PAPER NAME AUTHOR

# On X-sub-linearly independent modules.p Fitriani Fitriani df

WORD COUNT CHARACTER COUNT

2662 Words 12507 Characters

PAGE COUNT FILE SIZE

7 Pages 354.1KB

SUBMISSION DATE REPORT DATE

Aug 19, 2022 12:26 PM GMT+7 Aug 19, 2022 12:27 PM GMT+7

# 15% Overall Similarity

The combined total of all matches, including overlapping sources, for each database.

- 9% Internet database
- Crossref database
- 9% Submitted Works database

- 9% Publications database
- Crossref Posted Content database

# Excluded from Similarity Report

- · Bibliographic material
- · Cited material
- Manually excluded sources

- Ouoted material
- Small Matches (Less then 10 words)
- Manually excluded text blocks

#### **PAPER • OPEN ACCESS**

# On X-sub-linearly independent modules

To cite this article: Fitriani et al 2017 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 893 012008

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

### Related content

- ON THE HOMOTOPY AND HOMOLOGY REALIZATION OF EXACT SEQUENCES OF GROUPS A V Šokurov
- Universal enveloping crossed module of Leibniz crossed modules and

representations
Rafael F. Casado, Xabier García-Martínez and Manuel Ladra

- TRANSCENDENCE OF ANALYTIC PARAMETERS OF RATIONAL ELLIPTIC MODULES N V Dubovitskaya

doi:10.1088/1742-6596/893/1/012008

# On X-sub-linearly independent modules

### Fitriani<sup>1,2</sup>, B Surodjo<sup>1</sup>, and I E Wijayanti<sup>1</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Universitas Gadjah Mada
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, Universitas Lampung

E-mail: fitriani27@mail.ugm.ac.id, {surodjo\_b, ind\_wijayanti}@ugm.ac.id

**Abstract.** The notion of X-sub-exact sequence of modules is a generalization of exact sequences. Let K, L, M be R-modules and X a submodule of L. The triple (K, L, M) is said to be X-sub-exact at L if  $K \to X \to M$  is exact at X. The exact sequence is a special case of X-sub-exact by taking X = L. We introduce an X-sub-linearly independent module which is a generalization of linearly independent relative to an R-module M by using the concept of X-sub-exact sequence.

#### 4. Introduction

Let R be a ring and let M be an R-module, A subset  $S \subseteq M$  is R-linearly dependent if there exist distinct  $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$  in S and elements  $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n$  of R, not all of which are 0, such that  $a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + ... + a_nx_n = 0$ . A set that is not R-linearly dependent is said to be R-linearly independent [1]. Let N be a left R-module, then N is said linearly independent to R (or N is R-linearly independent) if there exists a monomorphism  $\varphi: R^{(\Lambda)} \to N$  [5].

Suprapto [6] introduced a generalization of linearly independency relative to an R-module M as follows: Let M be an R-module. The samily of R-modules  $\mathcal{N} = \{N_{\lambda}\}_{\Lambda}$  is said to be linearly independent to M if there exist a monomorphism  $f: \coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda} \to M$ . If  $\{N_{\lambda} = N\}_{\Lambda}$ , then  $f: N^{(\Lambda)} \to M$ . We can say that  $\mathcal{N} = \{N_{\lambda}\}_{\Lambda}$  is linearly independent to M if the sequence  $0 \to \coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda} \xrightarrow{f} M$  is exact at  $\coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda}$ .

Let R be a ring and let  $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C$  be an exact sequence of R-modules, i.e.  $Im \ f = Ker \ g (= g^{-1}(\{0\}))$ . We can generalize the submodule  $\{0\}$  to any submodule  $U \subseteq C$  as we refer to in which Davvaz and Parnian-Garamaleky introduced the concept of quasi-exact sequences.

gequence of R-modules and R-homomorphisms  $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C$  is quasi-exact in B or U-exact in B if there exists a submodule U in C such that  $Im\ f = g^{-1}(U)$ .

