

PAPER NAME

A Generalization of Basis and Free Modules Relatives to a Family U of R-Modules

AUTHOR

Fitriani Fitriani

WORD COUNT

4022 Words

CHARACTER COUNT

17028 Characters

PAGE COUNT

7 Pages

FILE SIZE

456.3KB

SUBMISSION DATE

Aug 19, 2022 10:29 PM GMT+7

REPORT DATE

Aug 19, 2022 10:29 PM GMT+7**● 14% Overall Similarity**

The combined total of all matches, including overlapping sources, for each database.

- 10% Internet database
- 9% Publications database
- Crossref database
- Crossref Posted Content database
- 2% Submitted Works database

● Excluded from Similarity Report

- Bibliographic material
- Quoted material
- Cited material
- Small Matches (Less than 10 words)
- Manually excluded sources
- Manually excluded text blocks

PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

A Generalization of Basis and Free Modules Relatives to a Family \mathcal{F} of R -Modules

To cite this article: Fitriani *et al* 2018 *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* **1097** 012087

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.



IOP | ebooksTM

Bringing you innovative digital publishing with leading voices to create your essential collection of books in STEM research.

Start exploring the collection - download the first chapter of every title for free.

6 A Generalization of Basis and Free Modules Relatives to a Family \mathcal{U} of R -Modules

Fitriani^{1,2}, I E Wijayanti¹ and B Surodjo¹

¹Department of Mathematics, Universitas Gadjah Mada

²Department of Mathematics, Universitas Lampung

Corresponding author: fitriani27@mail.ugm.ac.id

Abstract. Let \mathcal{U} be a family of R -modules and V be a submodule of a direct sum of some elements in \mathcal{U} . The aim of this paper is to generalize basis and free modules. We use the concept of \mathcal{U}_V -generated module and X -sublinearly independent to provide the definition of \mathcal{U} -basis and \mathcal{U} -free module. We construct a $\underline{\mathcal{U}}$ -basis of an R -module M as a pair (X, V) , which a family \mathcal{U} is X -sub-linearly independent to M and M is a \mathcal{U}_V -generated module. Furthermore, we define \mathcal{U} -basis of M as a $\underline{\mathcal{U}}$ -basis which has the maximal element on the first component and the minimal element on the second component of a pair (X, V) . The results show that the first component of (X, V) in \mathcal{U} -basis is closed under submodules and intersections. Moreover, we prove that the second component of (X, V) in \mathcal{U} -basis is closed under direct sums. We also determine some \mathcal{U} -free modules related to a family \mathcal{U} which contains all Z -module Z modulo p power of n , where p prime and $n \geq 2$.

1. Introduction

Let R be a ring, A, B and C be R -modules and let $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C$ be an exact sequence, i.e. image of f is equal to the kernel of g ($g^{-1}(0)$) [1,2]. Davvaz and Parnian-Garamaleky establish a quasi-exact sequence as a generalization of exact sequence. Let U be a submodule of C . A sequence $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C$ is U -exact in B if $\text{Im } f = g^{-1}(U)$ [3]. For a submodule V of A , they also define a V -coexact sequence as a dual of a U -exact sequence.

Then, Anvariye dan Davvaz [4] generalize the Schanuel Lemma by using the quasi-exact sequences. Furthermore, Davvaz and Shabani-Solt [5] give a generalization of homological algebra. In [6], Anvariye dan Davvaz investigate the connections between projective modules and U -split sequences. Then, Madanshekaf [7] gives some results about quasi-exact sequences. In [8], Amizadeh et al. provide a quasi-exact sequence of S -acts.

Motivated by definition of U -exact sequence, Fitriani et al. [9] introduce a sub exact sequence as a generalization of an exact sequence of modules. As an application of a sub-exact sequence, Fitriani et al. also establish the notion of an X -sub-linearly independent module as a generalization of the linearly independent set in R -modules [10]. Furthermore, Fitriani et al. [11] introduce a \mathcal{U}_V -generated module by using coexact sequence. We can say that this notion is a dual of X -sub-linearly independent module. This concept is motivated by the definition of \mathcal{U} -generated module from [12-14].



