

# Biosorption of Pb(II), Cu(II) and Cd(II) from Aqueous Solution Using Cassava Peel Waste Biomass

SUHARSO<sup>\*</sup> and BUHANI

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Mathematic and Natural Sciences, University of Lampung, Bandar Lampung 35145, Indonesia

\*Corresponding author: Tel/Fax: +62 721 770422; E-mail: suharso@unila.ac.id

(Received: 2 April 2010;

Accepted: 4 November 2010)

AJC-9247

The research of biomass modification from cassava peel waste (*Manihot esculenta crans*) with nitric acid and mercaptoacetic acid has been carried out. The result of biomass modification was used as adsorbent of single and multiple metal ions Pb(II), Cu(II) and Cd(II). Adsorption capacities of metal ions adsorbed (mg g<sup>-1</sup> adsorbent) were optimum at pH around 4 and 5. From thermodynamic data the adsorption capacities for metal ions Pb(II), Cu(II) and Cd(II) on biomass modified by 1.0 M mercaptoacetic acid are higher than on biomass modified by 0.5 M mercaptoacetic acid and on biomass activated by HNO<sub>3</sub>, with the order of the adsorption capacities for each metal ion as follow; Pb(II) > Cu(II) > Cd(II). Adsorption energies of the metal ions Pb(II), Cu(II) and Cd(II) on cassava peel biomass modified by mercaptoacetic acid and activated by HNO<sub>3</sub> are at a range of 13.239-22.972 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>, forming physical adsorption. On the multiple metal adsorption, Pb(II) ion competitions toward adsorbent are higher than Cu(II) and Cd(II) ions on biomass modified by mercaptoacetic acid and activated by HNO<sub>3</sub>.

Key Words: Cassava, Biomass, Adsorption, Modification.

### **INTRODUCTION**

The contamination of heavy metals in our environment as a major problem has been increasing gradually as a result of industrial activities and technological development, posing a significant threat to the environment and public health because of their toxicity, accumulation in the food chain and persistence nature<sup>1-3</sup>. Some of heavy metals from industrial waste water such as Cd, Pb and Cu may not be degraded biologically and chemically<sup>4-6</sup>. Several techniques have been developed to minimize the heavy metal ion concentration from waste water such as chemical precipitation, ion exchange, evaporation, electroplating and membrane processes, but these are very expensive<sup>7-9</sup>. One of the alternative techniques is an adsorption method using biological materials as adsorbent (such as agricultural by-products, fungi, algae biomass and others biological materials), mainly because of its low cost, high metal binding capacity, high efficiency in dilute effluent and environmental friendly<sup>9-11</sup>.

The use of agricultural by-products for the removal of heavy metal from wastewater has continued in recent years because they are simple, cheap and low cost<sup>12-14</sup>. One of biomass material from agriculture product which is not still used, but it has good potential as heavy metal adsorbent, is cassava peel waste, because it contains non reduction cellulose which is effective to bind metal ions. Cassava waste biomass reduced Cd(II), Cu(II) and Zn(II) single ion concentration from aqueous solution<sup>15</sup>. Activation of cassava waste biomass using nitric acid showed uptake capacities of two metal ions around 85 mg g<sup>-1</sup> adsorbent for Cu(II) ion and 60 mg g<sup>-1</sup> for Zn(II) ion. Binding of these metal ions can occur because there are hydroxyl and sulphydryl group on cassava peel biomass<sup>16</sup>. Cassava peel biomass is agriculture product waste and it is abundance in all provinces of Indonesia.

Modification of biomass from cassava waste biomass was also reported by Abia *et al.*<sup>17</sup> using thioglycollic acid solution and showed that there was adsorption capability increasing upon metal ions Cd(II), Cu(II) and Zn(II) by using 0.5 M thioglycollic acid and 0.1 M thioglycollic acid if compared by inactivated biomass<sup>17</sup>. Furthermore, Horsfall and his team studied effect of mercaptoacetic acid to activate cassava waste biomass<sup>18</sup>. The result showed that adsorption capabilities of cassava waste biomass to bind metal ions Cu(II) and Cd(II) increase after modified by mercaptoacetic acid at 0.5 M concentration.

