

0.8
2019
CiteScore

26-й процентиль

Powered by Scopus

[Register](#) [Login](#)EURASIAN
CHEMICO-TECHNOLOGICAL
JOURNAL[Current](#)[Archives](#)[About the Journal](#)[Contact](#)[Search](#)[Home](#) / [About the Journal](#)

The Eurasian Chemico-Technological Journal (ISSN 1562-3920, eISSN 2522-4867) is open access, a peer-reviewed international journal covering all branches of chemistry and chemical technology. The Journal articles are included in Scopus, the largest abstract and citation database containing both peer-reviewed research literature and quality web sources. Directions of the journal: inorganic chemistry, organic, analytical, physical, colloidal, macromolecular compounds, biochemistry; chemical physics; nanotechnology; electro-, photo-, radiation-plasma technologies. The Journal publishes research articles, also welcomes contributions based on the results of research presented

at the also international conference. All submitted manuscripts are peer-reviewed by the members of the Editorial Board and international referees.

Publication Frequency

Eurasian Chemico-Technological Journal is published quarterly (four number in a year) by the Institute of Combustion Problems (Almaty, Kazakhstan).

Open Access Policy

The journal provides immediate open access to all of its content. All readers can read and download every article for free.

Any inquiry for subscriptions should be sent to:

Prof. Zulkhair Mansurov, Institute of Combustion Problems, 172 Bogenbay Batyr Str., Almaty, Kazakhstan

E-mail: ectj.1999@gmail.com

[Author Guidelines](#)

[Editorial Team](#)

[Aims and Scope](#)

[Ethical Standards](#)

[Mendeley Reference Style](#)

Indexed in:

The Scopus logo is displayed in a bold, orange, sans-serif font. The word "Scopus" is followed by a registered trademark symbol (®).



The Journal involved into

the CrossRef Cited-by linking program



Information

[For Readers](#)

[For Authors](#)

[For Librarians](#)

Eurasian Chemico-Technological Journal is published quarterly (four number in a year) by the Institute of Combustion Problems.



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

0.8
2019
CiteScore

26-й процентиль

Powered by Scopus

[Register](#) [Login](#)EURASIAN
CHEMICO-TECHNOLOGICAL
JOURNAL[Current](#)[Archives](#)[About the Journal](#)[Contact](#)[Search](#)[Home](#) / [Editorial Team](#)**EDITORIAL BOARD OF EURASIAN CHEMICO-TECHNOLOGICAL JOURNAL****EDITORIAL-IN-CHIEF****Zulhair Mansurov** – Institute of Combustion Problems, Almaty, KAZAKHSTAN[ORCID iD https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8956-216X](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8956-216X)**ASSOCIATE EDITORS****Peter Lodewyckx** – Royal Military Academy, Brussels, BELGIUM**Vladimir Zarko** – Institute of Chemical Kinetics and Combustion, Novosibirsk, RUSSIA**Fail Sultanov** – Al-Farabi Kazakh National University, Almaty, KAZAKHSTAN**EDITORIAL BOARD****Sergazy Adekenov** – International Research and Production Holding «Phytochemistry», Karaganda, KAZAKHSTAN

Teresa Bandosz – The City College of New York, New York, the USA

Zhumabay Bakenov – Nazarbayev University, Nur-Sultan, KAZAKHSTAN

Frank Behrendt – Institute of Energy Engineering, Berlin, GERMANY

Mukhambetkali Burkitbaev – Al-Farabi Kazakh National University, Almaty, KAZAKHSTAN

Brahim Elouadi – University of La Rochelle, La Rochelle, FRANCE

Rinat Iskakov – Satbayev University, Almaty, KAZAKHSTAN

Xiaohong Jiang – Nanjing University of Science and Technology, Nanjing, CHINA

Zinfer Ismagilov – Institute of Coal-Chemistry and Material Science, Kemerovo, RUSSIA

Maratbek Gabdullin – Kazakh-British Technical University, Almaty, KAZAKHSTAN

Vanessa Fierro – Université de Lorraine, Nancy, FRANCE

Paul Gard – University of Brighton, Brighton, the UK

Bulat Kenessov – Center of Physical Chemical Methods of Research and Analysis, Almaty, KAZAKHSTAN

Sarkyt Kudaibergenov – Institute of Polymer Materials and Technology, Almaty, KAZAKHSTAN

Juan Matos Lale – Autonomous University of Chile, Temuco, CHILE

Ulrich Maas – Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Karlsruhe, GERMANY

Karen Martirosyan – University of Texas-Rio Grande Valley, Brownsville, the USA

Sergey Mikhalovsky – University of Brighton, Brighton, the UK

Alexander Mukasyan – University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, the USA

Osamu Odawara – Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo, JAPAN

Rustem Omarov – L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University, Nur-Sultan, KAZAKHSTAN

Roberto Orrù – University of Cagliari, Cagliari, ITALY

Oliviero Rossi – University of Calabria, Arcavacata di Rende, ITALY

Irina Savitskaya – Al-Farabi Kazakh National University, Almaty, KAZAKHSTAN

Ruiqi Shen – Nanjing University of Science and Technology, Nanjing, CHINA

Gauhar Smagulova – Al-Farabi Kazakh National University, Almaty, KAZAKHSTAN

Nurgul Sultanova – L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University, Astana, KAZAKHSTAN

Xintai Su – Zhejiang Sci-Tech University, Hangzhou, CHINA

Leticia Velasco – Royal Military Academy, Brussels, BELGIUM

Galina Xanthopoulou – Institute of Materials Science, Athens, GREECE

Anvar Zakhidov – University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson, the USA

[Author Guidelines](#)

[Editorial Team](#)

[Aims and Scope](#)

[Ethical Standards](#)

[Mendeley Reference Style](#)

Indexed in:

The logo for Scopus, featuring the word "Scopus" in a bold, orange, sans-serif font with a registered trademark symbol (®) to the upper right.The logo for Google Scholar, featuring the word "Google" in its multi-colored font with "scholar" in a smaller, blue, sans-serif font below it.The logo for the Directory of Open Access Journals (DOAJ), featuring the letters "DOAJ" in a large, orange, sans-serif font, followed by the text "DIRECTORY OF OPEN ACCESS JOURNALS" in a smaller, black, sans-serif font.

