

ISBN : 978-602-0860-11-4



PROCEEDING

INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE **1ST SHIELD 2016**

Bandar Lampung, November 2-3, 2016



Post Graduate Program
University of Lampung



Institute of Research
and Community Service

 **BANK BRI**
Melayani Dengan Setulus Hati

LEMBAR PENGESAHAN

Judul : **EROSION PREDICTION WITH SEDIMENT DELIVERY RATIO APPROACH OF SEKAMPUNG WATERSHEED**

Penulis : Nano Suryono, Slamet Budi Yuwono, Melya Riniarti, Irwan Sukri Banuwa

NIP : 196412231994031003

Instansi : Fakultas Pertanian, Universitas Lampung

Publikasi : Proceedings International Conference 1st Shield 2016 Hal 613-625
ISBN: 978-602-0860-11-4

Impact Factor : -

Penerbit : Postgraduate Program University Of Lampung And Research And Community Service Of University Of Lampung


URL/ web : <http://repository.lppm.unila.ac.id/2555/>

Mengetahui,
Dekan Fakultas Pertanian
Universitas Lampung

Bandar Lampung, 01 Maret 2021

Penulis




Prof. Dr. Ir. Irwan Sukri Banuwa, M.Si.
NIP 196411020198603 1002

Dr. Ir. Slamet Budi Yuwono, M.S.
NIP 196412231994031003

Menyetujui:
Ketua Lembaga Penelitian dan Pengabdian Kepada Masyarakat
Universitas Lampung


Dr. Lusmeilia Afriani, D.E.A.
NIP. 196505101993032008

DOKUMENTASI LEMBAGA PENELITIAN DAN PENGABDIAN KEPADA MASYARAKAT UNIVERSITAS LAMPUNG	
TGL	14/04/2021
NO INVEN	72/P/B/1/7P/2021
JENIS	Presiding
PARAF	l

Proceeding of International Conference 1st SHIELD 2016

Bandar Lampung, November 2-3, 2016

ISBN: 978-602-0860-11-4

Publisher:

Post Graduate Program, University of Lampung
Institute of Research and Community Service

WELCOME ADDRESS**Report by the Organizing Committee****Dear distinguished guests and participant**

In this globalization era, advancement in science and technology has led to remarkable gains in life. However, despite the remarkable gains, many countries particularly Asian countries face inequalities and uneven progress. Even worse, these countries are facing many problems such as poverty, terrorism, drug abuse, and other social issues. These problems are complex and multidimensional. We should give a real contribution to solving these problems. Because the problems are multidimensional, we need people from cross-disciplinary interests to work hand in hand with strong commitment, not only to face, but also to change these problems into opportunities.

Therefore, the Postgraduate Program in collaboration with Institute of Research and Community Service of University of Lampung provides a place for academicians, practitioners, policy makers, researchers and professionals from multi-disciplines related to Social Sciences and Humanities, Economics, Education, Law, and Sustainable Development to meet and interact with members inside and outside their own particular disciplines. All participants are challenged to give their real contribution to helping solve the real-world problems.

At this first international conference, 93 research articles were submitted from 4 countries. The authors are academicians, practitioners, policy makers, researchers and professionals. This conference aims to share information and discuss recent developments and innovations arising from research in a wide range of disciplines. Through this conference, it is expected that the research articles can be documented and communicated throughout the countries.

I would like to thank you for your participation and looking forward to having productive discussion among participants.

Sincerely yours,

Professor Muhammad Akib

**Remarks by the Director of the
Graduate Program of University
of Lampung**

Honorable keynote speakers, committees, participants, ladies and gentlemen.

It gives me a great pleasure to welcome all of you and chair the Opening Ceremony this morning to the “to the First SHIELD International Seminar”, jointly organized by Postgraduate Program and Institute for Research and Public Services, the University of Lampung. Also, we’d like to say how grateful we are to all the keynote speakers who have accepted our invitation. Also, we are delighted to have all of participants here to participate and share in the First SHIELD International Seminar.

Along with an increase in the activity of national development and the dynamic development of the international world due to globalization, then it will always be followed by the emergence of complex social, humanity, economics, education, law and sustainable development issues. Therefore, the University of Lampung (which has a vision to be the best 10 among public universities nationwide, a mission to be a world class research university, and as the third largest state university outside Java Island) feels compelled to draw up concepts and provide solutions to the various issues.

In relation to the issues, practically the University of Lampung through its Postgraduate Program in collaboration with its Institute for Research and Public Services organizes the first International Seminar with such themes as social, humanities, economics, education, law and sustainable development. This international seminar presents several speakers who come from leading universities in the world. These activities are held in Lampung, which is one area that has a nationally important role, because it is the gateway of Sumatra Island and is strategically located for the development progress.

As the arena for discussion, communication, and enrichment of the knowledge of participants; this seminar is expected to provide a significant contribution to capturing opportunities from the development of science today. This seminar is intended to function as a forum among the participants from various walks of life for dissemination of research results in the fields of social, humanities, education, economics, law and sustainable development. The participants include practitioners, researchers, academics, students, industrialists and science observers from various organizations such as industries, state-owned enterprises, research institutions, government agencies, and public and private universities.

To expand the horizons of thinking for the participants and to share the experiences of international research from world experts, this international seminar invites four keynote speakers from four countries who will present their main papers. These speakers are:

1. Prof. Ryohei Kada from Shijyonawate Gakuen University, Japan
2. Prof. Michael Reed from the University of Kentucky, USA
3. Prof. Dr. M. Reevanny Bustami from Universiti Sains Malaysia (USM), Malaysia.
4. Dr. Herlambang P. from Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia.

We are honored to have you all the speakers here in this seminar, and thank you for being our keynote speakers in this seminar.

Finally, I do hope that this seminar can run well and all participants can participate actively.

Sincerely yours,
Rector,

Prof. Dr. Hasriadi Mat Akin

ORGANIZING COMMITTEE

The composition of the Advisory Board:

Prof. Dr. Ir. Hasriadi Mat Akin, M.P. (Rektor Unila)

Prof. Dr. Bujang Rahman, M.Si. (Wakil Rektor I Unila)

Prof. Dr. Ir. M. Kamal, M.Sc. (Wakil Rektor II Unila)

Prof. Dr. Sudjarwo, M.S. (Direktur Pascasarjana Unila)

Warsono, Ph.D. (Ketua Lembaga Penelitian dan Pengabdian Kepada Masyarakat Unila)

Streering Group:

Chairman : Prof. Dr. Muhammad Akib, S.H., M.Hum.

Secretary : Rara Diantari, S.Pi., M.Sc.

Treasurer : Dr. Ir. Slamet Budi Yuwono, M.S.
Berta Putri S.Si., M.Si.

Seminar Session

Coordinator : Dr. Melya Riniarti

Member : Yuliana Saleh, S.P., M.S.
Purba Sanjaya, S.P., M.S.
Tiara. A.R.Hernanda, S.P., M.Si.

Section Secretariat and Proceedings

Coordinator : Aristoteles, M.Si.

Member : Siti Khoiriah, S.H., M.H.
Acib Saputra, S.Pi.
Septi Malida, S.Pi.
Rifaldhi Ardhi Wiyanto
Eria Ayu Ningtias
Cinda Marsya D
Zainnur

Equipment Committee

Coordinator : Sulaemi, S.H.

Consumption Committee

Coordinator : Giarti
Nurmiyati

Reviewer

Coordinator : Dr. Zainal Abidin
Member : Rudy, S.H., LL.M., LL.D
Dr. Yuliansyah
Dr. Vivit Bertoven
Dr. Sukirlan
Dr. Samsul Bakri
Dr. Hasan Hariri
Rudi Natamihardja, SH.,D.E.A



TABLE OF CONTENT

Tittle and Writer	Page
PROTECTION OF HERITAGE BUILDINGS IN THE SEMARANG CITY AS NATIONAL CULTURAL HERITAGE THROUGH REVIEWS VARIOUS INSTRUMENTS CONSTITUTION Aristo Evandy A.Barlian	1-12
LEGAL PROTECTION OF THE VILLAGE OF NATURAL RESOURCES FORESTS Agus Surono	13-30
DISPARITIES ON THE CONDEMNATION Ade Kurniawan Muharram, Rini Fatonah	31-41
DEATH PENALTY ON INDONESIA CRIMINAL LAW Eddy Rifai , H.S. Tisnanta,Tomy Pasca Rifai	42-55
ISLAMIC OBLIGATION (SUKUK), BUDGETING ALTERNATIVE FOR NATIONAL DEVELOPMENT Nunung Rodliyah, Ade Oktariatas KY	56-65
AN EFFORTS TO CONTROL CORRUPTION THROUGH THE CONVENTION OF UNITED NATION CONVENTION AGAINST CORRUPTION (UNCAC) AND ITS IMPLEMENTATION IN INDONESIA Yunita Maya Putri	66-74
LABOUR WAGES STEVEDORING; ABANDONMENT OF LABOUR PROTECTION Satria Prayoga, Arief Triwibowo	75-85
THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF PUBLIC INFORMATION FREEDOM ON CRIMINAL CASES IN THE COURT Maroni and Nenny Dwi Ariani	86-101

