



CHEMICAL ENGINEERING JOURNAL

An International Journal of Research and Development

AUTHOR INFORMATION PACK

TABLE OF CONTENTS

●	Description	p.1
●	Audience	p.2
●	Impact Factor	p.2
●	Abstracting and Indexing	p.2
●	Editorial Board	p.2
●	Guide for Authors	p.7



ISSN: 1385-8947

DESCRIPTION

The *Chemical Engineering Journal* focuses upon three aspects of **chemical engineering**: chemical reaction engineering, environmental chemical engineering, and materials synthesis and processing.

The *Chemical Engineering Journal* is an international research journal and invites contributions of original and novel fundamental research. The journal aims to provide an international forum for the presentation of original fundamental research, interpretative reviews and discussion of new developments in **chemical engineering**. Papers which describe novel theory and its application to practice are welcome, as are those which illustrate the transfer of techniques from other disciplines. Reports of carefully executed experimental work, which is soundly interpreted are also welcome. The overall focus is on original and rigorous research results which have generic significance.

Within the *Chemical Engineering Journal*, the **Environmental Chemical Engineering** section presents papers dealing with emerging topics in environmental chemical and process engineering, including pollution control, separation processes, advanced oxidation processes, adsorption of contaminants, resources recovery, waste-to-energy, environmental nanotechnology and bioprocesses, CO₂ capture and utilization, and micro(nano) plastic detection and remediation.

Within the *Chemical Engineering Journal*, the **Chemical Reaction Engineering** section presents papers on a wide range of topics including reaction kinetics, simulation and optimization of different types of reactors, unsteady-state reactors, multiphase reactors, and process intensification including fundamental investigations of the processes of heat, mass and momentum transfer that take place along with chemical reactions. Innovative research works addressing critical areas of reactor engineering (e.g. novel reactor designs and materials, reactor safety and environmental issues), and emerging reactor technologies (e.g. membrane reactors, chromatographic reactors, unconventional fluidized bed reactors, electrochemical reactors, micro-reactors, photoreactors, fuel-cells, enzymatic reactors, etc.) are particularly welcome. Submissions based entirely on e.g., numerical simulations with commercial CFD codes without novel experimental validation; novel sensing devices without a component of reaction engineering; theoretical mathematics; combustion in the context of energy conversion; or straightforward bioreactor applications (bacteria or animal cells) are highly discouraged, as these will find better fit in other existent journals.

Within the *Chemical Engineering Journal*, the **Novel Materials for Energy and Advanced Applications** section presents papers dealing with different aspects of the preparation and characterization of advanced materials designed for specific applications. This section represents the evolution of the highly successful Materials Synthesis and Processing section whose scope has

been redefined to emphasize the design and application of materials in a number of fields, with energy (harvesting, storage, utilization) occupying a prominent but not exclusive role; manuscripts demonstrating applications of novel materials across multiple fields are welcomed. Manuscripts describing novel methods of synthesis as well as the processes used to obtain materials with different morphologies and/or modify the surface and structural properties of those materials will be considered provided the manuscript is written from a chemical engineering point of view. Manuscripts dealing with micro- and nano-structured materials and/or describing the preparation of composite and hybrid materials with advanced properties are particularly welcome. Given the applied character of the CEJ, we will consider manuscripts where specific applications are demonstrated for the materials synthesized.

Comments and Proposals: We are interested in receiving comments/feedback on this and our other journals and welcome publication proposals for books, electronic products, new journals and co-operation for existing journals.

AUDIENCE

Chemical and Process Engineers, Applied Chemists and Product Engineers, Biochemical Engineers and Biotechnologists.

IMPACT FACTOR

2019: 10.652 © Clarivate Analytics Journal Citation Reports 2020

ABSTRACTING AND INDEXING

BIOSEP Bulletin
BIOSIS Citation Index
Cambridge Scientific Abstracts
Chemical Abstracts
Chemical Engineering Abstracts
Current Contents
Engineered Materials Abstracts
Fluid Abstracts
INSPEC
Material Business Alerts
Metals Abstracts
Mixing and Separation Technology Abstracts
Scopus

EDITORIAL BOARD

Co-Editors

Stephen Allen, Queen's University Belfast, Belfast, United Kingdom
Liquid and gas phase adsorption processes. Nutrient removal. Removal of metals and persistent organics. Ion exchange. CO₂ removal and Carbon capture. Constructed wetlands.

Tejraj (Bhavi) Aminabhavi, Soniya Education Trust's College of Pharmacy, Dharwad, India
Sustainable environmental membrane separation processes, Emerging pollutant separation and solid waste mitigation, MBR and forward osmosis for biowaste mitigation, Effluent or influent wastewater treatment by electro-coagulation, Toxic metal separation and recovery, Desulfurization, Acid/flue gas separation

Dionysios Dionysiou, University of Cincinnati, Environmental Engineering and Science Program, Department of Chemical and Environmental Engineering, Cincinnati, Ohio, United States of America
Water Quality, Water Treatment, Advanced Oxidation Technologies, Harmful Algal Blooms, Environmental Nanotechnology

Todd Hoare, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada
Hydrogels, Microgels, Drug delivery, Tissue engineering, Bioprinting, Smart materials, Biosensors

Theophilos Ioannides, Institute of Chemical Engineering Sciences, Patra, Greece

catalytic reaction engineering, Functional nanomaterials, ((electro)chemical) energy storage
Dimitris Kondarides, University of Patras Department of Chemical Engineering, Patras, Greece
Heterogeneous catalysis and photocatalysis. Development and evaluation of catalytic materials and processes for environmental and energy-related applications
Guy Marin, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium
chemical kinetics; heterogeneous catalysis; (petro)chemical processes, polymerization, reactor design and modelling, reactor scale-up, crude oil refining, natural gas valorisation, renewables
Nuno Reis, University of Bath, Bath, United Kingdom
micro-reactor technology, fluid mechanics, CFDs, gas-liquid mixing, multiphase reactors, process intensification, biological reactors, biofuels
Jesús Santamaría, University of Zaragoza, Zaragoza, Spain
Nanomaterials synthesis and characterization, nanomedicine, catalysis, advanced reactors (microwave-driven reactors, microreactors, laser pyrolysis reactors)
King-Lun Yeung, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong
heterogeneous catalysis (including environmental catalysis, photocatalysis and enzyme), novel and hybrid reactor system, miniature flow reactor and microreactor, green and fine chemistry.

Associate Editors

Carolina Belver, Autonomous University of Madrid, Madrid, Spain
Water purification by photocatalysis, Design of novel heterostructures for environmental remediation, Water treatment by adsorption, Advanced oxidation technologies for water treatment, Environmental nanotechnology (fundamental and applications of nanomaterials).
Soryong (Ryan) Chae, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, Ohio, United States of America
Biological processes for water recycling and reuse, Resource recovery, Membrane technology for water and energy, Environmental nanotechnology
Bin Gao, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, United States of America
Biochar, nanoparticles, environmental nanotechnology, contaminant fate and transport, organics
 Hrvoje Kušić, University of Zagreb Faculty of Chemical Engineering and Technology, Zagreb, Croatia
Advanced oxidation technologies for water treatment, (photo)Fenton processes, persulfate based processes, photocatalysis, solar-active materials, process simulation and optimization, mechanistic/phenomenological modeling, QSA/PR modeling
Eilhann Kwon, Sejong University Department of Energy and Environment, Seoul, South Korea
Waste-to-Energy (WtE), Combustion chemistry, Thermo-chemical processes (pyrolysis and gasification), Catalysis, Biofuels, Biorefinery, CO₂ utilization, Carbon capture and storage (CCS), Waste and biomass valorization, Green chemistry, Environmental sustainability, Air pollution controls
Yuekun Lai, Fuzhou University, College of Chemical Engineering, Fuzhou, China
Bioinspired functional surfaces with special wettability (superhydrophobicity/hydrophilicity), water-oil separation and purification, self-cleaning and antifogging coatings, photo(electro)catalysis, water splitting, functional membranes and fabrics, transparent multifunctional films, biomedical scaffolds, aerogel, sustainable chemical engineering processes, nanomaterials for environmental and energy-related applications
Alexei Lapkin, University of Cambridge Department of Chemical Engineering and Biotechnology, Cambridge, United Kingdom
Catalytic reaction engineering, Multiphase catalytic reactors, Membrane catalysis, Membrane gas separation, Life cycle assessment, Machine learning for process development, Process optimisation, Biofeedstocks conversion (valorisation)
Urška Lavrenčič Štancar
heterogeneous photocatalysis in water and air, AOPs, self-cleaning and antifogging surfaces, wet chemistry synthesis of materials, materials characterization
Jinwoo Lee, Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology, Daejeon, South Korea
Electrocatalysts, Rechargeable Batteries, Nanostructure Material Synthesis, Heterogeneous catalysts, Mesoporous Materials.
Angeliki Lemonidou, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece
Heterogeneous catalysis, chemical kinetics, reactor design, (petro)chemical processes, carbon capture and utilization processes, process intensification (chemical looping), natural gas valorization, biomass chemo and thermocatalytic conversion.
Wen-Wei Li, University of Science and Technology of China Department of Chemistry, Hefei, China
Bioelectrochemical systems, Extracellular electron transfer, Photoelectrochemical/electrochemical process for pollutant degradation, Membrane-based water treatment process, Membrane fouling, Nanoparticles biosynthesis, Resource recovery from wastewater
Simona Liguori, Clarkson University Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, Potsdam, New York, United States of America

Carbon capture, membrane separation, membrane reactor, thermochemical processes, hydrogen production and ammonia synthesis, process intensification.