The Journal involved into

the CrossRef Cited-by linking program



Source details

Eurasian Chemico-Technological Journal

Open Access ⓘ

Scopus coverage years: from 2003 to 2004, from 2007 to 2020

Publisher: Institute of New Chemical Technologies and Materials of Al-Farabi Kazakh State National University

ISSN: 1562-3920

Subject area:

Chemical Engineering: General Chemical Engineering

Chemistry: General Chemistry

Materials Science: General Materials Science

Physics and Astronomy: Condensed Matter Physics

CiteScore 2019 ⓘ

0.8

SJR 2019 ⓘ

0.188

SNIP 2019 ⓘ

0.542

[View all documents >](#)

[Set document alert](#)

[Save to source list](#)

[CiteScore](#) [CiteScore rank & trend](#) [Scopus content coverage](#)

i Improved CiteScore methodology

CiteScore 2019 counts the citations received in 2016-2019 to articles, reviews, conference papers, book chapters and data papers published in 2016-2019, and divides this by the number of publications published in 2016-2019. [Learn more >](#)

CiteScore 2019

$$0.8 = \frac{127 \text{ Citations 2016 - 2019}}{164 \text{ Documents 2016 - 2019}}$$

Calculated on 06 May, 2020

CiteScoreTracker 2020 ⓘ

$$1.3 = \frac{216 \text{ Citations to date}}{167 \text{ Documents to date}}$$

Last updated on 02 March, 2021 • Updated monthly

CiteScore rank 2019 ⓘ

Category Rank Percentile

Chemical Engineering	#208/281	26th
General Chemical Engineering		
Chemistry	#302/398	24th
General Chemistry		

[View CiteScore methodology >](#) [CiteScore FAQ >](#) [Add CiteScore to your site](#)

About Scopus

[What is Scopus](#)
[Content coverage](#)
[Scopus blog](#)
[Scopus API](#)
[Privacy matters](#)

Language

[日本語に切り替える](#)
[切换到简体中文](#)
[切换到繁體中文](#)
[Русский язык](#)

Customer Service

[Help](#)
[Contact us](#)




SJR

Scimago Journal & Country Rank

Enter Journal Title, ISSN or Publisher Name

[Home](#)[Journal Rankings](#)[Country Rankings](#)[Viz Tools](#)[Help](#)[About Us](#)

Eurasian Chemico-Technological Journal

COUNTRY	SUBJECT AREA AND CATEGORY	PUBLISHER	H-INDEX
<p>Kazakhstan</p> 	<p>Chemical Engineering</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Chemical Engineering (miscellaneous) <p>Chemistry</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Chemistry (miscellaneous) <p>Materials Science</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Materials Science (miscellaneous) <p>Physics and Astronomy</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Condensed Matter Physics 	al-Farabi Kazakh State National University	7
PUBLICATION TYPE	ISSN	COVERAGE	INFORMATION
Journals	15623920	2007-2019	<p>Homepage</p> <p>How to publish in this journal</p> <p>ectj.1999@gmail.com</p>

SCOPE







The journal is designed for publication of experimental and theoretical investigation results in the field of chemistry and chemical technology. Among priority fields that emphasized by chemical science are as follows: advanced materials and chemical technologies, current issues of organic synthesis and chemistry of natural compounds, physical chemistry, chemical physics, electro-photo-radiative-plasma chemistry, colloids, nanotechnologies, catalysis and surface-active materials, polymers, biochemistry.

 Join the conversation about this journal



FIND SIMILAR JOURNALS ?

<p>1 Fullerenes Nanotubes and Carbon Nanostructures USA</p> <p>47% similarity</p>	<p>2 Progress in Energy and Combustion Science GBR</p> <p>46% similarity</p>	<p>3 Xiandai Huagong/Modern Chemical Industry CHN</p> <p>42% similarity</p>	<p>4 Materials Chemistry Physics NLD</p> <p>38% similarity</p>
---	--	---	--





Eurasian
Chemico-Technological...

Q3

Chemical
Engineering
(miscellaneous)
best quartile

SJR 2019

0.19



powered by scimagojr.com

← Show this widget in
your own website

Just copy the code below
and paste within your html
code:

```
<a href="https://www.scimaç
```

Metrics based on Scopus® data as of April 2020



Le Pham Tan Quoc 5 months ago

please update homepage of this journal:
<https://ect-journal.kz/index.php/ectj/about>
Thank you

← reply



Melanie Ortiz 5 months ago

SCImago Team

Dear Le Pham, thank you for contacting us. We will proceed to analyze your request as soon as possible. Greetings from Spain and thank you for using the SCImago products, SCImago Team



hiba 1 year ago

Halo

I would like to publish my research in your scientific journal in the specialty of chemistry and I want to communicate with you how can I communicate with you as soon as possible and send research please reply