In this paper, we use the concept of \mathcal{U}_b -generated module and X -sub-linearly independent module to construct a \mathcal{U} -basis and a \mathcal{U} -free module which are a basis and a free module relative to a family \mathcal{U} of R -modules. Moreover, we determine some \mathcal{U} -free modules, where \mathcal{U} is a family of all \mathbb{Z} -modules \mathbb{Z} modulo p^n , p prime and n is an integer greater than 2.

2. Methods

The aim of this paper is to generalize basis and free modules to basis and free modules relative to a family \mathcal{U} of R -modules. If a free module F has a basis X , then $F \cong \bigoplus_{x \in X} R_x$ with each $R_x \cong R$. We can choose $\mathcal{U} = \{R\}$ so that F is a free module relative to \mathcal{U} . In this case, a family \mathcal{U} only contain R as an R -module.

We construct a basis and a free module relative to a family $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\lambda\}_\Lambda$, where U_λ is an R -module, for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$. We use the concept of \mathcal{U}_b -generated module and X -sub-linearly independent module to provide this concept. We construct a \mathcal{U} -basis of an R -module M as a pair (X, V) , which a family \mathcal{U} is X -sub-linearly independent to M and M is a \mathcal{U}_v -generated module. Next, we define \mathcal{U} -basis of M as a \mathcal{U} -basis which has the maximal element on the first component and the minimal element on the second component of a pair (X, V) . Furthermore, we determine some \mathcal{U} -free module, where \mathcal{U} is a family of all \mathbb{Z} modulo p^n , p prime, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 2$ as a \mathbb{Z} -module by using the properties of \mathbb{Z}_n as an Abelian group.

3. Results and Discussions

We recall the definition of a \mathcal{U}_b -generated module as follows: Given a family $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\lambda\}_\Lambda$ of R -modules, V be a submodule of $\bigoplus_\Lambda U_\lambda$. An R -module N is \mathcal{U}_b -generated if there exists a surjective homomorphism from V to N [11]. If we take $V = \bigoplus_\Lambda U_\lambda$, then a \mathcal{U}_b -generated module is a \mathcal{U} -generated module. From this fact, we can say that every \mathcal{U} -generated module is a \mathcal{U}_b -generated module. But, the converse need not be true.

Now, we define the following sets:

$$\sigma(0, \bigoplus_\Lambda U_\lambda, M) = \{X \subseteq \bigoplus_\Lambda U_\lambda \mid \mathcal{U} \text{ is } X\text{-sub-linearly independent to } M\} \quad (1)$$

and

$$\mathcal{U}(M) = \{V \subseteq \bigoplus_\Lambda U_\lambda \mid M \text{ is } \mathcal{U}_v\text{-generated}\} \quad (2)$$

The set $\sigma(0, \bigoplus_\Lambda U_\lambda, M)$ contains all submodules of $\bigoplus_\Lambda U_\lambda$ which is X -sub-linearly independent to M . Hence, if there is an injective homomorphism from Y to M , where Y is a submodule of $\bigoplus_\Lambda U_\lambda$, then Y is in the set $\sigma(0, \bigoplus_\Lambda U_\lambda, M)$.

Suppose that X is in $\sigma(0, \bigoplus_\Lambda U_\lambda, M)$. Consequently, a family \mathcal{U} is X -sub-linearly independent to M . Therefore, there exists a monomorphism f from X to M . We already know that for every submodule X' of X , we always have a monomorphism i from X' to X . Then \mathcal{U} is also X' -sub-linearly independent to M , for every submodule X' of X [10]. Similarly, if a family \mathcal{U} of R -modules is X_i -sub-linearly independent to an R -module M for every $i \in I$, then a family \mathcal{U} is also $\bigcap_{i \in I} X_i$ -sub-linearly independent to M .

