



Proceedings International Seminar on Water Related Risk Management

Borobudur Hotel-Jakarta, Indonesia July 15 - 17, 2011

Published by



Proceedings International Seminar

on

Water Related Risk Management

Borobudur Hotel - Jakarta, Indonesia July 15 – 17, 2011 This publication may be reproduced in whole or in part and in any form for educational or non-profit purposes with appropriate credit given to the published authors. The Indonesian Association of Hydraulic Engineers (HATHI) would appreciate receiving a copy of any publication that uses this report as a source.

Indonesian Association of Hydraulic Engineers Himpunan Ahli Teknik Hidraulik Indonesia (HATHI)

Secretariat Office, Ditjen SDA Building, 8th floor Jl. Pattimura 20, Kebayoran Baru, Jakarta 12110 - Indonesia Phone/Fax. +62-21 739 8630, 7279 2263 e-mail: hathi_pusat@yahoo.com

Scientific Committee Members

Dr. Arthur Mynett (The Netherlands) Prof. Mustafa Altinakar (USA) Prof. Toshiharu Kojiri (Japan) Prof. Roberto Renzi (Italy) Dr. P. P. Mujunar (India)

Reviewers/Editors

Prof. (Ret) Dr. Ir. Sri Harto Br, Dip H, PU-SDA Ir. Anggraini, M.Sc., PU-SDA Prof. Dr. Ir. Nadjadji Anwar, M.Sc., PU-SDA Prof. Dr. Ir. R. Triweko Wahyudi, M.Sc. Prof. Dr. Ir. Radianta Triadmadja, M.Sc. Dr. Ir. Iwan Krida Hadihardaja, M.Sc., P.Ma-SDA Doddi Yudianto, ST., M.Sc., Ph.D.

DISCLAIMER

110

The views expressed in this proceedings does not necessarily represent those of the International Seminar on Water Related Risk Management Organizing Committee

We regret for any errors or omissions that we may have unintentionally made. ISBN: 978 - 979 - 17093 - 4 - 7

PREFACE



The International Seminar on Water Related Risk Management, held in Jakarta, Indonesia from 15 to 17 July 2011 were attended by experts, scientiests, practitioners and profesionals on water resources and other related sectors.

The papers of the seminar cover the entire aspects of water related risk management including risks contained in flood/drought, coastal, groundwater and urban drainage as well as socio-economic aspects, involving likely notified profesionals with numerous models, scientific and empirical deliberation, as well as field experience exposures.

I believe that presentations, discussions and debates during the seminar will contribute as remarkable concepts, strategies, lessons learned, and sharing of experiences to the water related disastrous phenomena and it's risks, particulary on the environmentally sound technologies and sustainable practices on the years to come. Based on this fact, I hope that the proceedings of this seminar will be a valuable document in solving the problems of water related disaster and reducing the impact of water related risk.

I would like to thank the organizing committee, reviewers and writers, seniors and all members of HATHI for enormous supports to this international seminar. May God bless you all.