THE OWNERSHIP OF GENETIC RESOURCES TRADITIONALLY UTILISED FOR HEALTH FROM THE PERSPECTIVE OF INTELLECTUAL PROPERTYRIGHTS	102-114
Efridani Lubis	
STANDARD CONTRACT WHICH CONTAIN THE EXONERATION CLAUSE IN CONNECTION WITH EMPOWERING SMEs	115-128
Firmandes Sisko	
MARINE ENVIRONMENTAL POLLUTION IMPACTS ON HUMANS AND OTHER LIVING CREATURES	129-141
Eka Intan Putri and Tita Hidella	
LEGISLATIVE FUNCTION BASED ON DEMOCRATIC ECONOMY	142-154
James Reinaldo Rumpia	
THE ROLE OF THE GOVERNMENT OF BANDAR LAMPUNG CITY IN THE MANAGEMENT AND DEVELOPMENT OF WASTEWATER SYSTEM ON MICRO, SMALL AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISES (SMEs)	155-161
Muhammad Akib, Fathoni	
THE SHIELD OF TRANSFORMATION OF CONSTITUTIONAL SOVEREIGNTY: THE JUGMENT OF CONSTITUTIONAL COURT	162-171
Utia Meylina, Rudy	
POSTPONEMENT CASE OF IMPORTED GARLIC THROUGH AFFILIATE RELATIONS : TRADE CONSPIRACY	172-183
Rilda Murniati, Anggun Ariena Rahman	
LAW AS AN INSTRUMENT TO PROTECT INDONESIAN DOMESTIC AGRICULTURE COMODITIES AGAINTS THE IMPORTED ONE TO CREATE ECONOMIC WELFARE AND SOCIAL JUSTICE	184-194
M Farid Al-Rianto	
VILLAGE OF FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT	195-203
Siti Khoiriah	
UTILIZATION OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY AND ELECTRONIC TRANSACTIONS OF INSURANCE	204-219
Sri Zanariyah, Indriyani	
TAX AMNESTY AND FAIRNESS IN TAXATION BASED ON THE TAX AMNESTY ACT NO.11 OF 2016	220-227
Yuswanto, Marlia Eka Putri	
THE REGULATION OF CABOTAGE PRINCIPLE IN THE UNITED NATIONS CONVENTION ON THE LAW OF THE SEA 1982 (UNCLOS 1982) AND THE IMPLEMENTATION IN INDONESIA	228-242
Desy Churul Aini	

DUE DILIGENT, TOLLS TO CORPORATE RESPONSIBILITY ON HUMAN RIGHT Rehulina Tarigan	243-254
THE LEGAL STATUS OF PROCEEDING LAND RIGHT THAT IS OBTAINED BY AUCTION BASED ON COUNTRY'S PRECEDENCE RIGHT Kurnia Martini Dwi Putri	255-264
THE URGENCY OF SANCTIONS FOR VIOLATORS OF CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY (CSR) FOR IMPROVING OF THE WELFARE OF SOCIETY Pujiyono, Jamal Wiwoho	265-282
FORUM NON-CONVENIENCE: CAN INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATION BE SUED IN INDONESIAN COURT OF LAW? Gunawan Widjaja	283-291
DIGITAL SHARING CASES AND JAPANESE COPYRIGHT ENFORCEMENT Bayu Sujadmiko, Nobuhide Otomo, Ahmad Sopyan	292-308
JURIDICAL REVIEW OF INTERNATIONAL AND TRANSNATIONAL CRIME BASED ON INTERNATIONAL LAW Desia Rakhma Banjarani, Desy Churul Aini	309-328
THE DECONSTRUCTION OF THE LAW ON THE VOTE GAP LIMITATION OF THE PARLIAMENTARY THRESHOLD IN THE PETITION FOR CANCELLATION IN THE DETERMINATION OF VOTE COUNTS IN CONSTITUTIONAL COURT Anisa Cahaya Pratiwi, Darwin Yohanes Manalu, Dedi Putra, I Ketut Dharma Putra Yoga	329-341
IUU FISHING IN INDONESIA, ARE ASEAN MEMBER STATES RESPONSIBLE FOR? Ema Septaria	342-352
INTERNATIONAL SALE AND PURCHASE AGREEMENTS ACCORDING TO CISG 1980 AND THE IMPLEMENTATION IN INDONESIA Siti Azizah	353-363
REFLECTING THE ROLE OF INDIVIDUAL IN GLOBALIZED WORLD: A STUDY CASE ON JULIAN ASSANGE AND WIKILEAKS Andi Windah	364-376

THE DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY OF POTENTIAL MARINE TOURISM IN LAMPUNG SELATAN FOR FACING GLOBAL TORISM COMPETITION	377-386
Rahayu Sulistiowati, Endry Fatimaningsih, Devi Yulianti, Dewie Brima Atika	
POLITICS CAMPAIGNS : CHALLENGE AND IDEALITY	387-397
Robi Cahyadi Kurniawan	
PATRON-CLIENTS IN LAMPUNG GOVERNOR ELECTION 2014	398-411
Robi Cahyadi Kurniawan	
A MANAGERIAL COMPETENCY MODELING: THRESHOLD AND DIFFERENTIATING COMPETENCIES AT VARIOUS MANAGEMENT LEVELS	412-422
Jeni Wulandari	
ANALYSIS OF GENDER IN ACCESS, PARTICIPATION AND CONTROL OF FOREST RESOURCES, AND WATERSHED OF KECAMATAN KEBUN TEBU IN KABUPATEN LAMPUNG BARAT	423-427
Ari Darmastuti, Feni Rosalia, Dwi Wahyu Handayani	
IBM FOR THE COASTAL WOMEN'S GROUP IN KECAMATAN PANJANG KOTA BANDAR LAMPUNG WITH PROBLEM OF WASTE RECYCLE PRODUCT MARKETING AND MINIMUM AWARENESS TOWARD COASTAL ENVIRONMENT	428-437
Dwi Wahyu Handayani, Yuni Ratnasari, Teuku Fahmi, Moh. Nizar	
VOTING BEHAVIOUR IN 2014 LEGISLATIVE ELECTION IN LAMPUNG TIMUR REGENCY (CASE STUDY IN DESA GUNUNG MULYO SEKAMPUNG UDIK DISTRICT AND DESA RAJABASA BATANGHARI SUKADANA DISTRICT)	438-453
Himawan Indrajat, Darmawan Purba	
THE COOPERATION DEVELOPMENT OF ONE STOP INTEGRITY ZONE SERVICES BETWEEN METRO AND LAMPUNG TENGAH	454-460
Dedy Hermawan, Dian Kagungan, Yulia Neta	
POLICY IMPLEMENTATION ON CIVIL SERVANT RECRUITMENT BASED ON CMPUTER ASSISSTED TEST IN LAMPUNG (THE STUDY ON THE RECRUITMENT OF CPNSD METRO IN 2014)	461-468
Feni Rosalia, Dian Kagungan, Devi Yulianti	
REFORM OF THE BUREAUCRACY AND LOCAL WISDOM: STUDY ON PRINCIPLES OF LAMPUNG CULTURAL VALUES	469-477
Yulianto, Nana Mulyana, Simon S.Hutagalung	
GOVERNANCE AND EDUCATION ACCELERATION FRAMEWORK IN LAMPUNG PROVINCE	478-491
Simon S. Hutagalung, Nana Mulyana, Izzul Fatchu	

THE MOTIVATION LEVEL AND IT EFFECT ON AGRI-EXTENTION WORKER'S PERFORMANCE IN SOUTH OGAN KOMERING ULU (OKU) DISTRICT	492-505
Tiara A.P. Hernanda, Anna Fatchiya, Ma'mun Sarma	
COOPERATIVE GAME THEORY APPLICATION IN THE BLACKBIRD BROODS FOOD ALLOCATION	506-528
Intan Sherlin, F. Thuijsman, JJM. Derks	
STORE ATMOSPHERE DIMENSIONS EFFECT ON CONSUMER REPURCHASE INTENTION (STUDY IN CHANDRA SUPER STORE TANJUNG KARANG).	529-543
Faila Shofa	
THE EFFECT OF FINANCIAL LEVERAGE, PROFITABILITY, AND COMMISSIONER BOARD ON ORGANIZATIONAL PERFORMANCE: MEDIATED BY CORPORATE SOCIAL PERFORMANCE	544-558
Wijaya Triwacananingrum	
SWITCHING VALUE OF FEASIBILITY INVESTMENT ON PATIN AQUACULTURE BUSINESS IN KOTA GAJAH, CENTRAL LAMPUNG	559-570
Sutarni , Fitriani, Bina Unteawaty	
CHILDREN RESPONSE TO CO BRANDED PRODUCTS (SURVEY ON BANDAR LAMPUNG MCDONALD`S HAPPY MEAL BUYER)	571-591
Dorothy Rouly Haratua Pandjaitan	
ANALYSIS OF SOCIAL MEDIA ETHICS	592-597
Toni Wijaya	
VALUE CHAIN SYSTEM OF ANCHOVY PROCESSING CLUSTER IN PULAU PASARAN, BANDAR LAMPUNG	598-612
Muhhammad zaini, Muhammad Irfan Affandi, Adia Nugraha	
EROSION PREDICTION WITH SEDIMENT DELIVERY RATIO APPROACH OF SEKAMPUNG WATERSHED	613-625
Nano Suryono, Slamet Budi Yuwono, Melya Riniarti, Irwan Sukri Banuwa	
UTILIZING GRAMMARLY IN TEACHING WRITING RECOUNT TEXT THROUGH GENRE BASED APPROACH	626-634
Erni Yulianti	
THE MULTIPLE TECHNIQUES CORRELATED WITH STUDENTS' ENGLISH WRITING OUTCOME AND THEIR LEARNING PERCEPTION	635-643
Eka Pra Setiyawati	