Consider the set $\mathcal{U}(M) = \{V \subseteq \bigoplus_\Lambda U_\lambda \mid M \text{ is } \mathcal{U}_v\text{-generated}\}$. In this set, we collect all submodules V of $\bigoplus_\Lambda U_\lambda$ which M is \mathcal{U}_b -generated. If V is in $\mathcal{U}(M)$, we have a surjective homomorphism g from V to M . If R -module X_1 is \mathcal{U}_{v_1} -generated and R -module X_2 is \mathcal{U}_{v_2} -generated, then $X_1 \oplus X_2$ is $\mathcal{U}_{v_1 \oplus v_2}$ -generated, where V_1 and V_2 be submodules of $\bigoplus_\Lambda U_\lambda$, $U_\lambda \in \mathcal{U}$, for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Based on [11], we have the set $\mathcal{U}(M)$ is closed under direct sums and homomorphic images. We will use the properties of the set $\sigma(0, \bigoplus_\Lambda U_\lambda, M)$ and $\mathcal{U}(M)$ to investigate some characteristics of \mathcal{U} -basis and \mathcal{U} -free module.

Now, we will construct the definition of \mathcal{U} -basis and \mathcal{U} -free module by using the concept of X -sub-linearly independent to an R -module M and \mathcal{U} -generated module.

Definition 2.1. Given a family \mathcal{U} of R -modules. A pair of submodules (X, V) of $\bigoplus_{\lambda} U_{\lambda}$ is said to be a \mathcal{U} -basis of R -module M if \mathcal{U} is an X -sub-linearly independent to M and M is a \mathcal{U} -generated module.

From Definition 2.1, \mathcal{U} -basis of R -module M is a pair of two submodules X and V of $\bigoplus_{\lambda} U_{\lambda}$ which \mathcal{U} is an X -sub-linearly independent to M and M is a \mathcal{U} -generated module. In other words, if (X, V) is a \mathcal{U} -basis of R -module M , then there are a monomorphism f from X to M and an epimorphism g from V to M . Then we will give some examples of \mathcal{U} -basis of an R -module.

Example 2.2. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{Z_p \mid p \text{ prime}\}$, a family of Z -modules, where Z is a set of integers. We consider Z_6 as a Z -module. We will find \mathcal{U} -basis of Z -module Z_6 . We can define monomorphisms from $0, Z_2, Z_3$ and $Z_2 \oplus Z_3$ to Z_6 . Also, we can define an epimorphism from $Z_2 \oplus Z_3$ to Z_6 . Therefore, we have some \mathcal{U} -basis of Z -module Z_6 as follows: $(0, Z_2 \oplus Z_3), (Z_2, Z_2 \oplus Z_3), (Z_3, Z_2 \oplus Z_3), (Z_2 \oplus Z_3, Z_2 \oplus Z_3)$.

Example 2.3. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{Z\}$, a family of Z -module, where Z is a set of integers. We will find \mathcal{U} -basis of Z -module Z_4 . Clearly, there is a monomorphism from 0 to Z_4 and hence a family \mathcal{U} is 0 -sub-linearly independent to Z_4 . Furthermore, we can define an epimorphism from Z to Z_4 . As a consequence, $(0, Z)$ is a \mathcal{U} -basis of Z -module Z_4 . In general, we can show that $(0, Z)$ is a \mathcal{U} -basis of Z -module Z_n , for every $n \geq 2$.

Now, we will give some properties of \mathcal{U} -basis of an R -module M . We already know that the set $\sigma(0, \bigoplus_{\lambda} U_{\lambda}, M)$ is closed under intersections [10]. We will use this property to show the following proposition.

Proposition 2.4. Given a family \mathcal{U} of R -modules. If (X_{α}, V) is a \mathcal{U} -basis of an R -module M , for every $\alpha \in A$, then $(\bigcap_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}, V)$ is \mathcal{U} -basis of M .

Proof. Suppose that (X_{α}, V) is a \mathcal{U} -basis of an R -module M , for every $\alpha \in A$. Consequently, a family \mathcal{U} is X_{α} -sub-linearly independent to M , for every $\alpha \in A$. Hence, $X_{\alpha} \in \sigma(0, \bigoplus_{\lambda} U_{\lambda}, M)$, for every $\alpha \in A$. Based on [10], the set $\sigma(0, \bigoplus_{\lambda} U_{\lambda}, M)$ is closed under intersections. As a consequence, we have $(\bigcap_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}, V)$ is in $\sigma(0, \bigoplus_{\lambda} U_{\lambda}, M)$. In other words, a family \mathcal{U} is $\bigcap_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}$ -sub-linearly independent to M and hence we have $(\bigcap_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}, V)$ is a \mathcal{U} -basis of M . QED

Next, we will use the fact that the set $\sigma(0, \bigoplus_{\lambda} U_{\lambda}, M)$ is closed under submodules to proof the following property of \mathcal{U} -basis of M .

