the maturation of hydrocarbons, generally based on the changes in their chemical properties, where analysis of changes in chemical properties is one indicator that is quite accurate. As technology develops and it becomes increasingly difficult to find new reserves of hydrocarbons, geoscience is increasingly developing to overcome these problems. Previous research that used the basic concept of terrestrial heat flow, which was supported by geological data related to geochemical technology, has obtained a fairly accurate result and more clearly understood the problems in exploration activities. This implies the importance of understanding the relationship between the thermal and physical properties of rocks to the level of hydrocarbon maturation (Hanun et al, 2016).

Based on these needs, this study presents research to improve the qualitative and quantitative analysis of log data (Dewanto et al, 2016) in supporting the initial estimates of the occurrence of petroleum and the formation of petroleum.

Core analysis data generated from measurements and analysis of reservoir rocks in the laboratory are much needed information to find out the very specific characteristics of rock physics, which will ultimately be used to predict the performance of reservoir rock.

The heat that flows from the bottom up spreads to the surface of the earth affecting the rock space, so that in each rock room has a different temperature. The temperature in each rock space is different, because of the different porosity and heat conductivity, as well as the difference in hydrostatic pressure from the rock.

Temperature can affect organic substances contained in sediments. An increase in temperature will change heavy petroleum into light petroleum, then condensate and finally only gas. Dewanto et al (2017) research results in the laboratory are that oil shale derived from clay-organic material occurs at a temperature of $300-400^{\circ}$ C and for

carbonate-organic material occurs at a temperature of $400-500^{\circ}$ C. Whereas at temperatures of $900-1000^{\circ}$ C both materials produce gas. Temperature values can also be used to determine the depth of oil shale in wells (Mulyanto et al, 2018).

The duration of the formation of petroleum from the release of fat or lipids from kerogen is a temperature-related process, which is exponential and starts at temperatures around 93⁰C (Klemme, 1972). The value of temperature in a rock pore is one of the important parameters in the process of determining the maturity of organic matter, to predict the maturity of hydrocarbons in sedimentary rocks. Temperature values can help estimate the maturity of organic material in CaCO3 (Dewanto et al, 2019). Moreover, it can also be used as a basis for research relating to geothermal reservoirs in regions containing geothermal energy. Estimated reservoir temperatures are very important to assess the potential for geothermal exploitation (Zhang et al, 2015).

Knowledge about downhole and around the temperature of the wellbore formation is an important factor during drilling operations. It is important to estimate the effect of pressure and temperature on the formation fluid density (Kutasov, 2015). This will enable a more accurate prediction of differential pressure in the lower hole and will help reduce fluid losses resulting from miscalculated pressure differences. Reservoir temperature modeling is carried out to estimate reservoirparameters (Siswoyo, 1995).

In terms of calculating water saturation (Sw) to determine the hydocarbon content in reservoir rocks, it needs the parameter of Rw (formation water). The formation water value (Rw) of each layer can be determined based on temperature. Therefore, determining the temperature at a certain layer depth is very important to calculate the value of Rw (Ushie, 2001). Determination of temperature in the log well is also very useful for determining the maturation level of oil shale in source rock (Mulyanto et al, 2018). Then also to determine the level of hydrocarbon (oil and gas) maturation in source rock (Nopiyanti, 2019 and Maulina, 2019).

In this study, the temperature at each layer will be determined to a certain depth. For example in a drilling well, logging is usually carried out to determine the temperature value at any given depth. This temperature measurement is not done thoroughly on the well. In fact, some are only measured at the bottom of the well (BHT). But with the development of technology, the temperature at each depth can be estimated, with the condition that the well is known for the surface temperature and Bore Hole Temperature (BHT).

One method for estimating the temperature is to determine the change in depth for each 10° C or 5° C rise in temperature. Subono et al (1995) and Dewanto et al (2001), developed the basic concept of geothermal flow to determine changes in depth at each 10° C temperature rise, so that the value of temperature at each depth in the well can be known.

METHOD

The data required are BHT (bore hole temperature), porosity, rock heat conductivity (K_B) , heat flow (Q), temperature gradient, stratigraphy, rock age.

Data Processing Method

In this research several work stages are carried out, namely.

