PAPER NAME	AUTHOR
Generalization of U-Generator and M-Sub	Fitriani Fitriani
generator Related to Categori sigma[M]	

WORD COUNT	CHARACTER COUNT
3845 Words	17246 Characters
PAGE COUNT	FILE SIZE
6 Pages	62 0KB
	02.0NB
	02.010
SUBMISSION DATE	REPORT DATE

• 21% Overall Similarity

The combined total of all matches, including overlapping sources, for each database.

- 17% Internet database
- Crossref database
- 10% Submitted Works database

• Excluded from Similarity Report

- Bibliographic material
- Cited material
- Manually excluded sources

- 11% Publications database
- Crossref Posted Content database
- Quoted material
- Small Matches (Less then 10 words)
- Manually excluded text blocks

Generalization of \mathcal{U} -Generator and M-Subgenerator Related to Category $\sigma[M]$

Fitriani^{1,2}, Indah Emilia Wijayanti¹ & Budi Surodjo¹

¹ Department of Mathematics, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

² Department of Mathematics, Universitas Lampung, Bandar Lampung, Indonesia

Correspondence: Fitriani, Department of Mathematics, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta, Indonesia.

Received: April 24, 2018 Accepted: May 9, 2018 Online Published: June 28, 2018

doi:10.5539/jmr.v10n4p101 URL: https://doi.org/10.5539/jmr.v10n4p101

Abstract

Let \mathcal{U} be a non-empty set of *R*-modules. *R*-podule *N* is generated by \mathcal{U} if there is an epimorphism from $\bigoplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda}$ to *N*, where $U_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{U}$, for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$. *R*-module \mathcal{U}_{V} is a subgenerator for *N* if *N* is isomorphic to a submodule of an *M*-generated module. In this paper, we introduce a \mathcal{U}_{V} -generator, where *V* be a submodule of $\bigoplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda}$, as a generalization of \mathcal{U} -generator by using the concept of *V*-coexact sequence. We also provide a \mathcal{U}_{V} -subgenerated modules related to category $\sigma[M]$. We also investigate the existence of pullback and pushout of a pair of morphisms of \mathcal{U}_{V} -subgenerated modules. We prove that the collection of \mathcal{U}_{V} -subgenerated modules is closed under submodules and factor modules.

Keywords: \mathcal{U} -generator, \mathcal{U}_V -generator, V-coexact sequences, M-subgenerator, \mathcal{U}_V -subgenerator

1. Introduction

concept of exact sequences of *R*-modules and *R*-module homomorphisms is a useful tool in the study of modules. A sequence $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$ is exact if $Imf = Kerg(=g^{-1}(0))$. Davvaz and Parnian-Garamaleky (1999) provide the generalization of exact sequences, i.e. quasi-exact sequences. They substitute the submodule {0} to any submodule *U* of *C*.

Then Anvariyeh dan Davvaz (2005) investigate further results about quasi-exact sequences. They also introduce the generalization of Schanuel's Lemma. Furthermore, Davvaz and ShabaniSolt (2002) give a generalization of some notions in homological algebra. In 2002, Anvariyeh and Davvaz provide U-split sequences. They also establish several connections between U-split sequences and projective modules.

Motivated by the definition of U-exact and V-coexact sequence, Fitriani et al. (2016) provide an X-sub exact sequence, which is a generalization of exact sequence. In 2017, they introduce X-sublinearly independent module by using the concept of X-sub exact sequence.

Let \mathcal{U} be a non-empty set of *R*-modules. An *R*-module *N* is generated by \mathcal{U} if there is an epimorphism from $\bigoplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda}$ to *N*, where $U_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{U}$, for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$. The trace of \mathcal{U} is defined by $Tr(\mathcal{U}, M) = \sum \{Imh|h : U \to M, \text{ for some } U \in \mathcal{U}\}$. If $\mathcal{U} = \{U\}$ is a singleton, then $Tr(U, M) = \sum \{Imh|h \in Hom_R(U, M)\}$. $Tr(\mathcal{U}, M)$ is the unique largest submodule $L \circ \mathcal{M}$ generated by \mathcal{U} (Wisbauer, 1991). Clearly, $Tr(\mathcal{U}, M) = M$ if and only if \mathcal{U} generates *M* (Anderson & Fuller, 1992). For an indexed set $(M_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in A}$ of modules and class of modules \mathcal{U} , the direct sum of the traces $Tr(\mathcal{U}, M)$ is contained in $\bigoplus_{A} M_{\alpha}$. The trace of *M* in an *R*-module *N* is the sum of all *M*-generated submodules of *N* (Clark et al., 2006).