Emma Lovell, University of New South Wales, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia

Nanomaterials, Catalysis, Plasmonics, Energy materials, Materials for energy and environmental science, Electrocatalysis and electrochemistry, Non-thermal Plasma, Flame synthesis

Lukasz Marciniak, Institute of Low Temperature and Structure Research Polish Academy of Sciences, Wroclaw, Poland

Nanoparticles, colloidal systems, glasses, single-crystals, optical properties, luminescence, lanthanide ions, transition metal ions, inorganic wet chemistry synthesis, advanced multifunctional materials, luminescence assisted sensing and imaging, luminescence thermometry, luminescence manometry, downshifting, up-conversion, energy transfer processes, material science, material engineering

Eva Martin Del Valle, University of Salamanca, Salamanca, Spain

nanomaterials, affinity separations, drug delivery, simulation, ,

David Nisbet, Australian National University, Canberra, Australia

Hydrogels, electrospinning, self-assembled peptides, gene therapy, drug delivery, cell transplantation, regenerative medicine, antimicrobial materials

Bingcai Pan, Nanjing University, Nanjing, China

Nano-enabled water treatment; Environmental functional materials; Nanomaterials for environmental remediation; Industrial wastewater treatment; Municipal wastewater treatment; Drinking water treatment; Adsorption; Advanced oxidation processes (AOPs); Water quality analysis; POPs and PPCPs removal

Suresh C. Pillai, Institute of Technology Sligo, Nanotechnology and Bio-Engineering Research Group, Sligo, Ireland

Nanomaterials, Advanced Oxidation Process, Energy materials, Photocatalysis, Supercapacitors, Hydrogen, Materials for Energy and Environmental science, Electrocatalysis, Electrochemistry, Photofenton process, Aerogels, Microwave chemistry, Sonochemical processing, Batteries and electrode materials.

Stefania Specchia, Polytechnic of Turin Department of Applied Science and Technology, Torino, Italy

PEMFC/DMFC/AEMFC (water management, multi-physics modeling, electrodes fabrication), Catalytic reactor engineering, Structured catalytic reactors, Fuel processors, Hydrogen production, Process intensification, Catalytic full/partial oxidation of hydrocarbons, Reforming processes, Wastes valorization

Radostina Stoyanova, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Institute of General and Inorganic Chemistry, Sofia, Bulgaria

solid state chemistry, materials for energy storage, lithium ion batteries, sodium ion batteries, electron paramagnetic resonance spectroscopy, structure characterization, intercalation chemistry

Antonio Tricoli, The University of Sydney, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia

Chemical Sensors Biosensors Medical diagnostics, Plasmonic, Optoelectronics, Catalysis, Electrochemistry, Thermochemistry, Electrolysis/Water Splitting, Photoelectrochemistry, CO₂ reduction, Batteries, Super(de)wetting (e.g. super-hydrophilic, -hydrophobic, -omniphobic), Metal Oxides, Transition Metals, Metal-Organic Frameworks, Flame synthesis

Yiu Fai Tsang, The Education University of Hong Kong, New Territories, Hong Kong

Wastewater and sludge treatment, Bioremediation/environmental bioprocesses, Resource recovery from organic waste, Microbial CO₂ fixation, Microfibrils and nanoplastics, Odour pollution control

Yusuke Yamauchi, The University of Queensland School of Chemical Engineering, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia

Nanoarchitected materials; Nanoporous materials; Inorganic materials chemistry; Inorganic synthetic chemistry; Energy and environmental applications

Aiping Yu, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada

Nanomaterials development for polymer composites (thermal management, corrosion) and energy storage/conversion (supercapacitors, batteries, photocatalysts)

Editorial Board

Bengt Andersson, Chalmers University of Technology, Gothenburg, Sweden

Lise Appels, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

anaerobic digestion, pre-treatment, post-treatment, volatile fatty acids, anaerobic fermentation, biomass

Vemuri Balakotaiah

Teresa Bandoz, City University of New York, New York, New York, United States of America

New sorbents and catalysts, Surface characterization, Adsorption/desorption phenomena, Gas separation, Deep desulfurization of fuels, Catalytic photooxidation, Graphite oxide based composite, Gas sensors, Energy storage

Jorge Bedia, Autonomous University of Madrid, Chemical Engineering Department, Madrid, Spain

Carbon materials, Metal organic frameworks (MOFs), Catalysis, Advanced oxidation processes (AOPs), Ionic liquids

Federico Bella, Polytechnic of Turin, Torino, Italy
Solar cells, Batteries, Supercapacitors, Chemometrics, Circular economy, Electrochemical nitrogen reduction

Annemie Bogaerts, University of Antwerp Plasma Lab for Applications in Sustainability and Medicine - ANTwerp, Antwerpen, Belgium
Plasma chemistry, plasma reactor design, plasma-based gas conversion, plasma catalysis, plasma medicine, numerical modeling

Silvana Cardoso, University of Cambridge Department of Chemical Engineering and Biotechnology, Cambridge, United Kingdom
Fluid Mechanics, Environment, Buoyancy, Plumes & Jets, Porous Media

Marta Pazos Currás, University of Vigo, Vigo, Spain

Raf Dewil, KU Leuven Science and Technology Group Department of Chemical Engineering, Heverlee, Belgium

Polycarpos Falaras, Ethniko Kentro Ereunas Physikou Epistemon 'Demokritos', Athens, Greece
Functional molecular materials; Nanostructured semiconductors; Water purification; Photocatalytic reactors; Third generation solar cells

Maohong Fan, University of Wyoming School of Energy Resources, Laramie, Wyoming, United States of America

Pilar Fernández-Ibañez, Ulster University Engineering Research Institute, Newtownabbey, United Kingdom

María Elena Gálvez, Sorbonne University Faculty of Science and Engineering, Paris, France
Process intensification, plasma-catalysis, CO₂ utilization, thermochemistry, solar fuels

Jorge Gascon, King Abdullah University of Science and Technology, Thuwal, Saudi Arabia

Anett Georgi, Helmholtz-Centre for Environmental Research - UFZ, Leipzig, Germany
Advanced oxidation processes, water treatment and groundwater remediation, PFAS (poly- and perfluorinated alkyl substances) - fate and remediation, environmental catalysis, advanced adsorption and electrosorption strategies

Antoine Ghauch, American University of Beirut, Beirut, Lebanon
Advanced Oxidation Technologies; Effluents Decontamination; Catalysis; Instrumental Analysis; Spectroscopy;

Lauren Greenlee, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, United States of America

Hans Kuipers, University of Technology Eindhoven Department of Chemical Engineering and Chemistry, Eindhoven, Netherlands
Multiphase Reactors, Multiphase Flow, Computational Fluid Dynamics, Multi-Scale Modelling

Gianluca Li Puma, Loughborough University Department of Chemical Engineering, Loughborough, United Kingdom
Photocatalysis, Environmental nanocatalysis, Advanced oxidation processes, Environmental remediation, Solar energy conversion and Photoreaction engineering.

Heng Liang, Harbin Institute of Technology, School of Environment, Harbin, China
Membrane-based water treatment process, Membrane fouling, Drinking water treatment, Water reuse, Advanced oxidation

Jun Ma, Harbin Institute of Technology School of Municipal and Environmental Engineering, Haerbin, China

Dionisis Mantzavinos, University of Patras Department of Chemical Engineering, Patras, Greece
Environmental catalysis; wastewater engineering; advanced oxidation processes; biological processes; process integration; reaction engineering; emerging micro-pollutants; waste valorization

Malikarjuna N. Nadagouda, National Risk Management Research Laboratory, Cincinnati, Ohio, United States of America
Nanotechnology, Green Chemistry, Water Research, Polymer Chemistry, Materials Chemistry

Alexander Orlov, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, New York, United States of America
Environmental Catalysis, Materials Science, Environmental Engineering, Environmental Nanotechnology, Physical and Environmental Chemistry

Covadonga Pevida, Carbon Science and Technology Institute, Oviedo, Spain
Biomass utilization, CO₂ capture, gas separation by adsorption processes, renewable H₂ production, bioenergy

Xie Quan, Dalian University of Technology School of Environmental Science and Technology, Dalian, China
Advanced oxidation technologies(AOTs), Functional materials for environmental application, Electrocatalysis, Photocatalysis, Membrane separation

Zhiyong Jason Ren, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey, United States of America
Water resource recovery, Wastewater treatment, Microbial electrochemistry, Functional membranes

Alirio Rodrigues, University of Porto, Porto, Portugal
Cyclic adsorption/reaction processes, Perfume Engineering, Lignin valorization, CO₂ capture and utilization, Modeling and simulation

Vicente Rodriguez Gonzalez, Potosi Institute of Scientific and Technological Research, San Luis Potosi, Mexico

Photo-inactivation, Agricultural photocatalysis, H₂ production, Hydrothermal method, Microwave synthesis

Geoff STEVENS, The University of Melbourne Department of Chemical Engineering, Parkville, Victoria, Australia
Separation Processes, Solvent Extraction, Ion Exchange

Andreas Seidel-Morgenstern, Otto von Guericke University, Magdeburg, Germany
Reaction Engineering, Forced Dynamic Operation, Chromatography, Crystallization

Mahadevan Surianarayanan, Central Leather Research Institute CSIR, Chennai, India
Environmental remediation/degradation of toxic chemicals, Membrane bioreactors for the treatment or separation of toxic/industrial effluents, Chemical process safety, Bioprocess monitoring and control through metabolic heats.