← reply



Ахунджанов К.А. 1 year ago

APPLICATION OF FRACTAL THEORY TO SOL-GEL SYNTHESIS OF NANOCOMPOSITE POLYMER-SILICA MATERIALS

← reply

0.8
2019
CiteScore

26-й процентиль

Powered by Scopus

[Register](#) [Login](#)EURASIAN
CHEMICO-TECHNOLOGICAL
JOURNAL[Current](#)[Archives](#)[About the Journal](#)[Contact](#)[Search](#)[Home](#) / [Archives](#) / Vol 20 No 4 (2018)**Published:** 2018-12-21

Articles

Numerical and Experimental Investigation of Laminar One-Dimensional Counter-Flow Flames Using Product Gas From Pyrolysis and Gasification of Woody Biomass

M.-T. Scharl, D. A. Greenhalgh, A. Dieguez-Alonso, F. Behrendt

255-262

[PDF](#)

Low Temperature Oxidation of Cyclohexane: Uncertainty of Important Thermo-Chemical Properties

M. Abbasi, N. Slavinskaya, U. Riedel

263-275

[PDF](#)

Complete Scheme for Fullerene, Graphene, and Soot Formation in Flame

Z. A. Mansurov

277-281

[PDF](#)

Control of Ni/Ce_{1-x}MxO_y catalyst properties via the selection of dopant M = Gd, La, Mg Part 1. Physicochemical characteristics

M.A Kerzhentsev, E. V. Matus, I. Z. Ismagilov, O. B. Sukhova, P. Bharali, Z. R. Ismagilov
283-291

 PDF

Control of Ni/Ce_{1-x}MxO_y Catalyst Properties Via the Selection of Dopant M = Gd, La, Mg. Part 2. Catalytic Activity

M. A. Kerzhentsev, E. V. Matus, I. Z. Ismagilov, O. B. Sukhova, P. Bharali, Z. R. Ismagilov
293-300

 PDF

Fabrication of Cu-W Nanocomposites by Integration of Self-Propagating High-Temperature Synthesis and Hot Explosive Consolidation Technologies

S. V. Aydinyan, H. V. Kirakosyan, M. K. Zakaryan, L. S. Abovyan, S. L. Kharatyan, A. Peikrishvili, G. Mamniashvili, B. Godibadze, E. Sh. Chagelishvili, D. R. Lesuer, M. Gutierrez
301-309

 PDF

Saccharification Kinetics at Optimised Conditions of Tapioca by Glucoamylase Immobilised on Mesostructured Cellular Foam Silica

J. Agustian, L. Hermide

311-318

 PDF

Raman characteristics of multiwalled carbon nanotubes based on diatomite

M. Nazhipkyzy, A. Nurgain, A.A. Zhaparova, A.R. Seitkazinova, N.G. Prikhodko, R.R. Nemkayeva
319-323

 PDF

Production Technologies of Pharmacologically Active Sesquiterpene Lactones

A.S. Kishkentayeva
325-333

 PDF

Author Guidelines

Editorial Team

Aims and Scope

Saccharification Kinetics at Optimised Conditions of Tapioca by Glucoamylase Immobilised on Mesostructured Cellular Foam Silica

J. Agustian* and L. Hermida

Department of Chemical Engineering, Universitas Lampung, Bandar Lampung 35145, Lampung, Indonesia

Article info

Received:
16 March 2018

Received in revised form:
18 May 2018

Accepted:
5 August 2018

Abstract

As insoluble substrates such as tapioca can be used to make chemical compounds, saccharification of tapioca by glucoamylase immobilised on mesostructured cellular foam (MCF) silica using Box-Behnken Design of experiment was conducted to optimize this process so that the experimental results can be used to develop large-scale operations. The experiments gave dextrose equivalent (DE) values of 6.15–69.50% (w/w). Factors of pH and temperature affected the process highly. The suggested quadratic polynomial model is significant and considered acceptable ($R^2 = 99.78\%$). Justification of the model confirms its validity and adequacy where the predicted DE shows a good agreement with the experimental results. The kinetic constants (V_{max} , K_M) produced by the immobilised enzyme differed highly from the values yielded by free glucoamylase indicating reduction of substrate access to enzyme active sites had occurred.

1. Introduction

Mesoporous silicas, one of the important materials for enzymes' supports, have been used to immobilise free glucoamylase by applying various immobilisation methodologies. The materials prepared from tetraethoxysilane and phenyltriethoxysilane had successfully encapsulated this enzyme where the product was used for many cycles in soluble starch hydrolysis [1]. Functionalised ordered mesoporous silicas were also developed to support glucoamylase covalently to give the immobilised enzyme capable to hydrolyse soluble starch efficiently [2, 3]. Previously, Szymanska et al. [4] immobilised glucoamylase on functionalised mesostructured cellular foam (MCF) silica where the immobilised enzyme was used to saccharify the soluble starches.

Saccharification of insoluble starches based-on the silica supports is not found yet. As ethanol and other chemicals can be made from the insoluble substrates via fermentation, saccharification of tapioca starch using glucoamylase immobilised on the MCF silica is required as knowledge on the process are needed to develop large-scale operations. Variation of the operational factors simultaneously through a factorial experimental design is consid-

ered as the correct way to deal with the factors [5]. Since optimisation of process is considered to be a step to define the optimum conditions by evaluating the interactions of the operational factors [6], to optimize the tapioca saccharification using the glucoamylase immobilised on the MCF silica, a response surface methodology based-on Box-Behnken design is herewith reported. The optimized results were used to measure the kinetics of the reaction. Reusability of the immobilised glucoamylase was also evaluated under the optimized conditions.