Pitoyo Subandrio

Chairman, The Organizing Committee July 17th, 2011

Table of Contents

		Page
Floo	d, Drought, Rainfall and Environment	
1.	Water Trap Series as an Integrated Approach in Flood and Drought Control (Case Study Mbay City, Aesesa District, Nagekeo Regency, NTT Province, Indonesia) (Susilowati)	1
2.	Hydraulic Modeling of Jatiluhur Morning Glory Spillway (Indratmo Soekarno, Agung Wiyono and Febya Nurnadiati)	9
3.	The Impact of Mount Bawakaraeng's Caldera Colapse on Bili-Bili Reservoir (Suwarno HP, Haeruddin C. Maddi and Willem M)	17
4.	Five Required Steps for Upper Citarum Flood Mitigation (Isdiyana, Yanto Wibawa, Winskayati and Suhedi)	27
5.	A Theoretical Study of Flow Characteristic Due to Wave Run Up - Run Down (Oki Setyandito, Nur Yuwono, Nizam and Radianta Triatmadja)	35
6.	Outline of Musashi Canal Rehabilitation Project (Yuki Hachijo and Kazuhiko Nakajima)	43
7.	Seismic Analysis of Barrages Against Large-Scale Earthquakes (Jun Utsunomiya, Tomoo Kato and Yuriko Tsuchida)	50
8.	The Effectiveness of Source Control Measures to Solve Flood Problems (Case Study Upper - Citarum Watershed)	59
	(Dwita Sutjiningsih, Evi Anggraheni, Wisang Adhitya and Tito Latif Indra)	
9.	Modelling Spatial Flood Risk Due to Rainfall Variabilities in Upstream Citarum River Basin	67
	(Anik Sarminingsih, Iwan K. Hadihardaja, Indratmo Soekarno and M. Syahril B.K.)	
10.	Problem and Solution of Water Resources Conservation in Java Indonesia (Achmadi Partowijoto)	75
11.	Raingauge Network Evaluation Using Geostatistic in Tembagapura Papua (Imroatul Chalimah Juliana, Harry Suryantoro and Budhi Setiawan)	83
12.	Distribution Scenario of Rainfall to Control Excessive Discharge in Ciliwung River (Sulad Sriharto, Suseno Darsono and Putu Eddy)	90
13.	Development of Semi Distributed Rainfall-Runoff Model for Optimizing Flood Control at Wonogiri Reservoir (R. Javadi and L. Arlonsistami)	98
14	(R. Jayadi and L. Arlensietami)	
14.	Validation of Rainfall Disaggregation Model Using Bayesian (Par(1) ²⁴) Model Coupled with Adjusting and Filtering Procedure (Entin Hidayah, Nadjadji Anwar, and Edijatno)	106
15.		
15.	A General Procedure for Development of ITB-1 and ITB-2 Synthetic Unit Hydrograph Based on Mass Conservation Principle (D.K. Natakusumah, Dhemi Harlan and Waluyo Hatmoko)	114
16.		100
10.	Reliability of Nakayasu Synthetic Unit Hydrograf in Various Watershed Area (Ariani Budi Safarina)	123

	The Impact of Rainfall Variability and Hydrological Regimes on Flood Frequency	Page
17.	(Dyah Indriana Kusumastuti)	131
18.	Analysis of Rainfall Station Spatial Distribution Based on The Distribution of Physiomorphydro Zone in West Java Province	137
	(Iwan Setiawan and Dede Rohmat)	
19.	Preliminary Assessment of Environmental Flow in Way Sekampung River an Effort to Reduce Environmental Risk	145
	(Endro P. Wahono)	
20.	Longterm Simulation of Phythoplankton Dynamics by Object Oriented Model to Control Eutrophication in The Jatiluhur Reservoir	153
	(Eko Winar Irianto, R. W. Triweko and D. Yudianto)	
21.	Pollution in Jatiluhur Reservoir A Time Bomb for Jakarta and West Java (Rapiali Zainuddin)	161
Coa	stal Engineering and Sediment Transport	
	Computer Simulation Using Duflow for Designing Water Management Improvement at	107
1.	Reclaimed Tidal Lowland Area of Primer 8 Telang I, South Sumatera (Momon Sodik Imanudin, Sukoco and A. Muis)	167
2.	Integrated Coastal Vulnerability Assesment Model for Bali Island (D.M. Sulaiman, R Wahyudi Triweko and Doddi Yudianto)	175
3.	Low Crested Breakwaters Using Geotube as an Alternative Measures for Beach Erosion Control (Case Study of Pasir Putih Beach, Anyer, Banten Province)	183
	(D.M. Sulaiman, M.E. Sudjana and B.S. Prasetyo)	
4.	Theoretical Approach of Geotextile Tube Stability as a Submerged Coastal Structure	191
	(Chairul Paotonan, Nur Yuwono, R. Triatmadja and Bambang Triatmodjo)	
5.	Two Dimensional Physical Modeling of Sediment Loss Through a Submerged Coastal Structure	199
	(Chairul Paotonan, Nur Yuwono, R. Triatmadja and Bambang Triatmodjo)	
6.	Mangrove Forestry and Fish Skeleton Type of Long Storage Structure as an Integrated Approach in Coastal Protection Against Sea Level Rise (Case Study in Mbay Lowland Rice Field, Nagekeo Regency, NTT Province, Indonesia) (Susilawati and Baki Henong)	207
7.		
	The Effect of Permeable Groin on Longshore Current (Hasdinar Umar, Rifky Surya Pratama, Adi Surya Pranata, Nur Yuwono, Radianta Triatmadja and Nizam)	215
8.	Tsunami Force on Elevated Buildings	
	(Radianta Triatmadja and Any Nurhasanah)	223
9.		
	Performance of Groin Type-I and Type-L in Maintaining Shoreline Stability (Oki Setyandito, Nizam, Nur Yuwono, Radianta Triatmadja and Khusnul Setia Wardani)	230
10.	Analysis of Overflow Structure Dimension for Water Management in a Tidal Lowland Area	238
	(Rosmina Zuchri, Budi Indra Setiawan, Dwi Setyawan and Soewarso)	