First Stage, Determination of Lithology, Age and Porosity

The first stage, determine the lithology in each formation of the well and determine the age and sedimentation time of the lithology. Then determine the value of porosity, as a reference base for doing work in the next stage.

Second stage, Calculation of Rock Thermal Conductivity

Rock heat conductivity can be determined by measurement and calculation. Calculation of rockheat conductivity, determined using the following equation:

$$K_B = K_f^{\phi} \times K_s^{(1-\phi)}$$

Where, K_B = heat conductivity of the rock

 $K_{\rm f}$ = heat conductivity of the liquid fraction

 K_s = heat conductivity of solid fractions porosity

The value of the heat conductivity of the formation (K_F) is determined by calculation based on the value of heat conductivity of rocks and thickness in the formation.

$$K_F = \left[\left(\frac{d_{B1}}{K_{B1}} + \frac{d_{B2}}{K_{B2}} + \dots \right) \times \frac{l}{d_{B1} + d_{B2} + \dots} \right]^{-l}$$

Where, K_F = heat conductivity of the formation $(10^{-3} \text{ cgs})d_{B1}$ = the thickness of lithology-1 (m); d_{B2} = the thickness of lithology-2 (m) d_{B1} + d_{B2} = formation thickness (m) K_{B1} = heat conductivity of lithology-1 $(10^{-3} \text{ cgs})K_{B2}$ = heat conductivity of lithology-2 (10^{-3} cgs) and so on adjusted to the type of lithology. Meanwhile, to calculate the value of heat conductivity wells are used the following formula:

$$K_{SM} = \left[\left(\frac{d_{FA}}{K_{FA}} + \frac{d_{FB}}{K_{FB}} + \dots + \frac{d_{FN}}{K_{FN}} \right) \times \frac{l}{DA} \right]^{-1}$$

Where, K_{SM} = heat conductivity calculated from the deepest well up to the surface (10⁻³ cgs)d_{FA},d_{FB},d_{FN} = formation thickness A, B s/d N (m or cm) DA = total depth

Third stage, Calculating Temperature Gradients

Temperature gradients are determined according to the equation: $GT = \frac{BHTc - Tp}{DA} \times 100$

Where, $GT = temperature gradient (^{O}C/100m) BHTc = temperature corrected at DA (^{O}C) Tp = average temperature on the surfaceDA = total depth (m) In this case the temperature gradient of each formation is also calculated, using the following equation:$

$$GT_F = \frac{Q}{K_F}$$

(Subono, S. dan Siswoyo, 1995)

Where, GT_F = formation temperature gradient (^OC/100m)Q = geothermal flow, ($\Box 10^{-6}$ cal cm⁻²s⁻¹)

 K_F = heat conductivity of the formation (10⁻³ cal cm⁻¹ det^{-1 O}C⁻¹)

Fourth stage, Determination of Heat Flow

After obtaining the value of heat conductivity and temperature gradient as mentioned above, then determine the value of heat flow (heat flow) at the well. Calculated using the formula according to the equation as follows:

$$Q = K \frac{dT}{dZ}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \quad Q = (K_f^{\phi} \times K_s^{(1-\phi)}) \times GT \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad Q = K_F \times GT$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \quad Q = \left[\left(\frac{d_{FA}}{K_{FA}} + \frac{d_{FB}}{K_{FB}} + \dots + \frac{d_{FN}}{K_{FN}} \right) \times \frac{1}{DA} \right]^{-1} \times GT$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \quad Q = K_{SM} \times GT$$

where, Q = geothermal flow, HFU or μ cal/cm²s, or mW/m^2 K_{SM} = heat conductivity, 10⁻³ cal cm⁻¹ dt⁻¹ °C⁻¹ or W/m°C dT/dZ = temperature gradient, °C/100m (GT) 1 HFU = 10⁻⁶ kal cm⁻² dt⁻¹

The method for estimating the temperature is to determine the change in depth for each 10° C or 5° C rise in temperature. Determination of temperature in well A, the temperature value will be determined by calculation. By knowing the change in depth at every 10° C temperature rise, the value of the temperatur can be estimated. Basic formula used:where, Z : depth change (m)

$$\Delta Z = \frac{10^{O} C}{GT_{KF}} \implies GT_{KF} = \frac{Q(t)}{K_{KF}}$$

 GT_{KF} : temperature gradient of the formation group (^OC/100m)K_{KF}: heat conductivity of rock formation groups (mks)