Then, Anvariyeh dan Davvaz [7] proved further results about quasi-exact sequences and introduced generalization of Schanuel Lemma. Moreover, they obtained some relationships between quasi-exact sequences and superfluous (or essential) submodules.

Furthermore, Davvaz and Shabani-Solt introduced a generalization of some notions in homological algebra [3]. hey gave a generalization of the Lambek Lemma, Snake Lemma, connecting homomorphism and exact triangle and they established new basic properties of the *U*-homological algebra. In [8], Anvariyeh and Davvaz studied *U*-split sequences and established several connections between *U*-split sequences and projective modules.

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.

doi:10.1088/1742-6596/893/1/012008

Let K, L, M be R-modules and X a submodule of L. The triple (K, L, M) is said to be an X-sub-exact at L if

$$K \to X \to M$$

is exact, i.e.  $Im\ f=Ker\ g$ . The exact sequence is a special case of X-sub-exact by taking  $X=L\ [4].$ 

In this paper, we introduce an X-sub-linearly independent module which is a generalization of linearly independent relative to an R-module M by using the concept of X-sub-exact sequence.

Let M be an R-module. The family of R-modules  $\mathcal{N} = \{N_{\lambda}\}_{\Lambda}$  is said to be X-sub-linearly independent to M if the triple  $(0, \coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda}, M)$  is X-sub-exact (where X is a submodule of  $\coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda}$ ). Then, we collect all submodules X of  $\coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda}$  such that  $\mathcal{N}$  is X-sub-linearly independent to M, we denote it by  $\sigma(0, \coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda}, M)$ . In this paper, we give some basic properties of X-sub-linearly independent modules an  $(0, \coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda}, M)$ . We will show that  $\sigma(0, \coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda}, M)$  is closed under submodules and intersections. Furthermore,  $\sigma(0, \coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda}, M)$  always has a maximal element, for every family of R-modules  $\mathcal{N}$  and R-module M. In other words, for every family of R-modules  $\mathcal{N} = \{N_{\lambda}\}_{\Lambda}$  and R-module M, there exist a submodule X maximal such that  $\mathcal{N}$  is an X-sublinearly independent.

#### 2. Main Results

As generalization of linearly independent relative to an R-module M, we define X-sub-linearly independent by using the concept of X-sub-exact sequence as follows:

**Pefinition 2.1** Let M be an R-module. The family of R-modules  $\mathcal{N} = \{N_{\lambda}\}_{\Lambda}$  is said to be X-sublinearly independent to M if the triple  $(0, \coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda}, M)$  is X-sub-exact (where X is a submodule of  $\coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda}$ ), i.e. the sequence

$$0 \to X \to M$$

 $is\ exact.$ 

**Example 2.1** Let  $\mathcal{N} = \{\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_5\}$  the family of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules and let  $\mathbb{Z}_6$  be  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module. We define  $f: \mathbb{Z}_2 \to \mathbb{Z}_6$ , where f(0) = 0 and f(1) = 3. So, f is a monomorphism. Hence, the sequence

$$0 \to \mathbb{Z}_2 \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{Z}_6$$

is exact. Therefore, the triple  $(0, \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_5, \mathbb{Z}_6)$  is  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -sub-exact. So,  $\mathcal{N}$  is  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -sub-linearly independent to  $\mathbb{Z}_6$ .

Assume f is a monomorphism from  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_5$  to  $\mathbb{Z}_6$ . Then,

$$0 = f(0,0) = f(5(0,1)) = 5f(0,1).$$

We get f(0,1) = f(0,0) = 0, a contradiction. So, we can not define a monomorphism from  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_5$  to  $\mathbb{Z}_6$ . Hence  $\mathcal{N}$  is not linearly independent to  $\mathbb{Z}_6$ .