Proposition 2.5. Given a family \mathcal{U} of R -modules. If (X, V) is a \mathcal{U} -basis of R -module M , then a pair (X', V) is a \mathcal{U} -basis of M , for every submodule X' of X .

Proof. Let a pair (X, V) is a \mathcal{U} -basis of an R -module M . Then a family \mathcal{U} is X -sub-linearly independent to M . This implies that $X \in \sigma(0, \bigoplus_{\lambda} U_{\lambda}, M)$. Based on [10], $\sigma(0, \bigoplus_{\lambda} U_{\lambda}, M)$ is closed under submodules. So, for every submodule X' of X , X' is in $\sigma(0, \bigoplus_{\lambda} U_{\lambda}, M)$. Therefore, (X', V) is a \mathcal{U} -basis of M , for every submodule X' of X . QED

In the next proposition, we focus on the second component of a pair (X, V) which is \mathcal{U} -basis of an R -module M . We will use the properties of the set $\mathcal{U}(M)$ to proof the next property of \mathcal{U} -basis.

Proposition 2.6. Given a family \mathcal{U} of R -modules. If (X, V_{β}) is a \mathcal{U} -basis of R -module M , for every $\beta \in B$, then $(X, \bigoplus_{\beta} V_{\beta})$ is a \mathcal{U} -basis of M .

Proof. Suppose that (X, V_{β}) is a \mathcal{U} -basis of R -module M , for every $\beta \in B$. Then M is a $\mathcal{U}_{V_{\beta}}$ -generated module. This implies $V_{\beta} \in \mathcal{U}(M)$, for every $\beta \in B$. Based in [11], we already know that the set $\mathcal{U}(M)$ is closed under direct sums. Therefore, we have $\bigoplus_{\beta} V_{\beta} \in \mathcal{U}(M)$. In other words, we can say M is a $\mathcal{U}_{\bigoplus_{\beta} V_{\beta}}$ -generated module. Hence, a pair $(X, \bigoplus_{\beta} V_{\beta})$ is a \mathcal{U} -basis of M . QED

Proposition 2.7. Given a family \mathcal{U} of R -modules. If (X_{γ}, V_{γ}) is a \mathcal{U} -basis of R -module M_{γ} , for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$, then $(\bigoplus_{\gamma} X_{\gamma}, \bigoplus_{\gamma} V_{\gamma})$ is \mathcal{U} -basis of $\bigoplus_{\gamma} M_{\gamma}$.

Proof. Suppose that (X_γ, V_γ) is a \mathcal{U} -basis of R -module M , for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$. From this we have a family \mathcal{U} is X_γ -sub-linearly independent to M_γ and M_γ is \mathcal{U}_{V_γ} -generated. This implies $X_\gamma \in \sigma(0, \bigoplus_\Lambda U_\lambda, M)$ and $V_\gamma \in \mathcal{U}(M)$, for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Therefore, we have a monomorphism from X_γ to M and an epimorphism from V_γ to M_γ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Clearly, we can construct a monomorphism from $\bigoplus_\Lambda X_\lambda$ to $\bigoplus_\Lambda M_\lambda$. Also, we can define an epimorphism from $\bigoplus_\Lambda V_\lambda$ to $\bigoplus_\Lambda M_\lambda$. Hence $\bigoplus_\Gamma X_\gamma \in \sigma(0, \bigoplus_\Lambda U_\lambda, \bigoplus_\Lambda M_\lambda)$ and $\bigoplus_\Gamma V_\gamma \in \mathcal{U}(\bigoplus_\Lambda M_\lambda)$. Therefore, $(\bigoplus_\Gamma X_\gamma, \bigoplus_\Gamma V_\gamma)$ is \mathcal{U} -basis of $\bigoplus_\Gamma M_\gamma$. QED