Maria Elizabete Jorge Vieira da Costa, University of Aveiro, Aveiro, Portugal

Stanisław Waclawek, Institute for Nanomaterials Advanced Technology and Innovation, Liberec, Czechia
AOPs; nanomaterials; green chemistry; catalysis

Laurence Russell Weatherley, The University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas, United States of America
Process intensification, Liquid-Liquid systems, Ion Exchange, Biocatalysis, Phase transfer catalysis

Ruiyang (Ray) Xiao, Central South University, Changsha, China
Advanced oxidation processes, Radical chemistry, Computational chemistry, Environmental modelling

Pai Xu, The University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, New Mexico, United States of America

Rong Xu, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore, Singapore
Catalysis, nanomaterial, energy, water treatment

Xing-Gui Zhou, East China University of Science and Technology, Shanghai, China




SJR

Scimago Journal & Country Rank

Enter Journal Title, ISSN or Publisher Name

[Home](#)[Journal Rankings](#)[Country Rankings](#)[Viz Tools](#)[Help](#)[About Us](#)

Chemical Engineering Journal

COUNTRY	SUBJECT AREA AND CATEGORY	PUBLISHER	H-INDEX
<p>Netherlands</p> 	<p>Chemical Engineering</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Chemical Engineering (miscellaneous) <p>Chemistry</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Chemistry (miscellaneous) <p>Engineering</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Industrial and Manufacturing Engineering <p>Environmental Science</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Environmental Chemistry 	Elsevier	198
PUBLICATION TYPE	ISSN	COVERAGE	INFORMATION
Journals	13858947	1971, 1973-1977, 1979, 1983, 1988, 1992-2020	<p>Homepage</p> <p>How to publish in this journal</p> <p>Contact</p>

SCOPE

The Chemical Engineering Journal focuses upon three aspects of chemical engineering: chemical reaction engineering, environmental chemical engineering, and materials synthesis and processing. The Chemical Engineering Journal is an international research journal and invites contributions of original and novel fundamental research. The journal aims to provide an international forum for the presentation of original fundamental research, interpretative reviews and discussion of new developments in chemical engineering. Papers which describe novel theory and its application to practice are welcome, as are those which illustrate the transfer of techniques from other disciplines. Reports of carefully executed experimental work, which is soundly interpreted are also welcome. The overall focus is on original and rigorous research results which have generic significance.







 [Join the conversation about this journal](#)



Quartiles



FIND SIMILAR JOURNALS ?

<p>1 Journal of the Taiwan Institute of Chemical TWN</p> <p>68% similarity</p>	<p>2 Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering GBR</p> <p>65% similarity</p>	<p>3 Journal of Hazardous Materials NLD</p> <p>62% similarity</p>	<p>4 Xiandai Hua Chemical In CHN</p> <p>5 s</p>
--	--	---	---





← Show this widget in your own website

Just copy the code below and paste within your html code:

```
<a href="https://www.scimaç
```

Metrics based on Scopus® data as of April 2020

S

Samariddin Majidov 1 year ago

Study of the physicochemical and physicomechanical properties of superplastic concretes of a new generation based on local raw materials

S.R.Mazhidov

Tashkent Institute of Architecture and Construction, Department of Building Materials and Chemistry, Tashkent city of the Republic of Uzbekistan

ABSTRACT: A new generation superplasticizer based on local raw materials is the study of the newest concrete structure and the development of innovative technologies. The scientific significance of the research results is determined by the method of obtaining a highly effective superplasticizer, determined by the polymer change in the country and the optimal synthesis conditions based on polycarboxylates, and the law of increasing the plasticizing activity of complex additives can be used to obtain new plastic additives. The practical significance of the work is manifested in the definition of a superplasticizer, which can be used as a superplasticizer as a dispersant of the mineral suspension in the regulation of the rheological properties of concrete mixtures. This will increase the resistance of cement, reduce cement consumption by 10-15% and reduce the import of superplasticizer for concrete and concrete products.

KEY WORDS: Complex chemical additive, small and large fillers, superplasticizing additives, physical and chemical properties of concrete, stability and deformability.

I. INTRODUCTION

The relevance and relevance of the topic of the thesis. In the world in the field of construction is increasing the share of using new types of environmentally friendly materials, the use of efficient energy-saving technologies. In particular, in developed countries such as the USA, Germany, and Japan, certain successes have been achieved in the creation and production of new building materials, and on this basis the improvement of the physical condition of buildings and structures, and all this is very important in the construction of buildings and structures since their strength



Source details

Chemical Engineering Journal

See also: Biochemical Engineering Journal

Formerly known as: Chemical Engineering Journal and the Biochemical Engineering Journal

Scopus coverage years: 1975, 1979, from 1996 to Present

Publisher: Elsevier

ISSN: 1385-8947

Subject area: Engineering: Industrial and Manufacturing Engineering Chemical Engineering: General Chemical Engineering
Environmental Science: Environmental Chemistry Chemistry: General Chemistry

CiteScore 2019
15.2



SJR 2019
2.315



SNIP 2019
2.177

[View all documents >](#)[Set document alert](#)[Save to source list](#) [Source Homepage](#)

[CiteScore](#) [CiteScore rank & trend](#) [Scopus content coverage](#)

i Improved CiteScore methodology



CiteScore 2019 counts the citations received in 2016-2019 to articles, reviews, conference papers, book chapters and data papers published in 2016-2019, and divides this by the number of publications published in 2016-2019. [Learn more >](#)

CiteScore 2019

$$15.2 = \frac{124,041 \text{ Citations } 2016 - 2019}{8,177 \text{ Documents } 2016 - 2019}$$

Calculated on 06 May, 2020

CiteScoreTracker 2020

$$17.2 = \frac{177,160 \text{ Citations to date}}{10,307 \text{ Documents to date}}$$

Last updated on 02 March, 2021 • Updated monthly

CiteScore rank 2019

Category	Rank	Percentile
Engineering		
Industrial and Manufacturing Engineering	#2/340	99th
Chemical Engineering		
General Chemical Engineering	#9/281	96th

[View CiteScore methodology >](#) [CiteScore FAQ >](#) [Add CiteScore to your site](#)

About Scopus

- [What is Scopus](#)
- [Content coverage](#)
- [Scopus blog](#)
- [Scopus API](#)
- [Privacy matters](#)

Language

- [日本語に切り替える](#)
- [切换到简体中文](#)
- [切换到繁體中文](#)
- [Русский язык](#)

Customer Service

- [Help](#)
- [Contact us](#)

Journal Impact (/)



Enter journal title, issn or abbr in this box to search

Chemical Engineering Journal

Journal Abbreviation: CHEM ENG J

Journal ISSN: 1385-8947

About Chemical Engineering Journal

The Chemical Engineering Journal focuses upon three aspects of chemical engineering: chemical reaction engineering, environmental chemical engineering, and materials synthesis and processing. The Chemical Engineering Journal is an international research journal and invites contributions of original and novel fundamental research. The journal aims to provide an international forum for the presentation of original fundamental research, interpretative reviews and discussion of new developments in chemical engineering. Papers which describe novel theory and its application to practice are welcome, as are those which illustrate the transfer of techniques from other disciplines.

Year	Bioxbio Journal Impact*	IF	Total Articles	Total Cites
2019/2020	-	10.652	2508	129806
2018	-	8.355	2148	95055
2017	-	6.735	1944	73657
2016	-	6.216	1632	60192
2015	-	5.310	1464	45630
2014	-	4.321	1255	34076
2013	-	4.058	1312	26021
2012	-	3.473	1325	18643
2011	-	3.461	1186	14238
2010	-	3.074	805	9962



ScienceDirect



Chemical Engineering Journal

Supports *open access*

[Latest issue](#)[All issues](#)

Search in this journal

Volume 174, Issues 2–3

Pages 495-718 (1 November 2011)

[Download full issue](#)

[← Previous vol/issue](#)[Next vol/issue >](#)

Receive an update when the latest issues in this journal are published

[Sign in to set up alerts](#)

[Full text access](#)

[Editorial Board](#)

[Page CO2](#)

[Download PDF](#)

Research article Abstract only

Improved performance of PDMS/ceramic composite pervaporation membranes by ZSM-5 homogeneously dispersed in PDMS via a surface graft/coating approach

Gongping Liu, Fenjuan Xiangli, Wang Wei, Sainan Liu, Wanqin Jin

Pages 495-503

[↓ Purchase PDF](#) Article preview

Research article Abstract only

Radiolysis of acetic acid aqueous solutions—Effect of pH and persulfate addition

Justine Criquet, Nathalie Karpel Vel Leitner

Pages 504-509

[↓ Purchase PDF](#) Article preview

Research article Abstract only

Investigation of macroporous weakly basic anion exchangers applicability in palladium(II) removal from acidic solutions – batch and column studies

A. Wołowicz, Z. Hubicki

Pages 510-521

[↓ Purchase PDF](#) Article preview

Research article Abstract only

Enantiomeric resolution of tryptophan via stereoselective binding in an ion-exchange membrane partitioned free flow isoelectric focusing system