2. Experimental

2.1. Chemicals and biochemical

Pluronic® P123 (435465), mesitylene (>98%), tetraethyl orthosilicate (>99%) and sodium acetate (>98%) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. Ammonium fluoride (>98%), HCl (37%), di-sodium hydrogen phosphate dodecahydrate (>99%), D(+)-glucose monohydrate (>99%) and potassium dihydrogen phosphate (>99%) were supplied by Merck Indonesia. Glucoamylase LYPH170122 (30.000 U g⁻¹) were imported from Xi'an Lyphar Biotech Co. Ltd. (China). Tapioca was bought from a supermarket.

*Corresponding author. E-mail: joni.agustian@eng.unila.ac.id

2.2. Synthesis of MCF silica (9.2T-3D)

A method developed by Hermida et al. [7] was used. 4 g Pluronic® P123 was dissolved in 70 mL of HCl (1.6 M) at ambient temperature in a flask. Then, 3.4 mL trimethylbenzene was added. The mixture was stirred at 750 rpm and 40 °C for 2 h. After 9.2 mL tetraethyl orthosilicate was put in. It was stirred at 1.000 rpm for 5 min and later kept in water bath for 20 h at 40 °C. 5 ml NH₄F (46 mg per 5 mL) was inserted under slow stirring. The mixture was kept in water bath for 72 h at 80 °C. After 3 days, it was cooled to ambient temperature and filtered. The solids were dried at 100 °C for 12 h. They were calcined at 300 °C for 30 min and continued at 500 °C for 6 h in order to obtain the MCF silica.

2.3. Immobilisation process

Immobilization of glucoamylase was conducted directly without pre-treatment. 60 mg enzyme was dissolved in 30 mL Sorensen phosphate buffer 100 mM pH 5.5. Then, 500 mg MCF silica was added. The mixture was shaken at 100 rpm and 30 °C in Medline BS-31 unit. After 5 h, the mixture was filtered. Filtrate was kept in refrigerator before protein analysis was conducted, whilst residue was washed with Sorensen buffer (3×50 mL) based on the immobilisation pH. The filtrate from the washing was also kept in refrigerator before the protein analysis. Residue was dried in desiccator at room temperature overnight. The dried immobilised enzyme was stored in refrigerator. Amount of enzyme on support was calculated as follows:

$$\text{Immobilised Enzyme (\%)} = \frac{(C_0 - C_t)}{C_0} \times V \times 100 \quad (1)$$

where C_0 is initial free enzyme concentration (mg mL⁻¹), C_t is final free enzyme concentration (mg mL⁻¹), and V is reactor working volume (mL).

2.4. Enzyme specific activity

Bernfeld method as described by Milosavic et al. [8] was used to determine activity of both free and immobilised enzyme. 4 g soluble starch was dissolved in 100 mL 0.05 M sodium acetate buffer pH 4.5 and homogenized. Then 25 mL of the solution was poured in two 100 mL Erlenmeyer flasks where 50 mg immobilised enzyme was put into the first flask and 25 mg free enzyme was added into the second Erlenmeyer. Both Erlenmeyers were placed in water bath shaker at 60 °C and 140 rpm. Samples were withdrawn every 5 min for 30 min. The samples were centrifuged at 1000 g for 3 min before DNS (dinitrosalicylic acid) analysis were conducted. One glucoamylase unit (U) was defined as the amount of enzyme, which released reducing carbohydrates equivalent to 1 μmole glucose from soluble starch in 1 min.

2.5. Design of experiment (DOE)

Saccharification of tapioca was optimised using Box Behnken Design (BBD). The design was prepared and analysed using Design Expert® v. 6.0.6 software. Three reaction factors were studied as described in Table 1. Dextrose equivalent value (DE) was set as response.

2.6. BBD experimental procedure

30 mL 0.1 M sodium acetate buffer solution pH 4.3–4.9 were placed in 100 mL Erlenmeyer flasks. Then tapioca starch was dissolved in each flask at concentration of 3% (w/v). 400 mg immobilised glucoamylase was added into the tapioca solution. The flasks containing the mixture were placed in water bath shaker where temperature and agitation speed were set at 65–75 °C and 130–150 rpm, respectively, for 8 h. All experiments used operating conditions provided by DOE. Initial and final sample were collected and measured their DE value using DNS analysis.

Table 1
The experimental factors and their levels

Factor	Name	Unit	Type	Low Actual	High Actual	Low Coded	High Coded
A	Buffer pH	-	Numeric	4.30	4.90	-1	+1
B	Temperature	°C	Numeric	65.00	75.00	-1	+1
C	Agitation Speed	rpm	Numeric	130.00	150.00	-1	+1

2.7. Determination of kinetics

To determine kinetic constants of the saccharification process (V_{\max} , K_M), the initial rate of reaction was investigated by varying the concentration of tapioca starch in the range of 0.5–5.0% (w/v) in 30 mL 0.1 M sodium acetate buffer pH 4.6. The process was set at 70 °C and 140 rpm for 4 h. 400 mg of enzyme was added into the starch solution. Samples were withdrawn every half an hour for the DNS analysis. The kinetic constants were evaluated using Chem SW Enzyme Kinetics!Pro.

2.8. Reusability of immobilised enzyme

Reusability of immobilized glucoamylase was performed in a batch reactor. The process was conducted as follows: 3% (w/v) tapioca was dissolved in a 100 mL Erlenmeyer flask containing 30 mL 0.1 M sodium acetate buffer pH 4.6. Then, 400 mg immobilised glucoamylase was added in. The flask was placed in water bath shaker set at temperature of 70 °C and agitation speed of 140 rpm for 8 h. Initial and final sample were collected for DE value measurements. After the saccharification process, the immobilised enzyme was filtered-off and washed with 0.1 M sodium acetate buffer pH 4.6 (3×50 mL). The filtered immobilised enzyme was reintroduced into a fresh reaction medium and checked its performance. Activity of the immobilised enzyme in the 1st run was identified as 100%. Activities obtained in other runs were compared with that of the 1st run.