Proposition 1 (Wisbauer, 1991) If $(M_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in A}$ is an indexed set of modules, then for each module M

$$Tr(\mathcal{U}, \oplus_A M_\alpha) = \oplus_A Tr(\mathcal{U}, M_\alpha).$$

Furthermore, an *M*-subgenerated module is defined as follows.

Definition 2 (Wisbauer, 1991) Let M be an R-module. We say that an R-module N is subgenerated by M, or that M is a subgenerator for N, if N is isomorphic to a submodule of an M-generated module.

A subcategory *C* of *R*-*MOD* is said to be subgenerated by *M*, or *M* is a subgenerator for *C*, if every object in *C* is subgenerated by *M*. Category $\sigma[M]$ is the full subcategory of R - MOD whose objects are all *R*-modules subgenerated by *M*. This category is a category closely connected to *M* and hence reflecting properties of *M*.

The properties of $\sigma[M]$ given by the following proposition:

Proposition 3 (Wisbauer, 1991) For an R-module M we have:

- $\frac{1}{2}$ or N in $\sigma[M]$, all factor modules and submodules of N belong to $\sigma[M]$, i.e. $\sigma[M]$ has kernels and cokernels.
- 2. The direct sum of a family of modules in $\sigma[M]$ belong to $\sigma[M]$ and is equal to the coproduct of these modules in $\sigma[M].$

3. Jullback and pushout of morphisms in $\sigma[M]$ belong to $\sigma[M]$.

As a generalization of exact sequence of *R*-modules, Anvanriveh and Davvaz (1999) defined *U*-exact sequences as follows:

A sequence of R-modules $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C$ if there exists a submodule U of C such that $Im f = g^{-1}(U)$. In this case, the sequence is said to be U-exact (at B). If f(V) = Ker g, where V is a submodule of A, then the sequence is said to be V-coexact.

2 et \mathcal{U} be a family of *R*-modules and *V* be a submodule of $\bigoplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda}$, where $U_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{U}$, for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$. The aim of this paper is to generalize the concept of \mathcal{U} -generator to a \mathcal{U}_V -generator, where V is a submodule of $\oplus_{\Delta} U_{\lambda}$. Furthermore, we provide a \mathcal{U}_V -subgenerator as a generalization of M-subgenerator. We also investigate the properties of \mathcal{U}_V -generated modules and \mathcal{U}_V -subgenerated modules related to the properties of the category $\sigma[M]$.

2. Results

2.1 \mathcal{U}_V -Generated Modules

Let \mathcal{U}_{2}^{2} a \mathcal{L}_{2}^{2} mily of *R*-modules. It is possible that an *R*-module *M* is not a \mathcal{U} -generated module, i.e. there no epimorphism from $\oplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda}$ of M, but we can define an epimorphism from a submodule $V \oplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda}$ to M. Therefore we can generalize the concept of a \mathcal{U} -generated module to a \mathcal{U}_V -generated module by using the definition of V-coexact sequence.

Definition \mathcal{L} et \mathcal{U} be a non-empty set of *R*-modules, *V* be a submodule of $\bigoplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda}$, where $U_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{U}$, for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$. say that an *R*-module *N* is generated by \mathcal{U}_V if there exists an epimorphism $V \to N \to 0$.

A set $\{U_{\lambda}\}_{\Lambda}$ is called \mathcal{U}_{V} -generator for N. Furthermore, the set $\{U_{\lambda}\}_{\Lambda}$ is called minimal \mathcal{U}_{V} -generator for N if

$$\Lambda = \min\{\Lambda_V | N \text{ is } \mathcal{U}_V - \text{generated}, V \subseteq \bigoplus_{\Lambda_V} U_\lambda\}.$$

If we take $V = \bigoplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda}$, then a \mathcal{U}_V -generated module is a \mathcal{U} -generated module. Clearly, every \mathcal{U} -generated module is \mathcal{U}_V -generated. But, a \mathcal{U}_V -generated module need not be a \mathcal{U} -generated. For example, if we take $\mathcal{U} = \{\mathbb{Q}\}$, then \mathbb{Z} module \mathbb{Z} is a $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ -generated module. But, we can not define an epimorphism from \mathbb{Q} to \mathbb{Z} and hence \mathbb{Z} -module \mathbb{Z} is not a \mathcal{U} -generated module.

