

Gigih Forda Nama <gigih@eng.unila.ac.id>

[ICSITech 2017] Your paper #1570360899 ('An Enterprise Architecture Planning for Higher Education Using The Open Group Architecture Framework (TOGAF): Case Study University of Lampung')

1 message

info@icsitech.org <info@icsitech.org> To: Gigih Forda Nama <gigih@eng.unila.ac.id> Mon, Jul 17, 2017 at 7:43 AM

Dear Mr. Gigih Nama:

Your paper #1570360899 ('An Enterprise Architecture Planning for Higher Education Using The Open Group Architecture Framework (TOGAF): Case Study University of Lampung') has been accepted, but requires major revisions and will be presented in the ICSITech 2017.

The reviews are below or can be found at https://edas.info/showPaper.php?m=1570360899.

For Revision/final paper submission of accepted papers, please do the following steps:

1. Ensuring the paper in A4 paper size conform to standard of IEEE Manuscript Templates for Conference Proceedings (http://www.ieee.org/conferences_events/conferences/publishing/templates.html).

2. Validated and Converted your paper in PDF format to IEEE Xplore®-compatible PDFs through PDF Express (http://www.pdf-express.org/) with conference ID 40947XP

3. Submit your papers in IEEE Xplore®-compatible PDFs to ICSITech 2017 through EDAS.

4. Fill out who is presenter for the paper title and electronic IEEE Copyright Form (eCF) through EDAS.

Send your revision no later than July 27, 2017 through your EDAS account, for further evaluation to be fully accepted in our conference.

After submitting your final paper, we will review the conformance to the IEEE Manuscript Templates for Conference Proceedings. One more thing, do not forget, please register yourself as a speaker through the conference website: http://icsitech.org/2017/Registration.

Best Regards

The Technical program chairs

====== ICSITech review form 1 1 =======

> *** Relevance to the conference: Relevance to the conference Good (3)

> *** Structure of the paper: Structure of the paper Fair (2)

> *** Standard of English: Standard of English Fair (2)

> *** Appropriateness of abstract as a description of the paper: Appropriateness of abstract as a description of the paper Fair (2)

> *** Use and number of keywords/key phrases: Use and number of keywords/key phrases

11/14/22, 7:35 PM

unila.ac.id Mail - [ICSITech 2017] Your paper #1570360899 ('An Enterprise Architecture Planning for Higher Education Using Th...

Good (3)

*** Relevance and clarity of drawings, graphs and tables: Relevance and clarity of drawings, graphs and tables Fair (2)

> *** Appropriateness of the research/study method: Appropriateness of the research/study method Fair (2)

> *** Discussion and conclusions: Discussion and conclusions Fair (2)

> *** Reference list, adequate and correctly cited: Reference list, adequate and correctly cited Fair (2)

> *** Comments: Explanations for the above ratings and other general comments to the authors

This paper: n Enterprise Architecture Planning for Higher Education Using The Open Group Architecture Framework (TOGAF): Case Study University of Lampung. It is suitable to the conference. All Table and Figures are poorly labeled and small to read. All discussion must relate to previous studies (supported by references) and theories used in this study. The article does not comply with the IEEE format in any aspect, it must be fully corrected.

====== ICSITech review_form_2 2 ======

> *** Significance: - How important is the work reported? Does it attack an important/difficult problem (as opposed to a peripheral/simple one)? - Does the approach offered advance the state of the art?

- Does it involve or synthesize ideas, methods, approaches from multiple disciplines?

- Does it have interesting implications for multiple disciplines?*

Good (3)

> *** Originality: - Is this a new issue? Is this a novel approach to an issue?

- Is this a novel combination of familiar ideas/techniques/methods/approaches?
- Does the paper point out differences from related research?
- Does the paper properly situate itself with respect to previous work?

Fair (2)

*** Quality: - Is the paper technically sound? How are its claims backed up?
Does it carefully evaluate the strengths and limitations of its contribution?
Fair (2)

*** Clarity: - Is the paper clearly written? Does it motivate the research? Does it describe clearly the methods employed (e.g., experimental procedures, algorithms, analytical tools), if any?
- Are the results, if any, described and evaluated thoroughly?

- Is the paper organized in a sensible and logical fashion? Fair (2)

> *** Relevance: - Is the paper closely related to the theme of the conference (broadly conceived)?

- Is the content interesting enough to a broad audience?

- Is the paper readable in a multi-disciplinary context? Good (3)

> *** Comments: Comments to the authors

This information system focuses is in university business process. Despite supported by many literature, it is lack explanation on technological aspects. To make the paper stronger, the author should give more explanation of figure 4, I thought this is the essence of this paper. The writer also can reduce the theoretical explanation in the first two section