THE EFFECTIVENESS OF CORE CONTENT-BASED INTEGRATED INSTRUCTIONAL DESIGN IN ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS	644-653
Een Y. Haenilah	
INVESTIGATING THE EFFECT OF IMPLICIT LEARNING STRATEGY TRAINING ON STUDENTS' ENGLISH PROFICIENCY TEST ACHIEVEMENT	654-663
Fitri Agustin, Ag. Bambang Setiyadi, Muhammad Sukirlan	
INFLUENCE OF NUMBERED HEADS TOGETHER (NHT) MODEL TO INCREASE LEARNING OUTCOMES	664-682
Edutivia Mardetini	
LKPD DEVELOPMENT TO FASILITATE COMUNICATION DISPOSITION	683-692
Selvi Loviana, Tina Yunarti, Haninda Bharata	
LKPD DEVELOPMENT IN TERMS OF CRITICAL THINKING DISPOSITION	693-701
Wiwin Eni Maryanti, Tina Yunarti, Sugeng Sutiarto	
THE DEVELOPMENT OF MEDIA MIND MAP WITH FREEMIND APPLICATIONS ON COURSE INTRODUCTION OF MANAGEMENT IN ECONOMIC EDUCATION STUDIES PROGRAM FKIP SRIWIJAYA UNIVERSITY	702-713
Firmansyah, Fitriyanti	
DEVELOPING COOPERATION ECONOMIC MODEL IN JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL THROUGH THE ROLE OF SCHOOL COMMITTEE'S IMPROVEMENT IN BANDAR LAMPUNG	714-721
Erlina Rufaidah	
DEVELOPING OF MATERIALS TEACHING IN CONOMIC MATERIALS ACTIVITIES CONSUMER AND PRODUCER IN SMA STATE 2 GEDONGTATAAN PESAWARAN DISTRICT	722-730
Erlina Rufaidah	

Erosion Prediction with Sediment Delivery Ratio Approach of Sekampung Watershed (Study in Watershed of Sekampung – Argoguruh Dam)

Nano Suryono¹, Slamet Budi Yuwono², Melya Riniarti² and Irwan Sukri Banuwa²

¹) Forestry Departement, Agricultural Faculty, University of Lampung

²)Lecture Forestry Departement, Agricultural Faculty, University of Lampung
Jl. Soemantri Brojonegoro No. 1 Bandar Lampung

Email: nanodipasena@yahoo.com

Phone: +6285709316226

Abstract

Erosion is one of the problem in the Sekampung Watershed. Increased rate of erosion would increase amount of sediment that entering the river. The Sekampung Watershed Sekampung is quite important in Lampung Province, because it is used as a source of agricultural irrigation, hydropower (Hydroelectric Power Plant) and will be planned as water supply to PDAM (Regional Drinking Water Company), therefore the amount of sediment carried by the river flow needs to be researched. This reasearch aimed to estimate the erosion in Sekampung Watershed. This research used two methods to estimate the erosion there are SDR (Sediment Delivery Ratio) metode and USLE (Universal Soil Loss Equation) method, and that were calculated with the help of GIS (Geographic Information System). The amount of erosion using SDR method to 58.49 tons/ha/yr. Meanwhile estimation of erosion by USLE method were 112.86 tons/ha/yr.

Keywords: Erosion, SDR, Watershed of Sekampung.

1. Introduction

Watershed is a unit area of land which is a unity with the river and sub rivers that serves collecting, storing, and flowing the water from rainfall to the lake or into the sea naturally, the border on land is a topographical separator and border in the sea is until water area that still affected by land activities (Law No. 37 of 2014). Sekampung Watershed has the second largest area in Lampung Province. This condition make Watershed Sekampung becomes the foundation of today's society. The utilization of Sekampung Watershed such as a source of agricultural irrigation, hydropower (Hydroelectric Power Plant) and will be planned as a source of raw water by PDAM (Regional Water Company) Rilau Way. The Utilization of Sekampung River (the main river in Sekampung Watershed) as a source of water supply to PDAM Way Rilau by taking the water around 2,500 liters per second. It is to serve around 42000-44000 households customers. The amount is equivalent to 220,000 inhabitants. The statement was described by Mayor of Bandar Lampung (Sihaloho, 2015).

Condition of Sekampung Watershed that is beneficial to the community nowadays is even more alarming. The water flow of Sekampung River noawadays becoming muddier, it signifies the high erosion in the upstream watershed. In line with the results of Banuwa et al. (2008) that Sekampung upstream Watershed area of 42.400 ha is now very urgent to

deal with, because the land use in most of the upstream areas had had been changed into agricultural land.

This condition causes the decreasing of water quality of Sekampung River, whereas it will be planned as a source of raw water and other purposes, so it needs to take watershed management efforts. The result of erosion prediction in a Watershed can be used as the basis for watershed management, particularly in term of land use (Asdak, 2007).

Sediment load calculation based on total suspended solid is one way to predict the amount of erosion in a watershed with sediment load. The statement described by Asdak (2007) if the sediment load calculation can be used as a erosion prediction material with SDR (Sediment Delivery Ratio) method. Another common method used to estimate the amount of erosion is USLE (Universal Soil Loss Equation) method.

This research aims to gain erosion prediction values by using SDR method and comparing those values to the prediction of erosion using USLE method. The resulting value is then compared with the values of erosion prediction using TSL method that had been obtained through the calculation. Simulation of land improvements carried out based on Act of Forestry No. 41/1999 article 18 paragraph 2, where erosion obtained exceeds the TSL value.

2. Research Methodology

Sediment sampling is the first step of erosion prediction using SDR method. Before the sediment samples were taken, the depth of the river should be determined in advance, using a pole and meter tape. Installation of water tool sampling was conducted at three depths of the river, with the position at the base of the pole, in the central part and the surface of the water. Then plunged the pole that already attached with water taker tools into the river and then pull the lever that was connected with the water taker tool. It aimed to get water samples from three different depths. The water samples were obtained then mixed and taken about one liter.

Sediment sampling was conducted fifteen times in the wet months (April 2015) and fifteen times the dry season (in September 2015). Sampling was conducted at the Argoguruh Dam outlet. The purpose of fifteen times repetitions in sampling was to obtain a variety of data that can represent the state of the river in that month. Samples that had been obtained, then was dried using a heater (cooker) then dried again until the dry weight of the air using an oven with a temperature of 110°C for 2 x 24 hours.

The sediment samples that had been dried and weighed in gram, would be the C_s (sediment concentration) value. The value would be calculated with the value of river flow, the result of the calculation would be Q_s (discharge of sediment transport) value. River flow that was used come from three discharge data (average daily river flow in last 10 years, the average daily river flow in wet months and dry months and daily river flow at the time of sampling). Value of sediment load (SY) is obtained by entering Q_s value in the SY value equation that described by Permenhut No. 61 in 2014. The SDR value is obtained using equation Bouce (1975, in Alimuddin 2012).

$$\text{SDR} = 0,41 \times A^{(-0,31)}$$

(1)

note :

A = Watershed Area (ha)

SDR = Sediment Delivery Ratio (Bouce, 1975 in Alimuddin, 2012).

Value sediment Yield (SY) which has been obtained is then calculated using the value of the SDR. SY and the SDR value calculation would result in erosion. The calculation of the value erosion by Regulation Ministry of Forestry Regulation no. 61 in 2014.

$$A = SY : SDR$$

(2)

note :

SY = Sediment Yield (ton/ha/yr)

A = erosion (ton/ha/th)

SDR = Sediment Delivery Ratio

Prediction of further erosion using USLE (Universal Soil Loss Equation). Estimation of erosion by USLE method is based on the equation Wischmeier and Smith (1978, in Banuwa 2013). USLE method has several factors that need to be calculated and in the first set.

$$A = R \times K \times L \times S \times C \times P$$

(3)

note:

A : Erosion loss per unit Area

R : The Rainfall and runoff factor

K : The Soil Erodibility Factor

L : The Slope-length Factor

S : The Sope-steepnes Factor

C : The Cover Manajement Factor

P : The Erosion-control practice Factor (Wischmeier dan Smith, 1978 in Banuwa, 2013).

Rain erosivity factor (R) obtained by collecting rainfall data over the last 5 years at four sites scattered rain posts at the research site. Rainfall respectively calculated using Lenvain DHV equation (1989, in Asdak 2007). The results of calculation of the monthly erosivity value, then summed in a single year and the data is averaged with the last 5 years, we will get the value of the average annual R. Rain territorial division performed with the help of GIS using Thessen Polygon menu.