2.9. Estimation of protein and glucose

Pierce™ BCA protein assay was used to estimate protein content by the standard method provided by manufacturer. Hydrolysis of starch to glucose was determined by the DNS analysis method. The enzyme contents and glucose samples were observed using spectrometer Shimadzu UV-VIS 1800.

2.10. Characterization (FTIR, SEM)

Average cell pore size was evaluated using Barrett-Joyner-Halenda (BJH) method from the adsorption branch of the isotherm data. Average window pore size was evaluated using BJH method from the desorption branch. S_{BET} was calculated using Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) method. Samples (support and enzyme) were analyzed

using Zeiss EVO field emission scanning electron microscope (SEM), equipped with an Oxford IN-CAX act, energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) microanalysis system, to obtain SEM images and chemical compositions. Further samples were observed using Frontier Perkin Elmer FTIR unit.

3. Results and discussion


3.1. Immobilised glucoamylase

Adsorption of the free glucoamylase on MCF silica (9.2T-3D) (S_{BET} : 378 m² g⁻¹; V_{pore} : 2.12 cm³ g⁻¹; d_{cell} : 235 Å; d_{window} : 158 Å) gave the immobilised enzyme with 82.06% (w/w) load. The load was quite high as the enzyme has lower size than the support (~80 Å). Compared with other enzyme supports, the immobilisation of glucoamylase on the MCF silica (9.2T-3D) produced a good result. Milosavic et al. [8–9] immobilised 16–19% (w/w) the free glucoamylase on poly(GMA-co-EGDMA). Later, Guo et al. [10] obtained 2.7–12% (w/w) loads of the glucoamylase on carboxyl magnetic nanoparticles (CMNPs). Other researchers found less than 30% (w/w) loads of the glucoamylase of polypropylene fibers, magnetic clay, magnetic chitosan and magnetic carbon nanotubes supports [11–14].


SEM analysis on the MCF silica (9.2T-3D) confirmed changes of the support surfaces from smooth (Fig. 1a) to rough (Fig. 1b). The figures comparison proved presence of the enzyme on the support. A further observation on the FT-IR spectrum of the MCF silica (9.2T-3D) as described in Fig. 2 showed its vibrations at 1300 cm⁻¹ and 850 cm⁻¹ with peak at 1061 cm⁻¹ to indicate Si–O–Si stretching vibration. These vibrations were also observed on the immobilized enzyme, however, additional vibrations at 2020 cm⁻¹ and 1900 cm⁻¹ were found. The vibration observed at 1962 cm⁻¹ confirmed the C≡N or C≡C bounds on the support surfaces [15]. Because glucoamylase is a protein consisting of a single polypeptide chain of amino acids, the 1962 cm⁻¹ vibration indicated the presence of protein on the support.

The free and immobilised glucoamylase used in the experiments were found to have the specific activity of 30.335.17 U g⁻¹ and 1.856.78 U g⁻¹, respectively. The specific activity reduction after the immobilisation process was frequently found because conformational changes on enzyme structures occurred so that some active sites are difficult to be accessed by substrates [16, 17].

Compared with other results, the immobilised glucoamylase on MCF silica (9.2T-3D) has a better specific activity since the specific activity of this enzyme on poly(GMAco-EGDMA) was only 1.100 U g^{-1} [8, 9], while the glucoamylase on Si-bunit gave the value of $420\text{--}540 \text{ U g}^{-1}$ [18].



(a)



(b)

Fig. 1. SEM images of supporting material (a) and glucoamylase on support (b).




Fig. 2. The FTIR spectra.

3.2. Statistical DOE

Saccharification of tapioca was designed by combining 3 experimental factors with 3 levels based on Box-Behnken Design (BBD). This design required 17 experimental runs as described in Table 2. Dextrose Equivalent (DE) were found in the range of 6.15–69.50% (w/w) where the highest result was produced at pH 4.3, temperature of $70 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ and agitation speed of 130 rpm, whilst the lowest DE was obtained at conditions of pH 4.9, $65 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ and 140 rpm.

The sequential model sum of squares analysis concludes that the developed statistical quadratic model is significant in explaining relationship between the response and factors including the factorial interactions during the process where the $P > F$ value is < 0.0001 . The model is not aliased and has a low standard deviation (i.e. 1.75%). It is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{DE} = & 9.655.35 + 702.28 (\text{pH}) + 191.51 \\ & (\text{temperature}) + 18.97 (\text{agitation speed}) - \\ & 55.55 (\text{pH})^2 - 1.21 (\text{temperature})^2 - 0.05 \\ & (\text{agitation speed})^2 - 2.42 (\text{pH} \times \text{temperature}) - \\ & 0.37 (\text{pH} \times \text{agitation speed}) - 0.04 \\ & (\text{temperature} \times \text{agitation speed}) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Table 2
Box-Behnken Design matrix

Run	Independent Variables			DE (% (w/w))	
	x_1 : Buffer pH	x_2 : ($^\circ\text{C}$)	x_3 : Agitation Speed (rpm)	Observed	Predicted
1	4.6	65	130	8.77	9.61
2	4.6	70	140	68.41	68.41
3	4.6	70	140	68.41	68.41
4	4.3	65	140	15.03	14.99
5	4.9	65	140	6.15	3.87
6	4.9	75	140	43.82	43.86
7	4.9	70	150	44.61	45.41
8	4.3	75	140	67.25	69.52
9	4.6	70	140	68.41	68.41
10	4.3	70	130	69.50	68.70
11	4.6	70	140	68.41	68.41
12	4.6	70	140	68.41	64.41
13	4.6	75	150	52.82	51.97
14	4.9	70	130	51.12	52.55
15	4.3	70	150	67.47	66.04
16	4.6	65	150	7.31	8.76
17	4.6	75	130	62.42	60.95




Fig. 3. The parity plot of the actual versus predicted DE.