We can see from Example 2.2 that \mathcal{U} -basis of an R -module M is not uniquely determined. From this fact, we will choose a maximal element in first part of \mathcal{U} -basis of M and a minimal element in second part of \mathcal{U} -basis of M . In other words, we will find a maximal element of the set $\sigma(0, \bigoplus_\Lambda U_\lambda, M)$ and a minimal element of $\mathcal{U}(M)$. Based on [10], the set $\sigma(0, \bigoplus_\Lambda U_\lambda, M)$ always has a maximal element. We will denote \mathcal{U} -basis of M which has a maximal element in the first component and a minimal element in the second component of a pair (X, V) , a \mathcal{U} -basis of M . Now, we give the formal definition of \mathcal{U} -basis of M and \mathcal{U} -free module.

Definition 2.8. Given a family \mathcal{U} of R -modules. A pair (X, V) is said to be a \mathcal{U} -basis if (X, V) is \mathcal{U} -basis of M , X is a maximal element of $\sigma(0, \bigoplus_\Lambda U_\lambda, M)$ and V is a minimal element of $\mathcal{U}(M)$. An R -module M is called \mathcal{U} -free if M has \mathcal{U} -basis.

Example 2.9. Given a family \mathcal{U} of R -modules. Then a pair $(0, 0)$ is \mathcal{U} -basis of R -module 0 .

Example 2.10. Given a family $\mathcal{U} = \{\mathbb{Z}_n \mid n \text{ prime}\}$ of \mathbb{Z} -modules. From Example 2.2, we have some \mathcal{U} -basis of \mathbb{Z} -module \mathbb{Z}_6 , i.e. $(0, \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3)$, $(\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3)$, $(\mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3)$, $(\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3)$. Therefore, we have $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3$ is a maximal element in the first component of \mathcal{U} -basis and also a minimal element of the second component of \mathcal{U} -basis of \mathbb{Z}_6 . Hence, a pair $(\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3)$ is a \mathcal{U} -basis of \mathbb{Z} -module \mathbb{Z}_6 .

Example 2.11. Given a family \mathcal{U} of \mathbb{Z} -module. Based on Example 2.3, we have a pair $(0, \mathbb{Z})$ is a \mathcal{U} -basis of \mathbb{Z} -module \mathbb{Z}_n , where $n \geq 2$.

We already know that \mathbb{Z} -module \mathbb{Z}_n is not a free module. But, from Example 2.11 we have \mathbb{Z} -module \mathbb{Z}_n is a \mathcal{U} -free module relative to a family $\mathcal{U} = \{\mathbb{Z}\}$ of \mathbb{Z} -module, where $n \geq 2$.

In the Proposition 2.7, we have proved that a \mathcal{U} -basis of an R -module M is closed under direct sums. A similar result holds for an \mathcal{U} -basis of an R -module M .

Proposition 2.12. Given a family \mathcal{U} of R -modules. If (X_γ, V_γ) is a \mathcal{U} -basis of R -module M_γ , for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$, then $(\bigoplus_\Gamma X_\gamma, \bigoplus_\Gamma V_\gamma)$ is \mathcal{U} -basis of $\bigoplus_\Gamma M_\gamma$.

In the previous examples, a submodule X and V of $\bigoplus_\Lambda U_\lambda$ which is a \mathcal{U} -basis of an R -module M need not be isomorphic. In case X is isomorphic to V , we will introduce a \mathcal{U} -strictly basis and a \mathcal{U} -strictly free module as follows:

Definition 2.13. Given a family \mathcal{U} of R -modules. A pair (X, V) is said to be a \mathcal{U} -strictly basis if (X, V) is \mathcal{U} -basis of M and X is isomorphic to V . An R -module M is called a \mathcal{U} -strictly free if M has \mathcal{U} -strictly basis.

Since X is isomorphic to V , we simply write X instead (X, V) as a \mathcal{U} -strictly basis of an R -module M . We will determine a family \mathcal{U} of R -modules to regard a free module as a \mathcal{U} -strictly free module. We already know that if a free module F has a basis X , then $F \cong \bigoplus_{x \in X} R_x$ with each $R_x \cong R$. We can choose $\mathcal{U} = \{R\}$ as a family of R -module. Hence, we have $\bigoplus_{x \in X} R_x = R^{(X)}$ is a \mathcal{U} -strictly basis of F . This implies F is \mathcal{U} -strictly free. From this fact, we can say that every free R -module F is a \mathcal{U} -strictly free module. Based on Example 2.9, a pair $(0, 0)$ is \mathcal{U} -basis of R -module 0 , for any family \mathcal{U} of R -modules. As a consequence, R -module 0 is \mathcal{U} -strictly free.

Moreover, in case X is an element of \mathcal{U} . X is \mathcal{U} -strictly free. Furthermore, we consider the result of Proposition 2.12. If M_i is a \mathcal{U} -strictly free module for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n M_i$ is also a \mathcal{U} -strictly free module. Now, we will give some examples of \mathcal{U} -strictly free modules.

Example 2.14. Let R be a commutative ring with unit and $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\lambda\}_\Lambda$ be a family of R -modules, where $U_\lambda = \text{Hom}_R(R, M_\lambda)$ for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Based on [1], we can define a homomorphism φ from $\text{Hom}_R(R, M_\lambda)$ to M_λ , where $\varphi(f) := f(1)$. We can show that φ is an isomorphism. This implies that a family \mathcal{U} is U_λ -sub-linearly independent to M_λ and M_λ is \mathcal{U}_γ -generated. Therefore, we can conclude that M_λ is \mathcal{U} -strictly free.

Example 2.15. Given a family $\mathcal{U} = \{Z_n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \geq 2\}$ of \mathbb{Z} -modules. Let $M = \mathbb{Z}_4^{(\mathbb{N})}$ and $N = \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus M$ be \mathbb{Z} -modules. Since a family \mathcal{U} is M -sub-linearly independent to M and M is \mathcal{U} -generated, (M, N) is \mathcal{U} -basis of M . We will show that M is not isomorphic to N . Assume that there is an isomorphism f from M to N . Since $(1, 0, 0, \dots) \in N$, there is $0 \neq (a_i) \in M$ such that $f((a_i)) = (1, 0, 0, \dots)$. Then $f(2(a_i)) = 2f((a_i)) = 2(1, 0, 0, \dots) = 0$. By hypothesis, f is a monomorphism. So, we have $2(a_i) = 0$. Therefore, $a_1 = 0$ or 1 and $a_i = 0$ or 2 , for $i \geq 2$. So, there is $(b_i) \in M$ such that $(a_i) = 2(b_i)$. This implies $b_1 = 0$ or 1 and $b_i = 0, 1$ or 3 , for $i \geq 2$. Hence, $0 = 2f((b_i)) = f(2(b_i)) = f((a_i))$. But $1 = f((a_i))_1 = 0$, a contradiction. We can conclude that M is not isomorphic to N and hence (M, N) is not \mathcal{U} -strictly basis of M .

Now, we consider the following properties of Z_n as an Abelian group.

Theorem 2.16. [15] Let m and n be positive integers. If $\text{gcd}(m, n) = 1$ (i.e. m and n are relative prime), then $Z_m \times Z_n$ is cyclic and is isomorphic to Z_{mn} and $(1, 1)$ is a generator of $Z_m \times Z_n$.

Theorem 2.17. [15] The group $\prod_{i=1}^n Z_{m_i}$ is cyclic and isomorphic to $Z_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_n}$ if and only if the numbers m_i , for $i = 1, \dots, n$ are pairwise relative prime, that is, the gcd of two of them is 1.

Therefore, by using Theorem 2.16 and 2.17, we can determine some \mathcal{U} -strictly free modules as follows.

Proposition 2.18. Given a family $\mathcal{U} = \{Z_p \mid p \text{ prime}\}$ of \mathbb{Z} -modules and q, r be two distinct primes. Then \mathbb{Z} -module Z_{qr} is \mathcal{U} -strictly free.