Soil erodibility value factor (K) can be determined by overlaying a map of soil types into Sekampung Watershed maps. K value is obtained by comparing the type of soil based on the value of K, defined by Yuwono (2011).

The length and steepness of the slope factor (LS) can be determined by overlaying maps of slope into Sekampung Watershed maps. Values obtained by entering a value LS slope and slope length between 1 to 20 meters, then calculated based on the value equation, described by Arsyad LS (2006).

Vegetation cover factor, and the use of conservation techniques (CP) .Can be determined by overlaying a map of the land use map into Sekampung Watershed. Cp

values obtained by comparing the value of land use into forecasts CP factors on various types of land use based Abdurachman et al (1984, in Asdak 2007).

The calculation of TSL (Tolerable Soil Loss) value or erosion that can be tolerated by the Wood and Dent equation (1983), described in Banuwa (2013). The depth equivalent value (DE) in the calculation of TSL, obtained by drilling the ground at 9 locations to get the value of the effective depth of the soil and the depth factor value is determined by Hammer (1981, described in Arsyad 2006). Minimum soil depth value (dmin) is obtained by analyzing the use of land with dmin value of each type of plant and the age of land use (UGT) and the rate of soil formation (LPT) is determined by Wood and Dent (1983, described in Banuwa 2013).

Analysis of the results of erosion prediction with IE (Erosion Index) is based on Regulation No. 61 2014. Simulation of Sekampung Watershed management using GIS (C factor improvements as much as 30%) based on Act Forestry No. 41/1999 Article 18, paragraph 2.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Results

From the sediment samplin was obtained sediment concentration values Cs contained in one liter of water samples. The water samples were taken at three different depths for two months (April 2015 and September 2015). Cs value in wet month showed greater value than the value of Cs in the dry months. Comparison Cs value was 1: 8. Cs value can be seen in Table 1.

Table 1. Data sediment concentration (Cs) in the Rainy season (April) and a dry Season (September)

Location: Sekampung, Outlet Dam Argoguruh Tigeneneng				
Rainy season			Dry Season	
o	Date	Cs (mg/liter)	Date	C s (mg/liter)
	02-	763	11-	6
	Apr-15	.40	Sep-15	3.70
	04-	365	12-	1
	Apr-15	3.90	Sep-15	8.00
	06-	957	14-	9
	Apr-15	.60	Sep-15	4.40
	08-	307	15-	2
	Apr-15	8.80	Sep-15	5.10
	10-	414	16-	1
	Apr-15	8.30	Sep-15	7.00
	12-	679	17-	1
	Apr-15	.70	Sep-15	51.80
	14-	207	18-	2
	Apr-15	.50	Sep-15	2.50
	16-	214	19-	2

	Apr-15	3.30	Sep-15	0.80
	18-	263	20-	6.
	Apr-15	2.40	Sep-15	40
	20-	293	21-	2
0	Apr-15	.50	Sep-15	2.00
	22-	103	22-	1
1	Apr-15	1.50	Sep-15	2.90
	24-	819	24-	2
2	Apr-15	.70	Sep-15	0.50
	26-	798	26-	3
3	Apr-15	.50	Sep-15	85.30
	28-	252	28-	5
4	Apr-15	.20	Sep-15	17.20
	30-	719	30-	4
5	Apr-15	.70	Sep-15	16.50

The calculation of the value erosion using SDR (Sediment Delivery Ratio) are listed in Table 2. The value of the three calculations erosion sediment load (SY) different (Based on the value of discharge). The average value erosion by using the value of SY with an average daily river flow in wet months and dry months had a value nearly equal to the erosion value with SY using river flow at the time of sampling. Erosion value obtained using SY with the average river flow over the last ten years had smaller value of the two calculations thereafter. The value indicates if the average daily river flow over the last ten years less than the value of river flow at the time of sampling and the average river flow in wet and dry months.

Table 2. Value Estimation of erosion by the SDR method (Sediment Delivery Ratio)

o	Description	Erosion Value (SDR) (ton/ha/yr)		
		Rai ny Season	Dry Season	average
	value erosion prediction methods SDR to discharge the daily average during the past 10 years	85.3 8	6.91	46.14
	The value of SDR methods erosion prediction with an average daily discharge (wet months and dry months) over the last 10 years.	126. 59	3.57	65.08
	estimation value erosion SDR method with daily discharge at the sampling time	124. 84	3.64	64.24
	Average	112. 27	4.71	58.49

The total area of research	214,092.20 ha.
SDR Number	0.04

Rain erosivity factor (R) was obtained by using annual rainfall data expressed in centimeters (Cm). R value data was obtained using average rainfall over the last five years on a four-point observation posts scattered rain in the research area. R shows the amount of rain the ability to be eroded soil, erosivity value in this study can be seen in Table 3.

Table 3. Erosivity rain value (R) and rainfall (CH) annual (2011-2015)

No	Location	The annual rainfall (average 5 years) (cm)	annual R (average 5 Years)	Percentage of area of research (%)
	South Lampung	111,14	338	11,02
	Pesawaran	133,064	546	23,03
	Pringsewu	164,576	,458	21,44
	(Pagelaran)	211,482	,594	44,51
	Tanggamus			
	(Batutegi)			

Soil erodibility at research sites in the Sekampung Watershed has two values. The soil erodibility value was obtained from two types of soil. The most dominating soil type is the type of research sites Inceptisol with presenttase 95%. Erodibility value and soil types can be seen in Table 4.

Table 4. Values soil erodibility (K) on erosion prediction location

No	N Type	Soil	Number of K	N (Ha)	Area	Presenta ge (%)
1	tisol	Incep	.29	0 6 ha	10,379.8	5
2	ol	Latos	.23	0 33 ha	203,712.	95
	The total area			20 ha	214,092.	100

LS factors obtained an average value obtained on the slope (%) by entering a value of slope length (L) of 1 meter to 20 meters. LS factor value on each slope can be seen in Table 18.

Table 5. LS factor value

Soil Slope (1m-20m)	S (%)	(L= average value LS)	Percentage (%)
3-8 %	32	0.	23
8-15 %	96	0.	61
15-25 %	30	2.	6
25-45 %	29	6.	0
45%	56	9.	10

CP factor is a combination of vegetation cover factor (C) and factors of soil conservation techniques and water (P). CP factor in estimating the value erosion by USLE method is the only factor that can be changed by man. The use of land and the forecast value of the CP can be seen in Table 6.

Table 6. Land use and value of CP on erosion prediction location

No	Land Use	Value	CP	Area (ha)	Percentage (%)
	Forest	Dry Land	0.0	9,5	
		Secondary	5	58.33	4.46
	Bushes	0.1	13,		
		0	213.05		6.17
	Water	0.0	1,2		
		0	19.10		0.57
	Plantation	0.0	9,4		
		7	73.68		4.43
	Settlement	0.2	15,		
		0	311.19		7.15
	Minning	0.2	60.		
		0	70		0.03
		0.4	30,		
	Dry Land Agriculture	3	398.87		14.20
	Unirrigated	0.1	131		
	Agricultural Field	9	,911.48		61.61
		0.0	566		
	Savannah	2	.14		0.26
		0.0	2,3		
0	Rice Field	2	79.67		1.11
	Total Area			214	100.00

,092.20

Erosion prediction value using USLE showed a greater value than the comparative value erosion by using SDR, but both the erosion prediction showed a greater value than the value of TSL. These conditions indicated if most of the land among the sites have erosion value greater than the TSL value. Comparison of the value erosion of the value of TSL can be seen in Table 7.

Table 7. Comparison of the calculation results of erosion using USLE approach SDR and methods, as well as the calculation of the value of TSL

No	Description	Value
	Average erosion using SDR method	58.49 Ton/ha/yr
	Average erosion using USLE (984 land units)	11.86 Ton/ha/yr
	Average TSL	31.26 Ton/ha/yr
	Average TSL: Average erosion using SDR method	1 : 1.86
	Average TSL: Average erosion using USLE method	1 : 3.6
	Average value erosion using USLE method: The average value erosion using SDR method	1,93 : 1

Simulation that conducted for CP factor under Law No. 41, 1999, is applied only to some land use that is allowed to be converted into forest land use. Simulation of land use changes can be seen in Table 8.

Table 8. Comparison number of CP and CP simulation

No	Land Use	P Value	Simulation of CP value	Area (ha)	Percentage %
	Dry Land Scndary	0.05	0.01	9,558.33	4.49
	Forest	0.10	0.10	13,213.05	6.21
	Bushes	0.07	0.07	9,473.68	4.45
	Plantation	0.20	0.20	15,311.19	7.19
	Settlement	0.20	0.20	60.70	0.03
	Mining				

		0.	14
Dry Land Agriculture	.43	05	30,398.87
Unirrigated		0.	61
Agricultural Field	.19	05	131,911.14
		0.	0.
Savannah	.02	02	566.14
		0.	1.
Rice Field	.02	02	2,379.67
			10
Total Area			212,873.10
			0.00

IE value after land improvement simulations showed a very significant influence, a very high IE grade can be lowered. comparison value of the actual IE and simulation IE is shown in Table 9.