Now, we give some examples of \mathcal{U}_V -generated modules. *Example 1*

- 1. Let \mathcal{U} be the set of all free *R*-modules and *P* be projective *R*-module. Since *P* is projective, $\stackrel{13}{}$ is a direct summand of a free module F. Hence P is \mathcal{U}_F -generated module.
- 2. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{\mathbb{Z}_p | p \text{ prime}\}$, a family of \mathbb{Z} -modules. \mathbb{Z} -module \mathbb{Z}_6 is a \mathcal{U}_V -generated, where $V = \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3$. In general, \mathbb{Z} -module \mathbb{Z}_{pq} is a \mathcal{U}_V -generated, where $V = \mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \mathbb{Z}_q$, p and q are relative prime.
- 3. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{\mathbb{Q}\}$. \mathbb{Z} -module \mathbb{Z}_n , $n \geq 2$, is \mathcal{U}_V -generated, where $V = \mathbb{Z}$.
- 4. Let *R* be a commutative ring with unit and $\mathcal{U} = \{U_{\lambda}\}_{\Lambda}$ be a family of *R*-modules, where $U_{\lambda} = Hom_{R}(R, M_{\lambda})$, for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

Based on Adkins & Weintraub (1992), we can define

$$\phi$$
: $Hom_R(R, M) \rightarrow M$,

where $\phi(f) := f(1)$. Then M_{λ} is $\mathcal{U}_{\underline{U}_{\lambda}}$ -generated.

5. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{\mathbb{Z}_n | n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ be a camily of \mathbb{Z} -modules. Let $M = \mathbb{Z}_4^{(\mathbb{N})}$ and $N = \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus M$ be \mathbb{Z} -modules. Then M is \mathcal{U}_N -generated and N is \mathcal{U}_M -generated.

If there exists a finite index set $E \subseteq \Lambda$ such that M is \mathcal{U}_V -generated and V is a submodule of $\bigoplus_E U_e$, then we define a finitely \mathcal{U}_V -generated module as follows:

Definition 5 Let \mathcal{U} be a non-empty set of *R*-modules and *N* be an *R*-module. If there exists a finite index set $E \subseteq \Lambda$ such that $V \subseteq \bigoplus_E U_e$ and *M* is \mathcal{U}_V -generated, then *R*-module *N* is said to be finitely \mathcal{U}_V -generated.

Example 2 Let $\mathcal{U} = \{\mathbb{Z}_p | p \text{ prime}\}$ be a family of \mathbb{Z} -modules. \mathbb{Z} -module \mathbb{Z}_{pq} is a finitely \mathcal{U}_V -generated, where $V = \mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \mathbb{Z}_q$, p and q are relative prime.

Then, we will give some basic properties of \mathcal{U}_V -generated modules. Let \mathcal{U} be a non-empty set of *R*-modules and *N* be an *R*-module. We define:

$$\mathcal{U}(N) = \{ V \subseteq \bigoplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda}, U_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{U} | N \text{ is } \mathcal{U}_{V} \text{-generated} \}.$$

In this set, we collect all submodules V of $\bigoplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda}$ such that N is a \mathcal{U}_V -generated module. In the following proposition, we prove that if $V_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{U}(N_{\lambda})$ for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$, then $\bigoplus_{\Lambda} V_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{U}(\bigoplus_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda})$.

Proposition 6 Let \mathcal{U} be a non-empty set of *R*-modules, V_{λ} be a submodule of $\bigoplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda}$, where $U_{\lambda} \in \Lambda$ for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$. If N_{λ} is $\mathcal{U}_{V_{\lambda}}$ -generated, for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$, then $\bigoplus_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda}$ is $\mathcal{U}_{\bigoplus_{\Lambda} V_{\lambda}}$ -generated.

Proof. Since N_{λ} is $\mathcal{U}_{V_{\lambda}}$ -generated, for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$, the sequences $V_{\lambda} \to N_{\lambda} \to 0$ is exact for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Therefore, the sequence

$$\oplus_{\Lambda} V_{\lambda} \to \oplus_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda} \to 0$$

is exact. Hence, $\bigoplus_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda}$ is $\mathcal{U}_{\bigoplus_{\Lambda} V_{\lambda}}$ -generated. So, we can say that if $V_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{U}(N_{\lambda})$ for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$, then $\bigoplus_{\Lambda} V_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{U}(\bigoplus_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda})$.

As a corollary of Proposition 6, we obtain:

Corollary The *U* be a non-empty set of *R*-modules. If *R*-module N_i is \mathcal{U}_{V_i} -generated for every i = 1, 2, ..., n, then $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n X_i$ is $\mathcal{U}_{\oplus^n, V_i}$ -generated, where V_i be submodule of $\bigoplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda}$, $U_{\lambda} \in \Lambda$, for every i = 1, 2, ..., n and $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

In the following proposition, we will show that if $V \in \mathcal{U}(N)$, for an *R*-module *N*, then *V* is in $\mathcal{U}(N')$, for every homomorphic image N' of *N*.

