

# 13. Enhancing higher-order thinking skills using discovery learning model's on acid-base pH material..pdf

*By Ratu Betta*

## Enhancing higher-order thinking skills using discovery learning model's on acid-base pH material

Ratu Betta Rudibyani, and Ryzal Perdana

Citation: *AIP Conference Proceedings* **2014**, 020108 (2018); doi: 10.1063/1.5054512

View online: <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5054512>

View Table of Contents: <http://aip.scitation.org/toc/apc/2014/1>

Published by the *American Institute of Physics*

---

---



**AIP** | Conference Proceedings

Get **30% off** all  
print proceedings!

Enter Promotion Code **PDF30** at checkout

# Enhancing Higher-order Thinking Skills using Discovery Learning Model's on Acid-Base pH Material

Ratu Betta Rudibyani<sup>1,a)</sup>, Ryzal Perdana<sup>2,b)</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup> Teacher Training and Education Faculty of Lampung University, Jl. Prof. Dr. Soemantri Brojonegoro No. 1, Bandar Lampung, INDONESIA

<sup>2</sup> Doctoral Program of Universitas Sebelas Maret, Jl. Ir. Sutami 36A, Surakarta, INDONESIA

E-mail : <sup>a)</sup> ratu.betta.r@gmail.com, <sup>b)</sup> ryzalperdana2009@gmail.com

**Abstract.** This study aims to describe the practicality, effectiveness and effect size of the discovery learning model in enhancing students' higher-order thinking skills. Population in this research is all student of class X in senior high school in Metro, Indonesia. The method in this research is pre-experimental design with one group pretest-posttest design. Sampling was chosen randomly by cluster random sampling technique to get 2 experimental class that is class X.5 and X.8 which was treated by the learning model of discovery learning. The results showed that the implementation of the discovery learning model and the ability of teachers in managing the learning of "high" category, the response and the student activity during the learning category is "very high". The students' high-order thinking skills in both experimental classes increased with respect to the average n-Gain obtained, which was categorized as "medium". The discovery learning model has an effect on the improvement of students' higher-order thinking skills, as evidenced by the result of effect size test that is "high" in both experiment class. The results of this study can be concluded that the discovery learning model on acid-base pH material is practical, effective and has a large effect size in enhancing students high-order thinking skills.

## INTRODUCTION

In the century of knowledge, the 21<sup>st</sup> century, high-quality, skilled human resources are required to work together, to high-order thinking, to be creative, to be skilled, to understand cultures, to communicate, and to learn lifelong learning [1-2]. In the century of knowledge, intellectual capital, especially high-order thinking, is a necessity as a reliable workforce [3]. Graduates from college to university, in addition to having vocational skills must also have the thinking skills, so that the Indonesian nation does not become a nation of "laborers" [4]. All the opinions of these scholars support the opinion of [5] who from the outset expects students to be taught thinking skills. However, until now, this thinking skill has not been handled sincerely by teachers at school.

Students' thinking can be trained by applying the 2013 curriculum to chemistry learning. The 2013 curriculum is developed with the implications of the students' mindset that is the original teaching-centered learning pattern of the teacher to become a student-centered learning and learning pattern that passive students previously become active, critical and creative student learning [6].

In order for students to actively participate in learning, and to aim students in the process of concept discovery and problem solving, it is necessary to apply a model of learning in accordance with the goals or competencies to be achieved. The expected learning model is an innovative learning model that is the basic learning model philosophy is constructivism [7]. According to [8] constructivism learning is suitable for use in science learning. One model of constructivism learning that leads to the process of conceptual discovery and problem solving is the discovery learning model.

Discovery learning model is a learning model recommended by the curriculum 2013. The use of discovery learning model in the learning process aims to train students to perform various activities, namely observation, investigation, experiments, comparing findings with each other, ask questions and seek answers to questions [9].

According to [10], discovery model can provide opportunities for students to be more active in the learning process and application of discovery model can improve students' creative thinking ability. The research that has been done concluded that the discovery learning model is effective in improving the generating capability of both electrolyte and non-electrolyte solution materials [11]. Concluded that the discovery learning model is effective in improving the flexible thinking ability of reaction rate material [12]. In addition, stated that the application of discovery learning model succeeded in improving student's learning achievement of cognitive and affective aspects on buffer solution material [13].

High-order thinking skills (HOTS) is the ability to think a reason to answer complex questions and or solve a case or problem [14]. Teachers are responsible for training high-order thinking skills students because only with high-order thinking skills that students can use to live their lives after completing their education and students with good high-level thinking skills will have high cognitive abilities[15-16]. Low-level thinking is only useful for answering test questions or exams that may not necessarily be used in real life after school. The ability of teachers to make inquiries and in preparing the design of Lesson Plans (RPP) that contain elements of high-order thinking skills is something that absolutely must be had. Skilled teachers make inquiries and are skilled at making RPP with high-order thinking skills able to get their students to solve problems in their lives after they complete their education.

