Variation of Anatomical Characteristics within the Culm of the Three *Gigantochloa* Species from Indonesia

Muhammad Iqbal Maulana,^{a,#} Woo Seok Jeon,^{b,c,#} Byantara Darsan Purusatama,^b Deded Sarip Nawawi,^a Siti Nikmatin,^{d,e} Rita Kartika Sari,^a Wahyu Hidayat,^f Fauzi Febrianto,^{a,*} Jong Ho Kim,^b Seung Hwan Lee,^b and Nam Hun Kim^{b,*}

The anatomical features of three valuable commercial Gigantochloa bamboo species growing in Indonesia, including G. pseudoarundinacea, G. apus, and G. atroviolacea, were investigated by optical microscopy. The relative crystallinity and crystalline width of the culm of the bamboo species were examined by an X-ray diffraction method. These species contained vascular bundle of type III. Vascular bundle density was higher in the outer part of bamboo culm than in the inner. Fiber portion decreased from the outer part to the inner part and vice versa for the parenchyma and vessel portions. Fiber length of all species was higher in the outer part than the inner part of the culm. There was a significant difference in the fiber percentage between the bamboo species. Significant differences were also found in vessel diameter and parenchyma cell dimensions among the bamboo species. There was a slight difference in the crystalline properties between the outer and inner parts of the culm and among the bamboo species. All parameters showed a variation in the radial direction of the three bamboo culm but did not show a consistent tendency along the vertical direction.

Keywords: Bamboo anatomy; Cell proportion; Gigantochloa; Relative crystallinity; Vascular bundle

Contact information: a: Department of Forest Products, Faculty of Forestry and Environment, IPB University (Bogor Agricultural University), Bogor 16680, Indonesia; b: Department of Forest Biomaterials Engineering, College of Forest and Environmental Science, Kangwon National University, Chuncheon 24341, Republic of Korea; c: Division of Timber Engineering, National Institute of Forest Science, Seoul 02455, Republic of Korea; d: Department of Physics, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, IPB University (Bogor Agricultural University), Bogor 16680, Indonesia; e: Surfactant and Bioenergy Research Center, IPB University (Bogor Agricultural University), Bogor 16143, Indonesia; f: Department of Forestry, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Lampung, Bandar Lampung 35145, Indonesia; # The first two authors contributed equally to this work;

* Corresponding authors: febrianto76@yahoo.com; kimnh@kangwon.ac.kr

INTRODUCTION

Bamboos encompass a broad group of 1,250 species within 75 genera, most of which are relatively fast-growing in various types of soil, attaining stand maturity within five years. The stands of tall species may reach 15 m to 20 m, and the largest species, *Dendrocalamus giganteus*, grows to 40 m in height and 30 cm in culm diameter (Scurlock *et al.* 2000). The main distribution of bamboo comprises Africa and America, with 80% of the bamboo found in Asia Pacific including Indonesia (Mera and Xu 2014). Bamboo plays important roles in the lives of various peoples of the world. It is estimated that more than 2.5 billion people depend on bamboo for their economy and one billion people live in traditional bamboo houses (Lobovikov *et al.* 2007). Several studies show the potential of bamboo as an alternative to wood composite materials (Febrianto *et al.* 2012, 2015;

Hidayat *et al.* 2019; Maulana *et al.* 2019) and as a fuel source or biomass energy raw material (Park *et al.* 2018, 2019, 2020).

In Indonesia, there are 161 species of bamboo grown, 126 species of which are endemic (Widjaja *et al.* 2014). *Gigantochloa* is one of these endemic bamboo genera and is mostly found in Java and Sumatra. *Gigantochloa pseudoarundinacea*, *G. apus*, and *G. atroviolacea* are found only in cultivation and locally known as Andong, Tali, and Hitam bamboo, respectively. They are commonly used in the bamboo industry together with *Dendrocalamus asper*, *Bambusa blumeana*, and *Schizostachyum brachycladum*. In particular, *G. pseudoarundinacea* is a potential raw material for oriented strand board (Febrianto *et al.* 2015), while *G. apus* and *G. atroviolacea* are more suitable for composite beam (Cahyono *et al.* 2014).

Although these species have been used in various applications, information regarding the anatomical characteristics of the bamboo culms of *Gigantochloa* genus are very limited. Mustafa *et al.* (2011) reported that four species of *Gigantochloa* from Malaysia had different vascular bundle sizes and fiber morphology between species and along the horizontal position in the culms of each species. Nordahlia *et al.* (2012) reported that fiber length in *Gigantochloa levis* was not significantly affected by culm age; however, it was affected by culm height. Similar trends in the fiber length of *Gigantochloa atter* were also reported by Marsoem *et al.* (2015).

In this study, therefore, the anatomical characteristics in radial and axial directions of the culms of the three commercial bamboo species growing in Java, Indonesia, including *G. pseudoarundinacea*, *G. apus*, and *G. atroviolacea*, were investigated to give further valuable insight for effective utilization of such bamboo resources.

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials

The three culms of 5-year-old Andong (*G. pseudoarundinacea*), Tali (*G. apus*), and Hitam bamboo (*G. atroviolacea*) were harvested from the bamboo garden of the Center for Research and Development (P3) Biomaterials, Indonesian Institute of Sciences, Cibinong, Bogor, Indonesia. The culms were cut at the second node above the ground and the branched top parts were removed, leaving three-quarters of the total culm height. These culms were divided into three equal-length parts consisting of top, middle, and bottom sections as per SNI 8020:2014 (2014). Information regarding the three bamboo species is shown in Table 1.

Table 1.	General Morphological	Information of the	Three	Gigantochloa	Bamboo
Species					

	G. pseudoarundinacea			G. apus			G. atroviolacea		
	Тор	Middle	Bottom	Тор	Middle	Bottom	Тор	Middle	Bottom
Diameter (mm)	68 ± 0.8	87 ± 0.8	94 ± 1.6	84 ± 0.7	88 ± 0.6	86 ± 1.2	48 ± 0.1	62 ± 0.8	65 ± 1.6
Culm Thickness (mm)	6.5 ± 0.2	9.2 ± 0.3	13.7 ± 1.2	11.5 ± 0.2	12.5 ± 0.4	14.2 ± 1.0	4.4 ± 0.2	6.2 ± 0.5	9.4 ± 1.0
Height (m)	16.1 ± 2.1			16.0 ± 0.8			15.6 ± 1.8		

Optical Microscopy

Anatomical characteristics of the bamboo species, such as vascular bundle type, vascular bundle density, fiber length, parenchymal dimensions, vessel diameter, and percentage of cell types were observed using an optical microscope (Nikon Eclipse E600, Tokyo, Japan) and an image analyzer (IMT i-solution lite, Version 9.1, British Columbia, Canada). Bamboo blocks were first softened in a boiling mixture of glycerin and water (50:50), and then sliced with a rotary microtome (Leica RM 2165, Wetzlar, Germany). The sections were stained with a 1% safranin solution and 1% Light Green SF yellowish solution and dehydrated with ethanol series (50%, 70%, 90%, 95%, and 99%) and xylene (Jeon *et al.* 2018a). The permanent slides were obtained using Canada balsam resin. For fiber length measurements, match-sized bamboo slivers were macerated with a mixed solution of glacial H₂O₂ and CH₃COOH with a ratio of 1:1 (v/v) and then heated at 60 °C until defibrillation (Franklin 1945).