Proposition 8 Let \mathcal{U} be a non-empty set of *R*-modules. If *R*-module *N* is \mathcal{U}_V -generated, then N' is \mathcal{U}_V -generated, for every homomorphic image N' of *N*.

Proof. If *R*-module *N* is \mathcal{U}_V -generated, then the sequence

$$\oplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda} \xrightarrow{f} N \to 0$$

is V-coexact. Let N' be homomorphic image of N, then there is an epimorphism $p : N \to N'$. Hence, $g = p \circ f$ is a homomorphism from V to N'. Since f and p are epimorphisms, then g is an epimorphism. So, N' is \mathcal{U}_V -generated.

In the next proposition, we will prove that $\mathcal{U}_V(N)$ is closed under direct sum, i.e. if V_{λ} is in $\mathcal{U}(N)$ for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$, then $\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} V_{\lambda}$ is in $\mathcal{U}(N)$.

Proposition 9 Let \mathcal{U} be a non-empty set of *R*-modules and V_{α} be submodules of $\bigoplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda}$, $U_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{U}$ for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$. If *R*-module *M* is $\mathcal{U}_{V_{\alpha}}$ -generated, for every $\alpha \in A$, then *M* is $\mathcal{U}_{\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} V_{\alpha}}$ -generated.

Proof. Since *R*-module *M* is $\mathcal{U}_{V_{\alpha}}$ -generated for every $\alpha \in A$, there is an epimorphism f_{α} such that the sequence: $V_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{f_{\alpha}} M \to 0$ is exact for every $\alpha \in A$. We can define $f : \bigoplus_{\alpha \in A} V_{\alpha} \to M$, where $f((v_{\alpha})_{A}) = f_{\alpha_{i}}(v_{\alpha_{i}}), \alpha_{i} \in A$. From this, we have *f* is an epimorphism from $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in A}$ to *M*. Hence, *M* is $\mathcal{U}_{\bigoplus_{\alpha \in A} V_{\alpha}}$ -generated.

As a corollary of Proposition 9, we obtain:

Proposition 10 Let \mathcal{U} be a non-empty set of *R*-modules. If *R*-module *M* is \mathcal{U}_{V_i} -generated for every i = 1, 2, ..., n, then *M* is $\mathcal{U}_{\oplus_{i=1}^n V_i}$ -generated, where V_i be submodule of $\bigoplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda}$ for every i = 1, 2, ..., n.

If $V_2 \in \mathcal{U}(N)$ and $V_1 \in \mathcal{U}(V_2)$ i.e. *N* is \mathcal{U}_{V_1} -generated and V_2 is \mathcal{U}_{V_1} -generated, with modules V_1 and V_2 are submodules of $\bigoplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda}, U_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{U}$, then we will show that $V_1 \in \mathcal{U}(N)$, i.e. *N* is \mathcal{U}_{V_1} -generated module.

Proposition 11 Let \mathcal{U} be a non-empty set of *R*-modules. If *R*-module *N* is \mathcal{U}_{V_2} -generated and V_2 is \mathcal{U}_{V_1} -generated, then *N* is \mathcal{U}_{V_1} -generated, where V_1, V_2 be submodules of $\bigoplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda}, U_{\lambda} \in \Lambda$, for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

Proof. Since *N* is \mathcal{U}_{V_2} -generated and V_2 is \mathcal{U}_{V_1} -generated, there exists epimorphisms $\alpha : V_2 \to N$ and $\beta : V_1 \to V_2$. So, we can define $g = \alpha \circ \beta : V_1 \to N$. Since α and β are epimorphisms, g is an epimorphism. Finally, *N* is \mathcal{U}_{V_1} -generated.

As a corollary we obtain:

Corollary 12 Let \mathcal{U} be a non-empty set of R-modules. If R-module N is \mathcal{U}_V -generated and V is \mathcal{U} -generated, then N is \mathcal{U} -generated, where V be submodule of $\oplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda}$, $U_{\lambda} \in \Lambda$, for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

Proof. Since *R*-module *N* is \mathcal{U}_{V} -generated and *V* is \mathcal{U} -generated, by Proposition 11, we have *N* is $\mathcal{U}_{\oplus_{\Lambda}U_{\lambda}}$ -generated. In other words, *N* is \mathcal{U} -generated.