Zhengzhong Zhou, Jiu-Hua Cheng, Tai-Shung Chung, T. Alan Hatton, ... Shoji Tamai

Pages 522-529

[↓ Purchase PDF](#) Article preview

Research article Abstract only

Visible light assisted photocatalytic mineralization of Reactive Red 180 using colloidal TiO₂ and oxone

Selma K. Kuriechen, S. Murugesan, Samuel Paul Raj, P. Maruthamuthu

Pages 530-538

[↓ Purchase PDF](#) Article preview

Research article Abstract only

Coaxial WO₃/TiO₂ nanotubes/nanorods with high visible light activity for the photodegradation of 2,3-dichlorophenol

En-long Yang, Jing-jing Shi, Hai-chao Liang, Wah-kit Cheuk

Pages 539-545

[Purchase PDF](#) Article preview

Research article Abstract only

Semi-conducting poly(1-naphthylamine) nanotubes: A pH independent adsorbent of sulphonate dyes

Ufana Riaz, S.M. Ashraf

Pages 546-555

[Purchase PDF](#) Article preview

Research article Abstract only

Recovery of Au(III) by using low cost adsorbent prepared from persimmon tannin extract

Manju Gurung, Birendra Babu Adhikari, Hidetaka Kawakita, Keisuke Ohto, ... Shafiq Alam

Pages 556-563

[Purchase PDF](#) Article preview

Research article Abstract only

The one-stage autothermal thermophilic aerobic digestion for sewage sludge treatment

Shugen Liu, Nanwen Zhu, Loretta Y. Li

Pages 564-570

[Purchase PDF](#) Article preview

Research article Abstract only

Sorptive removal of β -blocker propranolol from aqueous solution by modified attapulgite: Effect factors and sorption mechanisms

Yuehua Deng, Fan Wu, Benzhi Liu, Xiaobin Hu, Cheng Sun

Pages 571-578

[Purchase PDF](#) Article preview

Research article Abstract only

Removal of Reactive Red 198 from aqueous solution by *Potamogeton crispus*

Osman Gulnaz, Aida Sahmurova, Suayip Kama

Pages 579-585

[Purchase PDF](#) Article preview 

Research article Abstract only

Enhanced and selective adsorption of copper(II) ions on surface carboxymethylated chitosan hydrogel beads

Han Yan, Jie Dai, Zhen Yang, Hu Yang, Rongshi Cheng

Pages 586-594

[Purchase PDF](#) Article preview 

Research article Abstract only

Evaluating the photodegradation of Carbamazepine in a sequential batch photoreactor system: Impacts of effluent organic matter and inorganic ions

Meng Nan Chong, Bo Jin, Giuseppe Laera, Christopher P. Saint

Pages 595-602

[Purchase PDF](#) Article preview 

Research article Abstract only

Mechanism of acridine orange removal from water by low-charge swelling clays

Guocheng Lv, Zhaohui Li, Wei-Teh Jiang, Po-Hsiang Chang, ... Kao-Hung Lin

Pages 603-611

[Purchase PDF](#) Article preview 

Research article Abstract only

Anodic oxidation of a simulated effluent containing Reactive Blue 19 on a boron-doped diamond electrode

Elisabetta Petrucci, Daniele Montanaro

Pages 612-618

[Purchase PDF](#) Article preview 

Research article Abstract only

Removal of lead from solution by combination of natural zeolite–kaolin–bentonite as a new low-cost adsorbent

A. Salem, R. Akbari Sene

Pages 619-628

[Purchase PDF](#) Article preview 

Research article Full text access

Triclosan decomposition by sulfate radicals: Effects of oxidant and metal doses

Prince Nfodzo, Hyeok Choi

Pages 629-634

[Download PDF](#) Article preview 

Research article Abstract only

A simulation-based integrated approach to optimize the biological nutrient removal process in a full-scale wastewater treatment plant

Fang Fang, Bingjie Ni, Wenwei Li, Guoping Sheng, Hanqing Yu

Pages 635-643

[Purchase PDF](#) Article preview 

Research article Abstract only

Use of different residues for high temperature desulphurisation of gasification gas

G. García, E. Cascarosa, J. Ábrego, A. Gonzalo, J.L. Sánchez

Pages 644-651

[Purchase PDF](#) Article preview 

Chemical Reaction Engineering

Research article Abstract only

Residence time distribution in two-phase flow mini-channel reactor

Haiguang Zhang, Shengwei Tang, Bin Liang

Pages 652-659

[Purchase PDF](#) Article preview 

Research article Abstract only

Kinetic modelling of dimethyl ether synthesis from (H₂ + CO₂) by considering catalyst deactivation

Javier Ereña, Irene Sierra, Andrés T. Aguayo, Ainara Ateka, ... Javier Bilbao

Pages 660-667

[Purchase PDF](#) Article preview 

Research article Abstract only

Synthesis of monoglyceride through glycerol esterification with lauric acid over propyl sulfonic acid post-synthesis functionalized SBA-15 mesoporous catalyst

Lilis Hermida, Ahmad Zuhairi Abdullah, Abdul Rahman Mohamed

Pages 668-676

[Purchase PDF](#) Article preview

Materials Synthesis Processing

Research article Abstract only

Mechanical activation and gasless explosion: Nanostructural aspects

Alexander S. Mukasyan, Boris B. Khina, Robert V. Reeves, Steven F. Son

Pages 677-686

[Purchase PDF](#) Article preview

Research article Abstract only

The effect of iron oxide on the formation of boron nitride nanotubes

Juan Li, Hong Lin, Yongjun Chen, Qiaoqiao Su, Qimeng Huang

Pages 687-692

[Purchase PDF](#) Article preview

Research article Abstract only

Selective immobilization of carbon micro coils on patterned substrates and their electrochemical behavior on ITO substrate

Prashanta Dhoj Adhikari, Toyoko Imae, Seiji Motojima

Pages 693-698

[Purchase PDF](#) Article preview

Research article Abstract only

Development of a technology to produce monodispersed microparticles based on the formation of drops from viscous non-Newtonian liquids sprayed through a fan jet nozzle

José M. Cerveró, José Nogareda, Eva M. Martín del Valle, Miguel A. Galán

Pages 699-708

[Purchase PDF](#) Article preview

Research article Abstract only

Enhancing the photocatalytic activity of TiO₂ nanocrystalline thin film by doping with SiO₂

E. Rahmani, A. Ahmadpour, M. Zebarjad

Pages 709-713

[Purchase PDF](#) [Article preview](#)

Short Communication

Short communication Abstract only

Ballast waters treatment using UV/Ag–TiO₂ + O₃ advanced oxidation process with *Escherichia coli* and *Vibrio alginolyticus* as indicator microorganisms

Donghai Wu, Hong You, Ran Zhang, Chuan Chen, Duu-Jong Lee

Pages 714-718

[Purchase PDF](#) [Article preview](#)

[< Previous vol/issue](#)

[Next vol/issue >](#)

ISSN: 1385-8947

Copyright © 2020 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved



[About ScienceDirect](#)

[Remote access](#)

[Shopping cart](#)

[Advertise](#)

[Contact and support](#)

[Terms and conditions](#)

[Privacy policy](#)



Synthesis of monoglyceride through glycerol esterification with lauric acid over propyl sulfonic acid post-synthesis functionalized SBA-15 mesoporous catalyst

Lilis Hermida^{a,b}, Ahmad Zuhairi Abdullah^{a,*}, Abdul Rahman Mohamed^a

^a School of Chemical Engineering, Universiti Sains Malaysia, 14300 Nibong Tebal, Penang, Malaysia

^b Department of Chemical Engineering, Universitas Lampung, Bandar Lampung 35145, Lampung, Indonesia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 11 May 2011

Received in revised form

12 September 2011

Accepted 14 September 2011

Keywords:

HSO₃SBA-15

Post synthesis grafting

Glycerol monolaurate

Reusable catalyst

Reaction mechanism

Kinetic

ABSTRACT

Monoglyceride synthesis through glycerol esterification with lauric acid over a propyl sulfonic acid functionalized SBA-15 mesoporous catalyst (HSO₃SBA-15) was studied under reduced pressure to continuously remove water formed. Effects of various reaction parameters such as reaction temperature (413–433 K), catalyst loading (1–5%) and glycerol/lauric acid molar ratio (2:1 and 4:1) on lauric acid conversion and products selectivity were successfully elucidated and correlated with reaction scheme and kinetic model. The parent and functionalized SBA-15 were characterized using FT-IR, ammonia chemisorptions, surface analysis, TEM and SEM. Reusability behavior of the catalyst was also demonstrated. Glycerol esterification could be modeled as irreversible parallel reactions and the kinetic data were successfully fitted to a second order kinetic model. The apparent activation energy for monoglyceride formation using a catalyst loading of 5% and glycerol/lauric acid molar ratio of 4:1 was found to be 42 kJ/mol. The HSO₃SBA-15 catalyst could be reused up to four times without significant loss of catalytic activity.

© 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Monoglyceride has various applications in food products, cosmetics, pharmaceutical formulations, drug delivery systems, oil well drilling operations, etc. [1,2]. Synthesis of monoglyceride through glycerol transesterification with oleic acid methyl ester using solid basic catalysts in solvent free condition was found to be favourable at an elevated temperature (473 K) over rehydrated Al–Mg mixed oxides and Al–Li mixed oxides catalyst that had Bronsted basic sites and Lewis basic sites, respectively [3]. Meanwhile, glycerol transesterification with lauric acid methyl ester over montmorillonite intercalated with lithium hydroxide (LiK10) catalyst and in the presence of tetramethylammonium hydroxide (TMAOH) was recently reported to show excellent performance at a relatively lower temperature (403 K) [4]. However, the use of organic solvent will result in an extra step for its separation during product purification process. Residual solvent content in the product will also limit the application of the monoglyceride, especially when food products are considered [5–7]. Glycerol esterifications with fatty acids at low temperature in solvent free condition using solid acid catalysts such as acidic resins, conventional zeolites, and sulfated iron oxide were reported to be unfavourable for the reaction due to small pore diameters of less than 8 Å [8,9].