In this study, the vascular bundle density was measured in the area of 4 mm^2 . The percentage of cell types was determined as the proportion of the area of each cell type per the total area of the optical micrographs at 4x magnification. Data for vascular bundle density and percentage of cell types were collected 10 times and 5 times, respectively. The dimension of fiber, vessel, and parenchyma cells was measured with 40 replications.

X-ray Diffraction Analysis

Equatorial X-ray diffractograms in reflection mode were obtained using X-ray diffraction (Cu target, DMAX 2100V, Rigaku, Tokyo, Japan, 40 kV, 40 mA) installed at the Institute of Forest Science, Kangwon National University, Chuncheon, Korea. The relative crystallinity and crystallite width of the bamboo culms were analyzed using Segal's method (Segal *et al.* 1959) and Scherrer's equation (Burton *et al.* 2009), respectively.

Data Analysis

Analysis of variance and Duncan multiple range test were applied to test the significance of vascular bundle density, cells dimension, and cells proportion of the bamboo species using IBM SPSS Statistics, Version 21 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Characteristics of Vascular Bundles

Figure 1 shows the cross-section of the three *Gigantochloa* bamboo samples consisted of vascular bundles and ground parenchyma. The shape or pattern of vascular bundles in bamboo species is classified into 4 types (Liese 1980). Tropical bamboo generally has vascular bundles of types III and IV (Grosser and Liese 1971). Microscopic observations on the three bamboo species showed the vascular bundle of type III. This vascular bundle type consists of one vascular central strand and one fiber strand.

Table 2 shows the vascular bundle density of the three *Gigantochloa* bamboo species. Vascular bundle density in the outer part of the bamboo culms was higher than that in the inner part. However, there were almost no changes and no fixed tendency of vascular bundle density in the vertical direction. In addition, there were significant differences in vascular bundle density in the horizontal direction of the bamboos. Vascular bundle density of Tali (*G. apus*) bamboo was significantly different from the Andong (*G. pseudoarundinacea*), and Hitam (*G. atroviolacea*) bamboo species.

bioresources.com



Fig. 1. Vascular bundles in the cross-sections of *G. pseudoarundinacea* (A), *G. apus* (B), and *G. atroviolacea* (C); VCS: vascular central strand, Pa: parenchyma cells, FS: fiber strand, MX: metaxylem vessels, Ph: phloem vessels

Table 2. Vascula	r Bundle Density	(Number/4)	mm²) in the [·]	Three G	gantochloa
Bamboo Species	5	·	·		-

	Outer Part				Inner Part			
Species	Тор	Middle	Botto m	Mean	Тор	Middle	Bottom	Mean
G.	15 ±	15 ±	15 ±	15 ±	6 ±	5 ±	4 ±	5 ±
pseudoarundinacea	1.1	0.9	0.8	0.9 ^{Ab}	0.8	1.1	0.5	1.1 ^{Ba}
Ganus	17 ±	17 ±	16 ±	17 ±	4 ±	4 ±	4 ±	4 ±
G. apus	2.0	2.4	1.5	2.0 ^{Bb}	0.5	0.5	0.7	0.5 ^{Aa}
Catroviologog	13 ±	15 ±	14 ±	14 ±	6 ±	6 ±	4 ±	5 ±
G. atroviolacea	1.5	2.9	1.2	2.1 ^{Ab}	0.8	0.7	0.7	1.0 ^{Ba}

Notes: Numbers in the same column followed by different capital letters are significantly different at 5% level. Numbers in the same row followed by different lower case letters are different at 5% significant level.

The significant difference in vascular bundle density between the inner and outer parts may be due to the increasing size of the vascular bundles in the inner part of the culm; thus, the number per unit area was decreased. Several studies have shown a similar trend in vascular bundle density along the horizontal position. Mustafa *et al.* (2011) found that number of vascular bundles of various Malaysian *Gigantochloa* species decreased from the outer part to the inner part of the culm, both in the node and internode. Vascular bundle number near epidermis of the culm of *Phyllostachys pubescens* was higher than that in the near pith part (Jeon *et al.* 2018b). Quite recently, Darwis *et al.* (2020) reported using a non-linear regression equation that the number of vascular bundles in the culm of *G. pruriens* decreased from the outer layer to the inner.

Cell Proportions

Table 3 shows the cell proportions of the three *Gigantochloa* bamboo species. Bamboo species and horizontal position have a significant effect on the proportion of each cell. The percentage of fiber cells in the outer part was higher than that in the inner part, but parenchyma and vessels in the outer part were of lower portion than the inner part for all three of the bamboo species. Andong (*G. pseudoarundinacea*) bamboo showed the lowest fiber percentage and highest parenchyma proportion among the three species studied. Vessel portion in the inner part of Hitam (*G. atroviolacea*) bamboo showed a somewhat higher value compared to the other bamboo species. Meanwhile, differences in vertical position on fiber and parenchyma cell portion do not show a consistent tendency for all bamboo species. Vessel cell portion tended to increase from the bottom culm to the top culm position. Darwis *et al.* (2020) reported a similar trend in *G. pruriens*, with an increase in the parenchyma percentage from the outer to the inner part and *vice versa* for the vascular bundles. They also reported that parenchyma percentage in the bottom position was lower than that in the middle and top positions. The different portions in the outer and inner part of the culm could be due to different vascular bundle densities. Because the vascular bundle frequency decreases in the inner part, parenchyma ground tissue would occupy more space (Huang *et al.* 2015).