Example 2.1 shows that if the family R-modules N is an X-sub-linearly independent to an R-module M, for some submodule X of  $\coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda}$ ,  $N_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{N}$ , for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , then N is not necessary linearly independent to M.

We already know that any set that containing 0 is linearly dependent since 1.0 = 0. In the following Proposition, we want to show that the family R-modules N is 0-sub-linearly independent to M, for any R-module M.

doi:10.1088/1742-6596/893/1/012008

**Proposition** <sup>18</sup>.1 Let  $\mathcal{N} = \{N_{\lambda}\}_{\Lambda}$  be a family of R-modules. Then  $\mathcal{N}$  is 0-sub-linearly independent to M, for any R-module M.

**Proof.** Since the sequence  $0 \to 0 \to M$  is exact, the triple  $(0, \coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda}, M)$  is 0-sub-exact at  $\coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda}$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{N}$  is 0-sub-linearly independent to M.

In fact, we can define a monomorphism from R-module M to itself. So, Any R-module M is M-sub-linearly independent relative to M. We already know that any subset of a linearly independent set is linearly independent. In the following proposition, we will prove that M-sub-linearly independent to M, for every submodule X of M.

**Proposition 2.2** For any R-module M, M-s X-sub-linearly independent to M, for every sub-module X of M.

**Proof.** Bet M be an R-module and let X be a submodule of M. We have the inclusion  $i: X \to M$  such that the sequence  $0 \to X \xrightarrow{i} M$  is exact. Hence, the triple (0, M, M) is X-sub-exact. Therefore M is X-sub-linearly independent to M.

Then, we will give some properties f X-sub-linearly independent relative to an R-module M.

Clearly, we can define a monomorphism from  $N_{\lambda}$  to  $\coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda}$ . So, we have the following proposition:

**Proposition 2.3** Let  $\mathcal{N} = \{N_{\lambda}\}_{\Lambda}$  be a family of R-modules. Then  $\mathcal{N}$  is  $N_{\lambda}$ -sub-linearly independent to  $\prod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda}$ , for every  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .

**Proof.** For every  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , we have the inclusion  $i: N_{\lambda} \to \coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda}$  such that the sequence  $0 \to N_{\lambda} \xrightarrow{i} \coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda}$  is exact. Therefore, the triple  $(0, \coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda}, \coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda})$  is  $N_{\lambda}$ -sub-exact at  $\coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda}$ . So,  $\mathcal{N}$  is  $N_{\lambda}$ -sub-linearly independent to  $\coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda}$ , for every  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .

Since for any submodule X of  $N_{\lambda}$ , we can define a monomorphism from X to  $\coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda}$ , we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.4** Let  $\mathcal{N} = \{N_{\lambda}\}_{\Lambda}^{12}$  be a family of R-modules. Then, for every  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ,  $\mathcal{N}$  is X-sub-linearly independent to  $N_{\lambda}$  for any submodule X of  $N_{\lambda}$ .

**Proof.** Let X be a submodule of  $N_{\lambda} \subset \coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda}$ . We have the inclusion  $i: X \to N_{\lambda}$  such that the sequence  $0 \to X \to N_{\lambda}$  is exact. This implies the triple  $(0, \coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda}, N_{\lambda})$  is X-sub-exact sequence at  $\coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{N}$  is X-sublinearly independent to  $N_{\lambda}$ .

Let K, L, M be R-modules. We define

$$o(X, L, M) = \{X \le L | (K, L, M) \text{ X-sub-exact at } L\}.$$

Then  $\sigma(K, L, M) \neq \emptyset$  since  $0 \in \sigma(K, L, M)$ .

doi:10.1088/1742-6596/893/1/012008

Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be a family of R-modules. If we take K = 0,  $L = \coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda}$  and K = M, then

$$\sigma(0, 0, M) = \{X \le L | (0, L, M) \text{ is } X\text{-sub-exact at } L\}$$
$$= \{X \le L | \mathcal{N} \text{ is } X\text{-sublinearly independent to } M\}.$$

We recall the properties of  $\sigma(0, L, M)$  as follows:

**Proposition 2.5** [4] Let L, M be two R-modules and  $X_{\lambda}$  be a submodule of L, for every  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . If  $X_{\lambda} \in \sigma(0, L, M)$ , for every  $\lambda \in \lambda$ , then  $\cap_{\Lambda} X_{\lambda} \in \sigma(0, L, M)$ .