Table 9. Comparison Value of erosion index Classification (IE) and after simulating the actual state land improvement

Before condition)	Simulation (actual land use)	After Simulation (simulation of land use)
Erosion Index (EI)	Area (ha)	Percentage (%)
Very Low	36,292.97	17.05
Low	21,827.17	10.25
Mode	45,056.94	21.17
High	2,590.44	1.22
Very High	10,7,105.30	50.31
Total	21,2,872.80	10.00

3.2 Discussion

Water sampling in Sekampung River aimed to obtain sediment samples. Sediment is part of the land which is transported by water from a place that suffered erosion in watersheds (DAS) and flow into a body of water (Arsyad, 2006). The statement indicates if the sediment samples can be used to calculate erosion, as described by Asdak (2007), the sediment load calculation can be used as a material erosion estimation method SDR (Sediment Delivery Ratio).

3.2.1 Calculation of sediment and erosion value prediction using SDR (Sediment Delivery Ratio) method.

The value of SDR in Sekampung River in this research was 0.04, it is smaller than the measured value of SDR in Mamasa River. Alimuddin (2012), states that the measurement of SDR value in Mamasa River is classified into four regions or Chek dam, the SDR value of Chek Dam 1 is around 0,029 with 6,121.20 ha area, Chek Dam 2 is about

0.02 with 21,163.17 ha areas, Chek Dam 4 is around 0,019 with 47,586.01 ha areas, and Chek dam 3 is 0, with 26,897.95 ha areas. The great SDR value indicates if a sizeable erosion in river flows a short distance (Woznicki and Nejadhashemi, 2013).

Values of sediment concentration ratio taken in the dry and wet months was 1: 8. This value is very influential on the erosion value obtained by SDR method in the calculation using the in three different rainfall datas. The average erosion value in the wet months is 112.27 ton/ha/yr, and the average erosion value in dry months was 4.70 ton/ha/yr. These values showed the ability of land and vegetation cover are not optimal to store water during the rainy season and flow it slowly, so it will not become run off that causes soil erosion. The effect of vegetation on runoff and erosion is mainly determined by the ability of vegetation to cover the soil surface (Banuwa, 2013).

Average value of erosion using SDR method from the three calculations of rainfall and sediment load was obtained amounted to 58.49 tonnes / ha / yr. This value is still lower when compared to previous research conducted in Sekampung Hulu Sub-Watershed. The average value of erosion occurred in the Sekampung Hulu Sub-Watershed is 67.50 tons / ha / year (Nippon Koei, 2003 in Banuwa, et al., 2008).

3.2.2 Prediction of erosion value using USLE (Universal Soil Loss Equation), TSL value and the comparison.

Prediction of erosion using USLE method is an estimation of erosion that is most commonly conducted to estimate erosion. USLE an erosion estimation method using the factors that lead to erosion.




Figure 1. (A) The value of R factor in Regional Research, (B) The value of the K factor in Research Area, (C) Values in the LS factor Research Area, (D) Values CP factor in Research Area.

The erosion factor in USLE method, such as: rainfall erosivity, soil erodibility, land length and angle and vegetation cover, and the use of soil and water conservation techniques. The division of USLE factor at the research sites can be seen in Figure 1.

Body of water use has an area of 1,219.39 ha, the estimation of erosion using USLE method is not included in the count. Body of water is not counted because of the erosion does not occur in the water. The overall area of erosion prediction using USLE method decreased from total from the total area of research. The total area USLE estimation method of 212,872.80 ha of total area of 214,092.20 ha area of research.

Estimation factors of erosion using USLE method had been obtained and calculated. The average erosion value obtained at 112.83 tons/year. The erosion value is the average erosion value that was obtained from 984 land units.

From the calculation of the TSL value, this research obtained eight types of TSL value, which ranged from 18.13 tons/ha/yr up to 45.56 tons/ha/yr. The average TSL value was amounted to 31.26 tons/ha/yr. TSL value that had been obtained is still smaller compared to TSL value contained in Tapung Kanan Sub-Watershed, Riau Islands Province, that described by Sutrisna (2014), the obtained data of TSL value in Tapung Kanan Sub-Watershed ranged from 14.40 tons / ha / yr up to 30.00 tons/ha/yr.

Comparison of the erosion prediction results using SDR and USLE showed a very different value, which amounted to 1: 1.93. This value indicates if the erosion prediction of each method are very different. Despite the differences shown in the results of erosion prediction value using USLE and SDR, but both the erosion prediction method showed higher value than the value of TSL. The statement indicates if the average erosion that occurred at research location above the TSL. The difference between the two methods shows there are some weaknesses in each method. To estimate the amount of sediment result by calculating the SDR amount of a catchment area will obtain less accurate result, remembering that the total erosion that was determined by USLE does not count moat erosion and sediment in the cavities of soil surface between the source area of erosion and waterways that the result of sediment are assessed (Asdak, 2007). Weakness of erosion prediction using USLE method described by Wischmeier (1976, in Banuwa 2013) that one of the weaknesses of the erosion using USLE method is the wide-scale average value of the parameters in areas as diverse drainage will reduce the accuracy of the calculation. The determining of CP factor in USLE method is very difficult to obtain, so it needs to conduct a depth investigation (Pradhan et al., 2012).

3.2.3 Simulation of land improvement under Forestry Act No. 41/1999 Article 18, paragraph 2.

One of CP factor improvements that can be conducted one based on Forestry Act No. 41/1999 article 18. The law describes the minimum area of forest land of at least 30% of the watershed area. The forest area that was found in the study site is only about 4.46% from 9,558.33 ha of Waterhed area.




Figure 2. Top (A) Closure of vegetation prior to simulation, (B) Vegetation cover after the simulation, Down (A) Erosion Index before the simulation, (B) Erosion Index after the simulation.

Land improvements can be applied to the land that can be converted into agroforestry. Agricultural land that converted to forest (agroforestry) has an area of 75.81%. The total value of forest land by land improvement simulation of 80.28% of the area of research. Simulation of land improvement can be seen in Figure 2.

The average of the obtained from land improvement simulation were 32.02 ton/ha/th or decrease about 71.60% of the actual erosion before the simulation. A similar study conducted by Pradana (2015), The decrease of erosion in Dieng Plateau by changing agricultural patterns into agroforestry decreased the erosion of 463.86 ton/ha by 115.96 tons/ha/yr.

The obtained Erosion index (IE) after land improvement simulation was much lower (IE value in Table 4). IE on the actual value is dominated by very high IE with a percentage of 50.30% and high IE by 1.21%, after the land improvement simulation was conducted, The obtained IE values for high and very high grade were 11.50% of the area of research.

4. Conclusions and Recommendations

4.1 Conclusions

The average value of erosion prediction using SDR (Sediment Delivery Ratio) at the study site in the Sekampung Watershed was 58.49 ton/ha/yr. Comparison of erosion value using SDR (Sediment Delivery Ratio) method with USLE (Universal Soil Loss Equation) method is 1: 1.93, USLE method has an average value of 112.86 tons/ha/yr, with TSL value of 31.26 tons/ha/yr. Simulation using USLE method is conducted by changing the land use of forest area from 4.46% to 80.28%, it can minimize the extent of Very High IE and minimize the erosion up to 71.60%.

4.2 Recommendation

Suggestion for this research is the need for further research related to erosion by various methods combined with ground checks, land improvement and perform simulations, and applying the results of the research.

Bibliography

- Alimuddin, Aisyah; 2012; Pendugaan Sedimentasi Pada DAS Mamasa di Kab. Mamasa Propinsi Sulawesi Barat; Universitas Hasanudin. Makasar. Page.34.
- Arsyad, S; 2006; Konservasi Tanah dan Air; second edition; IPB Press. Bogor.
- Asdak Chay; 2007; Hidrologi dan Pengelolaan Daerah Aliran Sungai; 4th edition; Gajah Mada University Press. Yogyakarta.
- Banuwa, I.S.;2013; Erosi; First edition; Kencana Prenada Media Group. Jakarta.
- Banuwa, I.S., N. Sinukaban., S.D. Tarigan, dan D. Darusman; 2008; Evaluasi Kemampuan Lahan DAS Sekampung Hulu; Jurnal Tanah Tropika; 13 (2) : 147
- Pemerintah Republik Indonesia; 1999; Undang-undang Nomor 41 Tahun 1999 tentang Kehutanan; Jakarta
- Pemerintah Republik Indonesia; 2014; Undang – Undang RI Nomor 37 Tahun 2014 Tentang Konservasi Tanah dan Air; Jakarta
- Pemerintah Republik Indonesia; 2014; Peraturan Menteri Kehutanan Nomor 61 Tahun 2014 tentang Monitoring dan Evaluasi Pengelolaan Daerah Aliran Sungai; Jakarta.
- Pradana, A., F.H. Sara and W. Wahdaningrum; 2015; The Analysis of Environmental Degradation and Carica Agroforestry System as an Attempt of Environmental Restoration in Dieng Plateau; International Journal of Environmental Science and Development. (6) : 11.
- Pradhan, B., A. Chaudhari, J. Adinarayana and M. F. Buchroithner; 2012; Soil erosion assessment and its correlation with landslide events using remote sensing data and GIS: a case study at Penang Island, Malaysia; Environ Monit Assess. (184):715–727.
- Sihaloho, Hendri;2015; Soal KPS SPAM, PDAM Way Rilau Bandar Lampung Disuntik Dana Rp340 Miliar. Duajurai.com.27Mei 2015 - <http://www.duajurai.com/2015/05/soal-kps-spam-pdam-way-rilau-bandar-lampung-disuntik-dana-rp340-miliar/>.
- Sutrisna, A., Mulyadi., S.H. Siregar; 2014; Analisis Tingkat Bahaya Erosi Sub Daerah Aliran Sungai Tapung Kanan; Jurnal Ilmu Lingkungan; 8 (1) : 79-86.
- Woznicki, S.A., A.P. Nejadhashemi; 2013; Spatial and Temporal Variabilities of Sediment Delivery Ratio; Water Resour Manage; (27):2483–249.
- Yuwono, S.B. 2011; Pengembangan Sumberdaya Air Berkelanjutan DAS Way Betung Kota Bandar Lampung; Institut Pertanian Bogor. Bogor; Page 65-75.