By doing calculations to determine the change in depth of each 10° C temperature rise, a model of the value of each depth will be obtained.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this study using the thermal method. Well A has a total depth of 6443.4 ft (1963.9 m) located in the Central Sumatra Basin. The data needed for data processing in this study are stratigraphic data and rock heat conductivity data (Table 1), temperature gradient data and BHT (Table 2), and porosity data (log data). Heat Flow is the flow of heat that comes from inside the earth to flow to the surface through a space / rock material. Because the nature and density or compactness of rocks differ, the value of heat flow (Q) for each well in an area also varies besides that there must be the same. The difference in the value of heat flow, in addition to the difference in temperature gradient is also influenced by the conductivity of rock heat (K_B) on the rock. Rock heat conductivity can be determined from measurements of rock samples (cores) directly in the laboratory. Moreover, it can also be determined by calculation based on the value of the porosity of the rock. Researchers determine the value of rock heat conductivity (K_B) by direct measurement.

		AGE			ROCK
FORMATI	ON	(million	GY	DEPTH (m)	CONDUCTIVITY
		years)	01		$(cal/cm dt ^{O}C)$
		0	sand	0 - 55	4.25
MINAS		16	shale	55 - 90	4.00
MIINAS		1.0	sand	90 - 130	4.25
		1.0	shale	130 - 170	4.00
PETANI		<i>3</i> 11	shale	170 - 284	4.26
		1/2	shale	284 - 678	4.41
IELISA		14 5	sand	678 - 698	4.99
Unper		171	sand	698 - 738	5.32
	Opper	171	shale	738 - 778	4.64
SIHAPAS				778 - 803	5.52
			condehalo	803 - 828	4.87
		10	sandshale	828 - 853	5.52
	Lower Pmt. SS	18 3 21	sand shale	853 - 883	4.87
			sand shale	883 - 908	5.52
			sand	908 - 933	4.87
				933 - 969	5.52
				969 - 1009	6.36
				1009 - 1029	5.81
				1029 - 1069	6.38
		22.5	sandshale	1069 - 1089	5.81
			sandshale	1089 - 1131	6.63
			sandshale	1131 - 1151	5.81
			sandshale	1151 - 1211	6.65
			sandshale	1211 - 1231	6.00
PEMATAN			sand	1231 - 1291	7.55
GGROUP		67	shalesand	1291 - 1311	6.00
		0.7		1311 - 1391	7.97
				1391 - 1411	6.00
				1411 - 1457	8.40
	Pmt. MS	29.2 4.2	shale	1457 - 1719	6.81
l	BRSH	33.4 1.3	coal	1719 - 1780	6.80
		247	ale al a a a e el	1780 - 1815	6.81
	LP	54./ 1.2	snalesand	1815 - 1840	8.83
		1.5	snale	1840 - 1880	7.17
BASEMEN	Г	36	Ouarzt	1880 - 1964	7.59

Table 1: Stratigraphic Data and Heat Conductivity of Well Rocks A.

Table 2: Well BHT Data and Temperature Gradient A.

	Depth	Depth	Depth	Temp	perature Tempera		e Gradient
A WELL	(ft)	(m)	(cm)	$(^{\mathbf{O}}\mathbf{F})$	(⁰ C)	(⁰ C/100cm)	$(^{O}C/100m)$
Total Depth	6443.4	1963.9	196385.2	-	-		
BHT	6456.0	1967.7	196769.3	244.00	117.78	0.0464	1 61
Surface				80.00	26.67	0.0404	4.04
Temperature	-	-	-	80.00	20.07		

After obtaining the value of KB then determine the value of heat conductivity (KF). To calculate the heat conductivity of the formation (KF), data on the thickness of the formation, the type and thickness of the rock in the formation are needed. From the calculation results, the heat conductivity value of the formation in well A is shown in Table 3. Furthermore, determining the value of the heat conductivity of the well (KSM), from the calculation results obtained the heat conductivity value of well A (KSM) is 5.4910^{-3} cgs.