In the following Proposition, we will prove that  $\sigma(0, \coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda}, M)$  is closed under intersections, i.e. if  $X_i \in \sigma(0, \coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda}, M)$ , for every  $i \in I$ , then  $\cap_{i \in I} X_i \in \sigma(0, \coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda}, M)$ .

**Proof.** Since  $\mathcal{N}_{-i}^{\bullet}$   $X_i$ -sub-linearly independent to M, for every  $i \in I$ , then the triple  $(0, \coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda}, M)$  is  $X_i$ -sub-exact. Therefore,  $X_i \in \sigma(0, \coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda}, M)$ , for every  $i \in I$ . By Proposition 2.5, we get  $\bigcap_{i \in I} X_i \in \sigma(0, \coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda}, M)$ . So,  $\mathcal{N}$  is  $\bigcap_{i \in I} X_i$ -sub-linearly independent to M.  $\square$ 

Furthermore, in the following proposition, we want to show that  $\sigma(0, \coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda}, M)$  is closed under submodules.

**Proposition 2.7** Let  $\mathcal{N} = \{N_{\lambda}\}_{\Lambda}$  be a family of R-modules and M be an X-module. If  $\mathcal{N}$  is X-sub-linearly independent to M, then  $\mathcal{N}$  is X'-sub-linearly independent to M, for every sub-module X' of X. In other words,  $\sigma(0, \coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda}, M)$  is closed under submodules.

**Proof.** Since  $\mathcal{N}$  is X-sub-linearly independent to M, then there is a monomorphism  $f: X \to M$ . Let X' be a submodule of X. Then, we can define the inclusion  $i: X' \to X$ . So,  $f \circ i: X' \to M$  is a monomorphism. Hence,  $\mathcal{N}$  is X'-sub-linearly independent to M. Therefore, if  $X \in \sigma(0, \coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda}, M)$ , then  $X' \in \sigma(0, \coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda}, M)$ , for every submodule X' of X.

We already know that a basis for a free R-module F is a maximal linearly independent set in R-module F. So, we will investigate the maximal element of  $\sigma(0, \coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda}, M)$ , i.e the maximal subset X of  $\coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda}$  such that  $\mathcal{N}$  is X-sub-linearly independent to an R-module M. If there is a monomorphism  $f:\coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda} \to M$ , then  $\coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda}$  is the maximal element in  $\sigma(0, \coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda}, M)$ , i.e. for every  $X \in \sigma(0, \coprod_{\Lambda} 2, M)$ , if  $\coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda} \subseteq X$ , then  $\coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda} = X$ . But,  $\coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda}$  is not necessary belong to  $\sigma(0, \coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda}, M)$ .

The most important criterion for the existence of maximal elements in a partially ordered set is Zorn's lemma. We recall Zorn's lemma as follows:

**Proposition 2.8** (*Zorn's Lemma*)[1] Let X be a partially ordered set and assume that every chain in X has an upper bound. Then X has a maximal element.

doi:10.1088/1742-6596/893/1/012008

By using Zorn's lemma, we want to show that there exist a submodule X in  $\coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda}$  maximal such that  $\mathcal{N}$  is an X-sublinearly independent to M, for every family of R-modules  $\mathcal{N}$  and R-module M.

**Theorem 2.1** Let  $\mathcal{N} = \{N_{\lambda}\}_{\Lambda}^{11}$  e a family of R-modules and M be an R-module. Then there exist a submodule X in  $\coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda}$  maximal such that  $\mathcal{N}$  is an X-sublinearly independent to M. In other words,  $\sigma(0, \coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda}, M)$  always has a maximal element.

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{X} = \{X | X \subseteq \coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda} \text{ and } X \subseteq M\}$ . The set  $\mathcal{X}$  is not empty since  $0 \in \mathcal{X}$ . Let  $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a chain (totally ordered set) in  $\mathcal{X}$ . Let  $Y = \bigcup_{i \in I} X_i$ , where  $X_i \in \mathcal{X}$ , for all  $i \in I$ . As a set, Y certainly contains all the  $X_i$ 's. Since a union of submodules is not usually a submodule, we will show that Y is a submodule of  $\coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda}$ .

If x and y are in Y, then  $x \in X_i$  and  $y \in X_j$ , for two of the submodules  $X_i$  and  $X_j$  of  $\coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda}$ . Since the set of submodules  $\{X_i\}_i \in I$  is totally ordered,

$$X_i \subset X_j$$
 or  $X_j \subset X_i$ .

Without loss of generality,  $X_i \subset X_j$ . Therefore x and y are in  $X_j$ , so  $x + y \in X_j \subset Y$  and  $rx \in X_j \subset Y$ , for every  $r \in R$ . We can conclude that  $Y = \bigcup_{i \in I} X_i$  is a submodule of  $\coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda}$ . Similarly, we obtain Y is a submodule of M.

Since Y contains every  $X_i$ , for all  $i \in I$ , Y is an upper bound on the totally ordered set  $\{X_i\}_{i\in I}$ . By Zorn's lemma,  $\mathcal{X}$  contains a maximal element. This maximal element is a submodule of  $\coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda}$  and M that is maximal for inclusion among all submodule of  $\coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda}$  and M. We can conclude that there exist a submodule X in  $\coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda}$  maximal such that  $\mathcal{N}$  is an X-sublinearly independent to M or  $\sigma(0, \coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda}, M)$  always has a maximal element.  $\square$ 

#### 3. Conclusion

The family of R-modules  $\mathcal{N} = \{N_{\lambda}\}_{\Lambda}$  is an X-sub-linearly independent to M if the triple  $(0, \coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda}, M)$  is X-sub-exact (where X is a submodule of  $\coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda}$ ). If we take  $X = \coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda}$ , then  $\mathcal{N} = \{N_{\lambda}\}_{\Lambda}$  is linearly independent. Hence, sub-linearly independent module is a generalization of linearly independent module.

of linearly independent module. Then, we collect all submodules X of  $\coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda}$  such that  $\mathcal{N}$  is X-sub-linearly independent to M, we denote it by  $\sigma(0,\coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda}, M)$ . We have proved that  $\sigma(0,\coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda}, M)$  is closed under submodules and intersections. Furthermore, for every family of R-modules  $\mathcal{N} = \{N_{\lambda}\}_{\Lambda}$  and R-module M, there exist X maximal such that  $\mathcal{N}$  is an X-sublinearly independent. In other words,  $\sigma(0,\coprod_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda}, M)$  always has a maximal element, for every family of R-modules  $\mathcal{N}$  and R-module M.

#### Acknowledgment

We would like to express deep gratitude to Professor Alexander Zimmermann for his contribution to this research work. We would also like to thank the Indonesian Mathematical Society (IndoMS) which support the first author to join the Asian Mathematical Conference (AMC) 2016.

#### References

- [1] Adkins W A and Weintraub S H 1992 Algebra: An Approach via Module Theory (New York: Springer-Verlag)
- [2] Davvaz B and Parnian-Garamaleky Y A 1999 A note on exact sequences Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. 22(1) p 53
- [3] Davvaz B and Shabani-Solt H 2001 A generalization of homological algebra J. Korean Math. Soc. 39(6) p 881
- [4] Fitriani, Surodjo B and Wijayanti I E 2016 on X-sub-exact sequences, Far East J. Math. Sci 100(7) p 1055
- [5] Maclane S and Birkhoff G 1979 Algebra (New York: Mac Millan Publishing Co)

doi:10.1088/1742-6596/893/1/012008

- [6] Suprapto 2015 Structure of Family of Linearly Independent Modules and Cohereditary Modules on a Category  $\tau[M]$  Dissertation Universitas Gadjah Mada
- [7] Anvariyeh S M and Davvaz B 2005 on quasi-exact sequences Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 42(1) p 149
- [8] Anvariyeh S M and Davvaz B 2002 U-split-exact sequences Far East J. Math. Sci. 4(2) p 209
- [9] Wisbauer R 1991 Foundation of Module and Ring Theory (USA: Gordon and Breach)

# 15% Overall Similarity

Top sources found in the following databases:

- 9% Internet database
- Crossref database
- 9% Submitted Works database

- 9% Publications database
- Crossref Posted Content database

### **TOP SOURCES**

The sources with the highest number of matches within the submission. Overlapping sources will not be displayed.

	inus University International on 2018-08-17	3%
	s.scribd.com ternet	2%
	ppscience.iop.org ternet	2%
	Villiam A. Adkins, Steven H. Weintraub. "Chapter 3 Modules and Vecto	1%
	sers.math.uni-potsdam.de ternet	<1%
•	asca.unila.ac.id ternet	<1%
	aul E. Bland. "Rings and Their Modules", Walter de Gruyter GmbH, 2011	<1%
	echKnowledge on 2013-11-18 ubmitted works	<1%

etd.repository.ugm.ac.id Internet	<19
Queen Mary and Westfield College on 2020-05-19 Submitted works	<19
Siegfried Bosch. "Algebraic Geometry and Commutative Algebra", Spri  Crossref	<1
"Artinian Modules over Group Rings", Springer Science and Business M	·<1'
Fanggui Wang, Hwankoo Kim. "Foundations of Commutative Rings and	<1
doaj.org Internet	<1'
"Lifting Modules", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2006  Crossref	<19
Fitriani Fitriani, Indah Emilia Wijayanti, Budi Surodjo. "Generalization of	·<1
N Hijriati, S Wahyuni, I E Wijayanti. "On representation of a ring on a fre	<19
University of Baghdad on 2015-01-24 Submitted works	<19

# Excluded from Similarity Report

- Bibliographic material
- Cited material
- Manually excluded sources

- Quoted material
- Small Matches (Less then 10 words)
- Manually excluded text blocks

**EXCLUDED SOURCES** 

Fitriani, B Surodjo, I E Wijayanti. "On -sub-linearly independent modules ", Jo... 59%

Binus University International on 2018-08-06

Submitted works

10%

Fitriani, I E Wijayanti, B Surodjo. " A Generalization of Basis and Free Modules  $\dots$ 

Crossref

5%

repository.lppm.unila.ac.id

Internet

2%

**EXCLUDED TEXT BLOCKS** 

The Asian Mathematical Conference 2016 (AMC 2016)IOP Conf. Series: Journal of...

Universitas Negeri Surabaya The State University of Surabaya on 2018-03-07

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Att...

pasca.unila.ac.id

Journal of Physics: Conference SeriesPAPER • OPEN ACCESSRelated content

cyberleninka.org

ON THE HOMOTOPY AND HOMOLOGYREALIZATION OF EXACT SEQUENCESOF G...

A V Šokurov. "ON THE HOMOTOPY AND HOMOLOGY REALIZATION OF EXACT SEQUENCES OF GROUPS", M...

# Universal enveloping crossed module of Leibniz crossed modules and representati...

china.iopscience.iop.org

Fitriani et al 2017 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 893 012008

iopscience.iop.org

## TRANSCENDENCE OF ANALYTICPARAMETERS OF RATIONAL ELLIPTICMODULES...

A.M. Inaam, K.I. Rasha. "COPRIME MODULES AND OTHER RELATED TOPICS", Journal of Physics: Conferen...