The analysis of variance indicates that the model fits well and satisfactory. Coefficient of determination (R^2) is 99.78%, while the adjusted and predicted R^2 are 99.49% and 96.41%, respectively. As the R^2 are more than 95%, hence more than 95% of the actual data can be explained by the model [5]. Only 0.22% of the total variations were not described by the model. The R^2 is acceptable as the closer the R^2 value to unity, the better the models fits the data [19, 20]. The predicted responses matched the experimental results reasonably well with the R^2 of more than 95% (Fig. 3). Sebayang et al. [21] obtained the R^2 of 99.14% in optimization of reducing sugar production from *Manihot glaziovii* starch using BBD. The optimization of polysaccharides hydrolysis using Central Composite Design (CCD) gave the R^2 of 86.36–96.94% [22–25]. Therefore, this model is applicable and reliable and can be used to simulate the process.

3.3. Mutual effects of factors

Effects of the operational factors (x_1 - x_3) are given in Table 3. Individually, pH (x_1) and temperature (x_2) showed significant effects on the process by changing the response highly as described in Fig. 4. A slight reduction (<5%) was found when pH was increased from 4.30 to 4.45, but further increases reduced the DE quickly. The buffer pH that produced the highest DE was similar to the previous observations conducted by Milosavic et al. [8, 9, 26] who concluded the optimum operational pH for the immobilised glucoamylase were 4.5. Temperature factor increased the DE rapidly when it was changed from 65 °C to around 72 °C, however, reduction of DE values were obtained when further temperature elevations were made. Although the agitation speed (x_3) tended to decrease the response, its effect was considered low and not significant. The speeds up to 150 rpm were frequently found in the starches hydrolyses [21, 27, 28].




Fig. 4. The individual factors and their interactions effects.

Table 3
Summary of the factorial effects

Factor	Effects		
x_1	High	Antagonist	Significant
x_2	High	Synergist	Significant
x_3	Low	Antagonist	Not Significant
x_1x_2	High	Antagonist	Significant
x_1x_3	Low	Antagonist	Significant
x_2x_3	High	Synergist	Significant

Three interactions were found. They are weighed significant and show relatively high effects except the second interaction (x_1x_3) (Fig. 4). The first interaction, pH and temperature (x_1x_2), gave the response as high as 66.19% at pH of 4.30–4.75 and temperature of 69 to 75 °C. This interaction produced the lowest DE of 16.33% obtained at the lowest operational temperature (65 °C) for the all employed pHs. The pH and agitation speed interaction (x_1x_3) resulted the maximum DE of 66.19% at pH of 4.30–4.65 and agitation speed of 130–150 rpm. The minimum DE given by this interaction was 53.72%. The last interaction, the agitation speed and temperature (x_2x_3), developed the DE values the same as the first interaction.

The highest DE was obtained at the combination of the operating conditions at temperatures of around 69.5–74.5 °C and agitation speed of 130–150 rpm, whilst the lowest result was produced at temperature of less than 66 °C and agitation speed of 130–150 rpm.

3.4. Model Verification

Examination must be performed to conclude that the predicted and experimental results produced at the optimum conditions are the same [29]. From the above description, the constraints used to obtain the optimum values for the saccharification process using immobilised glucoamylase on MCF silica were pH (level: 4.6; range: 4.3–4.9), temperature (level: 70 °C; range: 65–75 °C), agitation speed (level: 140 rpm; range: 130–150 rpm), and DE (range: 6.15–69.50%). To verify the model, some proposed solutions for the optimum conditions were tested. The results are described in Table 4. The experimental DE are reasonably closed to the predicted values where most of them are lower than the modelling results. 3 out of 5 experimental data show the relative errors of less than 5% (i.e. 0.204–3.107%), which are acceptable as they are in the range of 95% confidence level. The other results have the errors of 8.226% and 9.297%, which are tolerable as errors around 10–15% are considered good in the optimization processes [30]. These descriptions confirmed validity and adequacy of the predicted model.

Table 4
Predicted and experimental results
at the optimum conditions

pH	Temp. (°C)	Agitation Speed (rpm)	DE (%)		
			Predicted	Experimental	Error
4.6	70	140	68.41	68.55	0.14
4.3	70	150	66.04	64.05	-1.99
4.6	65	130	8.78	8.63	-0.15
4.6	75	150	51.97	48.02	-3.95
4.9	70	130	52.55	48.08	-4.47

3.5. Steady State Kinetics

Formation of reducing sugars during initial reaction rate observations at various tapioca starch concentrations (1–5 mg mL⁻¹) is illustrated in Fig. 5. All employed concentrations almost have the same reaction rates during 4 h. DE values were less than




Fig. 5. Progress of DE during initial rate measurements.

20% (w/w) (all concentrations) in the first 30 min. After 90 min, DE were tripled to 40.34–48.43% (w/w) proving that the active sites of the enzyme was not difficult to be accessed by the starch. Slow increases in DE were found after the process run for 2 h. Approximately 50% (w/w) DE was obtained after 150 min.