Species	Desition	Fit	ber	Paren	chyma	Ves	sel																																																																						
Species	1 0311011	Outer	Inner	Outer	Inner	Outer	Inner																																																																						
	Тор	ionFiberParenchymaAOuterInnerOuterInnerOuterp 49 ± 1.4 29 ± 3.5 42 ± 1.6 61 ± 1.9 8 ± 0.9 dle 52 ± 1.6 31 ± 1.3 41 ± 1.8 60 ± 1.8 7 ± 0.3 om 52 ± 2.3 29 ± 1.2 41 ± 2.1 63 ± 1.2 7 ± 0.2 an 51 ± 2.2 30 ± 2.3 41 ± 1.7 61 ± 2.2 7 ± 0.2 an 51 ± 2.2 30 ± 2.3 41 ± 1.7 61 ± 2.2 7 ± 0.7 Ab A 39 ± 1.2 62 ± 2.2 7 ± 0.7 an 51 ± 2.2 30 ± 2.3 41 ± 1.7 61 ± 2.2 Ab A 39 ± 1.2 62 ± 2.2 7 ± 0.7 A A B 39 ± 1.2 62 ± 2.2 A A A A B 57 ± 0.5 32 ± 0.8 37 ± 1.1 B 57 ± 0.5 30 ± 2.4 37 ± 1.9 A <tr <="" td=""><td>8 ± 0.9</td><td>11 ± 2.3</td></tr> <tr><td>$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td><td>$7 \pm 0.3$</td><td>$9 \pm 0.9_{A}$</td></tr> <tr><td>acea</td><td>Bottom</td><td>52 ± 2.3</td><td>29 ± 1.2</td><td>41 ± 2.1</td><td>63 ± 1.2 ^B</td><td>7 ± 0.2 ^A</td><td>8 ± 0.6^{A}</td></tr> <tr><td></td><td>Mean</td><td>51 ± 2.2</td><td>30 ± 2.3 _{Aa}</td><td>ParenchymaVesselnerOuterInnerOuterInner$\pm 3.5$$42 \pm 1.6$$61 \pm 1.9$$8 \pm 0.9$$11 \pm 2$$A$$A$$61 \pm 1.9$$8 \pm 0.9$$A$$A$$\pm 1.3$$41 \pm 1.8$$60 \pm 1.8$$7 \pm 0.3$$9 \pm 0.3$$A$$A$$A$$A$$A$$A$$\pm 1.2$$41 \pm 2.1$$63 \pm 1.2$$7 \pm 0.2$$8 \pm 0.6$$\pm 2.3$$41 \pm 1.7$$61 \pm 2.2$$7 \pm 0.9$$9 \pm 1.2$$Aa$$Ab$$Ab$$Aa$$Aa$$\pm 1.4$$39 \pm 1.2$$62 \pm 2.2$$7 \pm 0.7$$8 \pm 1.4$$Ba$$37 \pm 1.1$$59 \pm 2.3$$6 \pm 0.6$$8 \pm 1.4$$A$$A$$A$$A$$A$$\pm 0.9$$36 \pm 1.1$$66 \pm 1.3$$6 \pm 0.7$$8 \pm 1.4$$A$$A$$A$$A$$A$$\pm 2.4$$37 \pm 1.9$$62 \pm 3.3$$6 \pm 0.7$$8 \pm 1.4$$A$$A$$A$$A$$A$$\pm 2.4$$37 \pm 1.9$$62 \pm 3.3$$6 \pm 0.7$$8 \pm 1.4$$A$$A$$A$$A$$A$$A$$\pm 2.4$$37 \pm 1.9$$62 \pm 3.3$$6 \pm 0.7$$8 \pm 1.4$$A$$A$$A$$A$$A$$\pm 2.4$$37 \pm 1.7$$67 \pm 2.8$$3 \pm 0.5$$7 \pm 0.8$$A$$A$$A$$A$$A$$A$$A$$A$$A$$A$$A$$A$$A$$A$$A$$A$<td< td=""><td>9 ± 1.8 _{ABa}</td></td<></td></tr> <tr><td></td><td>Top 54 :</td><td>54 ± 1.4</td><td>30 ± 1.4</td><td>39 ± 1.2 ^B</td><td>62 ± 2.2</td><td>7 ± 0.7</td><td>8 ± 1.0</td></tr> <tr><td><math display="block">G. pseudoarundinacea A Bottom Quter InneA 49 ± 1.4 29 ± 3A 4Biddle 52 ± 1.6 $31 \pm A$ Bottom 52 ± 2.3 $29 \pm A$ Mean 51 ± 2.2 30 ± 3 A $30 \pm A$ Mean 51 ± 2.2 30 ± 3 A $30 \pm A$ Middle 57 ± 0.5 32 ± 0 Bottom 58 ± 0.9 27 ± 0 Mean 57 ± 2.5 30 ± 3 Mean 57 ± 2.5 30 ± 3 Middle 54 ± 8.4 29 ± 3 Middle 54 ± 8.4 29 ± 3 Middle 59 ± 1.4 26 ± 3 Mean 58 ± 5.5 28 ± 3</math></td><td>32 ± 0.8</td><td>37 ± 1.1</td><td>59 ± 2.3</td><td>6 ± 0.6</td><td>8 ± 1.7 A</td></tr> <tr><td>G. apus</td><td>Bottom</td><td>58 ± 0.9</td><td>27 ± 0.9</td><td>ParenchymaVesselinerOuterInnerOuterIn$\pm 3.5$$42 \pm 1.6$$61 \pm 1.9$$8 \pm 0.9$$11$$\pm 1.3$$41 \pm 1.8$$60 \pm 1.8$$7 \pm 0.3$$9 \pm 0.9$$\pm 1.2$$41 \pm 2.1$$63 \pm 1.2$$7 \pm 0.2^A$$8 \pm 0.9$$\pm 1.2$$41 \pm 2.1$$63 \pm 1.2$$7 \pm 0.2^A$$8 \pm 0.9$$\pm 2.3$$41 \pm 1.7$$61 \pm 2.2$$7 \pm 0.9$$9 \pm 0.3$$Aa$$A = 0$$A = 0$$A = 0$$A = 0$$\pm 1.4$$39 \pm 1.2$$62 \pm 2.2$$7 \pm 0.7$$8 \pm 0.9$$B = 0.8$$37 \pm 1.1$$59 \pm 2.3$$6 \pm 0.6$$8 \pm 0.7$$A = 0.9$$36 \pm 1.1$$66 \pm 1.3$$6 \pm 0.3$$7 \pm 0.7$$A = 0.9$$36 \pm 1.1$$58 \pm 1.5$$11 \pm 1.5$$13$$\pm 1.2$$41 \pm 8.0$$59 \pm 0.6$$5 \pm 0.9^B$$12$$\pm 1.2$$41 \pm 8.0$$59 \pm 0.6$$5 \pm 0.9^B$$12$$\pm 2.5$$38 \pm 1.7$$67 \pm 2.8$$3 \pm 0.5$$7 \pm 0.9^B$$A = 0$$A = 0$</td><td>$7 \pm 0.9$</td></tr> <tr><td></td><td>Mean</td><td>57 ± 2.5 _{Bb}</td><td>30 ± 2.4</td><td>37 ± 1.9 _{Aa}</td><td>62 ± 3.3</td><td>Vess Outer 8 ± 0.9 A 7 ± 0.3 7 ± 0.2 A 8 7 ± 0.7 8 6 ± 0.6 A 6 ± 0.7 A 11 ± 1.5 C 5 ± 0.9 B 3 ± 0.5 A 6 ± 3.6 A A</td><td>8 ± 1.3 _{Ab}</td></tr> <tr><td></td><td>Тор</td><td>62 ± 0.7</td><td>29 ± 0.9</td><td>28 ± 1.1</td><td>58 ± 1.5</td><td>11 ± 1.5 c</td><td>13 ± 1.6 ^B</td></tr> <tr><td></td><td>Species