To accelerate the reaction involving bulky molecules such as fatty acids, effective solid acids having pore sizes between 20 and 100 Å are required [10,11]. HSO₃SBA-15 catalyst with a pore size of 67 Å synthesized via post synthesis route was found to be an active solid acid catalyst for monoglyceride formation through the glycerol esterification with lauric acid [12]. However, no sufficient information has been reported on the influence of important process variables, reaction pathway and kinetic model on the product formations in the esterification process. As such, a study on these aspects is deemed necessary for the purpose of advancement of knowledge in this research area.

Reaction pathway is essential to be used for constructing a kinetic model that can be applied to predict the changes in amount of specific product obtained during a reaction process. In order to obtain a better understanding of the reaction pathway, several researchers have undertaken various studies on glycerol esterification with different fatty acids and solid acid catalysts to produce monoglycerides [9,13–15]. Based on their observations, it can be concluded that the reaction pathways of glycerol esterification with fatty acid could be modeled either as consecutive reactions (Fig. 1) following the first order kinetic model with respect to glycerol or as irreversible parallel reactions (Fig. 2) following second order kinetic model with respect to fatty acid and glycerol. The reaction orders reported in the open literatures can be summarized in Table 1.

In the present work, glycerol esterification with lauric acid over the HSO₃SBA-15 is reported. The main focus is to investigate the effect of temperature, glycerol/lauric acid molar ratio and catalyst

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +60 4 599 6411; fax: +60 4 594 1013.

E-mail addresses: chzuhairi@eng.usm.my, azuhairi@yahoo.com (A.Z. Abdullah).




Fig. 1. Consecutive reactions of the glycerol esterification: (a) as proposed by Szlag and Zwierzykowski [13] and, (b) as proposed by Macierzanca and Szlag [14].




Fig. 2. Irreversible parallel reactions for glycerol esterification with fatty acid as adopted from Sanchez et al. [15].

loading on the products selectivity to gain better understanding of reaction pathway to eventually build a kinetic model for mono-glyceride formation. The work also includes model verification, determination of the apparent activation energy of the reaction and reusability study of the catalyst.

2. Experimental

2.1. Catalyst preparation and characterization

SBA-15 mesoporous material was synthesized in the presence of Pluronic P123 which is a triblock co-polymer with a molecular formula of HO(CH₂CH₂O)₂₀(CH₂CH(CH₃)O)₇₀(CH₂CH₂O)₂₀H

and a molecular weight of 5800 as the structure-directing agent. After that, the SBA-15 mesoporous material was functionalized with propylsulfonic acid by refluxing 2 g of SBA-15 materials with 2 mL of 3-mercaptopropyl trimethoxysilane (MPTMS). Then, soxhlet extraction was carried out for 20 h, followed by mild oxidation using H₂O₂ to form HSO₃SBA-15. The preparation methods of SBA-15 material and HSO₃SBA-15 catalyst were thoroughly described in a previously published article [15]. The parent SBA-15 mesoporous material and HSO₃SBA-15 catalyst were then characterized using FT-IR spectroscopy, pulse chemisorption followed by temperature programmed desorption (TPD) of NH₃, surface area analysis, TEM analysis and SEM analysis. Meanwhile, Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectrum was used to detect the presence of organosulfonic acid group which was grafted on SBA-15 mesoporous material. The FT-IR spectroscopy was performed using a Perkin Elmer 2000 Fourier transformed infrared (FT-IR) system.

Acidity characterization of the catalysts involved pulse chemisorption of ammonia followed by its temperature-programmed desorption (TPD) using micromeritics Autochem II 2920 instrument. The sample was first activated by heating to 150 °C in helium for 2 h, and then cooled down to 127 °C. During the ammonia chemisorption step, 5 injections of ammonia were dosed onto the sample (to ensure the sample was saturated). After saturation, the temperature was subsequently increased from 127 to 427 °C to perform the TPD of NH₃ analysis.

Pore size distribution was obtained from N₂ adsorption-desorption isotherms of the prepared SBA-15 and HSO₃SBA-15 samples. The N₂ adsorption-desorption measurements were performed using a Quantachrome Autosorb-1 equipment. Prior to the experiment, the samples were degassed ($P < 10^{-1}$ Pa) at 270 °C for 6 h. Surface area was calculated using the BET method (S_{BET}) and pore size distribution was determined using the Barrett-Joyner-Halenda (BJH) model applied to the desorption branch of the isotherm. The micropore area (S_{μ}) was estimated using the correlation of t -Harkins and Jura (t -plot method).

The TEM images were obtained using Philips CM 12 transmission electron microscope. The sample of about 0.08 g was first dispersed in 5 ml ethanol. Then, the solution was vigorously shaken for a while. Subsequently, a small amount of the solution was taken

Table 1

Summary of published kinetic models for glycerol esterification with fatty acids reaction over various solid acid catalysts.

Type of catalysts	Fatty acids (FA)	G/FA ^a molar ratio	Reaction pathways	Rate reaction expression ^b	Refs.
Na or K soaps	Lauric acidMyristic acidPalmitic acidStearic acid	1:1	Consecutive reactions	$-r_G = k_1 C_G r_{Mono} = k_1 C_G - k_2 C_{Mono}$	[13]
Zinc carboxylates	Lauric acidMyristic acidPalmitic acidStearic acid	1:1	Consecutive reactions	$-r_G = k_1 C_G r_{Mono} = k_1 C_G - k_2 C_{Mono}$	[14]
Sulfated iron oxide	Oleic acid	1:1	Parallel reactions	$-r_{FA} = -(1/W)(dC_{FA}/dt) = k_1 C_G C_{FA}$	[9]
Zeolite (faujasite)	Oleic acid	1:3, 1:1 and 3:1	Parallel reactions	$-r_{FA} = -(1/W)(dC_{FA}/dt) = k_1 C_G C_{FA}$	[15]

^a G/FA = glycerol/fatty acid molar ratio.

^b $-r$ = rate of reaction, C_x = concentration of reactant x, k_1 = rate constant for forward reaction, k_2 = rate constant for reverse reaction, W = weight of catalyst.

Table 2
Experimental conditions used for the kinetic study.

Variable	Value
Catalyst concentration with respect to reactants (wt.%)	1, 3, 5
Glycerol/fatty acid molar ratio	2:1, 4:1
Operating pressure (cm Hg)	50.8

by using a micro pipette and dropped on a metal grid for the analysis. Meanwhile, scanning electron microscopy (SEM) imaging was performed using a Leo Supra scanning electron microscope (model 35 VP-24-58) at 3.00 kV. The SEM images were taken directly from these samples without altering or coating.

2.2. Esterification of glycerol with lauric acid

The glycerol esterification processes were usually carried out under continuous water removal. Water removal during esterification is needed as it is formed as a side product and will inhibit the activity of a solid acid catalyst. It can also promote reverse reaction. Besides, esterification is an equilibrium limited reaction in which full conversion can only be achieved when one of the products is removed [16]. In this study, esterification of glycerol with lauric acid using different HSO₃SBA-15 catalyst loadings, lauric acid/glycerol molar ratios and reaction temperatures was carried out in a batch system with continuous removal of water by working at a low pressure of 50.8 cm Hg and without the use of any solvent.

Typically, the esterification was carried out in a two-necked flask reactor equipped with a stirrer, a thermometer, a tube connected with a vacuum pump and a tube for sampling. The reactants i.e. lauric acid, glycerol as well as the catalyst were first added to the reactor. Before any experiment was started, the system was flushed with nitrogen to create inert environment. The reaction mixture was then heated to a certain temperature under a reduced pressure of 50.8 cm Hg. Stirring at 750 rpm was then started and the reactants were stirred for up to 7 h. Summary of the experimental conditions is given in Table 2.

2.3. Reusability study

In the reusability study of the HSO₃SBA-15 catalyst, 5 wt.% of fresh catalyst with respect to the initial reactants was used for the reaction performed at 433 K for 6 h and the glycerol/lauric acid molar ratio was set at 4:1. After the experiment, the catalyst was filtered from the catalytic reaction mixture, successively washed with toluene and acetone and then dried in an oven at 373 K. Then, the catalyst was reused for the subsequent esterification runs using the same reaction conditions. Such reusability experiments were repeated for 4 times and the activity was measured based on conversion of lauric acid and selectivity to monoglyceride.

2.4. Product analysis

Mono-, di- and triglycerides were analyzed based on gas chromatography method using Agilent 7820A and with a capillary column (15 m × 0.32 mm × 0.10 μm CP Sil 5CB). The analysis method was adopted from a published work [17]. The detector and injector temperatures were 380 °C and 250 °C, respectively. The column temperature was set at 80 °C for 1 min and was then programmed at 15 °C/min to 330 °C, which was then maintained constant for 2 min. 100 μL of sample was then transferred from the reactor into a sample vial containing 100 μL of water and 100 μL of methyl acetate. Next, the mixture was vortexed and the organic phase was separated by means of centrifugation. 20 μL organic phase was then dissolved in 480 μL acetone and 100 μL of 0.2 M pentadecanoic acid as the internal standard. The sample was then




Fig. 3. NH₃ temperature-programmed desorption profile of HSO₃SBA-15 catalyst.

directly injected into the gas chromatograph. Conversion was calculated based on the amount of lauric acid converted in the reaction leading to the formation of mono-, di-, and triglycerides. Meanwhile, the selectivity of monoglyceride, diglyceride and triglyceride were expressed based on the ratio of the ester to all the reaction products (corrected by the reaction coefficients), as given in Eqs. (1), (2) and (3) [7];

$$S_M(\%) = \frac{C_{\text{Monoglyceride}}}{C_{\text{Monoglyceride}} + 2C_{\text{Diglyceride}} + 3C_{\text{Triglyceride}}} \times 100 \quad (1)$$

$$S_D(\%) = \frac{2C_{\text{Diglyceride}}}{C_{\text{Monoglyceride}} + 2C_{\text{Diglyceride}} + 3C_{\text{Triglyceride}}} \times 100 \quad (2)$$

$$S_T(\%) = \frac{3C_{\text{Triglyceride}}}{C_{\text{Monoglyceride}} + 2C_{\text{Diglyceride}} + 3C_{\text{Triglyceride}}} \times 100 \quad (3)$$

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Characterization of the SBA-15 and HSO₃SBA-15 catalysts

The evidence that the functionalized SBA-15 material indeed contained propyl sulfonic group was provided by FT-IR spectrum analysis as explained in detail in our article [12]. Sulfonic acid silicas are a class of solid Bronsted acid catalyst that contains tethered organo-sulfonic acid groups [18,19]. Acidity analysis of the parent SBA-15 and the catalyst using pulse chemisorptions and TPD of NH₃ in the region of 127–427 °C confirmed that the HSO₃SBA-15 catalyst contained weak and medium Bronsted acid sites with an overall concentration of 42 μmol/g. NH₃-TPD profile of the catalyst is presented in Fig. 3. The locations of the two peaks are in agreement with results reported by other researchers [19]. They performed acid sites analysis in the temperature range from 50 to 527 °C and found out that the HSO₃SBA-15 catalyst showed two peaks of acid sites i.e. weak and medium acid sites in the NH₃-TPD curves related to the desorption of NH₃ on the region of 127–427 °C. At higher temperature (427–527 °C), the propyl sulfonic groups began to decompose. Meanwhile, the amount of acid sites in the SBA-15 without acid functionalization was not detected, to the confirmation that SBA-15 is indeed a neutral material.

The surface area analysis results of SBA-15 and HSO₃SBA-15 are shown in Table 3. SBA-15 was found to be a mesoporous material with a surface area of 542 m²/g and an average pore size diameter of 59 Å. After the post-synthesis functionalization, it was found that HSO₃SBA-15 catalyst had a surface area of 607 m²/g

Table 3
Surface analysis results of SBA-15 and HSO₃SBA-15 catalyst.

Surface analysis	SBA-15	HSO ₃ SBA-15
Total surface area ^a (m ² /g)	542	607
Total pore volume (cm ³ /g)	0.795	1.018
Micro pore area ^b (m ² /g)	149	79
Meso pore area (m ² /g)	393	528
Average pore size (Å)	59	67

^a Using the BET equation (S_{BET}).

^b Using the correlation of *t*-Harkins and Jura (*t*-plot method)

and an average pore diameter of 67 Å that were larger compared to those of the parent SBA-15 material. The increase in the pore size after the functionalization indicated that the preparation conditions used allowed the enlargement of mesoporous structure of the SBA-15 and grafting of sulfonic acid groups on the mesoporous surface at the same time [20]. Besides, the mesopore area of the catalyst (528 m²/g) was higher than that of the parent SBA-15 material (393 m²/g). Meanwhile, the catalyst had a micro pore area of 79 m²/g that was lower than that of the parent material i.e. 149 m²/g. This finding suggested that the catalyst preparation conditions allowed the restructuring of the hexagonal structures in the HSO₃SBA-15 catalyst. This restructuring resulted in significant disappearance of micropore structures.

Furthermore, evidences that SBA-15 and HSO₃SBA-15 catalyst mainly had mesoporous structures were confirmed by results of N₂ adsorption-desorption measurement and analysis of pore size distributions of the SBA-15 and H SO₃SBA-15 catalysts with the desorption branch using BJH method. As shown in Fig. 4. Fig. 4(a), nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherm curves for the parent SBA-15 and modified SBA-15 exhibited type IV isotherms, implying that they were materials with sufficiently ordered mesostructures [21]. Meanwhile, Fig. 4(b) shows their pore size distributions in which pore size at the maximum peak in SBA-15 and HSO₃SBA-15 in the range of mesopore structures. In addition, Fig. 4(b) confirms that the HSO₃SBA-15 catalyst had more defined pore structure with larger pore size at the maximum peak than that of the parent SBA-15.

TEM and SEM images of SBA-15 and HSO₃SBA-15 catalyst are presented in Fig. 5. TEM images of the parent SBA-15 and the catalyst showed that in general their structures were well-ordered mesoporous material as shown in Fig. 5(a) and (b), respectively. The SEM images of parent SBA-15 and the catalyst presented in Fig. 5(c) and (d), respectively, reveal that their structures had fibrous and porous-type morphology.

3.2. Effects of reaction parameters on the catalyst performance

This section discusses the effect of reaction temperature, catalyst loading and glycerol/lauric acid molar ratio on reaction products. Next, detailed examination on the reaction pathways of glycerol esterification with lauric acid over catalyst is attempted. This leads to the identification of the sequence of the reaction steps. In this work, experimental errors in the measurement of concentration were within ±4%. However, particular focus was only given to general trends in the results rather than specific data points. As such, trend lines were deemed sufficient to show the process behaviors.

3.2.1. Effect of reaction temperature

Considering HSO₃SBA-15 catalyst had an average pore diameter of about 67 Å [12], diffusion limitation had been excluded for the esterification of glycerol with fatty acid [11]. Several catalytic tests were carried out at various reaction temperatures (413 K, 423 K, and 433 K) using a constant glycerol/lauric acid molar ratio of 4:1 and a constant catalyst loading of 5%. The effects of reaction temperature




Fig. 4. N₂ adsorption-desorption isotherm curves: (a) and pore size distribution curves: (b) of SBA-15 and HSO₃SBA-15 catalyst.

on lauric acid conversion and reaction products i.e. monoglyceride, diglyceride and triglyceride yields are shown in Fig. 6. Lauric acid conversion significantly increased with the increase in the reaction temperature in which the conversion increased. For example, it increased from 75% at 413 K to 85% at 423 K then to 95% at 433 K at a reaction time of 7 h. These figure suggested the high potential of the mesoporous catalyst in catalyzing the reaction. This observation also suggested that by increasing the temperature, kinetic energy of glycerol and lauric acid was higher so that the number chemisorptions on the active sites and subsequently effective interaction between the reactant molecules were enhanced [22]. By the presence of acid sites either in the inner or the outer pores of HSO₃SBA-15 catalyst, the higher effective collisions led to the significant increase in lauric acid conversion. The continuous removal of water from the reacting system also helped in improving the conversion as the reverse reaction was suppressed.

The increase in reaction temperature also significantly improved monoglyceride yield, i.e. from 50% at 413 K, to 64% at 423 K, then to 68% at 433 K in the same period of time. However, effect of reaction temperature on other products such as diglyceride and triglyceride was quite minor. This result indicated that the glycerol esterification reaction mainly occurred in the internal mesopores of the catalyst, which favoured the monoglyceride formation. Meanwhile, the formations of diglyceride and triglyceride which are bulkier than monoglyceride were restricted [10,11]. It could also be contributed by steric effects post by the




Fig. 5. TEM images of (a) SBA-15, (b) HSO₃SBA-15, and SEM images of (c) SBA-15 and (d) HSO₃SBA-15.

earlier attached fatty acid end of the monoglyceride and diglyceride molecules.

3.2.2. Effect of catalyst loading

Several catalytic tests were also conducted at 433 K with different HSO₃SBA-15 catalyst loadings (1 wt.%, 3 wt.% and 5 wt.% with respect to the reactants) using a constant temperature of 433 K and a constant glycerol/lauric acid molar ratio of 4:1. Effects of catalyst loading (W) on lauric acid conversion and products of the reactions can be seen in Fig. 7. Results in Fig. 7(a) indicate that when the catalyst loading was increased from 1 wt.% to 3 wt.% at reaction time of 7 h, lauric acid conversion increased from 90% to 95%. This observation suggested that the increase in catalyst loading from 1 wt.% to 3 wt.% increased the number of acid sites available for the reaction as the catalyst had an acid site concentration of 42 μmol/g as confirmed in the characterization results. However, with an increase in the catalyst loading from 3% to 5 wt.%, no further enhancement in the conversion was observed, especially at longer reaction time. This could be attributed to the fact that beyond a certain catalyst loading, there was an excess of catalyst acid sites than actually required by the reactant molecules so that the conversion levelled off [23]. Similar trend was also demonstrated by glycerol transesterification reaction [4] to suggest that the beneficial role of higher catalyst loading was only limited to low reactant conversion.