Erosion Prediction with Sediment Delivery Ratio Approach of Sekampung Watershed (Study in Watershed of Sekampung – Argoguruh Dam)

By Nano Suryono; Slamet Budi Yuwono; Melya Riniarti and Irwan Sukri Banuwa

Erosion Prediction with Sediment Delivery Ratio Approach of Sekampung Watershed (Study in Watershed of Sekampung – Argoguruh Dam)

Nano Suryono¹, Slamet Budi Yuwono², Melya Riniarti² and Irwan Sukri Banuwa²

¹) Forestry Departement, Agricultural Faculty, University of Lampung
²)Lecture Forestry Departement, Agricultural Faculty, University of Lampung
Jl. Soemantri Brojonegoro No. 1 Bandar Lampung
Email: nanodipasena@yahoo.com
Phone: +6285709316226

Abstract

Erosion is one of the problem in the Sekampung Watershed. Increased rate of erosion would increase amount of sediment that entering the river. The Sekampung Watershed Sekampung is quite important in Lampung Province, because it is used as a source of agricultural irrigation, hydropower (Hydroelectric Power Plant) and will be planned as water supply to PDAM (Regional Drinking Water Company), therefore the amount of sediment carried by the river flow needs to be researched. This reasearch aimed to estimate the erosion in Sekampung Watershed. This research used two methods to estimatethe erosion there are SDR (Sediment Delivery Ratio) method and USLE (Universal Soil Loss Equation) method, and that were calculated with the help of GIS (Geographic Information System). The amount of erosion using SDR method to 58.49 tons/ha/yr. Meanwhile estimation of erosion by USLE method were 112.86 tons/ha/yr.

Keywords: Erosion, SDR, Watershed of Sekampung.

1. Introduction

Watershed is a unit area of land which is a unity with the river and sub rivers that serves collecting, storing, and flowing the water from rainfall to the lake or into the sea naturally, the border on land is a topographical separator and border in the sea is until water area that still affected by land activities (Law No. 37 of 2014). Sekampung Watershed has the second largest area in Lampung Province. This condition make Watershed Sekampung becomes the foundation of today's society. The utilization of Sekampung Watershed such as a source of agricultural irrigation, hydropower (Hydroelectric Power Plant) and will be planned as a source of raw water by PDAM (Regional Water Company) Rilau Way. The Utilization of Sekampung River (the main river in Sekampung Watershed) as a source of water supply to PDAM Way Rilau by taking the water around 2,500 liters per second. It is to serve around 42000-44000 households customers. The amount is equivalent to 220,000 inhabitants. The statement was described by Mayor of Bandar Lampung (Sihaloho, 2015).

Condition of Sekampung Watershed that is beneficial to the community nowadays is even more alarming. The water flow of Sekampung River noawadays becoming muddier, it signifies the high erosion in the upstream watershed. In line with the results of Banuwa et al. (2008) that Sekampung upstream Watershed area of 42.400 ha is now very urgent to

deal with, because the land use in most of the upstream areas had had been changed into agricultural land.

This condition causes the decreasing of water quality of Sekampung River, whereas it will be planned as a source of raw water and other purposes, so it needs to take watershed management efforts. The result of erosion prediction in a Watershed can be used as the basis for watershed management, particularly in term of land use (Asdak, 2007).

Sediment load calculation based on total suspended solid is one way to predict the amount of erosion in a watershed with sediment load. The statement described by Asdak (2007) if the sediment load calculation can be used as a erosion prediction material with SDR (Sediment Delivery Ratio) method. Another common method used to estimate the amount of erosion is USLE (Universal Soil Loss Equation) method.

This research aiSY to gain erosion prediction values by using SDR method and comparing those values to the prediction of erosion using USLE method. The resulting value is then compared with the values of erosion prediction using TSL method that had been obtained through the calculation. Simulation of land improvements carried out based on Act of Forestry No. 41/1999 article 18 paragraph 2, where erosion obtained exceeds the TSL value.

2. Research Methodology

Sediment sampling is the first step of erosion prediction using SDR method. Before the sediment samples were taken, the depth of the river should be determined in advance, using a pole and meter tape. Installation of water tool sampling was conducted at three depths of the river, with the position at the base of the pole, in the central part and the surface of the water. Then plunged the pole that already attached with water taker tools into the river and then pull the lever that was connected with the water taker tool. It aimed to get water samples from three different depths. The water samples were obtained then mixed and taken about one liter.

Sediment sampling was conducted fifteen times in the wet months (April 2015) and fifteen times the dry season (in September 2015). Sampling was conducted at the Argoguruh Dam outlet. The purpose of fifteen times repetitions in sampling was to obtain a variety of data that can represent the state of the river in that month. Samples that had been obtained, then was dried using a heater (cooker) then dried again until the dry weight of the air using an oven with a temperature of 110°C for 2 x 24 hours.

The sediment samples that had been dried and weighed in gram, would be the Cs (sediment concentration) value. The value would be calculated with the value of river flow, the result of the calculation would be Qs (discharge of sediment transport) value. River flow that was used come from three discharge datas (average daily river flow in last 10 years, the average daily river flow in wet months and dry months and daily river flow at the time of sampling). Value of sediment load (SY) is obtained by entering Qs value in the SY value equation that described by Permenhut No. 61 in 2014. The SDR value is obtained using equation Bouce (1975, in Alimuddin 2012).

$$(1) \quad SDR = 0,41 \times A^{(-0,31)}$$

note :

A = Watershed Area (ha)

SDR = Sediment Delivery Ratio (Bouce, 1975 in Alimuddin, 2012).

Value sediment Yield (SY) which has been obtained is then calculated using the value of the SDR. SY and the SDR value calculation would result in erosion. The calculation of the value erosion by Regulation Ministry of Forestry Regulation no. 61 in 2014.

$$(2) \quad A = SY : SDR$$

note :

SY = Sediment Yield (ton/ha/yr)
A = erosion (ton/ha/th)
SDR = Sediment Delivery Ratio

4
Prediction of further erosion using USLE (Universal Soil Loss Equation). Estimation of erosion by USLE method is based on the equation Wischmeier and Smith (1978, in Banuwa 2013). USLE method has several factors that need to be calculated and in the first set.

$$(3) \quad A = R \times K \times L \times S \times C \times P$$

note:

A : Erosion loss per unit Area
R : The Rainfall and runoff factor
K : The Soil Erodibility Factor
L : The Slope-length Factor
S : The Slope-steepness Factor
C : The Cover Management Factor
P : The Erosion-control practice Factor (Wischmeier dan Smith, 1978 in Banuwa, 2013).

Rain erosivity factor (R) obtained by collecting rainfall data over the last 5 years at four sites scattered rain posts at the research site. Rainfall respectively calculated using Lenvain DHV equation (1989, in Asdak 2007). The results of calculation of the monthly erosivity value, then summed in a single year and the data is averaged with the last 5 years, we will get the value of the average annual R. Rain territorial division performed with the help of GIS using Thessen Polygon menu.

Soil erodibility value factor (K) can be determined by overlaying a map of soil types into Sekampung Watershed maps. K value is obtained by comparing the type of soil based on the value of K, defined by Yuwono (2011).

The length and steepness of the slope factor (LS) can be determined by overlaying maps of slope into Sekampung Watershed maps. Values obtained by entering a value LS slope and slope length between 1 to 20 meters, then calculated based on the value equation, described by Arsyad LS (2006).

Vegetation cover factor, and the use of conservation techniques (CP) .Can be determined by overlaying a map of the land use map into Sekampung Watershed. Cp

values obtained by comparing the value of land use into forecasts CP factors on various types of land use based Abdurachman et al (1984, in Asdak 2007).

The calculation of TSL (Tolerable Soil Loss) value or erosion that can be tolerated by the Wood and Dent equation (1983), described in Banuwa (2013). The depth equivalent value (DE) in the calculation of TSL, obtained by drilling the ground at 9 locations to get the value of the effective depth of the soil and the depth factor value is determined by Hammer (1981, described in Arsyad 2006). Minimum soil depth value (dmin) is obtained by analyzing the use of land with dmin value of each type of plant and the age of land use (UGT) and the rate of soil formation (LPT) is determined by Wood and Dent (1983, described in Banuwa 2013).