The results of interviews with chemistry teachers in high school can be concluded that chemistry teachers have not been able to train high-order thinking students. This can be seen from the RPP and the questions made by teachers have not contained elements of high-order thinking skills. Therefore, it is necessary for the teacher to apply a learning model that can solve the problem.

Based on the above description, this article will describe the practicality, effectiveness, and effect size of learning discovery learning model in improving students' high-order thinking skills on acid-base pH material. This application uses student worksheets (LKS) and knowledge base assessment instruments based on discovery learning.

## METHOD

### Sample Research

The population of this study is all students of class X in SMAN 6 Metro consisting of eight classes. Sampling was done randomly by using cluster random sampling technique, so that obtained class X.5 which amounted to 23 students and X.8 which amounted to 26 students as experimental class.

The data used in this study is primary data, in the form of test results before the application of learning (pretest) and after the application of learning (posttest). It also uses secondary data that is observation sheet of discovery learning model implementation, student response questionnaire, teacher's ability observation sheet in classroom management, student activity sheet during the learning progress, and practice skills appraisal sheet. The data source of this research is all students in experiment class and 2.

The research method used in this research is pre-experimental design with One Group Pretest-Posttest Design [17]. The design of this study looked at the differences in pretest and posttest in the class under study. This study was conducted by giving a treatment to the research subjects of two sample classes then observed.

### Validity and Reliability Analysis

Validity and reliability test is done by using SPSS statistic 17.0. The validity test is applied by using the product moment Pearson correlation formula compared to the  $r_{\text{value}}$  and  $r_{\text{table}}$ , it is valid if it counts  $r_{\text{table}}$  with 5% significance. The reliability test was performed using the Cronbach Alpha formula which was then interpreted using the reliability scale ( $r_{11}$ ) of evaluation tools according to Guilford [18] and is listed in Table 1.

TABLE 1. Criteria of reliability tool reliability scale

Reliability ( $r_{11}$ )	Criteria
$0,80 < r_{11} \leq 1,00$	Very High
$0,60 < r_{11} \leq 0,80$	High
$0,40 < r_{11} \leq 0,60$	Medium
$0,20 < r_{11} \leq 0,40$	Low
$0,00 < r_{11} \leq 0,20$	Not Reliability

### Practicality Data Analysis discovery learning model.

The practicality of learning discovery learning model is determined from the achievement of the discovery learning model measured through the evaluation of the implementation of RPP. The formula used by [19] is:

$$\%J_i = \frac{\sum J_i}{N} \times 100\% \quad (1)$$

$\%J_i$  is the percentage of the ideal score for every aspect of observation at the meeting (i),  $\sum J_i$  is the sum of the scores of each observation aspect at meetings (i) and (N) is the maximum score. Then the results obtained data interpreted with the percentage value in Table 2 [20].

TABLE 2. Percentage value interpretation

Percent	Criteria
75,1% - 100,0%	Very Good
50,1% - 75,0%	Good
25,1% - 50,0%	Pretty Good
0,0% - 25,0%	Poorly

Practicality is also determined from the point of view of the learning model measured through the student response questionnaire given at the end of the meeting. The questionnaire consisted of 13 positive and 13 negative statements. The student responds positively if the student responds to a positive statement and responds to a negative statement. Questionnaire response student is calculated by the formula:

$$\%X_{in} = \frac{\sum S}{S_{maks}} \times 100\% \quad (2)$$

$\%X_{in}$  is the percentage of students' response answers to the attractiveness of the discovery learning model,  $\sum S$  is the total score of answers, and  $S_{max}$  is the maximum score [19].

### Data Analysis Effectiveness Model discovery learning

The effectiveness of the learner model of discovery learning is determined from the teacher's ability to manage the learning using the discovery learning model measured by the observation sheet. The formula used is the same as the extent of RPP according to [19].

The effectiveness of the learning discovery learning model is also determined by the improvement of students' high-order thinking skills, as measured by the n-Gain value with the following formula:

$$n - gain = \frac{\%posttest - \%pretest}{100 - \%pretest} \quad (3)$$

Criteria [21] are shown in table 3.

TABLE 3. n-gain score criteria

n-gain score	Criteria
n-gain > 0,7	High
0,3 < n-gain ≤ 0,7	Medium
n-gain ≤ 0,3	Low

### Data Analysis Influence Size

The effect size of the discovery learning model on the improvement of students' high-order thinking skills is determined based on t test value. Until the t test is applied, first a n-gain test is used to measure the n-gain value using SPSS statistic 17.0. The normality test is performed by one-sample kolmogorovsmirnov test, in which the sample is normally distributed when the Asymp value. Sig. (2-tailed) obtained by >0.05. Furthermore t test is applied to the mean difference of n-gain of high-order thinking skills between posstest value and pretest. The level of trust used  $\alpha = 0.05$ . The formula used in the t test according to [19] as follows:

$$t = \frac{\bar{X}_2 - \bar{X}_1}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{(n_1)\sigma_1 + (n_2)\sigma_2}{n_1 + n_2 - 2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{n_2} + \frac{1}{n_1}\right)}} \quad (4)$$

Furthermore, the calculation is done to determine the measure of effect size with the formula according to [22]:

$$\mu^2 = \frac{r^2}{r^2 + df} \quad (5)$$

$\mu$  is effect size,  $t$  is  $t_{count}$  from  $t$  test, and  $df$  is scale of freedom ( $n-1$ ) [23] shown in table 4.

TABLE 4. Effect size criteria

Effect Size ( $\mu$ )	Criteria
$\mu \leq 0,15$	Verry Small
$0,15 < \mu \leq 0,40$	Small
$0,40 < \mu \leq 0,75$	Medium
$0,75 < \mu \leq 1,10$	Big
$\mu > 1,10$	Verry Big

## RESULT AND DISCUSSION

### Validity and Reliability

17

Based on the analysis of the validity of the problem with the software SPSS version 17.0 Corrected Item-Total Correlation value that shows the value of the validity of items as in table 5.

TABLE 5. The validity of the item test result

Question number	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	dk	$r_{Tabel}$	Category of validity
1	0,638	19	0,433	High
2	0,516	19	0,433	Medium
3	0,488	19	0,433	Medium
4	0,640	19	0,433	High
5	0,718	19	0,433	High

The Corrected Item-Total Correlation value indicates that the value of  $r_{value} > r_{table}$ . This indicates that the five items are declared valid. Reliability analysis problem with SPSS obtained Cronbach's Alpha value that shows the overall test reliability value of 0.770 which means the test instrument as a whole has a high degree of reliability criteria. This calculation also yields Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted value indicating the reliability value of the item as presented in Table 6, as follows:

38

TABLE 6. Result of item reliability test

Question number	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted	dk	$r_{Tabel}$	Category of validity
1	0,768	19	0,433	High
2	0,745	19	0,433	High
3	0,749	19	0,433	High
4	0,697	19	0,433	High
5	0,657	19	0,433	High

The value of Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted shows that the value of  $r_{value} > r_{table}$ , so that the five items are declared reliable. Based on the results of validity and reliability test, the test question is valid and reliable, so that the test instrument can be used to measure the thinking ability of high level.



Practical <sup>47</sup> data of discovery learning model

1. Implementation of discovery learning model

The results of the implementation of the discovery learning model are shown in Table 7.

TABLE 7. Data of observation result of the implementation discovery learning model.

Aspect of observation	Average percentage of implementation (%)			
	Meeting 1	Category	Meeting 2	Category
Syntax	82	Very high	80	High
Social system	78	High	85	Very high
Principle of reaction	75	High	78	High
Average of each meeting	78.33	High	81	Very high
Average			79.67	

Based on the data contained in Table 7, the average implementation of discovery learning model is "high" which means the implementation of learning discovery learning model has high practical <sup>46</sup> in improving students' high-order thinking skills. This is in accordance with the statement put forward [24] that the effectiveness of the learning model is closely related to the achievement of learning objectives and a model of learning is said to have a high practicality, if the level of implementation of learning in the class categorized high.

2. Positive response of student

Overall towards the implementation of learning with discovery learning model shown in table .8

TABLE 8. Data on student response results implementation of learning

No.	Aspect	Percentage positive respons of student (%)	Criteria <sup>5</sup>	
1	Feeling of pleasure towards	Learnig topics	98	Very high
		Student worksheet	93	Very high
		Visual media	90	Very high
		Learnig atmosphere	59	Medium
		How teacher teach	98	Very high
		Teacher responds	95	Very high
2	Students' opinions about newness	Learnig topics	95	Very high
		Student worksheet	88	Very high
		Visual media	73	High
		Learnig atmosphere	54	Medium
		How teacher teach	68	High
		Teacher responds	73	High
3	Students' interest in learning	95	<sup>5</sup> Very high	
4	Student's opinion on students' worksheet and media	Understanding of language	98	Very high
		Interest in the performance of students' worksheet	83	Very high
		Train high-order thinking skills	93	Very high
		Make it easy to learn the topics	98	Very high
		Interest in webpage / weblog utilization	95	Very high
5	Students' opinions about the learning discovery learning model	Match on topics	98	Very high
		Activation of activity in class	78	High
		Development of flexible thinking skills	80	High
		Ease in interpreting a picture, story, or <sup>45</sup> problem	80	High
		Application of the concept of electrolytes in everyday life	68	High
		Learning is more interesting, fun, and easy to understand	95	Very high
		Average		85,21

Based on the data contained in table 9, the average percentage of student responses is categorized as "very high". This indicates that students' responses to the implementation of learning discovery learning models on pH materials acid-base solutions have a very high practicality in improving students' high-order thinking skills. This is in line with [25] who argued that the number of students who responded positively to teaching showed that children were interested and interested in teaching that was implemented.

*The effectiveness of discovery learning model*

1. The ability of teachers in managing learning is shown in Table 9, as follows:

**TABLE 9.** Data on teacher ability observation managing learning


Meeting	Aspects of observation	percentage of achievement (%)	Category
I	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>Very high</b>
	<b>Syntax</b>		
	Stage I: Stimulation	75	High
	Stage II: <i>Problem Statement</i>	81	Very high
	Stage III: <i>Data Collection</i>	81	Very high
	Stage IV: <i>Data Processing</i>	79	Very high
	Stage V: <i>Verification</i>	75	High
	Stage VI: <i>Generalization</i>	81	Very high
	<b>Closing</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>High</b>
	<b>Assessment of teachers</b>		
	Time management	85	Very high
	Mastery of topic		
	Appearance of teacher		
	Use of language		
Open response to student			
Average	80	5 High	
II	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>Very high</b>
	Stage I: Stimulation	75	High
	Stage II: <i>Problem Statement</i>	75	High
	Stage III: <i>Data Collection</i>	81	Very high
	Stage IV: <i>Data Processing</i>	88	Very high
	Stage V: <i>Verification</i>	69	High
	Stage VI: <i>Generalization</i>	81	Very high
	<b>Closing</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>High</b>
	<b>Assessment of teachers</b>		
	Time management	85	Very high
	Mastery of topic		
	Appearance of teacher		
	Use of language		
	Open response to student		
Average	79,6	High	

Based on the data contained in [29]: 9, the average ability of teachers in managing [21] learning meets the "high" criteria at meetings 1 and 2, said the ability of teachers in managing learning with the model of discovery learning is effective in improving students' high-order thinking.



## 2. High-order thinking skills

The average results of pretest and posttest from the class X.5 and X.8 are shown in Fig 1.




**Figure 1.** Average pretest and postes values high-order thinking skills students.

33

Based on Figure 1, it can be seen that there is an increase in the average student score between before (pretest) and after (posttest) implementation of learning with discovery learning model.

Based on the calculation obtained the average n-Gain high-thinking ability of students in class X.5 and X.8, as presented in Figure 2.



**Figure 2.** Average n-Gain students' high-order thinking ability.

Figure 2. Show the average difference of n-Gain class X.5 and X.8 with "medium" criteria which means learning with effective discovery learning model in improving students' high-order thinking skills on acid-base PH material.

### Hypothesis testing and effect size (effect size)

#### 1. Normality and homogeneity test

The result of normality test to pretest and posttest value in class X.5 has sig value. 0.108 and 0.070 which means accept  $H_0$  or the sample is normally distributed, while the pretest and posttest values in class X.8 have sig values. 0.643 and 0.063 which means accept  $H_0$  or the sample is normally distributed.

Homogeneity test results on pretest and posttest values have value 0.190 and 0.918 which means accept  $H_0$  or both classes have a homogeneous variance

#### 2. Test the difference in pretest and posttest averages

The result of Paired Sample T-Test is shown in table 10.

**TABEL 10.** T test of class X.5 dan X.8 results

Experimental Class	Average		N	Test Paired Sample T-Test	
	Pretest	Posttest		sig. (2-tailed)	Test criteria
Class X.5	25,74	60,61	23	0,000	sig. (2-tailed) > 0,05
Class X.8	22,42	62,96	26	0,000	sig. (2-tailed) > 0,05

Based on table 10, the results in both classes indicate  $H_0$  is rejected, then  $H_1$  is accepted which means the posttest value is better than the pretest value. Discovery learning has a positive effect on students' high-order thinking skills because the posttest value is better than the pretest value after the students are treated using the discovery learning model. Using the discovery learning model fosters a scientific attitude and a desire to investigate so that students can improve high-order thinking skills that are an important attribute to success in the 21<sup>st</sup> century [26-27]

The method of problem solving is an active thinking process based on the thinking process toward definitive conclusions [28-29] the discovery learning model facilitates students to solve problems through teacher guidance so that students will indirectly use the appropriate thinking skills with the teacher's direction. The role of students in the discovery learning model is not entirely as a locus of control because the teacher still guides and directs students to solve problems and draw conclusions from the results of the investigation [30-31]. An investigation conducted on the acid-base pH material requires the guidance of the teacher because in the fear of the students will be confused if not given guidance through questions or direct instruction by the teacher. Therefore, the discovery learning model is suitable for directing students on the acid-base pH material that requires high-order thinking skills.

#### 3. Effect size test

Based on the effect size test, the value of effect size as shown in table 11.

**TABEL 11.** The effect size test results.

Experimental class	N	df	t count	Effect size value	Category
Class X.5	23	22	12,30	0,93	Big
Class X.8	26	25	15,16	0,95	Big

The effect size value for both experimental classes (class X5 and X8) are "big", which means that the application of discovery learning model has a big effect on the improvement of students' high-order thinking skills on the acid-base pH material. Measurement of effect size is important because if the value of significance is not necessarily if its effect size will be big too, effect size aims to know how big influence from application of treatment to a variable [32-33].

## CONCLUSION

Based on the discussion and research results found that the model of practical discovery learning in improving students' high-order thinking on the acid-base pH material. This is indicated by the average percentage of RPP is "high" categorization and average percentage of student responses categorized as "very high". The discovery

learning model is also considered effective in improving students' high-order thinking on acid-base pH material. This is demonstrated by the ability of teachers to manage "high" learning and the increase of pretest-posttest (n-Gain) values in both experimental classes meet the "medium" criteria. Then for the result of influence or effect size using discovery learning model in improving high-order thinking skills have criteria of "big" on acid-base pH material.

## REFERENCE

- [1] NcRel & Metiri Group. *Engauge 21<sup>st</sup> Century Skills: Literacy In The Digital Age*. US: NCREL & Metiri Group 19 ss, 2003.
- [2] Trilling, B., & Fadel, C. *21st Century Skills: Learning for life in our times*. Sanfransisco: *Jossey-Bass*, 2009, 11.
- [3] Galbreath, J. Preparing the 21st Century Worker: The Link Between Computer-Based Technology and Future Skill Sets. *Educational Technology*. 14-22 (Desember 1999).
- [4] Degeng, N. S. *Bisa Ciptakan Bangsa "Buruh"*. Harian Jawa Post. hlm. 30 (5 September 2003).
- [5] Johnson, E. B. *Contextual Teaching and Learning*. California: Corwin Press, Inc. 2002.
- [6] 10 MDIKBUD. *Model Pembelajaran Penemuan*. Jakarta. 2013.
- [7] Rusmiati, A. and Yulianto, A. Peningkatan Keterampilan Proses Sains dengan Menerapkan Model *Problem Based-Instruction*. *Jurnal Pendidikan Fisika Indonesia*. 2009. 4(5): 75-78.
- [8] Amineh, R. J., & Asl, H. D. Review of constructivism and social constructivism. *Journal of Social Sciences, Literature and Languages*, 2015, 1(1), 9–16.
- [9] Nurdin, S. dan Adriantoni. 2016. *Kurikulum dan Pembelajaran*. Raja Grafindo Persada. Jakarta.
- [10] Rohim, F., H. Susanto, dan Ellianawati. Penerapan Model Discovery Terbimbing pada Pembelajaran Fisika untuk Meningkatkan Kemampuan Berpikir Kreatif. *Unnes Physics Education Journal*, 2012, 1(1): 1-5.
- [11] Diantini, N. Fadiawati, dan R. B. Rudibyani. Efektivitas Model Discovery Learning dalam Meningkatkan Kemampuan Generating Materi Larutan Elektrolit dan Non-Elektrolit. *Jurnal Pendidikan dan Pembelajaran Kimia*, 2015, 4 (2): 391-402.
- [12] Sari, F. R., N. Fadiawati, dan L.Tania. Pembelajaran Model Discovery Learning untuk Meningkatkan Keterampilan Berpikir Luwes pada Materi Laju Reaksi. *Jurnal Pendidikan dan Pembelajaran Kimia*, 2015, 4 (2): 556-567.
- [13] Istiana, G. A., A. N. Catur S., dan J. S. Sukardjo. Penerapan Model Pembelajaran Discovery Learning untuk Meningkatkan Aktivitas dan Prestasi Belajar Pokok Bahasan Larutan Penyangga pada Siswa Kelas XI Ipa Semester II SMA Negeri 1 Ngemplak Tahun Pelajaran 2013/2014. *Jurnal Pendidikan Kimia Universitas 7 belas Maret*, 2015, 4 (2): 65-73.
- [14] Chen, L. Understanding critical thinking in Chinese sociocultural contexts: A case study in a Chinese college. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, 2017, 24, 140–151.
- [15] Perdana, R. and 43 dibyani, R. B. Enhancing students' cognitive outcome in chemistry by guided inquiry learning models. *International journal 26 sciences: Basic and applied research*. 2018, Vol. 37 No. 3. Pp 41-51.
- [16] Zurweni, Basuki. W., & Tuti. N.E., Development of collaborative-creative learning model using virtual 13 ratory media for instrumental analytical chemistry lecture. *AIP Proceedings*. 1868. 2017, 030010-1-8.
- [17] Fraenkel, J. R., N. E. Wallen, dan H. H. Hyun. 2012. *How to Design and Evaluate Research in Education 42 th Edition*). Mc Grow-Hill. New York.
- [18] Suherman, E. 2003. *Evaluasi Pembelajaran Matematika*. JICA Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia. Bandung.
- [19] 32 Sudjana. *Metode Statistika*. Tarsito. Bandung. 2005.
- [20] Riduwan. *Sk 6 Pengukuran variabel-variabel penelitian*. Bandung: Alfabeta, 2013.
- [21] Hake, R. R. Relationship of Individual Student Normalized Learning Gains in Mathematics with Gender, High School, Physics, and Pre Test Scores in Mathematics and Spatial Visualization. *Physics Education Research Conference*. 2002.
- [22] Jahjough, Y. M. A. The Effectiveness of Blended E-Learning Forum in Planning for Science Instruction. *Journal of Turkish 12 nce Education*. 11 (4): 3-16. (2014)
- [23] Dincer, S. Effect of Computer Assisted Learning on Students' Achievement in Turkey: a Meta-Analysis. *Journal of Turkish Science Education*. 12 (1): 99-118. (2015)

- 17
- [24] Sunyono. Model Pembelajaran Kimia Berbasis Multiple Representasi dalam Membangun Model Mental Mahasiswa pada Mata Kuliah Kimia Dasar. *Disertasi. Program S3 Pendidikan Sains*. Program Pascasarjana Universitas Negeri Surabaya: tidak dipublikasikan. 2014.
- [25] Wahyudin, Sutikno, dan Isa, A. Keefektifan Pembelajaran Berbantuan Multimedia Menggunakan Metode Inkuiri Terbimbing untuk Meningkatkan Minat dan Pemahaman Siswa. *Jurnal Pendidikan Fisika Indonesia*, 6 (1): 58-62. (2010)
- [26] Wenning, C. J. The Levels of Inquiry Model of Science Teaching. *Journal of Physics Teacher Education Online*. 6(2), Summer, pp. 2-9. (2011)
- [27] Dwyer, C. p, P, Dwyer, C. P., Hogan, M. J., & Stewart, I. (2014). An integrated critical thinking framework for the 21s century. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, 12, 43–52.
- [28] Dewey, J. *Science as subject-matter and a method, in Experience and Education*, taken from John Dewey on Education: Selected Writings, Reginald D. Archambault (ed.), New York: Random House. 1910
- [29] Sutiani A. and Silitonga M. Y. The Effect of Learning Models and Emotional Intelligence toward Students Learning Outcomes on Reaction Rate . *AIP Proceedings*. 1868, 030011-1–030011-7. (2017)
- [30] Wenning, C.J. Levels of inquiry: Hierarchies of pedagogical practices and inquiry processes. *Journal of Physics Teacher Education Online*, 2(3), 3-11. (2005a)
- [31] Wenning, C.J. Implementing inquiry-based instruction in the science classroom: A new model for solving the movement-of-practice problem. *Journal of Physics Teacher Education Online*, 2(4), 9-15. (2005b)
- [32] Glass, G. V. Primary, secondary and meta-analysis of research. *American Educational Research Association is collaborating with JSTOR* Vol. 5, No. 10 (Nov., 1976), pp. 3-8. (1976)
- [33] Sullivan, G. M. & Feinn R. Using effect size-or Why P value is not enough. *Journal of Graduate Medical Education*. Vol. 17, No. 2. (2012)
- 25

# 13. Enhancing higher-order thinking skills using discovery learning model's on acid-base pH material..pdf

ORIGINALITY REPORT

# 16%

SIMILARITY INDEX

PRIMARY SOURCES

- 1** Ririn Ade Lestari, Hardeli, Indang Dewata, E Ellizar. 23 words — < 1%  
" Validity and practicality of buffer solution module based on discovery learning with a scientific approach to increase the critical thinking ability of 11 grade high school students ", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2019  
Crossref
- 2** Neslihan Arikan. "Effect of Personal and Social Responsibility-Based Social-Emotional Learning Program on Emotional Intelligence", Journal of Education and Learning, 2020  
Crossref
- 3** Alcuin Ivor Mwalongo. "chapter 19 Gender Differences in the Use of Asynchronous Discussion Forums and Quizzes for Promoting Critical Thinking Dispositions", IGI Global, 2018  
Crossref
- 4** M Hidayaturohman, I Helsy, F S Irwansyah, N Windayani. "The making of interactive multimedia orienting toward the three levels of representation in alcohol reaction", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2019  
Crossref
- 5** Yitong Shang, Man Liu, Ziyun Shao, Linni Jian. 21 words — < 1%  
"Internet of smart charging points with photovoltaic Integration: A high-efficiency scheme enabling optimal dispatching between electric vehicles and power grids", Applied Energy, 2020  
Crossref
- 6** MARUSIC, Mirko, ZORICA MISURAC, Irena, PIVAC, Snje&#382

---

and ana. "Influence of learning physics by reading and learning physics by doing on the shift in level of scientific reasoning", PEGEM Yayıncılık, 2012. 20 words — < 1 %  
Publications

---

7 academic.oup.com 20 words — < 1 %  
Internet

---

8 www.jbe-platform.com 20 words — < 1 %  
Internet

---

9 Yunita Herdiana, Wahyudin, Ririn Sispiyati. "Effectiveness of discovery learning model on mathematical problem solving", AIP Publishing, 2017 20 words — < 1 %  
Crossref

---

10 lib.unnes.ac.id 19 words — < 1 %  
Internet

---

11 Sean T.H. Lee, Guihyun Park. "Does diversity in team members' agreeableness benefit creative teams?", Journal of Research in Personality, 2020 19 words — < 1 %  
Crossref

---

12 Yuen-Kuang Cliff Liao, Wan-Ching Lai. "Chapter 78-1 Meta-analyses of Large Scale Datasets: A Tool for Assessing the Impact of Information and Communication Technology in Education", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2018 18 words — < 1 %  
Crossref

---

13 Robert Strong, Wayne Ganpat, Amy Harder, Travis L. Irby, James R. Lindner. "Exploring the Use of Information Communication Technologies by Selected Caribbean Extension Officers", The Journal of Agricultural Education and Extension, 2014 18 words — < 1 %  
Crossref

---

14 jurnal.stkipppgritulungagung.ac.id 18 words — < 1 %  
Internet

---

15 jurnal.untidar.ac.id  
Internet



18 words — < 1%

16 Apricia Tampubolon, Nelson Balisar Panjaitan. "A Comparative Study between TPS and LRD Strategy to Enhance Students' Reading Comprehension", *Acuity : Journal of English Language Pedagogy, Literature and Culture*, 2019  
Crossref

17 D R Sari, Hardeli, Bayharti. "Development of Chemistry Triangle Oriented Module on Topic of Reaction Rate for Senior High School Level Grade XI Chemistry Learning.", *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 2018  
Crossref

18 F Marian, Suparman. "Design of Student Worksheet Based On Discovery Learning to Improve the Ability of Mathematics Reasoning Students of Class VII Junior High School", *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 2019  
Crossref

19 [www.ijstr.org](http://www.ijstr.org)  
Internet

20 Sanchez, Rebecca Polley, Chelsea M. Bartel, Emily Brown, and Melissa DeRosier. "The acceptability and efficacy of an intelligent social tutoring system", *Computers & Education*, 2014.  
Crossref

21 A.I.T.P Sabrila, Dafik, I M Tirta, R S Malik. "Investigation the effect discovery-based learning on students' metacognition in solving rainbow 2-connection numbers", *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 2019  
Crossref

22 R D Anggraini, A Murni, Sakur. "Differences in students' learning outcomes between discovery learning and conventional learning models", *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 2018

23 R Susanti, Y Anwar, Ermayanti. "Implementation of learning based on scientific approach to improve science process skills of biology education students in general biology course", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2019

Crossref

15 words — &lt; 1%

24 [digitalcommons.olivet.edu](https://digitalcommons.olivet.edu)

Internet

14 words — &lt; 1%

25 Walid Alzand. "Instruction design and educational quality", Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, 2010

Crossref

14 words — &lt; 1%

26 Hong Seng Gan, Nicholas Yee Kwang Tee, Mohammad Raziun Bin Mamtaz, Kevin Xiao et al. "Augmented reality experimentation on oxygen gas generation from hydrogen peroxide and bleach reaction", Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Education, 2018

Crossref

14 words — &lt; 1%

27 Budiana, Eko Prasetya, Ubaidillah, Hafidz Adyatama, and Dominicus Danardono Dwi Prija. "Finite Element Modeling and Simulation of Thermal Distribution on Full and Ventilated Electric Vehicle Disk Brake", Applied Mechanics and Materials, 2014.

Crossref

13 words — &lt; 1%

28 Agus Suyatna, Dian Anggraini, Dina Agustina, Dini Widyastuti. "The role of visual representation in physics learning: dynamic versus static visualization", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2017

Crossref

13 words — &lt; 1%

29 Wardono, B Waluya, Kartono, Mulyono, S Mariani. "Development of innovative problem based learning model with PMRI-scientific approach using ICT to increase mathematics literacy and independence-character of junior high school students", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2018

Crossref

12 words — &lt; 1%

- 
- 30 Ritaningsih Ritaningsih. "UPAYA MENINGKATKAN MOTIVASI DAN HASIL BELAJAR SISWA MELALUI KEGIATAN TUTOR SEBAYA DALAM PENGAJARAN REMEDIAL MATERI GETARAN DAN GELOMBANG DI KELAS VIII C SEMESTER GENAP TAHUN PELAJARAN 2015/2016 SMP NEGERI 1 PANGKAH KABUPATEN TEGAL", PSEJ (Pancasakti Science Education Journal), 2017  
11 words — < 1%  
Crossref
- 
- 31 Ratna Very Viana, Jumadi, Insih Wilujeng, Heru Kuswanto. "The Influence of Project Based Learning based on Process Skills Approach to Student's Creative Thinking Skill", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2019  
11 words — < 1%  
Crossref
- 
- 32 [journal.unismuh.ac.id](http://journal.unismuh.ac.id)  
Internet  
10 words — < 1%
- 
- 33 "Leveraging Social Media in Accommodating Collaborative Learning in Indonesian Islamic Higher Education", International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering, 2019  
10 words — < 1%  
Crossref
- 
- 34 Eka Luthfiana Lathifah, Supar Man. "Design of Mathematics Student Worksheet based on Discovery Learning Approach to Improving Mathematical Representation Ability Students of Grade VII Junior High School in Indonesia", International Journal of Engineering & Technology, 2018  
10 words — < 1%  
Crossref
- 
- 35 [2017.currentdebates.org](http://2017.currentdebates.org)  
Internet  
9 words — < 1%
- 
- 36 Endang Endang, La Anse, I Ketut Suardika. "PENERAPAN MODEL PEMBELAJARAN DISCOVERY LEARNING UNTUK MENINGKATKAN HASIL BELAJAR SISWA PADA TEMA DAERAH TEMPAT TINGGALKU KELAS IV SDN 5 PASIR PUTIH", Jurnal Ilmiah Pembelajaran Sekolah Dasar, 2020  
9 words — < 1%

- 
- 37 [ojs.unida.ac.id](https://ojs.unida.ac.id)  
Internet 9 words — < 1%
- 
- 38 [textroad.com](https://textroad.com)  
Internet 9 words — < 1%
- 
- 39 Haryanto Haryanto, Asrial Asrial, M. Dwi Wiwik Ernawati. "E-Worksheet for Science Processing Skills Using Kvisoft Flipbook", International Journal of Online and Biomedical Engineering (iJOE), 2020  
Crossref 9 words — < 1%
- 
- 40 [thelearnersway.net](https://thelearnersway.net)  
Internet 9 words — < 1%
- 
- 41 L Latisma D, W Kurniawan, S Seprima, E S Nirbayani, E Ellizar, H Hardeli. "Effect of Chemistry Triangle Oriented Learning Media on Cooperative, Individual and Conventional Method on Chemistry Learning Result", IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering, 2018  
Crossref 9 words — < 1%
- 
- 42 A Miatun, Muntazhimah. "The effect of discovery learning and problem-based learning on middle school students' self-regulated learning", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2018  
Crossref 8 words — < 1%
- 
- 43 Rustam E. Simamora, Sahat Saragih, Hasratuddin Hasratuddin. "Improving Students' Mathematical Problem Solving Ability and Self-Efficacy through Guided Discovery Learning in Local Culture Context", International Electronic Journal of Mathematics Education, 2018  
Crossref 8 words — < 1%
- 
- 44 Tria Wulandari, Nirwana Nirwana, M. Lutfi Firdaus. "Implementasi Model Discovery Learning Pada Materi Aplikasi Gelombang Elektromagnetik Analisis Pengotor Berbagai Minyak Goreng Sawit dengan Spektrofotometri UV-Vis", PENDIPA Journal of Science Education, 2019  
Crossref 8 words — < 1%

---

45 Fauzi Bakri, Handjoko Permana, Suci Wulandari, Dewi Mulyati. "Student worksheet with AR videos: Physics learning media in laboratory for senior high school students", Journal of Technology and Science Education, 2020  
8 words — < 1%  
Crossref

---

46 A S D Sari, B K Prahani, Munasir, B Jatmiko. "The improvement of students physics problem solving skills through the implementation of PO2E2W learning model assisted PhET media", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2018  
8 words — < 1%  
Crossref

---

47 Y Yerimadesi, Y Kiram, L Lufri, F Festiyed, G Guspatni. "Validity and practicality of guided discovery learning models for chemistry learning in senior high school", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2019  
8 words — < 1%  
Crossref

---

48 Tri Wahyu Agustina, Nuryani Rustaman, Riandi Riandi, Widi Purwianingsih. "Traditional Biotechnology Content as a Media in Engaging Students with System Thinking Skills", Scientiae Educatia, 2019  
8 words — < 1%  
Crossref

---

49 Alifah Kartikasari, Roemintoyo Roemintoyo, Sri Yamtinah. "The Effectiveness of Science Textbook Based on Science Technology Society for Elementary School Level", International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education (IJERE), 2018  
8 words — < 1%  
Crossref

---

50 [jurnalftk.uinsby.ac.id](http://jurnalftk.uinsby.ac.id)  
8 words — < 1%  
Internet

---

51 Dewi Maynastiti, Vina Serevina, Iwan Sugihartono. "The development of flip book contextual teaching and learning-based to enhance students' physics problem solving skill", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2020  
8 words — < 1%  
Crossref

---

D A Safitri, N Umamah, Sumardi. "Accelerated Learning Integrated

52 by Discovery Learning in History Course: How Z Generation Learn", IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 2019

Crossref

8 words — < 1%

53 D Mulhayatiah, E K Yuningsih, R M Zulfikar. " Work and Energy by Guided Inquiry Worksheet: Analysis of Critical Thinking Skill in ", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2019

Crossref

7 words — < 1%

EXCLUDE QUOTES OFF  
EXCLUDE BIBLIOGRAPHY OFF

EXCLUDE MATCHES OFF