Corollary 12 Let \mathcal{U} be a non-empty set of R-modules and $V \subset \bigoplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda}$, with modules $U_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{U}$. If R-module M is \mathcal{U}_V -subgenerated and V is a \mathcal{U} -generated module, then the sequence

$$\oplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda} \to M \to 0$$

is V-coexact.

Proof. Since *R*-module *M* is \mathcal{U}_V -subgenerated, there is an epimorphism $\alpha : V \to M$. By asumption, *V* is a \mathcal{U} -generated module. So, there is an epimorphism $\pi : \bigoplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda} \to V$. Hence, $g = \alpha \circ \pi$ is an epimorphism from $\bigoplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda}$ to *M* such that $g|_V = \alpha$. We have the sequence

$$\oplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda} \xrightarrow{s} M \to 0$$

is V-coexact.

Corollary 13 Let \mathcal{U} be a non-empty set of semisimple *R*-modules. If *R*-module M is \mathcal{U}_V -generated, then M is \mathcal{U} -generated, where V is a submodule of $\bigoplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda}$.

Proof. We assume that *R*-module *M* is a \mathcal{U}_V -generated. Since very submodule of semisimple module $\bigoplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda}$ is a direct summand, *M* is \mathcal{U} -generated by using Proposition 11.

2.2 \mathcal{U}_V -Subgenerated Modules

We already know that an *M*-subgenerated module is a generalization of a \mathcal{U} -generated module. In the similar way, we can obtain a \mathcal{U}_V -subgenerated module as a generalization of \mathcal{U}_V -generated module.

Definition 14 Let \mathcal{U} be a non-empty set of *R*-modules, *V* be a submodule of $\bigoplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda}$. We say that an *R*-module *N* is subgenerated by \mathcal{U}_V if *N* isomorphic to a submodule of a \mathcal{U}_V -generated module.

M-subgenerated module is a special case of \mathcal{U}_V -subgenerated modules by takin $\mathcal{U} = \{M\}$ and $V = M^{(\Lambda)}$. By Definition 14, every \mathcal{U}_V -generated module is a \mathcal{U}_V -subgenerated module. But the converse need not be true. For example, let \mathcal{U} the set of all \mathbb{Z} -modules. \mathbb{Z} -module \mathbb{Z} is $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -subgenerated. But, \mathbb{Z} -module \mathbb{Z} is not $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -generated.

Proposition 15 Let \mathcal{U} be a non-empty set of R-modules and V be a submodule of $\bigoplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda}$. If R-module N is \mathcal{U}_{V} -subgenerated and N is a direct summand of a \mathcal{U}_{V} -generated module, then N is \mathcal{U}_{V} -generated module.

³Let \mathcal{U} be a non-empty set of *R*-modules and *N* be an *R*-module. In $\sigma[M]$, Wisbauer (1991) collect all *R*-modules subgenerated by M. In the similar way, we will collect all *R*-modules subgenerated by \mathcal{U}_V , we denote it by $\sigma_V(\mathcal{U})$:

 $\sigma_V(\mathcal{U}) = \{N | N \text{ is } \mathcal{U}_V \text{-subgenerated}\}.$

The full subcategory $\sigma[M]$ of R - MOD is a special case of $\sigma_V(\mathcal{U})$ by taking $\mathcal{U} = \{M\}$ and $V = M^{(\Lambda)}$. Next, we will show that $\sigma_V(\mathcal{U})$ is closed under submodules and factor modules.

Proposition 16 \mathcal{U}_{λ} U be a non-empty set of R-modules and V be a submodule of $\bigoplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda}$. If R-module N is \mathcal{U}_{V} -subgenerated, then \mathcal{N}' is a \mathcal{U}_{V} -subgenerated module, for every submodule \mathcal{N}' of N.

Proof. Since <u>N</u> is a \mathcal{U}_V -subgenerated, then N^{26} -somorphic to a submodule of a \mathcal{U}_V -generated module. So, there is an epimorphism:

$$V \xrightarrow{f} K \to 0$$

and N is isomorphic to a submodule of N. Let N' be a submodule of N. We have N' is somorphic to a submodule of K and N' is a \mathcal{U}_V -subgenerated module.

Proposition 17 Let \mathcal{U} be a non-empty set of *R*-modules and *V* be a submodule of $\bigoplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda}$. If *R*-module *N* is \mathcal{U}_V -subgenerated, then N/L is \mathcal{U}_V -subgenerated module, for every factor module N/L of *N*.