Position Outer Inner Outer Inner Outer Inner Outer G. Top 49 ± 1.4 29 ± 3.5 42 ± 1.6 61 ± 1.9 8 ± 0.9 Middle 52 ± 1.6 31 ± 1.3 41 ± 1.8 60 ± 1.8 7 ± 0.3 Bottom 52 ± 2.3 29 ± 1.2 41 ± 2.1 63 ± 1.2 7 ± 0.2 Mean 51 ± 2.2 30 ± 2.3 41 ± 1.7 61 ± 2.2 7 ± 0.9 Mean 51 ± 2.2 30 ± 2.3 41 ± 1.7 61 ± 2.2 7 ± 0.9 Mean 51 ± 2.2 30 ± 2.3 41 ± 1.7 61 ± 2.2 7 ± 0.9 Mean 51 ± 2.2 30 ± 2.3 41 ± 1.7 61 ± 2.2 7 ± 0.9 Mean 57 ± 0.5 32 ± 0.8 37 ± 1.1 59 ± 2.3 6 ± 0.6 Middle 57 ± 2.5 30 ± 2.4 37 ± 1.9 62 ± 3.3 6 ± 0.3 Mean 57 ± 2.5 30 ± 2.4 37 ± 1.9 62 ± 3.3 6 ± 0.7<td>5 ± 0.9 ^B</td><td>12 ± 1.1 ^в</td></td></tr> <tr><td>G. au oviolacea</td><td>Bottom</td><td>59 ± 1.4</td><td>26 ± 2.5</td><td>38 ± 1.7 в</td><td>67 ± 2.8</td><td>3 ± 0.5</td><td>7 ± 0.8</td></tr> <tr><td></td><td>Mean</td><td>58 ± 5.5</td><td>28 ± 2.0 _{Aa}</td><td>$35 \pm 7.3_{Aa}$</td><td>61 ± 4.4</td><td>6 ± 3.6 _{Aa}</td><td>11 <u>+</u>2.9 _{Bb}</td></tr>	8 ± 0.9	11 ± 2.3	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	7 ± 0.3	$9 \pm 0.9_{A}$	acea	Bottom	52 ± 2.3	29 ± 1.2	41 ± 2.1	63 ± 1.2 ^B	7 ± 0.2 ^A	8 ± 0.6^{A}		Mean	51 ± 2.2	30 ± 2.3 _{Aa}	ParenchymaVesselnerOuterInnerOuterInner ± 3.5 42 ± 1.6 61 ± 1.9 8 ± 0.9 11 ± 2 A A 61 ± 1.9 8 ± 0.9 A A ± 1.3 41 ± 1.8 60 ± 1.8 7 ± 0.3 9 ± 0.3 A A A A A A ± 1.2 41 ± 2.1 63 ± 1.2 7 ± 0.2 8 ± 0.6 ± 2.3 41 ± 1.7 61 ± 2.2 7 ± 0.9 9 ± 1.2 Aa Ab Ab Aa Aa ± 1.4 39 ± 1.2 62 ± 2.2 7 ± 0.7 8 ± 1.4 Ba 37 ± 1.1 59 ± 2.3 6 ± 0.6 8 ± 1.4 A A A A A ± 0.9 36 ± 1.1 66 ± 1.3 6 ± 0.7 8 ± 1.4 A A A A A ± 2.4 37 ± 1.9 62 ± 3.3 6 ± 0.7 8 ± 1.4 A A A A A ± 2.4 37 ± 1.9 62 ± 3.3 6 ± 0.7 8 ± 1.4 A A A A A A ± 2.4 37 ± 1.9 62 ± 3.3 6 ± 0.7 8 ± 1.4 A A A A A ± 2.4 37 ± 1.7 67 ± 2.8 3 ± 0.5 7 ± 0.8 A <td< td=""><td>9 ± 1.8 _{ABa}</td></td<>	9 ± 1.8 _{ABa}		Top 54 :	54 ± 1.4	30 ± 1.4	39 ± 1.2 ^B	62 ± 2.2	7 ± 0.7	8 ± 1.0	$G. pseudoarundinacea A Bottom Quter InneA 49 ± 1.4 29 ± 3A 4Biddle 52 \pm 1.6 31 \pm ABottom 52 \pm 2.3 29 \pm AMean 51 \pm 2.2 30 \pm 3A 30 \pm AMean 51 \pm 2.2 30 \pm 3A 30 \pm AMiddle 57 \pm 0.5 32 \pm 0Bottom 58 \pm 0.9 27 \pm 0Mean 57 \pm 2.5 30 \pm 3Mean 57 \pm 2.5 30 \pm 3Middle 54 \pm 8.4 29 \pm 3Middle 54 \pm 8.4 29 \pm 3Middle 59 \pm 1.4 26 \pm 3Mean 58 \pm 5.5 28 \pm 3$	32 ± 0.8	37 ± 1.1	59 ± 2.3	6 ± 0.6	8 ± 1.7 A	G. apus	Bottom	58 ± 0.9	27 ± 0.9	ParenchymaVesselinerOuterInnerOuterIn ± 3.5 42 ± 1.6 61 ± 1.9 8 ± 0.9 11 ± 1.3 41 ± 1.8 60 ± 1.8 7 ± 0.3 9 ± 0.9 ± 1.2 41 ± 2.1 63 ± 1.2 7 ± 0.2^A 8 ± 0.9 ± 1.2 41 ± 2.1 63 ± 1.2 7 ± 0.2^A 8 ± 0.9 ± 2.3 41 ± 1.7 61 ± 2.2 7 ± 0.9 9 ± 0.3 Aa $A = 0$ $A = 0$ $A = 0$ $A = 0$ ± 1.4 39 ± 1.2 62 ± 2.2 7 ± 0.7 8 ± 0.9 $B = 0.8$ 37 ± 1.1 59 ± 2.3 6 ± 0.6 8 ± 0.7 $A = 0.9$ 36 ± 1.1 66 ± 1.3 6 ± 0.3 7 ± 0.7 $A = 0.9$ 36 ± 1.1 58 ± 1.5 11 ± 1.5 13 ± 1.2 41 ± 8.0 59 ± 0.6 5 ± 0.9^B 12 ± 1.2 41 ± 8.0 59 ± 0.6 5 ± 0.9^B 12 ± 2.5 38 ± 1.7 67 ± 2.8 3 ± 0.5 7 ± 0.9^B $A = 0$	7 ± 0.9		Mean	57 ± 2.5 _{Bb}	30 ± 2.4	37 ± 1.9 _{Aa}	62 ± 3.3	Vess Outer 8 ± 0.9 A 7 ± 0.3 7 ± 0.2 A 8 7 ± 0.7 8 6 ± 0.6 A 6 ± 0.7 A 11 ± 1.5 C 5 ± 0.9 B 3 ± 0.5 A 6 ± 3.6 A A	8 ± 1.3 _{Ab}		Тор	62 ± 0.7	29 ± 0.9	28 ± 1.1	58 ± 1.5	11 ± 1.5 c	13 ± 1.6 ^B		Species Position Outer Inner Outer Inner Outer Inner Outer G. Top 49 ± 1.4 29 ± 3.5 42 ± 1.6 61 ± 1.9 8 ± 0.9 Middle 52 ± 1.6 31 ± 1.3 41 ± 1.8 60 ± 1.8 7 ± 0.3 Bottom 52 ± 2.3 29 ± 1.2 41 ± 2.1 63 ± 1.2 7 ± 0.2 Mean 51 ± 2.2 30 ± 2.3 41 ± 1.7 61 ± 2.2 7 ± 0.9 Mean 51 ± 2.2 30 ± 2.3 41 ± 1.7 61 ± 2.2 7 ± 0.9 Mean 51 ± 2.2 30 ± 2.3 41 ± 1.7 61 ± 2.2 7 ± 0.9 Mean 51 ± 2.2 30 ± 2.3 41 ± 1.7 61 ± 2.2 7 ± 0.9 Mean 57 ± 0.5 32 ± 0.8 37 ± 1.1 59 ± 2.3 6 ± 0.6 Middle 57 ± 2.5 30 ± 2.4 37 ± 1.9 62 ± 3.3 6 ± 0.3 Mean 57 ± 2.5 30 ± 2.4 37 ± 1.9 62 ± 3.3 6 ± 0.7 <td>5 ± 0.9 ^B</td> <td>12 ± 1.1 ^в</td>	5 ± 0.9 ^B	12 ± 1.1 ^в	G. au oviolacea	Bottom	59 ± 1.4	26 ± 2.5	38 ± 1.7 в	67 ± 2.8	3 ± 0.5	7 ± 0.8		Mean	58 ± 5.5	28 ± 2.0 _{Aa}	$35 \pm 7.3_{Aa}$	61 ± 4.4	6 ± 3.6 _{Aa}	11 <u>+</u> 2.9 _{Bb}