The kinetics constants, K_M and V_{max} , were determined by using the Michaelis-Menten model as described in Eq. 2. Both constants were analysed using Hanes-Woolf plot (Eq. 3) because this plot gives low deviations from true K_M and V_{max} [31]. The errors resulted by the plot are distributed more evenly than Lineweaver-Burk and Eadie-Hofstee plot [32].

$$V_0 = \frac{V_{max} [S]}{K_M + [S]} \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{[S]}{V_0} = [S] \left(\frac{1}{V_{max}} \right) + \frac{K_M}{V_{max}} \quad (4)$$

where V_0 is rate of reaction, K_M is the Michaelis-Menten constant, V_{max} is the maximum reaction rate and $[S]$ is concentration of substrate. Regression of Eq. (4) by plotting ($[S]/V_0$) versus $[S]$ gave ($1/V_{max}$) as the slope and (K_M/V_{max}) as the intercept. The K_M and V_{max} were determined from the slope and intercept. Figure 6 describes the Hanes-Woolf plot for both glucoamylase types.

The K_M value for the immobilised glucoamylase is higher than the free enzyme. The immobilised enzyme has the K_M of 14.23 mg mL⁻¹, whilst the K_M for the free glucoamylase is 4.83 mg mL⁻¹. The increase of the K_M value after immobilisation indicates that the enzyme has low affinity on the substrate, which could be caused by change of the enzyme structure that decreased the accessibility of the enzyme




Fig. 6. The Hanes-Woolf plot (A: Free enzyme; B: Immobilised enzyme).

active sites [33]. From the plot, it is found that the maximum reaction rates of the saccharification process catalyzed by the immobilised enzyme (V_{max}) is $0.07 \text{ mg mL}^{-1} \text{ min}^{-1}$. However, the free enzyme gives the V_{max} of $0.10 \text{ mg mL}^{-1} \text{ min}^{-1}$. The lower V_{max} emphasizes that reduction of the enzyme activity relates to the change of the enzyme structure and difficult access to the enzyme active sites [3].

3.6. Reusability study

Kalburcu et al. [34] described that reusability of an enzyme immobilised on a matrix is a must for large-scale operations. Hence, a study on repeated uses of the immobilised glucoamylase on MCF silica (9.2T-3D) was conducted to know its activity after many saccharification processes as shown in Fig. 7. It was observed that the immobilised enzyme activity decreased rapidly to 68.83% after the second cycle. The gradual decreases continued where the enzyme had activity of $\pm 50\%$ after 5 cycles. The last observation found that its activity decreased to 21.52% after 10 cycles. Demirkan et al. [35] found the enzyme activity as high as 38% after 6 cycles. Later, Ashly et al. [36] summarized that the immobilised amylase had 20% activity after 10 times used in the process. Reductions in the immobilised glucoamylase activity were mainly caused by treatment of the enzyme after the reaction was conducted where the immobilised enzyme must be




Fig. 7. Reusability of glucoamylase immobilised on MCF silica (9.2T-3D).

washed several times with the buffer solutions that caused enzyme leaching from the support [35, 36].

4. Conclusions

In conclusion, the enzymatic saccharification of tapioca starch using glucoamylase adsorbed on surfaces of MCF silica (9.2T-3D), which had the specific activity of $1.856.78 \text{ U/g}$, was investigated. The statistical design of experiment using Box-Behnken response surface method with 3 operational factors gave the DE values in the range of 6.15–69.50% (w/w). The proposed polynomial quadratic model is acceptable where the R_2 is 99.78%. The justification confirmed the model validity and adequacy. Its predicted values matched the observed values reasonably well. pH and temperature are considered significant and give high effects on the DE. All interactions show their significance on the developed model. The saccharification using the immobilised enzyme gave the K_M and V_{max} of 14.23 mg mL^{-1} and $0.07 \text{ mg mL}^{-1} \text{ min}^{-1}$, respectively, which differed highly with the kinetic constants developed by the free glucoamylase and indicated the reduction of the substrate access to the enzyme active sites.

Acknowledgements

Both authors thank deeply for the financial support for this research provided by Universitas Lampung via The Superior Research Grant No. 270/UN26.21.PN/2017.

References

- [1]. B. Vlad-Oros, G. Preda, Z. Dudas, M. Dragomirescu, A. Chiriac, *Proc. Appl. Ceram.* 1 (2007) 63–67. DOI: 10.2298/PAC0702063VR.