		Page
11.	Study of Cyclone and Storm Surge Characteristics in Bay of Bengal (Masaaki Sakuraba and Kazuhisa Iwami)	247
12.	Water Level Control in Tidal Lowland Reclamation to Reduce Flood and Drought Risk (A Case Study in West Kalimantan)	254
	(Nurhayati, Indratmo Soekarno, M. Cahyono and Iwan Kridasantausa)	
13.	Modeling of Water System Condition Due to Reclamation in Upland of Jakarta (Ahmad Mukhlis Firdaus, Hernawan Mahfudz, Dhemi Harlan and Ahmad Syafii Maarif)	261
14.	The Impact of Built Islands on Maximum Water Level at River Mouths in West Part of Jakarta Coast	269
	(A.P. Rahardjo, D. Legono and A.P. Palenga)	
15.	Effectiveness of Filter Backwashing Against Accumulated Cohesive Sediment (Budi Kamulyan, Fatchan Nurrochmad, R. Triatmadja and Sunjoto)	276
16.	Dynamics of Debris Flow Features at Gendol River Before and After 2010 Mount Merapi Eruption	284
	(Wasis Wardoyo, D. Legono, R. Jayadi and T.F. Fathani)	
17.	Development of Collaborative-Based of Lahar Flow Early Warning System for Code River in Yogyakarta	292
	(D. Legono, I.E. Prabowo, T.F. Fathani, D. Karnawati, and A.P. Rahardjo)	
18.	The Influence of Scour and Impact Forces of Lahar Flow on Stability of River Structures	299
	(D. Legono, B. Wignyosukarto and A. Saputra)	
19.	The Influence of Sediment Concentration on Settling Velocity (Wati Asriningsih Pranoto)	305
20.	A Numerical Study of Lateral Dynamics an Associated Transport of Find Sediment in The Mahakam Estuary (Idris Mandang and Tetsuo Yanagi)	311
21.	An Invisible Countermeasure for Flood Mitigation - "Dredging" in Jakarta Emergency Dredging Initiative (JEDI) Project	319
	(Chih-Hsiung, Huang and Chien-Pang, Liu)	
	De la contrata	
	in Drainage and Water Supply	
1.	"Polder" Development in Indonesia Challenges for Urban Drainage Solutions (Joyce Martha Widjaya)	327
2.	The Study of Polder System on Marina Hai Lai Gates Using HEC - RAS (Irpan Harahap, Dhemi Harlan and Hernawan M)	335
3.	Hydraulic Evaluation of Pluit Polder System in DKI Jakarta Province (Dhemi Harlan, Hernawan Mahfudz and Ika Agustin Ningrum)	343
4.	Potency of Small Lake to Reduce Sunter Flood (Trihono Kadri)	351
5.	Water Supply Related Risk Management Lesson Learned from Municipal Water Supply Company (Perusahaan Daerah Air Minum) (Ahmad Lanti)	355