Fig. 7(b) shows that at a reaction time of 7 h, monoglyceride yields remained virtually stable when the catalyst loading was increased from 1 wt.% to 3 wt.%. These results indicated that the occurrence of reaction in the internal mesopores of HSO₃SBA-15

catalyst did not increase by increasing the catalyst loading from 1 wt.% to 3 wt.%. However, diglyceride yield slightly increased with the increase in the catalyst loading from 1 wt.% to 3 wt.%. This result was attributed to the occurrence of reaction in the external pores of the catalyst so that diglyceride formation was improved. However, if the catalyst loading was increased from 3% to 5 wt.%, monoglyceride yield was found to improve from 60% to 68% while diglyceride yield decreased from 30% to 27% and triglyceride yield was rather stable at low level. This observation indicated that by increasing the catalyst loading from 3% to 5 wt.%, the occurrence of reaction in the internal mesopore of HSO₃SBA-15 catalyst was more frequent rather than in the external pore of the catalyst. As such, the formation of monoglyceride was more favourable rather than that of diglyceride or triglyceride.

3.2.3. Effect of initial glycerol/lauric acid molar ratio

In order to investigate the effect of glycerol/lauric acid molar ratio on the esterification reaction, catalytic tests were also performed on the same catalyst but with different glycerol/lauric acid molar ratios, i.e. 2:1 and 4:1 at 433 K using a constant catalyst load of 5 wt.%. Fig. 8 shows the effect of glycerol/lauric acid molar ratio on the lauric acid conversion and the reaction products. As can be seen in the figure, the effect of glycerol/lauric acid molar ratio on the lauric acid conversion was insignificant when the glycerol/lauric acid molar ratio was increased from 2:1 to 4:1, especially after 3–7 h of reaction. However, for the reaction product, monoglyceride yield significantly increased from 58% to 68%, while diglyceride yield experienced a decrease from 35% to 23%. However, triglyceride yield remained stable at very low level (below 2%).




Fig. 6. Effect of reaction temperature on glycerol esterification using a glycerol/lauric acid molar ratio of 4:1 and a catalyst loading of 5 wt.%.

The increase in monoglyceride yield at higher molar ratio of glycerol to lauric acid was attributed to a higher chance for lauric acid to react with glycerol mainly in the pores of HSO₃SBA-15 mesoporous catalyst to produce and allow the diffusion of monoglyceride. This observation result was in agreement with the glycerol esterification with lauric acid over SO₃HMCM-41 mesoporous catalyst [24]. Meanwhile, Machado et al. [5,6] reported that the increase in glycerol/lauric acid molar ratio resulted in a decrease in monoglyceride yield in glycerol esterification reaction with lauric acid catalyzed by zeolite. This was because the small pore size of zeolite (below 20 Å) retarded the formation and diffusion of bulky molecules such as monoglyceride [10]. As such, the reaction mainly occurred on the external pore of the catalyst that provided larger opportunity for the formation of the bulkier molecules (diglyceride and subsequently triglyceride).

3.3. Reusability of HSO₃SBA-15 catalysts

Catalyst reusability is a crucial aspect in practical monoglyceride production. In the present study, the HSO₃SBA-15 catalyst was tested with respect to the reusability in the glycerol esterification with lauric acid at 433 K for 6 h using the catalyst amount of 5% (with respect to weight of initial reactant). After the catalytic esterification reaction, the used catalyst was recovered by filtering from the reaction mixture, washed with solvent and dried at 100 °C. The catalyst was then reused for another glycerol esterification under the same operating conditions.

As seen in Fig. 9, the HSO₃SBA-15 catalyst showed a rather good activity retention in several cycles of usage. The catalyst retained up to 90% from its initial conversion of 93.7% and up to 68.7%




Fig. 7. Effect of catalyst loading on glycerol esterification using a glycerol/lauric acid molar ratio of 4:1 at 433 K.




Fig. 8. Effect of glycerol/lauric acid molar ratio on glycerol esterification at 433 K using a HSO₃SBA-15 catalyst loading of 5 wt.%.




Fig. 9. Lauric acid conversion and monoglyceride selectivity shown by HSO₃SBA-15 catalyst when used in four successive runs.

from its initial monoglyceride selectivity of 70.2% in the fourth cycle without experiencing significant deactivation. The result was attributed to post synthesis-grafting method applied in this catalyst preparation method leading to covalent attachment of organo sulfonic acid groups to porous silica frameworks without the risk of pore blockage or leaching of the grafted component [25–29]. A minor decrease in lauric acid conversion and monoglyceride selectivity could be attributed to minor deactivation to some active sites (sulfonic acid group) or defects in the mesoporous structure of the catalyst. In this respect, the significant role of small loss in the catalyst amounts used from run 1 to run 4 could be ruled out as catalyst amounts between 3 and 5 wt.% did not pose significant different in the activity as proven by results in Fig. 7. The activity retention of HSO₃SBA-15 in present study was comparable to that reported in the literature [30] utilising similar catalyst for the synthesis of chromenes from chromanol.

3.4. Reaction pathway

To get a better understanding of the reaction pathways of glycerol esterification with lauric acid, formations of reaction products i.e. monoglyceride, diglyceride and triglyceride, as a function of time at different temperatures, catalyst loadings and initial glycerol/lauric acid molar ratios were scrutinized. Monoglyceride, diglyceride and triglyceride yields as functions of reaction time at different temperatures are shown in Fig. 6. The profiles suggest that the reaction between lauric acid and glycerol mainly formed monoglyceride. Maximum monoglyceride yield with the decrease in the monoglyceride yield after reaching a certain maximum point are not observed in the figure. These results indicated that diglyceride was directly produced from the esterification reaction between lauric acid and glycerol and not from the transesterification reaction between monoglyceride and glycerol. The latter reaction is known to be a base-catalyzed reaction [4].

The same explanation was applicable in the case of triglyceride formation in which triglyceride was also directly synthesized from the reaction between lauric acid and glycerol and not from the reaction between diglyceride and glycerol as there was no significant decrease in diglyceride yield as noted in the figure. Thus, the reaction pathways of the esterification of glycerol with lauric acid in this study were deemed to follow irreversible parallel reactions in which reaction mechanism involved a series of glycerol esterification with lauric acid reactions that occurred at the same time to form monoglyceride, diglyceride and triglyceride. It can be concluded from Fig. 6 that the increase in reaction time at different temperatures caused positive effects on monoglyceride, diglyceride and triglyceride formations.

Table 4
Specific rate constants for the second-order kinetic model.

Temperature (K)	Specific rate constant, k_s (L/(mol _{cat} h))
413	0.0046
423	0.0069
433	0.0079

These conclusions were consistent with the products yield plots as shown in Figs. 7 and 8 for different catalyst loadings and for different glycerol/lauric acid molar ratios, respectively. Initially, monoglyceride significantly increased with reaction time until 5 h of reaction. Then the monoglyceride formation would remain stable until a reaction time of 7 h as shown in the figures. While diglyceride and triglyceride yields increased only for the first 2 h, subsequently the yields were found to be stable for reaction times between 2 and 7 h. These observations indicated that lauric acid and glycerol were mainly consumed to form monoglyceride, suggesting that the esterification took place mostly in the internal mesopores rather than in the external pores of the catalyst.

3.5. Kinetic model of monoglyceride formation

As the reaction pathways followed irreversible parallel reactions, the reaction rate was calculated based on second order kinetic model with respect to glycerol and fatty acid. The amounts of lauric acid consumed in the reaction to form monoglyceride at any time were considered to be equal to the amounts of glycerol consumed as given in Eq. (4);

$$C_{G0}X_{G0} = C_{FA0}X_{FA0} \quad (4)$$

$$M = \frac{C_{G0}}{C_{FA0}} \quad (5)$$

If X_M = monoglyceride yield, then;

$$C_G = C_{FA0} - C_{FA0}X_M \quad (6)$$

and,

$$C_{FA} = C_{G0} - C_{FA0}X_M \quad (7)$$

where

- $-r_{FA}$ = rate of reaction, mol/(g_{cat} h L)
- W = catalyst weight, g
- C_G = glycerol concentration, mol/L
- C_{FA} = fatty acid concentration, mol/L
- C_{G0} = initial glycerol concentration, mol/L
- X_{G0} = initial glycerol conversion to monoglyceride
- C_{FA0} = initial fatty acid concentration, mol/L
- X_{FA0} = initial fatty acid conversion to monoglyceride
- M = molar ratio of glycerol to fatty acid
- k = overall rate constant, L/(mol h)
- k_s = specific rate constant, L/(mol h)
- t = time, h

By substituting Eqs. (4)–(7) in the second order kinetic model from Table 1, $-r_{FA} = -(1/W)(dC_{FA}/dt) = k_1 C_G C_{FA}$, an expression in Eq. (8) is obtained;

$$\ln \left\{ \frac{(M - X_M)}{[M(1 - X_M)]} \right\} = C_{FA0} W (M - 1) k t \quad (8)$$

The specific rate constants (k_s) at various temperatures were calculated based on Eq. (8) by applying nonlinear regression methods and the results are summarized in Table 4. The data correctly suggest the increasing trend of the reaction rate constant with reaction temperature. This result indicated that the reaction was more favourable with the increase in temperature as reactant molecules

had higher kinetic energy at the higher temperature. As a result, collisions between reactant molecules in the inner pores of the catalyst were more frequent. This situation would speed up the rate of monoglyceride formation [22]. However, too high temperature (beyond 200 °C) could result in the side reactions leading to degradation of fatty acids as well as the monoglyceride [4].