Proof. Since N is a \mathcal{U}_V -subgenerated, there is a \mathcal{U}_V -generated module K and an epimorphism:

$$V \xrightarrow{J} K \to 0$$

and N is isomorphic to a submodule of K. Let L^{10} a submodule of N. We have L is isomorphic to a submodule of K and hence N/L is isomorphic to a submodule of K/L', where $L \cong L'$. Since K/L' is a \mathcal{U}_V -generated module, we get N/L is a \mathcal{U}_V -subgenerated module.

As a corolarry of Proposition 16 and 17, we obtain:

Corollary 18 Let \mathcal{U} be a non-empty set of *R*-modules, *V* be a submodule of $\oplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda}$ and

 $5 \to K \to L \to M \to 0$

be an exact sequence of R-modules. If L is a \mathcal{U}_V -subgenerated module, then K and M are \mathcal{U}_V -subgenerated modules.

If *R*-module N_1 and N_2 are \mathcal{U}_V -subgenerated, then we have two exact sequences: $V \to M_1 \to 0$ and $V \to M_1 \to 0$. 0. Furthermore, N_1 and N_2 are isomorphic to submodules of M_1 and M_2 , respectively. Hence $Tr(V, M_1) = M_1$ and $Tr(V, M_2) = M_2$. By Proposition 1, we have $Tr(V, M_1 \oplus M_2) = Tr(V, M_1) \oplus Tr(V, M_2) = M_1 \oplus M_2$. But, $N_1 \oplus N_2$ need not be a \mathcal{U}_V -subgenerated module. By Proposition 6, we have $N_1 \oplus N_2$ is a $\mathcal{U}_{V_1 \oplus V_2}$ -subgenerated module.

In the following proposition, we will show the existence of pullback and pushout of a pair of morphisms of \mathcal{U}_{V} -subgenerated modules.

Proposition 19 Let \mathcal{U} be a non-empty set of *R*-modules. If N_1 is \mathcal{U}_{V_1} -subgenerated and N_2 is \mathcal{U}_{V_2} -subgenerated, then pullback of $f_1 : N_1 \to N$ and $f_2 : N_2 \to N$ is $\mathcal{U}_{V_1 \oplus V_2}$ -subgenerated module, where V_1, V_2 are submodules of $\oplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda}$.

Proof. Since N_1 is \mathcal{U}_{V_1} -subgenerated and N_2 is \mathcal{U}_{V_2} -subgenerated, N_1 and N_2 are $\mathcal{U}_{V_1\oplus V_2}$ -subgenerated. Let $f_1 : N_1 \to M$, $f_2 : N_2 \to M$ be a pair of morphisms of $\mathcal{U}_{V_1\oplus V_2}$ -subgenerated modules. We have $N_1 \oplus N_2$ is $\mathcal{U}_{V_1\oplus V_2}$ -subgenerated module. Based on Wisbauer (1991), pullback of (f_1, f_2) is a submodule of $N_1 \oplus N_2$. Since every submodule of $\mathcal{U}_{V_1\oplus V_2}$ -subgenerated module is a $\mathcal{U}_{V_1\oplus V_2}$ -subgenerated, the pullback of (f_1, f_2) is a $\mathcal{U}_{V_1\oplus V_2}$ -subgenerated module.

Proposition 20 Let \mathcal{U} be a non-empty set of *R*-modules. If N_1 is \mathcal{U}_{V_1} -subgenerated and N_2 is \mathcal{U}_{V_2} -subgenerated, then pushout of $g_1 : X \to N_1$ and $g_2 : X \to N_2$ is $\mathcal{U}_{V_1 \oplus V_2}$ -subgenerated module, where V_1, V_2 are submodules of $\bigoplus_{\Lambda} \mathcal{U}_{\lambda}$.

Proof. Since N_1 is \mathcal{U}_{V_1} -subgenerated and N_2 is \mathcal{U}_{V_2} -subgenerated, N_1 and N_2 are $\mathcal{U}_{V_1\oplus V_2}$ -subgenerated. Let $g_1 : X \to N_1$, $g_2 : X \to N_2$ be a pair of morphisms of $\mathcal{U}_{V_1\oplus V_2}$ -subgenerated module. We have $N_1 \oplus N_2$ is $\mathcal{U}_{V_1\oplus V_2}$ -subgenerated modules. Based on Wisbauer (1991), pushout of (g_1, g_2) is a factor module of $N_1 \oplus N_2$. Since every factor module of $\mathcal{U}_{V_1\oplus V_2}$ -subgenerated module. subgenerated module is a $\mathcal{U}_{V_1\oplus V_2}$ -subgenerated, the pushout of (g_1, g_2) is a $\mathcal{U}_{V_1\oplus V_2}$ -subgenerated module.