		Page
6.	(Tiny Mananoma, Lamberlus Tanudjaja, Mappy Mutya and Virdandi Sutopo)	363
7.	(Nursetiawan and Dita Wulandari)	376
8.	Reinforcement Operation Against Large Earthquake on Large Scale Water Supply Facilities	377
	(Koichiro Otagaki)	
9.	Estimation of Irrigation Crop Production Risk (Widandi Sutopo and Dwi Priyantoro)	385
10.	Plantation Index In Simphungkalit, L. Budi Triadi and Maruddin Fernandus)	390
11.	The study of Operations and Maintenance on Irrigation	399
12.	Development of Water Stress Index to Assess Water Stress in Jakarta	408
	(Firdaus Ali)	
Soc	cial Economic and Management	417
1.	Integrated Flood Management Model Engaging Stakeholders to Overcome Institutional Problems in Jakarta	
	(Emma Akmalah and Neil S. Grigg)	425
2.	The Necessity of a Dynamic Risk Index Map of Relatively Safe Area During Chaotic Situation of Mount Merapi Eruption	
	(Darmanto, D. Legono, T.F. Fathani, R. Jayadi and A. Sudihatmono)	432
3.	The Effect of Land Use Changes on Low Flow Characteristic in Ciliwung River (Waluyo Hatmoko)	452
4.	Traditional Wisdom of Local People Surrounding Bade Reservoir in Water Resources Conservation Management (A Case Study From Klego District, Boyolali Regency, Central Java Province) (Rahardjanto)	440
5.	Impact of Urbanization in Jabodetabek and It's Countermeasures Jakarta Comprehensive Flood Management (JCFM)	447
	(Takaya Tanaka, Makoto Yonekura and Tadafumi Sato)	
6.	Flood and Water Related Issues in The Upper Citarum River Basin and It's Future Perspectives	455
	(Kenichiro Kato, Mudjiadi, Kazunori Inoue, Hitoki Takada, Takashi Yuasa, Susumu Ishikawa, Mamoru Nakamura and Yasuhiko Morita)	
7.	Assessment of Urbanization Effect on Flood in Scarcely Gauged River Catchment (Mohammad Farid, Akira Mano and Keiko Udo)	463
3.	Gotong Royong in The Digital Age	471
	(J.B. Wagemaker, Melanie Miltenburg, Meidityawati, Bevita D and M. Hartman)	

9.	Enhancement of Public Awareness and Participation on Integrated Water Resources Management (Achmadi Partowijoto)	478 Page
10.	Role of Public Participation in Flood Risk Management (Hisaya Sawano)	486
11.	Project Risk Management in Hydropower Plant Project (A Case Study from The State-owned Electricity Company of Indonesia) (Sarwono HM and W Bernadi Sudirman)	493
12.	Risk Assessment for Krueng Teunom Flood Management (A. Masimin and Zouhrawaty A. Ariff)	501
13.	Evaluation of Erosion Based on GIS and Remote Sensing to Support Integrated Water Resources Conservation Management (Case Study Majunto Watershed, Bengkulu Province-Indonesia) (Gusta Gunawan, Dwita Sutjiningsih and Herr Soeryantono)	509
14.	Water Related Risk Management in Walanae Cenranae River Basin After Tempe Barrage Construction (Subandi, Thomas Raya Tandisau, Malik Dalih and Nizam Lebah)	515
15.	Integrated Disaster Mitigation for Flash Flood (Banjir Bandang) in Indonesia (Toshiyasu Ueno and Keiji Yoshida)	523
16.	Design Flood Information System Development Using Spatial Model for Disaster Mitigation	531
	(Yadi Suryadi, Dian Indrawati, Iwan K. Hadihardaja and Joko Nugroho)	
17.	Managing Stalemate in Dam Projects (Machiko Higa)	539

The impact of rainfall variability and hydrological regimes on flood frequency

Dyah Indriana Kusumastuti Civil Engineering Department, Engineering Faculty, Lampung University (UNILA) JI. Sumantri Brojonegoro 1 Bandar Lampung 35145 INDONESIA Email : kusumast@gmail.com

Abstract: The aim of this paper is to investigates the impact of within-storm rainfall variability and catchment response time and how these interactions are critical in determining flood frequency. Using deterministic rainfall-runoff model, hydrological regimes ranging from fast to slow are identified on the basis of the catchment response time. The model uses generated hourly rainfall time series adapted to climatic condition in Lampung province coupled with a hypothetical catchment using catchment properties suitable to this region. This study highlights the importance of within-storm rainfall pattern in determining flood peaks, particularly in fast regime. It shows that the difference of flood peaks in fast regime resulted from low to high within-storm variability may reach up to 223 %, while those in slow regime resulted from low to high within-storm variability may reach up to 107 %. The results of this study provide insights into the complex interactions between rainfall variability and hydrological regimes in the rainfall-runoff process, which are shown to have a significant impact on the resulting flood frequency curves.

Keywords: within-storm, rainfall variability, hydrological regime, flood frequency

1. INTRODUCTION

Rainfall-runoff transformation is the complex interactions between climatic inputs such as rainfall intensity and evaporation and the landscape properties. For any storm event, the flood peak is a function of storm properties and the response time of the most dominant flood producing process. The dominant flood producing process is determined by temporal scales of rainfall and hydrological regime existent within the catchment. Rainfall intensity exhibits temporal variability at a multiplicity of timescales, consisting of storm, within-storm, between-storm, seasonal, inter-annual and inter-decadal variabilities. Similarly, the catchment rainfall-runoff response is associated with processes such as overland flow, subsurface flows and baseflow which also operate at range of time scales, arising from the multiplicity of pathways that water takes to the catchment outlet and associated travel distances and travel speeds.