8 ± 0.9	11 ± 2.3																																																																												
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	7 ± 0.3	$9 \pm 0.9_{A}$																																																																											
acea	Bottom	52 ± 2.3	29 ± 1.2	41 ± 2.1	63 ± 1.2 ^B	7 ± 0.2 ^A	8 ± 0.6^{A}																																																																						
	Mean	51 ± 2.2	30 ± 2.3 _{Aa}	ParenchymaVesselnerOuterInnerOuterInner ± 3.5 42 ± 1.6 61 ± 1.9 8 ± 0.9 11 ± 2 A A 61 ± 1.9 8 ± 0.9 A A ± 1.3 41 ± 1.8 60 ± 1.8 7 ± 0.3 9 ± 0.3 A A A A A A ± 1.2 41 ± 2.1 63 ± 1.2 7 ± 0.2 8 ± 0.6 ± 2.3 41 ± 1.7 61 ± 2.2 7 ± 0.9 9 ± 1.2 Aa Ab Ab Aa Aa ± 1.4 39 ± 1.2 62 ± 2.2 7 ± 0.7 8 ± 1.4 Ba 37 ± 1.1 59 ± 2.3 6 ± 0.6 8 ± 1.4 A A A A A ± 0.9 36 ± 1.1 66 ± 1.3 6 ± 0.7 8 ± 1.4 A A A A A ± 2.4 37 ± 1.9 62 ± 3.3 6 ± 0.7 8 ± 1.4 A A A A A ± 2.4 37 ± 1.9 62 ± 3.3 6 ± 0.7 8 ± 1.4 A A A A A A ± 2.4 37 ± 1.9 62 ± 3.3 6 ± 0.7 8 ± 1.4 A A A A A ± 2.4 37 ± 1.7 67 ± 2.8 3 ± 0.5 7 ± 0.8 A <td< td=""><td>9 ± 1.8 _{ABa}</td></td<>	9 ± 1.8 _{ABa}																																																																								
	Top 54 :	54 ± 1.4	30 ± 1.4	39 ± 1.2 ^B	62 ± 2.2	7 ± 0.7	8 ± 1.0																																																																						
$G. pseudoarundinacea A Bottom Quter InneA 49 ± 1.4 29 ± 3A 4Biddle 52 \pm 1.6 31 \pm ABottom 52 \pm 2.3 29 \pm AMean 51 \pm 2.2 30 \pm 3A 30 \pm AMean 51 \pm 2.2 30 \pm 3A 30 \pm AMiddle 57 \pm 0.5 32 \pm 0Bottom 58 \pm 0.9 27 \pm 0Mean 57 \pm 2.5 30 \pm 3Mean 57 \pm 2.5 30 \pm 3Middle 54 \pm 8.4 29 \pm 3Middle 54 \pm 8.4 29 \pm 3Middle 59 \pm 1.4 26 \pm 3Mean 58 \pm 5.5 28 \pm 3$	32 ± 0.8	37 ± 1.1	59 ± 2.3	6 ± 0.6	8 ± 1.7 A																																																																								
G. apus	Bottom	58 ± 0.9	27 ± 0.9	ParenchymaVesselinerOuterInnerOuterIn ± 3.5 42 ± 1.6 61 ± 1.9 8 ± 0.9 11 ± 1.3 41 ± 1.8 60 ± 1.8 7 ± 0.3 9 ± 0.9 ± 1.2 41 ± 2.1 63 ± 1.2 7 ± 0.2^A 8 ± 0.9 ± 1.2 41 ± 2.1 63 ± 1.2 7 ± 0.2^A 8 ± 0.9 ± 2.3 41 ± 1.7 61 ± 2.2 7 ± 0.9 9 ± 0.3 Aa $A = 0$ $A = 0$ $A = 0$ $A = 0$ ± 1.4 39 ± 1.2 62 ± 2.2 7 ± 0.7 8 ± 0.9 $B = 0.8$ 37 ± 1.1 59 ± 2.3 6 ± 0.6 8 ± 0.7 $A = 0.9$ 36 ± 1.1 66 ± 1.3 6 ± 0.3 7 ± 0.7 $A = 0.9$ 36 ± 1.1 58 ± 1.5 11 ± 1.5 13 ± 1.2 41 ± 8.0 59 ± 0.6 5 ± 0.9^B 12 ± 1.2 41 ± 8.0 59 ± 0.6 5 ± 0.9^B 12 ± 2.5 38 ± 1.7 67 ± 2.8 3 ± 0.5 7 ± 0.9^B $A = 0$	7 ± 0.9																																																																								
	Mean	57 ± 2.5 _{Bb}	30 ± 2.4	37 ± 1.9 _{Aa}	62 ± 3.3	Vess Outer 8 ± 0.9 A 7 ± 0.3 7 ± 0.2 A 8 7 ± 0.7 8 6 ± 0.6 A 6 ± 0.7 A 11 ± 1.5 C 5 ± 0.9 B 3 ± 0.5 A 6 ± 3.6 A A	8 ± 1.3 _{Ab}																																																																						
	Тор	62 ± 0.7	29 ± 0.9	28 ± 1.1	58 ± 1.5	11 ± 1.5 c	13 ± 1.6 ^B																																																																						
	Species Position Outer Inner Outer Inner Outer Inner Outer G. Top 49 ± 1.4 29 ± 3.5 42 ± 1.6 61 ± 1.9 8 ± 0.9 Middle 52 ± 1.6 31 ± 1.3 41 ± 1.8 60 ± 1.8 7 ± 0.3 Bottom 52 ± 2.3 29 ± 1.2 41 ± 2.1 63 ± 1.2 7 ± 0.2 Mean 51 ± 2.2 30 ± 2.3 41 ± 1.7 61 ± 2.2 7 ± 0.9 Mean 51 ± 2.2 30 ± 2.3 41 ± 1.7 61 ± 2.2 7 ± 0.9 Mean 51 ± 2.2 30 ± 2.3 41 ± 1.7 61 ± 2.2 7 ± 0.9 Mean 51 ± 2.2 30 ± 2.3 41 ± 1.7 61 ± 2.2 7 ± 0.9 Mean 57 ± 0.5 32 ± 0.8 37 ± 1.1 59 ± 2.3 6 ± 0.6 Middle 57 ± 2.5 30 ± 2.4 37 ± 1.9 62 ± 3.3 6 ± 0.3 Mean 57 ± 2.5 30 ± 2.4 37 ± 1.9 62 ± 3.3 6 ± 0.7 <td>5 ± 0.9 ^B</td> <td>12 ± 1.1 ^в</td>	5 ± 0.9 ^B	12 ± 1.1 ^в																																																																										
G. au oviolacea	Bottom	59 ± 1.4	26 ± 2.5	38 ± 1.7 в	67 ± 2.8	3 ± 0.5	7 ± 0.8																																																																						
	Mean	58 ± 5.5	28 ± 2.0 _{Aa}	$35 \pm 7.3_{Aa}$	61 ± 4.4	6 ± 3.6 _{Aa}	11 <u>+</u> 2.9 _{Bb}																																																																						

Notes: Numbers in the same column followed by different capital letters are different at 5% significant level among vertical position. Numbers in the same row followed by different lower case letters are different at 5% significant level between outer and inner part for each type of cell.

Cells Dimensions

Fiber lengths of the three *Gigantochloa* bamboo species are shown in Table 4. Fibers in the outer part of the bamboo culm were longer than those in the inner part. The analysis of variance showed that the bamboo species and horizontal position significantly influenced the fiber length. There was a significant difference in the length of the fibers between the outer and inner parts of the culm. However, this was not a consistent tendency in the fiber length along the vertical direction. In Tali bamboo, the middle part of bamboo culm had the lowest fiber length, while the highest fiber length was found in the middle part in Hitam bamboo. An increase of fiber length from the bottom to the top of the culm was found in Andong bamboo. Siam *et al.* (2019) reported fiber lengths of 13 Malaysian bamboo species, which decreased from the bottom to the top position. The variety in fiber length seen in the trend along the vertical position might depend on the species. Other research from India found that the longest fiber in the culm of *Bambusa mizorameana* was in the middle position and the shortest was in the bottom position (Kumar *et al.* 2017). In *G. pruriens*, the fiber length was reported to be the shortest in the inner and bottom of the culm (Darwis *et al.* 2020).

Species	Outer				Inner			
	Тор	Middle	Bottom	Mean	Тор	Middle	Bottom	Mean
G.	2330	2413	2637	2460	2304	2353	2600	2419
pseudoarundinacea	± 232	± 395	± 506	± 412 ^{Bb}	± 285	± 373	± 428	± 383 ^{Ba}
C opup	2404	2392	2457	2417	2321	2184	2408	2304
G. apus	± 251	± 342	± 286	± 294 ^{Bb}	± 210	± 269	± 294	± 272 ^{Ba}
Catroviologoo	2085	2460	2373	2306	2071	2249	2150	2157
G. atroviolacea	± 230	± 581	± 206	± 409 ^{Ab}	± 150	± 349	± 247	± 267 ^{Aa}

Notes: Numbers in the same column followed by different capital letters are different at 5% significant level. Numbers in the same row followed by different lower case letters are different at 5% significant level.

The vessel diameter of the outer part of *Gigantochloa* bamboo ranged from 173.1 μ m to 308.1 μ m (Table 5). The largest vessel diameter was found in Andong bamboo, and there were significant differences in vessel diameters among the bamboo species. Jeon *et al.* (2018a) reported that vessel diameter of three Korean bamboos (*Phyllostachys genera*) ranged from 90.9 μ m to 117.9 μ m, which were smaller in diameter compared to that found in this study. Although, comparable results for vessel diameter of *G. pruriens*, which ranged from 130 μ m to 197 μ m have been reported (Darwis *et al.* 2020).

Table 5. Dimensions of Vessel and Parenchyma (µm) of the Three	Gigantochloa
Bamboos	

	Vessel Diameter	Parenchyma	Parenchyma Length								
Characteristics	on the Outer Part	Diameter on the Outer Part	Radial	Tangential							
G. pseudoarundinacea	308.1 ± 40.8°	35.5 ± 3.6^{a}	101.4 ± 9.3 ^{Ab}	96.2 ± 11.9 ^{Ab}							
G. apus	204.5 ± 34.8^{b}	36.7 ± 9.4^{a}	78.1 ± 21.7 ^{Aa}	87.2 ± 18.4 ^{Aa}							
G. atroviolacea	173.1 ± 30.4^{a}	39.5 ± 3.8^{b}	120.4 ± 10.9 ^{Ac}	125.0 ± 9.8 ^{Ac}							
Notes: Numbers in the s significant level. Number significant level.	ame column followed is in the same row fol	Notes: Numbers in the same column followed by different lower case letters are different at 5% significant level. Numbers in the same row followed by different capital letter are different at 5%									

Figure 2 shows the optical micrographs of radial and tangential sections of the three *Gigantochloa* bamboos. The diameters of the parenchyma cells on the outer part in the cross-sections of three *Gigantochloa* bamboos was 35.5 to 39.5 μ m (Table 5). The lengths of parenchyma cells in the radial and tangential sections of the bamboos was 78.1 to 120.4

 μ m and 87.2 to 125.0 μ m, respectively. There was a significant difference in the parenchyma diameter of *G. atroviolacea* compared to the other bamboo species. Parenchyma lengths between bamboo species were also significantly different. However, parenchyma length between the radial and tangential sections in each bamboo species were not significantly different. Wahab *et al.* (2010) found that parenchyma cell diameter of *Bambusa vulgaris* grown in Malaysia was 23.3 μ m to 26.6 μ m, which is lower than what was found in this study. Jeon *et al.* (2018a) reported that the diameter and length of parenchyma cells showed significant differences among three *Phyllostachys* bamboos.



Fig. 2. Optical micrographs of radial (1A, 1B, and 1C) and tangential (2A, 2B, and 2C) sections of *G. pseudoarundinacea* (A), *G. apus* (B), and *G. atroviolacea* (C); Pa: parenchyma cells, MX: metaxylem vessels, Fb: Fiber bundles

Relative Crystallinity

at 5% significant level.

Table 6 shows the crystalline properties in the culms of the three *Gigantochloa* bamboo species.

Species	Desition	Relative Crystallinity (%)				Crystallite Width (nm)				
Species	POSILION	Outer	Mean	Inner	Mean	Outer	Mean	Inner	Mean	
<u> </u>	Тор	78	01.	74	77.	3.9	11.	3.7	20.	
G.	Middle	82	OI± つつBa	80	3.0 ^{Aa}	4.1	4.1±	3.9	0.0± 0.1⊿Aa	
pseudoarunuinacea	Bottom	82	2.3	77		4.2	0.1655	3.9	0.14	
G. apus	Тор	77	78 ± 1.2 ^{ABb}	74	73 ± 0.6^{Aa}	3.4	3.4 ± 0.02 ^{Aa}	3.3	3.3 ± 0.05^{Aa}	
	Middle	79		74		3.5		3.3		
	Bottom	77		73	0.0	3.4		3.3	0.05	
	Тор	74	75 .	72	74 .	3.8	40.	3.2	201	
G. atroviolacea	Middle	78	$75 \pm$ 22^{Aa}	76	74± 21Aa	4.0	$4.0 \pm$	4.1	$0.50 \pm$	
	Bottom	74	2.3	73	2.1	4.1	0.10	4.0	0.52	
Notes: Numbers in the same column followed by different capital letters are different at 5%										
significant level. Num	nbers in the	e same i	row follo	wed by	different	t lower c	ase lette	rs are c	lifferent	

Table 6.	Crystalline Pro	perties of T	hree Gigan	<i>tochloa</i> Ba	mboo S	pecies
	Crystannic r re		Thee Organ			peoleo

3603

The relative crystallinity and crystallite width in the outer part were higher than those in the inner part of the bamboos. There were some differences in the crystalline properties between the bamboo species. Andong bamboo had the highest relative crystallinity, while Hitam bamboo had the lowest. The smallest crystallite width was found in Tali bamboo.

Jeon *et al.* (2018a) reported comparable values in relative crystallinity from three *Phyllostachys* bamboo species. They also observed a similar trend along radial direction as was noted in the present study. This tendency could be caused by the higher vascular bundle density and fiber percentage in the outer parts compared to the inner parts of the bamboo culm. Other studies have shown higher crystallite width in tropical bamboo. Brito *et al.* (2012) showed that the crystallite width of *Bambusa vulgaris* was 5.7 nm. A similar crystallite width of 5.59 nm was also found in *Dendrocalamus asper* (Fatriasari *et al.* 2014). However, a study on temperate bamboo showed a similar trend in crystallite width along the horizontal position as found in this study. Wang *et al.* (2012) found that crystallite width of *Phyllostachys edulis* planted in China increased from about 2.9 nm in the inner part of the culm to 3.1 nm in the outer part. Crystallite widths of three *Phyllostachys* bamboos also increased from the inner part to the outer part of the culm; however, a consistent trend was not found among the three bamboos in the vertical position (Jeon *et al.* 2018a, 2018b).

CONCLUSIONS

- 1. The *Gigantochloa pseudoarundinacea*, *G. apus*, and *G. atroviolacea* bamboo had the vascular bundle of type III.
- 2. Vascular bundle density in bamboo culms was higher in the outer part than that of the inner part. There was a significant difference in the vascular bundle density in the radial direction among the bamboo species.
- 3. Fiber portion decreased from the outer part to the inner part and *vice versa* in the case of parenchyma and vessel portions. There was a significant difference in fiber percentage between the bamboo species. Vessel portion in Hitam bamboo showed a somewhat higher value compare to the other two bamboo species.
- 4. Fiber length in the outer part of culms was longer than that in the inner part. Andong bamboo had the largest fiber length and vessel diameter. There was a significant difference in fiber length between the outer and inner parts in the bamboo culms. Significant differences were also found in vessel diameter and parenchyma cell dimension among the bamboo species.
- 5. There was a slight difference in the relative crystallinity and crystallite width between the outer and inner parts of the culm and among the bamboo species.
- 6. All parameters showed a variation in the radial direction of the three bamboo culms but did not show a consistent tendency in the vertical direction.

In conclusion, it is revealed that vascular bundle density, vessel diameter, and parenchyma cell length can be used to identify the species among the three *Gigantochloa* bamboo species.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors sincerely acknowledge the Doctoral Program for Outstanding Undergraduate Students (PMDSU) Research Grant (No. 4122/IT3.L1/PN/2020) and Basic Research (PDUPT) Grant (No. 4033/IT3.L1/PN/2020) from Deputy of Strengthening for Research and Development, Ministry of Research and Technology/National Research and Innovation Agency, Republic of Indonesia for to the financial support. This study was also supported by the Science and Technology Support Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Science and ICT (MSIT) (NRF-2019K1A3A9A01000018) and the Basic Science Research Program *via* NRF funded by the Ministry of Education (No. 2016R1D1A1B01008339 and 2018R1A6A1A03025582).

REFERENCES CITED

- Brito, B. S., Pereira, F. V., Putaux, J. L., and Jean, B. (2012). "Preparation, morphology and structure of cellulose nanocrystals from bamboo fibers," *Cellulose* 19(5), 1527-1536. DOI: 10.1007/s10570-012-9738-9
- Burton, A. W., Ong, K., Rea, T., and Chan, I. Y. (2009). "On the estimation of average crystallite size of zeolites from the Scherrer equation: A critical evaluation of its application to zeolites with one-dimensional pore systems," *Microporous and Mesoporous Materials* 117(1-2), 75-90. DOI: 10.1016/j.micromeso.2008.06.010
- Cahyono, T. D., Novriyanti, E., Bahtiar, E. T., and Massijaya, M. Y. (2014).
 "Development of composite beams made from tali (*Gigantochloa apus*) and hitam bamboo (*Gigantochloa atroviolacea*)," *Journal of the Indian Academy of Wood Science* 11(2), 156-161. DOI: 10.1007/s13196-014-0131-1
- Darwis, A., Iswanto, A. H., Jeon, W. S., Kim, N. H., Wirjosentono, B., Susilowati, A., and Hartono, R. (2020). "Variation of quantitative anatomical characteristics in the culm of belangke bamboo (*Gigantochloa pruriens*)," *BioResources* 15(3) 6617-6626. DOI: 10.15376/biores.15.3.6617-6626
- Fatriasari, W., Syafii, W., Wistara, N. J., Syamsu, K., and Prasetya, B. (2014). "The characteristic changes of betung bamboo (*Dendrocalamus asper*) pretreated by fungal pretreatment," *International Journal of Renewable Energy Development* 3(2), 133-143. DOI: 10.14710/ijred.3.2.133-143
- Febrianto, F., Hidayat, W., Bakar, E. S., Kwon, G. J., Kwon, J. H., Hong, S. I., and Kim, N. H. (2012). "Properties of oriented strand board made from Betung bamboo (*Dendrocalamus asper* (Schultes. f) Backer ex Heyne)," *Wood Science and Technology* 46(1-3), 53-62. DOI: 10.1007/s00226-010-0385-8
- Febrianto, F., Jang, J. H., Lee, S. H., Santosa, I. A., Hidayat, W., Kwon, J. H., and Kim, N. H. (2015). "Effect of bamboo species and resin content on properties of oriented strand board prepared from steam-treated bamboo strands," *BioResources* 10(2), 2642-2655. DOI: 10.15376/biores.10.2.2642-2655
- Franklin, G. L. (1945). "Preparation of thin sections of synthetic resins and wood-resin composites, and a new macerating method for wood," *Nature* 155(3924), 51-51. DOI: 10.1038/155051a0
- Grosser, D., and Liese, W. (1971). "On the anatomy of Asian bamboos, with special reference to their vascular bundles," *Wood Science and Technology* 5, 290-312. DOI: 10.1007/BF00365061

3605

- Hidayat, W., Suri, I. F., Safe'i, R., Wulandari, C., Satyajaya, W., Febryano, I. G., and Febrianto, F. (2019). "Durability and dimensional stability of hybrid particleboard of bamboo-wood with steam and hot water immersion treatment," *Journal of Tropical Wood Science and Technology* 17(1), 68-82.
- Huang, X. Y., Qi, J. Q., Xie, J. L., Hao, J. F., Qin, B. D., and Chen, S. M. (2015). "Variation in anatomical characteristics of bamboo, *Bambusa rigida*," *Sains Malaysiana* 44(1), 17-23.
- Jeon, W. S., Kim, Y. K., Lee, J. A., Kim, A. R., Darsan, B., Chung, W. Y., and Kim, N. H. (2018a). "Anatomical characteristics of three Korean bamboo species," *Journal of the Korean Wood Science and Technology* 46(1), 29-37. DOI: 10.5658/WOOD.2018.46.1.29
- Jeon, W. S., Byeon, H. S., and Kim, N. H. (2018b). "Anatomical characteristics of Korean *Phyllostachys pubescens* by age," *Journal of the Korean Wood Science and Technology* 46(3), 231-240. DOI: 10.5658/WOOD.2018.46.3.231
- Kumar, Y. B., Sharma, M., and Sharma, C. L. (2017). "Anatomical and physical properties of *Bambusa mizorameana* Naithani," *International Journal of Advanced Research* 3(4), 479-486.
- Liese, W. (1980). "Anatomy of bamboo," in: Bamboo Research, Proceedings of a Workshop Held in Singapore, IDRC, Ottawa, ON, Canada, pp. 161-164.
- Lobovikov, M., Ball, L., Guardia, M., and Russo, L. (2007). World Bamboo Resources, A *Thematic Study Prepared in the Framework of the Global Forest Resources Assessment 2005*, FAO, Viale delle Terme di Caracalla, Rome, Italy.
- Marsoem, S. N., Setiaji, F., Kim, N. H., Sulistyo, J., Irawati, D., Nugroho, W. D., and Pertiwi, Y. A. B. (2015). "Fiber morphology and physical characteristics of *Gigantochloa atter* at three different ages and heights of culms for better utilization," *Journal of the Korean Wood Science and Technology* 43(2), 145-155. DOI: 10.5658/WOOD.2015.43.2.145
- Maulana, S., Gumelar, Y., Fatrawana, A., Maulana, M. I., Hidayat, W., Sumardi, I., Wistara, N. J., Lee, S. H., Kim, N. H., and Febrianto, F. (2019). "Destructive and non-destructive tests of bamboo oriented strand board under various shelling ratios and resin contents," *Journal of the Korean Wood Science and Technology* 47(4), 519-532. DOI: 10.5658/WOOD.2019.47.4.519
- Mera, F. A. T. M., and Xu, C. (2014). "Plantation management and bamboo resource economics in China," *Ciencia y Tecnología* 7(1), 1-12. DOI: 10.18779/cyt.v7i1.137
- Mustafa, M. T., Wahab, R., Sudin, M., Khalid, I., and Kamal, N. A. M. (2011). "Anatomical properties and microstructures features of four cultivated bamboo *Gigantochloa* species," *Journal of Asian Scientific Research* 1(7), 328-339.
- Nordahlia, A. S., Anwar, U. M. K., Hamdan, H., Zaidon, A., Paridah, M. T., and Razak, O. A. (2012). "Effects of age and height on selected properties of Malaysian bamboo (*Gigantochloa levis*)," *Journal of Tropical Forest Science* 24(1), 102-109.
- Park, S. H., Jang, J., Wistara, I., Hidayat, W., Lee, M., and Febrianto, F. (2018).
 "Anatomical and physical properties of Indonesian bamboos carbonized at different temperatures," *Journal of the Korean Wood Science and Technology* 46(6), 656-669. DOI: 10.5658/WOOD.2018.46.6.656
- Park, S. H., Jang, J. H., Wistara, N. J., Febrianto, F., and Lee, M. (2019). "Fuel properties of Indonesian bamboo carbonized at different temperatures," *BioResources* 14(2), 4224-4235. DOI: 10.15376/biores.14.2.4224-4235

- Park, S. H., Wistara, N. J., Febrianto, F., and Lee, M. (2020). "Evaluation of sembilang bamboo (*Dendrocalamus giganteus*) charcoal for potential utilization," *BioResources* 15(1), 6-19. DOI: 10.15376/biores.15.1.6-19
- Scurlock, J. M., Dayton, D. C., and Hames, B. (2000). "Bamboo: An overlooked biomass resource?," *Biomass and Bioenergy* 19(4), 229-244. DOI: 10.1016/S0961-9534(00)00038-6
- Segal, L., Creely, J. J., Martin, A. E., and Conrad, C. M. (1959). "An empirical method for estimating the degree of crystallinity of native cellulose using the X-ray diffractometer," *Textile Research Journal* 29, 786-794. DOI: 10.1177/004051755902901003
- Siam, N. A., Uyup, M. K. A., Husain, H., and Awalludin, M. F. (2019). "Anatomical, physical, and mechanical properties of thirteen Malaysian bamboo species," *BioResources* 14(2), 3925-3943. DOI: 10.15376/biores.14.2.3925-3943
- SNI 8020:2014 (2014). "Bamboo uses," National Standardization Agency, Jakarta, Indonesia.
- Wahab, R., Mustapa, M. T., Sulaiman, O., Mohamed, A., Hassan, A., and Khalid, I. (2010). "Anatomical and physical properties of cultivated two-and four-year-old *Bambusa vulgaris*," *Sains Malaysiana* 39(4), 571-579.
- Wang, Y., Leppänen, K., Andersson, S., Serimaa, R., Ren, H., and Fei, B. (2012).
 "Studies on the nanostructure of the cell wall of bamboo using X-ray scattering," *Wood Science and Technology* 46(1-3), 317-332. DOI: 10.1007/s00226-011-0405-3
- Widjaja, E. A., Rahayuningsih, Y., Ubaidillah, R., Maryanto, and Rahajoe, J. S. (2014). *The Present Indonesian Biodiversity*, LIPI Press, Jakarta, Indonesia.

Article submitted: January 15, 2021; Peer review completed: March 14, 2021; Revised version received and accepted: March 24, 2021; Published: March 30, 2021. DOI: 10.15376/biores.16.2.3596-3606