Proof. Since q and r are relative primes, $Z_q \oplus Z_r$ is \mathcal{U} -strictly basis of Z_{qr} . Hence, \mathbb{Z} -modules Z_{qr} is a \mathcal{U} -strictly free. QED

Proposition 2.19. Given a family $\mathcal{U} = \{Z_{p^n} \mid p \text{ prime}, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of \mathbb{Z} -modules. Then Z_n is a \mathcal{U} -strictly free module, for every positive integer $n \geq 2$.

Proof. We already know that every positive integer n can be uniquely factorized as a product of distinct prime number $n = p_1^{n_1} p_2^{n_2} \dots p_r^{n_r}$, where p_i prime and $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$. By Theorem 2.17, we have:

$$Z_n \cong Z_{p_1^{n_1}} \times Z_{p_2^{n_2}} \times \dots \times Z_{p_r^{n_r}}$$

Therefore, we have $Z_{p_1^{n_1}} \times Z_{p_2^{n_2}} \times \dots \times Z_{p_r^{n_r}}$ is a \mathcal{U} -strictly basis of Z_n . This implies that Z_n is a \mathcal{U} -strictly free module, for every positive integer n . QED

From Proposition 2.18, we have some \mathcal{U} -strictly free modules, where \mathcal{U} is a family of \mathbb{Z} -modules Z modulo p , p prime. \mathbb{Z} -module Z_{qr} is \mathcal{U} -strictly free for every two distinct primes q and r . Moreover, based on Proposition 2.19, we have \mathbb{Z} -modules Z_n are \mathcal{U} -strictly free module relative to a family \mathcal{U} which contains all \mathbb{Z} -modules Z_{p^n} , p prime, for every positive integer $n \geq 2$.

We already know that since \mathbb{Z} -module Z_n is not linearly independent, Z_n is not a free module, for every positive integer n greater than 2. But, this module is \mathcal{U} -strictly free module relative to a family $\mathcal{U} = \{Z_p \mid p \text{ prime}\}$ of \mathbb{Z} -modules. Consequently, \mathcal{U} -strictly free module is a generalization of a free module. If we take $\mathcal{U} = \{R\}$, where R is a ring, then an R -module M is \mathcal{U} -strictly free if and only if R -module M is free. But, if \mathcal{U} is another family of R -module, then not every \mathcal{U} -strictly free module is a free module.

4. Conclusions

A \mathcal{U} -basis and a \mathcal{U} -free modules are a basis and a free module relative to a family \mathcal{U} of R -module. These notions are the generalization of the concept of a basis and a free module. Every free module F is a \mathcal{U} -free module, where $\mathcal{U} = \{R\}$ as a family of R -module. But not every \mathcal{U} -free module is a free module. For example, \mathbb{Z} -module \mathbb{Z}_n is a \mathcal{U} -strictly free module, but \mathbb{Z} -module \mathbb{Z}_n is not a free module.

If \mathcal{U} be a family of all \mathbb{Z} -module \mathbb{Z}_p , where p prime, then \mathbb{Z} -module \mathbb{Z}_{qr} is a \mathcal{U} -strictly free module, where q and r be distinct primes. Furthermore, if \mathcal{U} be a family of all \mathbb{Z} modulo p power of n , where p prime and n positive integer larger than 2, \mathbb{Z} -module \mathbb{Z}_n is a \mathcal{U} -strictly free module, for every positive integer $n \geq 2$.

Acknowledgment

The authors thank the Directorate of Research and Community Service of the Republic of Indonesia for the funding of PDD-2018 with contract number 385/UN26.21/PN/2018.