The importance of within-storm pattern in the rainfall-runoff transformation in fast regime was first introduced by Robinson and Sivapalan (1997). Fast regime is defined as the regime where the average duration is approximately the same as the concentration time while the interval between storms is much longer than concentration time. In slow regimes, however, multiple storms and seasonality are more dominant. The time scales of subsurface flow and evapotranspiration, and longer time scales associated with rainfall, e.g., seasonality, are also important since together those variables determine the antecedent flow and soil moisture conditions in the catchment as it is established through the catchment's water balance (Jothityangkoon *et al.*, 2001).

The within-storm distribution of rainfall intensity is stochastically governed by an indicator of the variability, where the temporal patterns may be of small, medium or high variably. An investigation into the effect of different temporal patterns and the variability of within-storm rainfall intensity on flood was intensively discussed in Kusumastuti et al. (2007) and Kusumastuti (2009). The inclusion of within-storm rainfall intensity has a consequence that the time window in examining the hydrological process becomes more detail. Thus the timescale of hydrological model should be sufficiently small, e.g. in hourly time step.

The focus of this paper is on systematic analysis of timescales associated with rainfall variability and hydrological regimes and the effects of these on flood frequency behaviour. The aim of this study is to investigate the impact of rainfall variability, particularly within-storm temporal pattern, coupled with hydrological regimes and their impact on flood frequency.

2. METHODOLOGY

2.1. Rainfall Model

The rainfall model is adopted from the work Kusumastuti *et. al.* (2007, 2008a, 2008b) and Kusumastuti (2009) and it was modified to suit the rainfall characteristic in Lampung. Therefore the first thing to be done is examining the rainfall data used in this study to define the average intensity, storm duration and interstorm period as well as the likely within storm pattern. Rainfall data used in this study is collected from Meteorological Bureau BMG Radin Inten, Lampung. Ten years of rainfall data from 2001 – 2010 is collected in hourly basis. Updated from previous study (Kusumastuti, 2009) the statistics of rainfall duration classes from the rainfall data is presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Statistics for Rainfall Duration Classes

Class	Duration Range	Events	$E[i t_r],$ mm/h	$\mathbf{CV}^{2}[i t_{r}]$
1	3 ≤ <i>t</i> /<6	212	4.052	1.328
2	6≤ <i>t</i> ,<10	84	2.198	1.231
3	10≤ <i>t</i> ,<17	38	1.948	1.233
4	17≤ <i>t</i> ,<30	10	1.707	1.086
5	30≤ <i>t</i> ,<100	5	1.430	1.180




Figure 1. The variability of individual storms in the rainfall time series for (a) η =1 and (b) η =3

The rainfall model is capable in generating synthetic time series of rainfall consists of rainfall events where the occurrence, duration, average intensity and intensity pattern in a rainfall event is governed by probability density. The type of statistical distribution used to define rainfall properties and the equations used were explained in detail in previous works mentioned above. In this model, the mean storm intensity is further disaggregated to hourly intensity pattern. The variable which determines the within-storm pattern is called η . The magnitude of this parameter controls the patterns of rainfall variability within the event around the median. The higher the values of η , the more the values tend to be centered around the median. On the other hand, the smaller values of η , the more values are distributed at the extremes. Figure 1 illustrates typical rainfall hyetographs generated by the model for different values of η . The average intensity, and hence the total rainfall volume, is the same in both cases. The simulated patterns show that lower η values generate highly variable, while higher η values generate less variable rainfall, approaching nearly uniform rainfall intensities.