In this paper, investigation of cassava peel waste adsorption modified by mercaptoacetic acid and  $HNO_3$  in single and multiple metal ion solution as an adsorbent for the sorption of Pb(II), Cd(II) and Cu(II) ions from aqueous solution is reported.

## EXPERIMENTAL

**Biomass preparation:** Cassava was cleaned and washed by deionized water, air-dried, cut into 5 cm pieces and peeled to get the cassava peeling wastes. The cassava peel wastes were ground using food processor (Philips 2000), dried in an oven at 105 °C (Heraeus) for 24 h and then screened through a 100-mesh Tyler screen to obtain a good quality of biomass.

Activation and modification of biomass: The biomass obtained was activated by soaking 500 g biomass in excess  $0.3 \text{ M HNO}_3$  for 24 h to remove any debris or soluble biomolecules that might interact with metal ions, followed by washing thoroughly with deionized water up to obtained pH of 7.1 and then dried in the air. The biomass activated was grouped into two parts. The first part was left untreated and the second part (1 g) was added 250 mL of 0.5 M mercaptoacetic acid and 1.0 M mercaptoacetic acid, followed by stirring for 24 h at temperature of 30 °C while maintaining a pH of 7.1.

**Effect of pH:** In all the sets of experiments, 25 mL of metal ion solutions was mixed with 20 mg biomass in bottle at optimum conditions and then system pH was adjusted at various pHs of 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8. The mixture was shaken using shaker for 1 h. The supernatant solution obtained was analyzed by AAS.

Adsorption process of biomass with metal ions: Adsorption process was carried out using 3 kinds of adsorbents namely; cassava peel biomass before and after activated by mercaptoacetic acid (Adsorbent:  $SK_1$  = activated by HNO<sub>3</sub>,  $SK_2$  = activated by mercaptoacetic acid 0.5 M,  $SK_3$  = activated by mercaptoacetic acid 1.0 M). The batch technique absorption is applied in present studies. Reaction tube was filled by adsorbent and then it was added metal ion solution with various variables as parameters investigated. Then, it was shaken and centrifuged to separate filtrate and deposit. Filtrate was taken and analyzed by an atomic absorption spectrophotometer (AAS) model Perkin-Elmer 3110.

Effect of single metal ion concentration: For determining adsorption capacity, 25 mL of metal ion Pb(II) was used with different concentrations; 0, 20, 40, 80, 100 mg L<sup>-1</sup> and they was interacted in bottle obtained 20 mg of biomass and then adjusted up to pH of 5. After that, mixture between the metal ion and the biomass was shaken by 1 h and left over night. This experiment was also conducted for metal ion Cu(II) and Zn(II).

**Multiple metal ion adsorptions:** For determining capacities and adsorption energies of multiple metal ion, it was used 25 mL of multiple metal ions Pb(II), Cu(II) and Cd(II) with various concentrations; 0, 20, 40, 80, 100 mg L<sup>-1</sup>. They were interacted in bottle obtained 20 mg of biomass at the interaction time of 60 min, the system pH of 5 and the temperature of 30 °C and they were left a nigh. Finally, a supernatant solution obtained was measured by AAS.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Effect of pH:** The effect of pH on the adsorption of metal ions on cassava peel waste adsorbent  $SK_1$ ,  $SK_2$  and  $SK_3$  can be seen in Figs. 1-3. Adsorption capacities (metal ion adsorbed (mg g<sup>-1</sup> adsorbent)) were analyzed over a range pH 3.0-8.0. They were apparent from the results that the metal adsorption



depends on pH. As the pH increased from 3.0-5.0, adsorption capacity increased. Adsorbent material of this experiment primarily contains weak basic from functional group -SH. This can be explained by the theory of acid-base equilibria, in the pH range 3.0-5.0 and in this range the binding of heavy metal cations is determined primarily by the state of dissociation of weak basic groups. At low pH conditions allow hydrogen and hydronium ions to compete with Pb(II), Cu(II) and Cd(II) for metal-binding sites on the cassava peel biomass adsorbent, causing low adsorption of Pb(II) for pH 3-4, Cu(II) for pH 3 and Cd(II) pH 3-4. At pH values of 4-5, there are lower numbers of competing hydrogen ions and more ligands are exposed with negative charges, resulting in the higher Pb(II), Cu(II) and Cd(II) ion sorption. It can be explained that at pH below 4 or 5, the overall surface charge on the cells of biomass became positive charge, which inhibited the approach of positively charge Pb(II), Cu(II) and Cd(II) cations<sup>19-21</sup>. At the pH above 5, the hydroxyl complexes were formed which may cause decrease in adsorption capacity<sup>22,23</sup>. This result is consistence with the result of Riaz *et al.* using cotton waste biomass<sup>1</sup>.

Adsorption of metal ions: Thermodynamic study was applied using isotherm adsorption of cassava peel biomass  $SK_1$ ,  $SK_2$  and  $SK_3$  upon metal ions Pb(II), Cu(II) and Cd(II) determining some parameters, *i.e.*, adsorption capacity ( $n_m$ ), adsorption equilibrium constants (K) and adsorption energy (E). Calculation was based on adsorption data of metal ion at various concentrations (Figs. 4-6) with using an equation proposed by Langmuir below:

# $C/n = 1/n_m K + C/n_m$

where, C is the equilibrium concentration (mg L<sup>-1</sup>) and n is the adsorbed amount of metal ion per gram of biomass at equilibrium (mg g<sup>-1</sup> biomass).  $n_m$  is the maximum amount of metal ion per unit weight of biomass to form a complete mono layer on the surface bound at high C (mg g<sup>-1</sup> biomass). K is constant related to the affinity of the binding sites (L mg<sup>-1</sup>).



Fig. 4. Effect of Pb(II) ion concentration *versus* amount of ion adsorbed (mg g<sup>-1</sup> adsorbent)



Metal concentration (mg/L)

Fig. 5. Effect of Cu(II) ion concentration *versus* amount of ion adsorbed (mg  $g^{-1}$  adsorbent).



Fig. 6. Effect of Cd(II) ion concentration versus amount of ion adsorbed (mg  $g^{-1}$  adsorbent)

A plot of C/n *versus* C indicates a straight line of slope  $1/n_m$  and an intercept of  $1/n_m$ K, therefore the Langmuir constant (K) and the maximum adsorption capacity  $(n_m)$  are determined by intercept and slope<sup>24</sup>. While, the values of adsorption energies are identified based on Gibbs free energy change equation, below:

Adsorption energy = 
$$\Delta G^{\circ}$$
 ads =  $-R T \ln K$ 

where, R is gas constant  $(8.314 \text{ JK}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1})$  and T is temperature in Kelvin (K).

Result of calculation was obtained value of some thermodynamic parameters as listed in Table-1.

TABLE-1 LANGMUIR PARAMETERS ON ADSORPTION PROCESSES OF Pb(II), Cu(II) and Cd(II) ON CASSAVA PEEL BIOMASS ACTIVATED BY 0.3 M HNO <sub>3</sub> (SK <sub>1</sub> ), MERCAPTOACETIC ACID 0.5 M (SK <sub>2</sub> ) AND MERCAPTOACETIC ACID 1.0 M (SK <sub>3</sub> ) AT 30 °C						
Metal-	$\mathbb{R}^2$	n <sub>m</sub>	$K(10^3)$	Е		
Adsorbent	K	$(\mu mol g^{-1})$	$(L mg^{-1})$	(kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> )		
Pb-SK <sub>1</sub>	0.942	294.118	1.984	18.938		
Pb-SK <sub>2</sub>	0.951	322.581	2.460	19.475		
Pb-SK <sub>3</sub>	0.944	357.143	2.566	13.837		
Cu-SK <sub>1</sub>	0.920	270.270	1.248	17.783		
Cu-SK <sub>2</sub>	0.960	285.714	2.212	19.210		
Cu-SK <sub>3</sub>	0.940	303.030	10.000	22.972		
Cd-SK <sub>1</sub>	0.964	208.333	1.627	18.444		
Cd-SK <sub>2</sub>	0.973	238.095	2.060	19.033		

From the Table-1, it can be observed that adsorbent capabilities of  $SK_2$  and  $SK_3$  to adsorb metal ions are higher than adsorbent of  $SK_1$ . These show that  $SK_2$  and  $SK_3$  after modified by mercaptoacetic acid have functional groups which are more relatively active in binding metal ions. Modification of cassava peel biomass (B) with mercaptoacetic acid produces -SH (sulphuhydril) group with reaction as follow:

256.410

0.201

13.239

Cd-SK<sub>3</sub>

0.967

Biomass-OH + HSCH<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>H  $\rightarrow$  Biomass-SH + H<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> + H<sup>+</sup>

B-CH<sub>2</sub>OH + HSCH<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>H 
$$\xrightarrow{\text{H}^{r}}$$
 B-CH<sub>2</sub>-S-CH<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>H + H<sub>2</sub>O  
B-CH<sub>2</sub>-S-CH<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>H → B-CH<sub>2</sub>-SH + HONH-CH<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>H

Existence of -SH group causes metal ions which have characteristic as borderline acid and soft acid can be adsorbed well, because -SH group is soft acid. At the adsorbent of SK<sub>1</sub>, the available of functional group is only -OH group characterized as a hard base. Base on hard and soft acid base concept, the hard acid will interact strongly with the hard base and the soft acid will interact strongly with the soft base<sup>13</sup>. After cassava peel biomass modified by mercaptoacetic acid, Pb(II), Cu(II) and Cd(II) occur an increasing of adsorption capacity because there is -SH group which enables the metal ions (Mn<sup>+</sup>) characterized as borderline acid [Pb(II) and Cu(II)] and as soft acid [Cd(II)], they will interact strongly with adsorbent through the group as reaction below:

# $M^{n\scriptscriptstyle +} + B\text{-}CH_2\text{-}SH \rightarrow (B\text{-}CH_2\text{-}S)_n\text{-}M + H^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$

Adsorption energies of Pb(II), Cu(II) and Cd(II) on the adsorbent of SK<sub>1</sub>, SK<sub>2</sub> and SK<sub>3</sub> are around 13.239-22.972 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>. These adsorption energies are too small to be identified as chemical bond energy and they are bigger if observed as physical induction. Chemical bond energy is usually higher than 40 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> as a covalent bond and an electrostatic interaction, while induction energy<sup>25</sup> is smaller than 10 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>. Therefore, it can be concluded that generally, the occurred adsorption on the metal ions is physical adsorption. These are in accordance with a research result obtained by Horsfall *et al.*<sup>18</sup>, stating that adsorption process of metal ion Cu (II) and Cd (II) on cassava biomass is physical adsorption with an energy range of 8-16 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>.

To determine metal ion competition on cassava peel biomass of  $SK_1$ ,  $SK_2$  and  $SK_3$ , it was tested with using multiple metal solutions consisting of Pb(II), Cu(II) and Cd(II) at the interaction time of 60 min, the temperature of 30 °C and the pH of 5. The result obtained is shown in Figs. 7-9.

The calculation based on adsorption data of multiple metal ions at various concentrations (Figs. 7-9) using equation proposed by Langmuir<sup>24</sup> followed by Davydov<sup>26</sup> and Jin *et al.*<sup>27</sup>. The obtained data is listed in Table-2. If compared with adsorption capacity value of single metal ion and multiple metal ions as listed in Table-3, it can be observed that the adsorption capacity value of the multiple metal ions on the same adsorbents is lower than the single metal adsorption process, it will be observed that each metal ion occur decreasing of adsorption, as presented in Table-3. These occur because on multiple metal adsorption occurs competatively among metals causing a binding more than one metal ion on adsorbent active cites.



Fig. 7. Effect of multiple metal ion concentrations [Pb(II), Cu(II) and Cd(II)] *versus* amount of ions adsorbed (mg  $g^{-1}$  adsorbent SK<sub>1</sub>)







Metal ion concentration (mg/L)

Fig. 9. Effect of multiple metal ion concentrations [Pb(II), Cu(II) and Cd(II)] *versus* amount of ions adsorbed (mg g<sup>-1</sup> adsorbent SK<sub>3</sub>)

TABLE-2 LANGMUIR PARAMETERS ON ADSORPTION PROCESSES OF MULTIPLE METAL IONS Pb(II), Cu(II) AND Cd(II) ON CASSAVA PEEL BIOMASS ACTIVATED BY 0.3 M HNO <sub>3</sub> (SK <sub>1</sub> ), 0.5 M MERCAPTOACETIC ACID (SK <sub>2</sub> ) AND 1.0 M MERCAPTOACETIC ACID (SK <sub>3</sub> ) AT 30 °C						
Metal-	$\mathbb{R}^2$	n <sub>m</sub>	$K(10^3)$	Е		
Adsorbent	ĸ	(µmol g <sup>-1</sup> )	$(L mg^{-1})$	(kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> )		
Adsorbent SK <sub>1</sub>						
Pb	0.937	274.118	1.753	18.816		
Cu	0.926	256.410	1.363	18.182		
Cd	0.969	200.000	0.182	13.107		
Adsorbent SK <sub>2</sub>						
Pb	0.966	294.118	2.642	19.849		
Cu	0.965	277.778	1.977	19.119		
Cd	0.958	217.391	0.179	13.067		
Adsorbent SK <sub>3</sub>						
Pb	0.961	330.581	2.810	20.005		
Cu	0.964	277.778	2.277	19.475		
Cd	0.976	232.558	2.241	13.634		

#### Conclusion

Adsorption capacities of metal ions adsorbed (mg/g adsorbent) were optimum at pH around 4 and 5. Adsorption capacities for Pb(II), Cu(II) and Cd(II) ions on cassava peel biomass modified by mercaptoacetic acid 1.0 M are highest than on cassava peel biomass modified by 0.5 M mercaptoacetic acid and on cassava peel biomass activated by nitric acid, with the order; Pb(II) > Cu(II) > Cd(II) on each adsorbent, respectively.

TABEL-3 LANGMUIR PARAMETERS ON ADSORPTION PROCESSES OF METAL IONS Pb(II), Cu(II) AND Cd(II) ON CASSAVA PEEL BIOMASS ACTIVATED BY 0.3 M HNO <sub>3</sub> (SK <sub>1</sub> ), 0.5 M MERCAPTOACETIC ACID (SK <sub>2</sub> ) AND 1.0 M MERCAPTOACETIC ACID (SK <sub>3</sub> ) AT 30 °C					
Metal-Adsorbent	Single metal ion $n_m$ (µmol g <sup>-1</sup> )	Multiple metal ion $n_m$ (µmol g <sup>-1</sup> )			
Adsorbent SK <sub>1</sub>					
Pb	294.118	274.118			
Cu	270.270	256.410			
Cd	208.333	200.000			
Adsorbent SK <sub>2</sub>					
Pb	322.581	289.118			
Cu	285.714	277.778			
Cd	238.095	217.391			
Adsorbent SK <sub>3</sub>					
Pb	357.143	330.581			
Cu	303.030	277.778			
Cd	256.410	232.558			

Adsorption energies on Pb(II), Cu(II) and Cd(II) on cassava peel biomass modified by mercaptoacetic acid and on cassava peel biomass activated by nitric acid are around 13.239-22.927 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>, forming physical adsorption. On the adsorption of multiple metal ions, competition of metal ion Pb(II) toward adsorbent is higher than Cu(II) ion, as well as Cd(II) ion on cassava peel biomass modified by mercaptoacetic acid and on cassava peel biomass activated by nitric acid.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to Directorate of Research and Community Services (DP2M), Directorate General of Higher Education (DIKTI), National Education Department of Indonesia (DEPDIKNAS) for the financial support through the Fundamental Research with contract number: 028/SP2H/PP/ DP2M/III/2007.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. M. Riaz, R. Nadeem, M.A. Hanif, T.M. Ansari and K. Rehman, J. Hazard. Mater., 161, 88 (2009).
- H.N. Bhatti, B. Mumtaz, M.A. Hanif and R. Nadeem, *Process Biochem.*, 42, 547 (2007).
- 3. M.N. Zafar, R. Nadeem and M.A. Hanif, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, **143**, 478 (2007).
- 4. N. Chiron, R. Guilet and E. Deydier, Water Res., 37, 3079 (2003).
- D.P. Quintanilla, A. Sanchez, I. del Hierro, M. Fajardo and I. Sierra, J. Hazard. Mater., B134, 245 (2006).
- M. Mukhopadhyay, S.B. Noronha and G.K. Suraishkumar, *Bioresour*. *Technol.*, 98, 1781 (2007).
- 7. E.W. Wilde and J.R. Beermann, Biotechnol. Adv., 11, 781 (1993).
- 8. N. Kuyucak and B. Volesky, *Biotechnol. Lett.*, **10**, 137 (1998).
- 9. B. Volesky and Z.R. Holan, Biotechnol Prog., 11, 235 (1995).
- 10. R. Patel and S. Suresh, Biores. Technol., 99, 51 (2008).
- 11. V.K. Gupta and A. Rastogi, J. Hazard. Mater., 152, 407 (2008).
- 12. F.E. Okiemen, A.O. Maya and C.O. Oriakhi, *Int. J. Environ. Anal. Chem.*, **32**, 23 (1988).
- J.G. Parsons, G. Gamez, K.L. Tiemann and J.L. Gardea-Torresdey, Environmental Solution to Resources Development, Production and Use, Kansas State University, Manhattan (2000).
- 14. Y.S. Ho, C.T. Huang and H.W. Huang, *Process Biochem.*, **37**, 1421 (2002).
- A.A. Abia, M. Jnr Horsfall and O. Didi, *J. Bioresour. Technol.*, **90**, 345 (2003).
- M. Jnr Horsfall, A.A. Abia and A.I. Spiff, *African J. Biotechnol.*, 2, 360 (2003).
- 17. A.A. Abia, M. Jnr Horsfall and O. Didi, *J. Appl. Sci. Environ. Mgt.*, **6**, 89 (2002).
- M. Jnr Horsfall, A.I. Spiff and A.A. Abia, *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.*, 25, 969 (2004).
- P. Pavasavant, R. Apiratikul, V. Sungkhum, P. Suthiparinyanont, S. Wattanachira and T.F. Marhaba, *Chemosphere*, 64, 1122 (2006).
- N.C.M. Gomes and V.R. Linardi, *Revista de Microbiologia*, 27, 218 (1996).
- 21. J.L. Zhou, P.L. Huang and R.G. Lin, Environ. Pollut., 101, 67 (1998).
- C. Raji, G.N. Manju and T.S. Anirudhan, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 87, 17 (1997).
- 23. M. Jnr Horsfall and A.I. Spiff, Eur. J. Biotechnol., 7, 313 (2004).
- 24. I. Langmuir, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 38, 2221 (1916).
- W. Stum and J.J. Morgan, Aquatic Chemistry, John Wiley & Sons, New York (1981).
- 26. A.S. Davydov, J. Theor. Biol., 38, 559 (1973).
- 27. X. Jin, G.W. Bailey, Y.S. Yu and A.T. Lynch, Soil Sci., 1, 509 (1996).