References

- [1] Adkins W A and Weintraub S H 1992 *Algebra, An Approach via Module Theory* (New York: Springer-Verlag)
- [2] Dummit D S and Foote R M 2004 *Abstract Algebra* (USA: John Wiley and Sons, Inc.)
- [3] Davvaz B and Parnian-Garamaleky Y A 1999 A Note on Exact Sequences *Bull. Malaysian Math. Soc.* **22** 53–6
- [4] Anvanriyeh S M and Davvaz B 2005 On Quasi-Exact Sequences *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* **42** 149–55
- [5] Davvaz B and Shabani-Solt H 2002 A generalization of homological algebra *J. Korean Math. Soc.* **39** 881–98
- [6] Anvanriyeh S M and Davvaz B 2002 U-Split Exact Sequences *Far East J. Math. Sci.* **4** 209–19
- [7] Madanshekar A 2008 Quasi-Exact Sequence and Finitely Presented Modules *Iran. J. Math. Sci. Informatics* **3** 49–53
- [8] Aminizadeh R, Rasouli H and Tehranian A 2017 Quasi-exact Sequences of S-Act *Bull. Malaysian Math. Soc.*
- [9] Fitriani, Surodjo B and Wijayanti I E 2016 On sub-exact sequences *Far East J. Math. Sci.* **100** 1055–65
- [10] Fitriani, Surodjo B and Wijayanti I E 2017 On X-sub-linearly independent modules *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **893**
- [11] Fitriani, Wijayanti I E and Surodjo B 2018 Generalization of U -Generator and M -Subgenerator Related to Category $\sigma [M]$ *Journal Math. Res.* **10** 101–6
- [12] Anderson F W and Fuller K R 1992 *Rings and Categories of Modules* (New York: Springer-Verlag)
- [13] Wisbauer R 1991 *Foundation of Module and Ring Theory* (Philadelphia, USA: Gordon and Breach)
- [14] Clark J, Lomp C, Vanaja N and Wisbauer R 2006 *Lifting modules : supplements and projectivity in module theory* (Birkhäuser Verlag)
- [15] Hill V E 2000 *Groups and characters* (Chapman & Hall/CRC)

● 14% Overall Similarity

Top sources found in the following databases:

- 10% Internet database
- 9% Publications database
- Crossref database
- Crossref Posted Content database
- 2% Submitted Works database

TOP SOURCES

The sources with the highest number of matches within the submission. Overlapping sources will not be displayed.

| | | | |
|---|---|-----------------|-----|
| 1 | icmss.ulm.ac.id | Internet | 3% |
| 2 | Fitriani Fitriani, Indah Wijayanti, Budi Surodjo. "Generalization of \mathcal{M}... | Crossref | 3% |
| 3 | iopscience.iop.org | Internet | 3% |
| 4 | Fitriani, B Surodjo, I E Wijayanti. " On -sub-linearly independent module... | Crossref | 2% |
| 5 | sciencepubco.com | Internet | 1% |
| 6 | B H S Utami, Fitriani, M Usman, Warsono, J I Daoud. "Sub-Exact Seque... | Crossref | <1% |
| 7 | The University of Manchester on 2014-05-15 | Submitted works | <1% |
| 8 | Samsul Arifin, Indra Bayu Muktyas, Klara Iswara Sukmawati. "Product ... | Crossref | <1% |

| | | | |
|----|---|-----------------|-----|
| 9 | ofsbrandssitesbucket.s3.amazonaws.com | Internet | <1% |
| 10 | paperzz.com | Internet | <1% |
| 11 | 1pdf.net | Internet | <1% |
| 12 | University of Sheffield on 2019-04-08 | Submitted works | <1% |
| 13 | ejournal.radenintan.ac.id | Internet | <1% |
| 14 | MA, S.y.. "Variable-rate convolutional network coding", The Journal of ... | Crossref | <1% |
| 15 | pasca.unila.ac.id | Internet | <1% |

● Excluded from Similarity Report

- Bibliographic material
- Cited material
- Manually excluded sources
- Quoted material
- Small Matches (Less than 10 words)
- Manually excluded text blocks

EXCLUDED SOURCES

Fitriani, I E Wijayanti, B Surodjo. " A Generalization of Basis and Free Modules ... 71%

Crossref

Binus University International on 2018-08-17 71%

Submitted works

Binus University International on 2018-08-06 67%

Submitted works

repository.lppm.unila.ac.id 8%

Internet

EXCLUDED TEXT BLOCKS

OPEN ACCESSA Generalization of Basis and Free Modules Relatives to a Family

iopscience.iop.org

To cite this article

eprints.unm.ac.id

ICRIEMS 5IOP PublishingIOP Conf. Series: Journal of Physics: Conf. Ser1i2e3s415...

Universitas Negeri Semarang on 2021-01-28

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Att...

cyberleninka.org