2.2. Rainfall Runoff Model

A conceptual nonlinear bucket model is used in this study. The bucket model is a conceptualisation of the hydrological process within a catchment, which transfers the rainfall input into runoff as a function of precipitation (i), storage (S) and evaporation (E_p). The flow components in the model include subsurface flow (Q_{ss}) and surface flow (Q_{se}). Potential evaporation (E_p) is also partitioned into bare soil evaporation (E_{bs}) and transpiration (E_{veg}). Basically the model assumes that a catchment works like a bucket with two thresholds; a field capacity and bucket capacity thresholds. A field capacity threshold determines that above the threshold sufface runoff occurs and bucket capacity threshold determines that above the threshold sufface runoff occurs. The equation used to describe the process within the catchment is the water balance equation :

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = i(t) - Q_{se}(t) - Q_{ss}(t) - E_{bs}(t) - E_{veg}(t)$$
(1)

The field capacity threshold S_{fc} is a function of catchment-average field capacity, f_c , and the catchment-average soil depth, *D*. The bucket capacity, S_b , is assumed to be equal to $S_b=\Box \phi D$, where ϕ is the catchment-average soil porosity. Based on the available soil depth data, the bucket capacity used for the majority of this study is 500 mm. Potential evaporation is obtained from measured pan evaporation data from Branti Meteorological Bureau, Lampung with an annual pan evaporation of approximately 1700 mm.




Figure 2. Bucket model configuration

The time concentrations used in the deterministic rainfall-runoff model vary from one hour to few days. This illustrates the time concentration from various places in Lampung Province, which can take hours to days. For simulation it is used $t_c = 1$ hour, 1day, 3 days and 7 days.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In order to examine the role of interactions of rainfall and catchment timescales, this study used the water balance equation (Equation 1). In that equation rainfall intensity varies at variety of timescales. Correspondingly, the catchment response is related with different processes which operate at different timescales. Therefore the magnitudes of flood peaks are affected by the interactions between rainfall timescales and timescales of catchment response.

To examine the effect of the extent of within-storm temporal pattern upon flood frequency, rainfall time series with two different η values, i.e. $\eta = 1$ and 3 were taken into account. In addition to within-storm pattern, catchment response time with four different values, i.e. $t_c = 1$ hour, 1 days, 3 days and 7 days were considered. Figure 3 presents the impact of each η value in conjunction with catchment response time upon flood frequency. The graph shows that the variation of within-storm pattern significantly impacts the magnitude of flood peaks at small catchment response time (Figure 3a) and has lesser impact toward greater catchment response times.

Based on the hydrologic response of a catchment expressed in water balance, the impact of withinstorm variability representing variability at small timescales, is most significant for a fast response mechanism such as surface runoff. Conversely, mechanisms with large timescales such as subsurface flow will attenuate the small timescale variability. Within-storm patterns have an observable impact upon the flood frequency curves as shown in Figure 3, where at the same return period the highest flood peaks were produced by the larger variability of within storm pattern or the lower η . Table 2 confirms that the difference of flood peaks resulted from those two within-storm temporal pattern is about 2.23 times and 1.47 times at return periods of 100 and 10 years respectively for $t_c = 1$ hour. For $t_c = 7$ days the difference of flood peaks resulted from those two within-storm temporal pattern is about 1.07 times and 1.02 times at return periods of 100 and 10 years respectively. Furthermore, Table 2 also presents the impact of catchment response time on flood frequency, where flood peaks, using high within storm variability, at return periods of 100 years for $t_c = 1$ hour is 2.38 times of that for $t_c = 7$ days. Using low within storm variability, flood peak at return periods of 100 years for $t_c = 1$ hour is 1.14 times of that for $t_c = 7$ days.

In the theory of hydrological regime in the subregion (Robinson and Sivapalan, 1997) with the small values of t_c the catchment responds so fast that the discharge hydrograph is the same as the rainfall hyetograph. In contrast, with high values of t_c the catchment responds so slowly that it does not differentiate between storm and interstorm periods. Discharge will be governed by the history of previous rainfall events and seasonal rainfall. This is called very slow regime. Those two regimes correspond to extreme regimes. Other regimes such as fast, slow and intermediate regimes will be governed to smaller extent by the effects of fine-scale structure (within-storm patterns) and long-timescale variation (previous rainfall history). The selection of catchment response time in this study represents fast to slow regimes. Catchment response time $t_c = 1$ hour can be defined as fast regime, this is identified by the significance of within-storm rainfall pattern in affecting the flood peaks (Figure 3a). Catchment response time $t_c = 1$ to 3 days can be considered as intermediate regimes where smaller extent of within-storm pattern and previous rainfall history have impact on flood peaks (Figure 3b and c). Catchment response time $t_c = 7$ days represents slow regime identified by much smaller degree of within-storm pattern and greater degree of previous rainfall history upon flood peaks.