- [2]. R. George, S. Gopinath, S. Sugunan, *Bull. Chem. React. Eng. Cat.* 8 (2013) 70–76. DOI: 10.9767/brec.8.1.4208.70-76]
- [3]. R. George, S. Sugunan, *J. Mol. Catal. B: Enzym.* 106 (2014) 81–89. DOI: 10.1016/j.molcatb.2014.04.016
- [4]. K. Szymanska, J. Bryjak, J. Mrowiec-Bialon, J.B. Jarzebski, *Micropor. Mesopor. Mat.* 99 (2007) 167–175. DOI: 10.1016/j.micromeso.2006.08.035
- [5]. D.C. Montgomery, “Design and analysis of experiments”. Fifth ed., John Wiley & Sons Inc., London, 2001.
- [6]. A. Soyer, E. Bayraktar, U. Mehmetoglu, *Prep. Biochem. Biotech.* 40 (2010) 389–404. DOI: 10.1080/10826068.2010.525433
- [7]. L. Hermida, A.Z. Abdullah, A.R. Mohamed, “Nickel functionalized mesostructured cellular foam (MCF) silica as a catalyst for solventless deoxygenation of palmitic acid to produce diesel-like hydrocarbons”, *Materials and processes for energy: communicating current research and technological development*, 2013, pp. 312–319.
- [8]. N.B. Milosavić, R.M. Prodanović, S.M. Jovanović, Z.M. Vujčić, *Enzyme Microb. Tech.* 40 (2007) 1422–1426. DOI: 10.1016/j.enzmictec.2006.10.018
- [9]. N. Milosavić, R. Prodanović, S. Jovanović, I. Novakovic, Z. Vujčić, *J. Serb. Chem. Soc.* 70 (5) (2005) 713–719.
- [10]. C. Guo, M. Yunhui, S. Pengfei, F. Baishan, *Biochem. Eng. J.* 67 (2012) 120–125. DOI: 10.1016/j.bej.2012.06.002
- [11]. H. Kamal, G.M. Sabry, S. Lofty, N.M. Abdallah, J. Rosiak, A.H. El-Sayed, *J. Macromol. Sci. A* 45 (2008) 65–75. DOI: 10.1080/10601320701683322
- [12]. G. Zhao, Y. Li, J. Wang, H. Zhu, *Appl. Microbiol. Biot.* 91 (2011) 591–601. DOI: 10.1007/s00253-011-3299-y
- [13]. G. Zhao, J. Wang, Y. Li, X. Chen, Y. Liu, *J. Phys. Chem. C* 115 (2011) 6350–6359. DOI: 10.1021/jp200156j
- [14]. J. Wang, G. Zhao, Y. Li, Y. Liu, H. Hou, *Appl. Microbiol. Biot.* 97 (2013) 681–692. DOI: 10.1007/s00253-012-3979-2
- [15]. C.R. Baiz, M Reppert, A. Tokmakoff. An Introduction to Protein 2D IR Spectroscopy. In: Fayer MD, editor. *Ultrafast Infrared Vibrational Spectroscopy*. CRC Press; New York: 2013. pp. 361–404.
- [16]. D.A. Butterfield, D. Bhattacharyya, S. Dannert, L. Bachas, *J. Membr. Sci.* 181 (2001) 29–37. DOI: 10.1016/S0376-7388(00)00342-2
- [17]. A. Dwevedi, Basics of Enzyme Immobilization. In: *Enzyme Immobilization*. Springer, Cham, 2016. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-41418-8_2
- [18]. G.A. Kovalenko, L.V. Perminova, T.G. Terent’eva, G.V. Plaksin, *Appl. Biochem. Micro.* 43(4) (2007) 374–378. DOI: 10.1134/S0003683807040023
- [19]. W. Cao, C. Zhang, P. Hong, H. Ji, *Food Chem.* 109 (2008) 176–183. DOI: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2007.11.080
- [20]. I.A.W. Tan, A.L. Ahmad, B.H. Hameed, *J. Hazard. Mat.* 153 (2008) 709–717. DOI: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2007.09.014
- [21]. A.H. Sebayang, M.H. Hassan, H.C. Ong, S. Dharma, A.S. Silitonga, F. Kusumo, T.M.I. Mahlia, A.H. Bahar, *Energies* 10 (2017) 35–40. DOI: 10.3390/en10010035
- [22]. D. Mudgil, S. Barak, B.S. Khatkar, *J. Food Sci. Technol.* 51 (2012) 1600–1605. DOI: 10.1007/s13197-012-0678-z
- [23]. R.M. Collares, L.V.S. Miklasevicius, M.M. Bassaco, N.P.G. Salau, M.A. Mazutti, D.A. Bisognin, L.M. Terra, *J. Zhejiang Univ.-Sc. B* 13 (2012) 579–586. DOI: 10.1631/jzus.B1100297
- [24]. N. Nadir, M. Mel, M.I.A. Karim, R.M. Yunus. *The Institution of Engineers Malaysia* 71 (3) (2010) 26–34.
- [25]. N. Peatciyammal, B. Balachandar, M.D. Kumar, K. Tamilarasan, C. Muthukumar, *IJBET* 4 (2010) 126–130. DOI: 10.1999/1307-6892/11992
- [26]. N.B. Milosavić, R.M. Prodanović, S.M. Jovanović, Z.M. Vujčić, *Acta Periodica Technologica* 35 (2004) 207–214. DOI: 10.2298/APT0435207M
- [27]. Y.N. Shariffa, A.A. Karim, A. Fazilah, I.S.M. Zaidul, *Food Hydrocolloid.* 23 (2009) 434–440. DOI: 10.1016/j.foodhyd.2008.03.009
- [28]. G. Zhao, J. Wang, Y. Li, H. Huang, X. Chen, *Biochem. Eng. J.* 68 (2012) 159–166. DOI: 10.1016/j.bej.2012.04.009
- [29]. M.J. Anderson, P.J. Whitcomb, “DOE Simplified: Practical tools for effective experimentation”, Second ed., Productivity Press, New York, 2007.
- [30]. M.S. Montilha, M.F. Sbroggio, V.R.G. Figueireido, E.I. Ida, L.E. Kurozawa, *Int. Food Res. J.* 24 (2017) 1067–1074.
- [31]. R.A. Copeland, “Enzymes: A Practical Introduction to Structure, Mechanism, and Data Analysis”, Wiley-VCH Inc., New York, 2000.
- [32]. H. Bisswanger, “Enzyme Kinetics: Principles and Methods”, Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH., Weinheim, 2002.
- [33]. G. Bayramoglu, M. Yilmaz, M.Y. Arica, *Food Chem.* 84 (2004) 591–599. DOI: 10.1016/S0308-8146(03)00283-8
- [34]. T. Kalburcu, M.N. Tuzmen, S. Akgol, A. Denizli, *Turk. J. Chem.* 38 (2014) 28–40. DOI: 10.3906/kim-1301-87
- [35]. E. Demirkan, S. Dincbas, N. Sevinc, F. Ertan, *Romanian Biotech. Lett.* 16 (2011) 6690–6701. cf15529aefcc18768d33a60049832e0fb310.pdf
- [36]. P.C. Ashly, M.J. Joseph, P.V. Mohanan, *Food Chem.* 127 (2011) 1808–1813. DOI: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2011.02.068