FORMATION	K-FORMATION (cal cm ⁻¹ dt ^{-1 O} C ⁻¹) K _B measurement in Well A
Minas	4.14 (0-170 m)
Petani	4.26 (170-284 m)
Telisa	4.43 (284-698 m)
Sihapas-Upper	4.96 (698-778 m)
Sihapas-Lower	5.23 (778-969 m)
Pematang SS	6.81 (969-1457 m)
Pematang MS	6.81 (1457-1719 m)
BRSH	6.80 (1719-1780 m)
LP	7.38 (1780-1880 m)
Basement	7.59 (1880-1964 m)

Table 3: Results of Formation Heat Conduct Calculation, Well A.

For the value of heat flow, can be obtained by looking at the value gradient temperature (GT) and K-*Well* determination of well temperature gradients, calculated using the equation:

 $\frac{dT}{dZ} = \frac{(Tf - Tm)}{D} \times 100 \quad \text{(Dresser Atlas, 1982)}$

The temperature gradient value for well A is $4.64 \text{ }^{O}\text{C} / 100\text{m}$. While the value of geothermal flow (Q)can be determined using the equation:

$$Q = K_{SM} \frac{dT}{dZ}$$
 (Gretener, 1982)

Table 4: Calculation Results	of K-Well, G	T-Well, Heat	Flow in Y	Wells A-1	and B-1
Based on KBmeasurements.					

Well	<i>K</i> -Well	<i>GT</i> -Well	HEAT FLOW	HEAT FLOW
	(cal cm ⁻¹ dt ^{-1 0} C ⁻¹)	(⁰ C/100cm)	(HFU)	(<i>m</i> W/m ²)
А	$5.491348 \square 10^{-3}$	0.046	2.75	110

The result of heat flow (Q) calculation for well A is 110 mW / m2. The values of GT-Well, K-Well, and Q of well A are shown in Table 4. After the value of heat flow in well A is obtained, the heat conductivity value of the formation group is calculated. Then

determine the value gradient for the formation group temperature, using the equation:

$$GT_{KF} = \frac{Q}{K_{KF}}$$
 (Subono dan Siswoyo, 1995)

Furthermore, determine the change in depth ($\Box Z$) for each temperature increase of 10^o C (10OC / Z). The results of the K_{KF}, GT_{KF} and $\Box Z$ calculations are shown in Table 5. Next step is the determination of the depth change ($\Box Z$) for each temperature increase of 10^o C (10OC / Z). The results of the K_{KF}, GT_{KF} and $\Box Z$ calculations are shown in Table 5

Table 5: Calculation results of K_{KF} , GT_{KF} , and depth change (dZ) in well A based on K_B measurements.

Formation	Thickness of Formation Group (cm)	Heat Conductivity ofFormation Group (cal/cm dt °C)	Temperature Gradient of Formation Group (°C/100m)	dT = 10 °C dZ = m
MinasPetani Telisa Sihapas Upper Sihapas Lower	96900	4.53	6.06	165
Pematang SS Pematang MS Pematang BRSH Pematang LP	91100	6.87	4.00	250
Basement	8400	7.59	3.62	276



Figure 1: Changes in depth for each 10^oC temperature rise, in well A.

The depth of well A is 1964 m, with a surface temperature of 30° C. From the data processing it is produced that 5x the same depth change every 10° C temperature increase is 165 m. Then 4x the same depth change every 10° C temperature increase is 250 m, and 1x the same depth change every 10° C temperature increase is 276 m. Then a depth change model is made for every 10° C temperature increase in well A, which is shown in Figure 1. Seen in Figure 1, the surface temperature is 30° C and the temperature is 130° C at a depth of 2100 m, while the Bore Hole Temperature (BHT) is 117.78° Cat a depth of 1967.7 m. So if we compare the results of the BHT measurement from the log method with the results of the calculation model of the depth change of each 100C temperature rise, the results are close to similarity. BHT log measurement results (1967.7m = 117.78° C), the model changes depth every 10° C (2100m = 130° C).

CONCLUSION

From the data processing it is produced that 5x the same depth change every 10° C temperature increase is 165 m. Then 4x the same depth change every 10° C temperature increase is 250 m, and 1x the same depth change every 10° C temperature increase is 276 m. The depth of well A is 1964 m, with a surface temperature of 30° C. So the temperature value from a depth of 165 m to a depth of 2100 m is 40° C to 130° C. Then a depth change model is made for every 10° C temperature increase in well.