Some of these implications of the various hydrological regimes correspond to flood peaks have been recognized in hydrology and widely used in flood estimation. For example, flood estimation in urban catchments relies heavily on the use of rainfall temporal patterns. Similarly, in the rational method of flood estimation for small urban and nonurban catchments, the duration of the design storm usually is considered the same as the catchment response time (Pilgrim, 1987). On the other hand, slow regimes are generally related to large river catchments. Catchment response from such catchment is sensitive to multiple storms. The result of this study highlights the importance of the use of within-storm pattern in fast regime. It is observed from other studies about catchment hydrology in Lampung province (Kusumastuti and Jokowinarno, 2009 and Suhendra, 2011) that some sub catchments, especially those located in urban catchments and heavily populated, have catchment response time in few hours or even less than an hour. Therefore it is important to consider within-storm rainfall pattern in the flood estimation.




Figure 3. Flood frequency curves generated by rainfall-runoff model using η =1.0; and 3.0 for (a) t_c = 1 hour, (b) t_c = 1 day, (c) t_c = 3 days and (d) t_c = 7 days.

Flood Peaks (mm/h)				
Catchment	At return perio	d of 100 years	00 years At return period of 10 year	
response time	<i>η</i> = 1	$\eta = 3$	<i>η</i> = 1	$\eta = 3$
$t_c = 1$ hour	64.34	28.85	24.76	16.83
$t_c = 1 \text{ day}$	36.00	25.52	22.85	16.57
$t_c = 3 \text{ days}$	28.74	25.29	18,10	16.42
$t_c = 7 \text{ days}$	26.98	25.14	16.80	16.38

Table 2. Flood peaks related to catchment response time and within-storm pattern

4. CONCLUSION

This paper has addressed the question with respect to flood frequency, i.e. what is the impact of rainfall variability, particularly within-storm temporal pattern, and hydrological regimes on flood frequency. Within-storm rainfall pattern is significant in fast hydrological regime as surface runoff generation mostly generated at small catchment response time. In slow hydrological regime within-storm pattern has very less impact compared to multiple storms occurred previously which are more dominant in affecting the flood peaks.

REFERENCES

- Jothityangkoon, C. and Sivapalan, M., 2001, Temporal scales of rainfall-runoff processes and spatial scaling of flood peaks: Space-time connection through catchment water balance, Adv. Water Resour., 24(9–10), 1015–1036, 2001.
- Kusumastuti, D.I., Struthers, I., Sivapalan, M., and Reynolds, D.A., 2007, Threshold effects in catchment storm response and the occurrence and magnitude of flood events: implications for flood frequency, *Hidrological and Environmental System Science Journal*, Special Issue : Thresholds and Pattern Dynamics, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 11, 1515–1528, 2007
- Kusumastuti, D.I. Sivapalan, M., Struthers, I., Reynolds, D.A., Murray, K., and Turlach, B.A., 2008, Thresholds in the storm response of a catchment-lake system and the occurrence and magnitude of lake overflows: Implications for flood frequency. Water Resour Res, VOL. 44, W02438, doi:10.1029/ 2006WR005628, 2008
- Kusumastuti, D.I. Sivapalan, M., Struthers, I., Reynolds, D.A. 2008, Thresholds in the storm response of a lake chain system and the occurrence and magnitude of lake overflows: Implications for flood frequency. Advances in Water Resources, 31, 1651-1661.
- Kusumastuti, D.I. 2009. The impact of within-storm temporal pattern on flood frequency; Application on a catchment in Lampung. International Journal of Science Engineering and Technology, 2(3), 1-6.
- Kusumastuti, D.I. and Jokowinarno, D. 2009. Pengembangan unit hidrograf satuan terukur pertama di Lampung; Inovasi dalam mitigasi bencana banjir. Laporan akhir Hibah Strategis Nasional, Dikti.
- Pilgrim, D.H. (Ed). Australian Rainfall and Runoff: A Guide to Flood Estimation, 3rd ed., vol. 1, Inst. Of Eng. Aust., Canberra, A.C.T., 1987

- Robinson, J. and Sivapalan, M. Temporal scales and hydrological regimes: implications for flood frequency scaling. Water Resour Res 1997; 33(12): 2981-2999
- Suhendra, D. 2011. Pengembangan hidrograf satuan terukur (HST) Way Kuala Garuntang; Perbandingan HST Bagian Tengah dan Hilir Sungai. Skripsi, Jurusan Teknik Sipil Fakultas Teknik Universitas Lampung.