A submodule N of R-module M is called fully invariant if f(N) is contained in N for every R-endomorphism f of M. M is called a duo module provided every submodule of M is fully invariant (Özcan et al., 2006).

The following theorem shows that the properties of *R*-modules in $\sigma_V \mathcal{U}$ are reflecting the properties of *V*.

Theorem 21 Let \mathcal{U} be a non-empty set of R-modules and V be a submodule of $\bigoplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda}$, $U_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{U}$, for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

- 1. If R-module U is V-injective ($V_{projective}^{21}$), then U is N-injective (N-projective), for every $N \in \sigma_V(\mathcal{U})$.
- 2. If V is semisimple, then every module in $\sigma_V(\mathcal{U})$ is semisimple.
- 3. If V is Noetherian (Artinian), then N is Noetherian (Artinian), for every $N \in \sigma_V(\mathcal{U})$.
- 4. If V is a duo module, quasi-injective and quasi-projective, then N is a duo module, V-projective and V-injective, for every $N \in \sigma_V(\mathcal{U})$.

Proof.

1. Let $N \in \sigma_V \mathcal{U}$. Then N isomorphic to a submodule of \mathcal{U}_V -generated module, say M. We have the following exact sequence:

$$0 \to Ker \ f \to V \xrightarrow{f} M \to 0$$

Based on Wisbauer (1991), if U is V-injective, then U is M-injective. Therefore by Wisbauer (1991) 16.3, U is N-injective.

2 and 3 can be shown in a similar way to 1.

4 Based on Özcan et. al. (2006), if V is a duo module and quasi-injective, then every submodule of V is a duo module. Futhermore, if V is a duo module and quasi-projective, then every homomorphic image of V is a duo module. From 1, we have N is V-projective and V-injective, for every N in $\sigma_V(\mathcal{U})$.

3. Conclusions

A \mathcal{U}_V -generator is a generalization of \mathcal{U} -generator. If an *R*-module *N* is \mathcal{U}_V -generated, then every homomorphic image of *N* is also \mathcal{U}_V -generated. Furthermore, direct sums of \mathcal{U}_V -generated *R*-modules are $\mathcal{U}_{V'}$ -generated, for some submodules V' of $\bigoplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda}$. In the set $\mathcal{U}(N)$, we collect all submodules V of $\bigoplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda}$ such that *N* is a \mathcal{U}_V -generated module and we have $\mathcal{U}(N)$ is closed under direct sums.

In the set $\sigma_V(\mathcal{U})$, we collect all *R*-modules subgenerated by \mathcal{U}_V . The full subcategory $\sigma[M]$ of R - MOD is a special case of $\sigma_V(\mathcal{U})$ by taking $\mathcal{U} = \{M\}$ and $V = M^{(\Lambda)}$. The set $\sigma_V(\mathcal{U})$ is closed under submodules and factor modules. Furthermore, the properties of *R*-modules in $\sigma_V(\mathcal{U})$ are reflecting the properties of *V*.

Acknowledgements

The authors mank the Ministry of Research, Technology and the Higher Education Republic of Indonesia, due to the funding of this work through the scheme of Research of Doctoral Dissertation with contract number 385/UN26.21/PN/2018. The authors also thank the referees for useful comments and suggestions.

References

- Adkins, W. A., & Weintraub S. H. (1992). *Algebra "An Approach via Module Theory"*. New York : Springer-Verlag. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-0923-2
- Anderson, F. W., & Fuller, K. R. (1992). *Rings and categories of Modules*. New York: Springer-Verlag. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-4418-9
- Anvariyeh, S. M., & Davvaz, B. (2005). On Quasi-Exact Sequences. *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.*, 42(1), 149-155. https://doi.org/10.4134/BKMS.2005.42.1.149
- Anvariyeh, S. M., & Davvaz, B. (2002). U-Split-Exact Sequences. Far East J. Math. Sci.(FJMS), 4(2), 209-219.
- Clark, J., Lomp, C., Vanaja, N., & Wisbauer, R. (2006). *Lifting Modules*. Switzerland: Birkhauser Verlag. https://doi.org/10.1007/3-7643-7573-6
- Davvaz, B., & Parnian-Garamaleky, Y. A. (1999). A Note on Exact Sequences, *Bull.Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* 22(1), 53-56.
- Davvaz, B., & Shabani-Solt, H. A. (2002). Generalization of Homological Algebra. J. Korean Math. Soc. 39(6), 881-898.
- Fitriani, Surodjo, B., & Wijayanti, I. E. (2016). On X-sub-exact Sequences. Far East J. Math. Sci.(FJMS) 100(7), 1055-1065. http://dx.doi.org/10.17654/MS100071055
- Fitriani, Surodjo, B., & Wijayanti, I. E. (2017). On X-sub-linearly Independent Modules. J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 893, 012008. https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/893/1/012008
- Özcan, A. Ç., Harmanci, A., & Smith, P. F. (2006). Duo Modules. *Glasgow Math. J.*, 48, 533-545. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0017089506003260
- Wisbauer, R. (1991). Foundation of Module and Ring Theory. Philadelphia: Gordon and Breach.

Copyrights

Copyright for this article is retained by the author(s), with first publication rights granted to the journal.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

• 21% Overall Similarity

Top sources found in the following databases:

- 17% Internet database
- Crossref database
- 10% Submitted Works database

TOP SOURCES

The sources with the highest number of matches within the submission. Overlapping sources will not be displayed.

• 11% Publications database

Crossref Posted Content database

nozdr.ru Internet	6%
Binus University International on 2018-08-17 Submitted works	4%
scribd.com Internet	2%
Jawad Y. Abuhlail. "Rational Modules for Corings", Communications in Crossref	¹ <1%
arxiv.org Internet	<1%
ijmsi.ir Internet	<1%
Paul E. Bland. "6 Classical Ring Theory", Walter de Gruyter GmbH, 201 Crossref	1 <1%
Frank W. Anderson, Kent R. Fuller. "Rings and Categories of Modules", Crossref	··· <1%

Inaam Mohammed Ali Hadi, Rana Noori Majeed. "On P-duo modules", I Crossref	<1%
Ramji Lal. "Algebra 2", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2017 Crossref	<1%
gtg.webhost.uoradea.ro Internet	<1%
University of Babylon on 2015-02-17 Submitted works	<1%
users.metu.edu.tr Internet	<1%
University of Mustansiriyah on 2014-11-02 Submitted works	<1%
ams.org Internet	<1%
jacodesmath.com Internet	<1%
Seyed Shahin Mousavi, Mina Abbaszade. " Projective and injective dim Crossref	· <1%
Jocelyn S. Paradero-Vilela, Agnes T. Paras. "On Quasi-Regular Torsion Crossref	<1%
William A. Adkins, Steven H. Weintraub. "Chapter 3 Modules and Vecto Crossref	[.] <1%
acikerisim.deu.edu.tr Internet	<1%

21	"Modules and Comodules", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, Crossref	<1%
22	Constantin Nastasescu, Freddy Van Oystaeyen. "Methods of Graded Ri Crossref	<1%
23	David Eisenbud. "Commutative Algebra", Springer Nature, 1995 Crossref	<1%
24	Najmeh Dehghani, Fatma A. Ebrahim, S. Tariq Rizvi. "On the Schröder Crossref	<1%
25	mafiadoc.com Internet	<1%
26	fc.up.pt Internet	<1%

Excluded from Similarity Report • Bibliographic material Quoted material Cited material Small Matches (Less then 10 words) Manually excluded sources Manually excluded text blocks **EXCLUDED SOURCES** Fitriani Fitriani, Indah Wijayanti, Budi Surodjo. "Generalization of \$\mathcal{U}... 71% Crossref Fitriani Fitriani, Indah Emilia Wijayanti, Budi Surodjo. "Generalization of \$\mat... 71% Crossref Binus University International on 2018-08-06 14% Submitted works repository.lppm.unila.ac.id **9%** Internet ccsenet.org 9% Internet ccsenet.org 9% Internet Fitriani, I E Wijayanti, B Surodjo. " A Generalization of Basis and Free Modules ... 6% Crossref Fitriani, B Surodjo, I E Wijayanti. " On -sub-linearly independent modules ", Jo... 2% Crossref

B H S Utami, Fitriani, M Usman, Warsono, J I Daoud. "Sub-Exact Sequence On...</br>

Crossref

EXCLUDED TEXT BLOCKS

Department of Mathematics, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta, Indonesia2 De...

irep.iium.edu.my

Received: April

Ibrahima DIEDHIOU, Salimata Gueye DIAGNE, Ndiaga THIAM, Coumba Diallo DIOP et al. "Optimization of Sa...

Journal of Mathematics Research; Vol. 10, No. 4; August 2018ISSN 1916-9795 E-I...

mafiadoc.com

ccsenet.orgJournal of

Turun yliopisto on 2020-03-09

http

Tafila Technical University on 2022-08-08