The activation energy and pre-exponential factor for monoglyceride formation were obtained using an Arrhenius type function by plotting ($\ln k_s$) vs ($1/T$). In this study, the experiment was repeated several times so that odd data could be identified and discarded. Finally, the $\ln(k)$ vs ($1/T$) plot resulted in a linear line with an R^2 value of 0.93 which was regarded as sufficiently high to indicate the accuracy of the model used. The value of activation energy (E) and pre-exponential factor (A) were found to be 10 kcal/mol (42 kJ/mol) and 655 L/(mol g_{cat} h), respectively. The activation energy obtained over the HSO₃SBA-15 catalyst for monoglyceride formation in the glycerol esterification with lauric acid was lower than that obtained over zinc carboxylates catalyst, i.e. 51 kJ/mol, as reported in the literature [14]. This result indicated that the glycerol esterification catalyzed by HSO₃SBA-15 catalyst required a significantly lower energy for monoglyceride formation than that catalyzed by zinc carboxylates. The reaction in this study was also more influenced by an increase in the reaction temperature [4]. The lower activation energy could be attributed to the high surface area and mesoporous structures of HSO₃SBA-15 catalyst which was accessible to bulky molecules of lauric acid to more selectively react with glycerol to produce monoglyceride. Besides, the macropores on the external surface of the catalyst could assist the reaction. It should be borne in mind that the sulfonic acid functionalization was possible on the internal and external surface as this acid group will attach to surface silanols [24].

Furthermore, the activation energy obtained in glycerol esterification with oleic acid for monoglyceride formation was 26.5 kJ/mol over ultrastable NaY-zeolite catalyst containing micro and meso structures [15]. However, the selectivity to monoglyceride was rather poor. This result could be attributed to the small pore size that would pose some geometric constraint to the reactant as well as the product [6]. Meanwhile, superacidity catalysts having much small particle characteristics with sizes below 100 nm, such as sulfated iron oxide, lead oxide, zinc chloride, cobalt chloride and tin chloride gave higher activation energies of 68.2 kJ/mol, 66.8 kJ/mol, 78.8 kJ/mol, 74.0 kJ/mol, and 78.3 kJ/mol, respectively. The same value was reported to be at 89.3 kJ/mol for the reaction without catalyst (fatty acid can act as autocatalyst) [9]. It could therefore be concluded that the presence of solid acid catalysts reduced energy barrier for the monoglyceride production from oleic acid and further reduction could be achieved using a catalyst having mesopore structures as monoglyceride would be favourably formed in the internal pores of the catalyst. This was supported by the characterization results in this study that showed predominately mesopores rather than micropores that contributed to the overall surface area.

With the kinetic parameters obtained, the kinetic model of rate expression for monoglyceride formation in glycerol esterification with lauric acid over HSO₃SBA-15 catalyst was proposed as given in Eq. (9).

$$-r_{FA} = 654.64 \exp\left(\frac{-9702}{RT}\right) C_G C_{FA} \text{ mol}/(\text{L g}_{\text{cat}} \text{ h}) \quad (9)$$

Monoglyceride yields under various conditions as predicted using the kinetic model proposed were found to satisfactorily fit the experimental ones obtained in this study. It clearly shows a good agreement between the model predictions and experimental results under various conditions, with $R^2 = 0.96$. The result evidently showed the sufficient validity of the proposed second order kinetic model to represent the reaction. This result indirectly

validated the assumption that the reaction followed an irreversible parallel reaction mechanism with second order of reaction.

4. Conclusions

Behaviors of glycerol esterification with lauric acid over HSO₃SBA-15 in the temperature range between 413 and 433 K, catalyst loading from 0 to 5% w/w with respect to the reactants, and glycerol/lauric acid molar ratio of 2:1 and 4:1 were successfully investigated in a stirred batch reactor under low pressure. Monoglyceride yield was found to increase with an increase in the temperature, catalyst loading and molar feed ratio. HSO₃SBA-15 catalyst could be repeatedly used for up to four cycles without experiencing significant deactivation. The glycerol esterification reaction pathways were considered to be irreversible parallel reactions for the formation of monoglyceride, diglyceride and triglyceride under these conditions. Thus, second order kinetic model with respect to glycerol and lauric acid gave a better representation of kinetic behavior. The estimated rate constant increased with the increase in temperature to correctly indicate that the reaction was more favourable with the increase in temperature. By using Arrhenius type function, the activation energy for monoglyceride formation through the esterification was found to be 42 kJ/mol (or 10 kcal/mol). Meanwhile, kinetic model of rate of expression was $-r_{FA} = 654.64 \exp(-9702/RT) C_G C_{FA}$ mol/(L g_{cat} h) which could be satisfactorily used to predict the progressive changes in amount of monoglyceride formed during the reaction.

Acknowledgments

The contribution of Prof. Subhash Bhatia in shaping up catalysis research capability at School of Chemical Engineering, Universiti Sains Malaysia needs special acknowledgement. A Short Term and a Research University (RU) grant from Universiti Sains Malaysia to support this work are also gratefully acknowledged.

References

- [1] L. Hermida, Acid functionalization of SBA-15 mesoporous catalyst for glycerol esterification to monoglyceride, MSc thesis, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia, 2010.
- [2] R. Nakamura, K. Komura, Y. Sugi, Catal. Commun. 9 (2008) 511–515.
- [3] A. Corma, S.B.A. Hamid, S. Iborra, Veltj, J. Catal. 234 (2005) 340–347.
- [4] A.Z. Abdullah, T.Y. Wibowo, R. Zakaria, Chem. Eng. J. 167 (2011) 328–334.
- [5] M.S. Machado, J. Pérez-Pariente, E. Sastre, D. Cardoso, A.M. de Guereño, Appl. Catal. A 203 (2000) 321–328.
- [6] M.S. Machado, D. Cardoso, J. Perez-Pariente, E. Sastre, A.M. de Guereño, Stud. Surf. Sci. Catal. 130 (2000) 3417–3422.
- [7] Y. Pouilloux, S. Abro, C. Van hove, J. Barrault, J. Mol. Catal. A 149 (1999) 243–254.
- [8] E. Heykants, W.H. Verrelst, R.F. Parton, P.A. Jacobs, Stud. Surf. Sci. Catal. 105 (1997) 1277–1284.
- [9] F.S. Gurner, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 73 (1996) 347–351.
- [10] J. Perez-Pariente, I. Diaz, F. Mohino, E. Sastre, Appl. Catal. A 254 (2003) 173–188.
- [11] K. Wilson, J.H. Clark, Pure Appl. Chem. 72 (2000) 1313–1319.
- [12] L. Hermida, A.Z. Abdullah, A.R. Mohamed, J. App. Sci. 10 (24) (2010) 3199–3206.
- [13] H. Szelag, W. Zwierzykowski, Lipid 100 (1998) 302–307.
- [14] A. Macierzanka, H. Szelag, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 43 (2004) 7744–7753.
- [15] N. Sanchez, M. Martinez, J. Aracil, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 36 (1997) 1524–1528.
- [16] T.A. Nijhuis, A.E.W. Beers, F. Kapteijn, J.A. Moulijn, Chem. Eng. Sci. 57 (2002) 1627–1632.
- [17] Y.C. Yang, S.R. Vali, Y.H. Ju, J. Chin. Inst. Chem. Eng. 34 (2003) 617–623.
- [18] W.M. Van Rhijn, D.E. De Vos, B.F. Sels, W.D. Bossaert, P.A. Jacobs, Chem. Commun. (1998) 317–318.
- [19] I. Diaz, C. Marquez-Alvarez, F. Mohino, K. Prez-Pariente, E. Sastre, J. Catal. 193 (2000) 283–295.
- [20] Y. Zheng, X. Su, X. Zhang, W. Wei, Y. Sun, Stud. Surf. Sci. Catal. 156 (2005) 205–212.
- [21] B. Rac, A. Molnar, P. Forgo, M. Mohai, I. Bertoti, J. Mol. Catal. A. Chem. 244 (2006) 46–57.
- [22] K.A. Connors, Chemical Kinetics: The Study of Reaction Rate in Solution, VCH Publisher Inc, New York, 1990.
- [23] J.M. Smith, Chemical Engineering Kinetics, 3rd edition, Mc-Graw Hill, Singapore, 1981.

- [24] W.D. Bossaert, D.E.D. Vos, W.M.V. Rhijn, J. Bullen, *J. Catal.* 182 (1999) 156–164.
- [25] J.P. Blitz, C.P. Little, *Fundamentals and Applied Aspects of Chemically Modified Surfaces*, Royal Society of Chemistry, United Kingdom, 1999.
- [26] J. Dhainaut, J.P. Dacquin, A.F. Lee, K. Wilson, *Green Chem.* 12 (2010) 296–303.
- [27] M.P. Kapoor, S. Inagaki, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* 79 (2006) 1463–1475.
- [28] M.P. Kapoor, W. Fujii, Y. Kasama, M. Yanagi, H. Nanbu, L.R. Juneja, *J. Mater. Chem.* 18 (2008) 4683–4691.
- [29] S. Inagaki, S. Guan, T. Ohsuna, O. Terasaki, *Nature* 416 (2002) 304–307.
- [30] R.I. Kureshy, I. Ahmad, K. Pathak, N.H. Khan, S.H.R. Abdi, R.V. Jasra, *Catal. Commun.* 10 (2009) 572–575.