Analysis of the results of erosion prediction with IE (Erosion Index) is based on Regulation No. 61 2014. Simulation of Sekampung Watershed management using GIS (C factor improvements as much as 30%) based on Act Forestry No. 41/1999 Article 18, paragraph 2.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Results

From the sediment samplin was obtained sediment concentration values Cs contained in one liter of water samples. The water samples were taken at three different depths for two months (April 2015 and September 2015). Cs value in wet month showed greater value than the value of Cs in the dry months. Comparison Cs value was 1: 8. Cs value can be seen in Table 1.

Table 1. Data sediment concentration (Cs) in the Rainy season (April) and a dry Season (September)

Location: Sekampung, Outlet Dam Argoguruh Tigeneneng				
Rainy season		Dry Season		
o	Date	Cs (mg/liter)	Date	C s (mg/liter)
	02-	763	11-	6
	Apr-15	.40	Sep-15	3.70
	04-	365	12-	1
	Apr-15	3.90	Sep-15	8.00
	06-	957	14-	9
	Apr-15	.60	Sep-15	4.40
	08-	307	15-	2
	Apr-15	8.80	Sep-15	5.10
	10-	414	16-	1
	Apr-15	8.30	Sep-15	7.00
	12-	679	17-	1
	Apr-15	.70	Sep-15	51.80
	14-	207	18-	2
	Apr-15	.50	Sep-15	2.50
	16-	214	19-	2

	Apr-15	3.30	Sep-15	0.80
	18-	263	20-	6.
	Apr-15	2.40	Sep-15	40
	20-	293	21-	2
0	Apr-15	.50	Sep-15	2.00
	22-	103	22-	1
1	Apr-15	1.50	Sep-15	2.90
	24-	819	24-	2
2	Apr-15	.70	Sep-15	0.50
	26-	798	26-	3
3	Apr-15	.50	Sep-15	85.30
	28-	252	28-	5
4	Apr-15	.20	Sep-15	17.20
	30-	719	30-	4
5	Apr-15	.70	Sep-15	16.50

The calculation of the value erosion using SDR (Sediment Delivery Ratio) are listed in Table 2. The value of the three calculations erosion sediment load (SY) different (Based on the value of discharge). The average value erosion by using the value of SY with an average daily river flow in wet months and dry months had a value nearly equal to the erosion value with SY using river flow at the time of sampling. Erosion value obtained using SY with the average river flow over the last ten years had smaller value of the two calculations thereafter. The value indicates if the average daily river flow over the last ten years less than the value of river flow at the time of sampling and the average river flow in wet and dry months.

Table 2. Value Estimation of erosion by the SDR method (Sediment Delivery Ratio)

o	Description	Erosion Value (SDR) (ton/ha/yr)		
		Rai ny Season	Dry Season	average
	value erosion prediction methods SDR to discharge the daily average during the past 10 years	85.3 8	6.91	46.14
	The value of SDR methods erosion prediction with an average daily discharge (wet months and dry months) over the last 10 years.	126. 59	3.57	65.08
	estimation value erosion SDR method with daily discharge at the sampling time	124. 84	3.64	64.24
	Average	112. 27	4.71	58.49

The total area of research	214,092.20 ha.
SDR Number	0.04

Rain erosivity factor (R) was obtained by using annual rainfall data expressed in centimeters (Cm). R value data was obtained using average rainfall over the last five years on a four-point observation posts scattered rain in the research area. R shows the amount of rain the ability to be eroded soil, erosivity value in this study can be seen in Table 3.

Table 3. Erosivity rain value (R) and rainfall (CH) annual (2011-2015)

No	Location	The annual rainfall (average 5 years) (cm)	annual R (average 5 Years)	Percentage of area of research (%)
			684,	
	South Lampung	111,14	338	11,02
	Pesawaran	133,064	546	23,03
	Pringsewu		1047	
	(Pagelaran)	164,576	,458	21,44
	Tanggamus		1472	
	(Batutegi)	211,482	,594	44,51

Soil erodibility at research sites in the Sekampung Watershed has two values. The soil erodibility value was obtained from two types of soil. The most dominating soil type is the type of research sites Inceptisol with presenttase 95%. Erodibility value and soil types can be seen in Table 4.

Table 4. Values soil erodibility (K) on erosion prediction location

No	N Type	Soil	Number of K	N (Ha)	Area	Presenttase (%)
1	tisol	Incep	.29	0 6 ha	10,379.8	5
2	ol	Latos	.23	0 33 ha	203,712.	95
					214,092.	100
	The total area			20 ha		

LS factors obtained an average value obtained on the slope (%) by entering a value of slope length (L) of 1 meter to 20 meters. LS factor value on each slope can be seen in

Table 18.

Table 5. LS factor value

Soil Slope (1m-20m)	S (%) (L= average value LS)	Percentage (%) area
3-8 %	32	23
8-15 %	96	61
15-25 %	30	6
25-45 %	29	0
45%	56	10

CP factor is a combination of vegetation cover factor (C) and factors of soil conservation techniques and water (P). CP factor in estimating the value erosion by USLE method is the only factor that can be changed by man. The use of land and the forecast value of the CP can be seen in Table 6.

Table 6. Land use and value of CP on erosion prediction location

Land Use	CP Value	Area (ha)	Percentage (%)
Dry Land Secondary	0.0	9,5	
Forest	5 0.1	58.33 13,	4.46
Bushes	0 0.0	213.05 1,2	6.17
Water	0 0.0	19.10 9,4	0.57
Plantation	7 0.2	73.68 15,	4.43
Settlement	0 0.2	311.19 60.	7.15
Mining	0 0.4	70 30,	0.03
Dry Land Agriculture Unirrigated	3 0.1	398.87 131	14.20
Agricultural Field	9 0.0	,911.48 566	61.61
Savannah	2 0.0	.14 2,3	0.26
Rice Field	2	79.67	1.11

Total Area	214	100.00
------------	-----	--------

,092.20

Erosion prediction value using USLE showed a greater value than the comparative value erosion by using SDR, but both the erosion prediction showed a greater value than the value of TSL. These conditions indicated if most of the land among the sites have erosion value greater than the TSL value. Comparison of the value erosion of the value of TSL can be seen in Table 7.

Table 7. Comparison of the calculation results of erosion using USLE approach SDR and methods, as well as the calculation of the value of TSL

No	Description	Value
	Average erosion using SDR method	58.49 Ton/ha/yr
	Average erosion using USLE (984 land units)	11.86 Ton/ha/yr
	Average TSL	31.26 Ton/ha/yr
	Average TSL: Average erosion using SDR method	1 : 1.86
	Average TSL: Average erosion using USLE method	1 : 3.6
	Average value erosion using USLE method: The average value erosion using SDR method	1,93 : 1

Simulation that conducted for CP factor under Law No. 41, 1999, is applied only to some land use that is allowed to be converted into forest land use. Simulation of land use changes can be seen in Table 8.

Table 8. Comparison number of CP and CP simulation

No	Land Use	P Value	Simulation of CP value	Area (ha)	Percentage %
Forest	Dry Land	.05	0.	9,558.33	4.
	Secondary		01		49
	Bushes	.10	0.	13,213.05	6.
			10		21
	Plantation	.07	0.	9,473.68	4.
			07		45
Settlement	.20	0.	15,311.19	7.	
		20		19	
Minning	.20	20	60.70	03	

		0.		14
Dry Land Agriculture	.43	05	30,398.87	.28
Unirrigated		0.		61
Agricultural Field	.19	05	131,911.14	.97
		0.		0.
Savannah	.02	02	566.14	27
		0.		1.
Rice Field	.02	02	2,379.67	12
				10
Total Area			212,873.10	0.00

IE value after land improvement simulations showed a very significant influence, a very high IE grade can be lowered. comparison value of the actual IE and simulation IE is shown in Table 9.

Table 9. Comparison Value of erosion index Classification (IE) and after simulating the actual state land improvement

o	Before condition)	Simulation Area (ha)	(actual Percentage (%)	After Simulation land use)	Simulation Area (ha)	(simulation of percentage (%)
	Erosio n Index (EI)	Area (ha)	Pr centage (%)	Erosion Index (EI)	Area (ha)	Pre centage (%)
	Very	36	17.	Very	9	44.
Low		,292.97	05	Low	3,941.00	13
		21	10.		8	39.
	Low	,827.17	25	Low	4,594.70	74
	Mode	45	21.	Moderat	9,	4.6
rate		,056.94	17	e	825.50	2
		2,	1.2		2,	1.2
	High	590.44	2	High	614.75	3
	Very	10	50.	Very	2	10.
High		7,105.30	31	High	1,896.85	29
		21	10		2	10
Total		2,872.80	0.00	Total	12,873,1	0.00

3.2 Discussion

Water sampling in Sekampung River aimed to obtain sediment samples. Sediment is part of the land which is transported by water from a place that suffered erosion in watersheds (DAS) and flow into a body of water (Arsyad, 2006). The statement indicates if the sediment samples can be used to calculate erosion, as described by Asdak (2007), the sediment load calculation can be used as a material erosion estimation method SDR (Sediment Delivery Ratio).