REFERENCES

- 1. Dewanto, O. Estimated Level of Hydrocarbon Maturation Using the Heat Method. Universitas Indonesia. Jakarta, 2001.
- Dewanto, O., Mulyatno, B.S., Rizky, S., Alimuddin. Petrophysical Properties Analysis of Reservoir Rock Using Core Analysis and Interpretation Log Data Method To Estimated The Water Saturation (Sw) at OD-1 and OD-2 Wells in The X-Area. *Proceeding PIT HAGI 41*. Bandar Lampung. ISBN: 978-79-98933-9-0, 2016.
- Dewanto, O., Mulyatno, B.S., Rustadi, Wibowo, R.C. Determining the Temperature of Shale Material Conversion Into Crude Oil Based on Organic Clay and Organic Carbonate Test Outside Reservoir. *International Journal of Mechanical & Mechatronics Engineering IJMME-IJENS.*, 2017; 17(05): 84-89.
- Dewanto, O., Rizky, S., Mulyanto, B.S., and Rustadi. The Maturity Estimation of Material Organic in CaCO3 with Determining Tmax and Energy Activation Using Pyrolysis Method. *Journal of Computational and Theoretical Nanoscience*, 2019; 16:

1–12.

- Dresser Atlas, Dresser Industries Inc. Well Logging and Interpretation Techniques. The CourseFor Home Study, 1982; 22-32, 39-94, 102-129, 165-178.
- Gretener, P.E. *Geothermics: Using Temperature in Hydrocarbone Exploration*. Short Course San Francisco Annual Meeting. The American Association of Petroleum Geologists Tulsa, Oklahoma, USA, 1981; 1-67.
- Hanun, K., Dewanto, O., Mulyatno, B.S., Wibowo, R.C., Priyanka, F. Estimated Formation Temperature On Oil Well Using Log and Thermal Method in 'H' Region, Central Sumatra. *Proceeding PIT HAGI 41*. Bandar Lampung. ISBN: 978-79-98933-9-0, 2016.
- 8. Klemme, H.D. Heat Influences size of oil giants-geothermal gradients. *The Oil and Gas J.*, 1972; 17: 136-144: 24: 76-78.
- Kutasov, I.M., and Eppelbaum, L.V. Wellbore and Formation Temperatures During Drilling, Cementing of Casing and Shut-in. Proceedings World Geothermal Congress, 2015.
- Maulina. Identification of Prospect Zone of Oil and Gas Reservoir and Biogenic Gas Using Log, Petrophysical and Geochemical Data at MN Basin in Nias Basin, North Sumatra. *Skripsi*. Teknik Geofisika Fakultas Teknik. Universitas Lampung, 2019.
- 11. Mulyanto, B.S., Dewanto, O., Rizky, S. Determining Layer Oil Shale as New Alternative Energy Sources Using Core Analysis and Well Log Method. *International Journal of Engineering & Technology*, 2018; 7(4): 36: 941-949.
- 12. Mulyanto, B.S., Dewanto, O., Rizky, S. Determining Layer Oil Shale as New Alternative Energy Sources Using Core Analysis and Well Log Method. *International Journal of Engineering & Technology*, 2018; 7(4): 36: 941-949.
- Nopiyanti, T. Characterization of Oil and Gas Reservoirs and Source Rock Using Log Data and Geochemical Data in the Kangean Block Area. *Skripsi*. Teknik Geofisika Fakultas Teknik. Universitas Lampung, 2019.
- 14. Siswoyo and Subono, S. Heat Flow, Hydrocarbon Maturity and Migration in Northwest Java. *CCOP Technical Bulletin*, 1995; 25: 23-36.
- Subono, S. and Siswoyo. Thermal Studies of Indonesian Oil Basin. CCOP Technical Bulletin, 1995; 25: 37 to 54.
- Ushie, F.A. Formation Water Resistivity (Rw) Determination: The Sp Method. J. Appl. Sci. Environ. Mgt, 2001; 5(1): 25-28.
- 17. Zhang, X., Guo, Q., Li, J., Liu, M., Wang, Y. Yijun YangEstimation of reservoir

temperature using silica and cationic solutes geothermometers: a case study in the Tengchong geothermal area. *Chin. J. Geochem*, 2015; 34(2): 233–240.