3.2.1 Calculation of sediment and erosion value prediction using SDR (Sediment Delivery Ratio) method.

The value of SDR in Sekampung River in this research was 0.04, it is smaller than the measured value of SDR in Mamasa River. Alimuddin (2012), states that the

©2016 1st *Shield International Conference all right reserved*

measurement of SDR value in Mamasa River is classified into four regions or Chek dam, the SDR value of Chek Dam 1 is around 0,029 with 6,121.20 ha area, Chek Dam 2 is about

0.02 with 21,163.17 ha areas, Chek Dam 4 is around 0,019 with 47,586.01 ha areas, and Chek dam 3 is 0, with 26,897.95 ha areas. The great SDR value indicates if a sizeable erosion in river flows a short distance (Woznicki and Nejadhashemi, 2013).

Values of sediment concentration ratio taken in the dry and wet months was 1: 8. This value is very influential on the erosion value obtained by SDR method in the calculation using the in three different rainfall datas. The average erosion value in the wet months is 112.27 ton/ha/yr, and the average erosion value in dry months was 4.70 ton/ha/yr. These values showed the ability of land and vegetation cover are not optimal to store water during the rainy season and flow it slowly, so it will not become run off that causes soil erosion. The effect of vegetation on runoff and erosion is mainly determined by the ability of vegetation to cover the soil surface (Banuwa, 2013).

Average value of erosion using SDR method from the three calculations of rainfall and sediment load was obtained amounted to 58.49 tonnes / ha / yr. This value is still lower when compared to previous research conducted in Sekampung Hulu Sub-Watershed. The average value of erosion occurred in the Sekampung Hulu Sub-Watershed is 67.50 tons / ha / year (Nippon Koei, 2003 in Banuwa, et al., 2008).

3.2.2 Prediction of erosion value using USLE (Universal Soil Loss Equation), TSL value and the comparison.

Prediction of erosion using USLE method is an estimation of erosion that is most commonly conducted to estimate erosion. USLE an erosion estimation method using the factors that lead to erosion.




Figure 1. (A) The value of R factor in Regional Research, (B) The value of the K factor in Research Area, (C) Values in the LS factor Research Area, (D) Values CP factor in Research Area.

6
The erosion factor in USLE method, such as: rainfall erosivity, soil erodibility, land length and angle and vegetation cover, and the use of soil and water conservation techniques. The division of USLE factor at the research sites can be seen in Figure 1.

Body of water use has an area of 1,219.39 ha, the estimation of erosion using USLE method is not included in the count. Body of water is not counted because of the erosion does not occur in the water. The overall area of erosion prediction using USLE method decreased from total from the total area of research. The total area USLE estimation method of 212,872.80 ha of total area of 214,092.20 ha area of research.

Estimation factors of erosion using USLE method had been obtained and calculated. The average erosion value obtained at 112.83 tons/year. The erosion value is the average erosion value that was obtained from 984 land units.

From the calculation of the TSL value, this research obtained eight types of TSL value, which ranged from 18.13 tons/ha/yr up to 45.56 tons/ha/yr. The average TSL value was amounted to 31.26 tons/ha/yr. TSL value that had been obtained is still smaller compared to TSL value contained in Tapung Kanan Sub-Watershed, Riau Islands Province, that described by Sutrisna (2014), the obtained data of TSL value in Tapung Kanan Sub-Watershed ranged from 14.40 tons / ha / yr up to 30.00 tons/ha/yr.

Comparison of the erosion prediction results using SDR and USLE showed a very different value, which amounted to 1: 1.93. This value indicates if the erosion prediction of each method are very different. Despite the differences shown in the results of erosion prediction value using USLE and SDR, but both the erosion prediction method showed higher value than the value of TSL. The statement indicates if the average erosion that occurred at research location above the TSL. The difference between the two methods shows there are some weaknesses in each method. To estimate the amount of sediment result by calculating the SDR amount of a catchment area will obtain less accurate result, remembering that the total erosion that was determined by USLE does not count moat erosion and sediment in the cavities of soil surface between the source area of erosion and waterways that the result of sediment are assessed (Asdak, 2007). Weakness of erosion prediction using USLE method described by Wischmeier (1976, in Banuwa 2013) that one of the weaknesses of the erosion using USLE method is the wide-scale average value of the parameters in areas as diverse drainage will reduce the accuracy of the calculation. The determining of CP factor in USLE method is very difficult to obtain, so it needs to conduct a depth investigation (Pradhan et al., 2012).

3.2.3 Simulation of land improvement under ⁵ Forestry Act No. 41/1999 Article 18, paragraph 2.

One of CP factor improvements that can be conducted one ⁵ based on Forestry Act No. 41/1999 article 18. The law describes the minimum area of forest land of at least 30% of the watershed area. The forest area that was found in the study site is only about 4.46% from 9,558.33 ha of Waterhed area.




Figure 2. Top (A) Closure of vegetation prior to simulation, (B) Vegetation cover after the simulation, Down (A) Erosion Index before the simulation, (B) Erosion Index after the simulation.

Land improvements can be applied to the land that can be converted into agroforestry. Agricultural land that converted to forest (agroforestry) has an area of 75.81%. The total value of forest land by land improvement simulation of 80.28% of the area of research. Simulation of land improvement can be seen in Figure 2.

The average of the obtained from land improvement simulation were 32.02 ton/ha/yr or decrease about 71.60% of the actual erosion before the simulation. A similar study conducted by Pradana (2015), The decrease of erosion in Dieng Plateau by changing agricultural patterns into agroforestry decreased the erosion of 463.86 ton/ha/yr to 115.96 tons/ha/yr.

The obtained Erosion index (IE) after land improvement simulation was much lower (IE value in Table 4). IE on the actual value is dominated by very high IE with a percentage of 50.30% and high IE by 1.21%, after the land improvement simulation was conducted, The obtained IE values for high and very high grade were 11.50% of the area of research.

4. Conclusions and Recommendations

4.1 Conclusions

The average value of erosion prediction using SDR (Sediment Delivery Ratio) at the study site in the Sekampung Watershed was 58.49 ton/ha/yr. Comparison of erosion value using SDR (Sediment Delivery Ratio) method with USLE (Universal Soil Loss Equation) method is 1: 1.93, USLE method has an average value of 112.86 tons/ha/yr, with TSL value of 31.26 tons/ha/yr. Simulation using USLE method is conducted by changing the land use of forest area from 4.46% to 80.28%, it can minimize the extent of Very High IE and minimize the erosion up to 71.60%.

4.2 Recommendation

Suggestion for this research is the need for further research related to erosion by various methods combined with ground checks, land improvement and perform simulations, and applying the results of the research.

Erosion Prediction with Sediment Delivery Ratio Approach of Sekampung Watershed (Study in Watershed of Sekampung – Argoguruh Dam)

ORIGINALITY REPORT

6%

SIMILARITY INDEX

PRIMARY SOURCES

- | | | |
|---|---|-----------------|
| 1 | id.123dok.com
Internet | 113 words — 2% |
| 2 | repository.lppm.unila.ac.id
Internet | 96 words — 2% |
| 3 | Muhammad Farid Fahmi, Yoyon K. Suprpto, Wirawan. "Segmentation and distribution of watershed using K-Modes clustering algorithm and Davies-Bouldin index based on geographic information system (GIS)", 2016 International Seminar on Application for Technology of Information and Communication (ISemantic), 2016
Crossref | 29 words — 1% |
| 4 | N M Trigunasih, T Kusmawati, N W Yuli Lestari. "Erosion Prediction Analysis and Landuse Planning in Gunggung Watershed, Bali, Indonesia", IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 2018
Crossref | 18 words — < 1% |
| 5 | Hidayat, Herman. "Interpretations of Decentralization by Stakeholders", Forest Resources Management in Indonesia (1968-2004), 2016.
Crossref | 13 words — < 1% |

6 Angima, S.. "Soil erosion prediction using RUSLE for central Kenyan highland conditions", Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment, 200307
Crossref 8 words — < 1%

7 Marthen Kumajas. "Multi-response model of flooding in Tondano estuary", Advanced Studies in Theoretical Physics, 2014
Crossref 7 words — < 1%

8 X. W. Ding, Z. Y. Shen, R. M. Liu, L. Chen, M. Lin. "Effects of ecological factors and human activities on nonpoint source pollution in the upper reach of the Yangtze River and its management strategies", Copernicus GmbH, 2014
Crossref Posted Content 6 words — < 1%

9 jurnal.fp.unila.ac.id
Internet 4 words — < 1%

EXCLUDE QUOTES ON
EXCLUDE BIBLIOGRAPHY ON

EXCLUDE MATCHES OFF



UNIVERSITY OF LAMPUNG

Post Graduate Program and Institute for Research and
Community Services University of Lampung, Indonesia



CERTIFICATE

This certificate is awarded to

Slamet Budi Yuwono

as a

Participant

in

The 1st SHIELD International Conference 2016

Bandar Lampung, November 2 - 3 , 2016



Rector University of Lampung

Prof. Dr. Ir. Hasriadi Mat Akin, M.P.



International Conference
1st SHIELD 2016
University of Lampung

1st SHIELD Chairman

Prof. Dr. Muhammad Akib, S.H., M.Hum.

Sponsored by:

