2018 IEEE 6th International Conference on Future Internet of Things and Cloud

— FiCloud 2018 —

6–8 August 2018 Barcelona, Spain

Edited by

Muhammad Younas Jules Pagna Disso

With the support of

i2Cat Foundation, Spain



Los Alamitos, California Washington • Tokyo



LEMBAR PENGESAHAN

1.	Judul	:	An Intelligent Edge Computing Based Semantic Gateway for Healthcare Systems Interoperability and Collaboration
2.	Penulis	:	Tshiamo Sigwele, Yim Fun Hu, Muhammad Ali, Jiacheng Hou, Misfa Susanto, Helmy Fitriawan
3.	Publikasi	:	IEEE 6th International Conference on Future Internet of Things and Cloud (FiCloud)
4.	Vol./No./Hal.	:	- / - / 370-376
5.	Bentuk Publikasi	:	Seminar Internasional terindeks IEEE dan Scopus
6.	ISBN	:	978-1-5386-7503-8
7.	Penerbit	:	Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE)
8.	Tahun Penerbitan	:	Agustus 2018
9.	Website	:	https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8458037

Megetahui, Dekan Fakultas Teknik Unila

Prof. Ir. Suharno, M.Sc., Ph.D., IPU. NIP. 196207171987031002

KEMENTERIA

300

Bandar Lampung, 6 Mei 2020 Penulis,

Dr. Eng. Helmy Fitriawan, S.T., M.Sc. NIP. 197509282001121002

Ketua LPPM Universitas Lampung

Dr. II. Lusmeilia Afriani, D.E.A. NIP. 196505101993032008

Tay

UN UN	BIGATERITAA MATERIANA ISAN AA IVERSITAS LAMPUNG
16L	19-5-2020
NO INVEN	251/8/B/I/FT/2020
JENIS	prosiding
PARAF	l St

Copyright © 2018 by The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc. All rights reserved.

Copyright and Reprint Permissions: Abstracting is permitted with credit to the source. Libraries may photocopy beyond the limits of US copyright law, for private use of patrons, those articles in this volume that carry a code at the bottom of the first page, provided that the per-copy fee indicated in the code is paid through the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923.

Other copying, reprint, or republication requests should be addressed to: IEEE Copyrights Manager, IEEE Service Center, 445 Hoes Lane, P.O. Box 133, Piscataway, NJ 08855-1331.

The papers in this book comprise the proceedings of the meeting mentioned on the cover and title page. They reflect the authors' opinions and, in the interests of timely dissemination, are published as presented and without change. Their inclusion in this publication does not necessarily constitute endorsement by the editors, the IEEE Computer Society, or the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc.

BMS Part Number: CFP18FIC-ART ISBN-13: 978-1-5386-7503-8

Additional copies may be ordered from:

IEEE Computer Society Customer Service Center 10662 Los Vaqueros Circle P.O. Box 3014 Los Alamitos, CA 90720-1314 Tel: + 1 800 272 6657 Fax: + 1 714 821 4641 http://computer.org/cspress csbooks@computer.org IEEE Service Center 445 Hoes Lane P.O. Box 1331 Piscataway, NJ 08855-1331 Tel: + 1 732 981 0060 Fax: + 1 732 981 9667 http://shop.ieee.org/store/ customer-service@ieee.org IEEE Computer Society Asia/Pacific Office Watanabe Bldg., 1-4-2 Minami-Aoyama Minato-ku, Tokyo 107-0062 JAPAN Tel: + 81 3 3408 3118 Fax: + 81 3 3408 3553 tokyo.ofc@computer.org

Individual paper REPRINTS may be ordered at: <reprints@computer.org>

Editorial production by Randall Bilof Cover art production by Annie Jiu





IEEE Computer Society Conference Publishing Services (CPS) http://www.computer.org/cps

2018 IEEE 6th International Conference on Future Internet of Things and Cloud **FiCloud 2018**

Table of Contents

Message from the FiCloud 2018 Chairs	xiii
FiCloud 2018 Organizing Committee	xv
FiCloud 2018 Program Committee	
FiCloud 2018 Keynotes	xxiii

Session 1: Complex Systems and Architectures

Multi-Level Elastic Deployment of Containerized Applications in Geo-Distributed Environments
 Implementation of a Fault Aware Cloud Service Provisioning Framework
Economic Considerations for Integrating Massively Parallel Heterogeneous Devices into the Cloud 17 Jason Sawin (University of St. Thomas), Joseph Myre (University of St. Thomas), and H. Drew Wilken (University of St. Thomas)
TE-Based Machine Learning Techniques for Link Fault Localization in Complex Networks

Session 2: Performance Evaluation and Modelling

Energy-Aware Scheduling of Real-Time Workflow Applications in Clouds Utilizing DVFS and Approximate Computations	33
Georgios L. Stavrinides (Aristotle University of Thessaloniki) and Helen D. Karatza (Aristotle University of Thessaloniki)	
Effectiveness of Content Spatial Distribution Analysis in Securing IoT Environments Fabrizio Angiulli (University of Calabria), Luciano Argento (University of Calabria), and Angelo Furfaro (University of Calabria)	41
Realizing Prioritized Scheduling Service in the Hadoop System <i>Tsozen Yeh (Fu Jen Catholic University) and Hsinyi Huang (Fu Jen Catholic University)</i>	47

An Agent Based Model for Health Surveillance Systems and Early Biological Threat Detection	55
Mohammad Al-Zinati (Jordan University of Science and Technology),	
Qutaibah Al-Thebyan (Jordan University of Science and Technology), and	
Yaser Jararweh (Jordan University of Science and Technology)	
ReMot Reputation and Resource-Based Model to Estimate the Reliability of the Host Machines in	
Volunteer Cloud Environment	63
Yousef Alsenani (Southern Illinois University at Carbondale), Garth V	
Crosby (Southern Illinois University at Carbondale), Tomas Velasco	
(Southern Illinois University at Carbondale), and Abdulrahman Alahmadi	
(Southern Illinois University at Carbondale)	

Session 3: Cloud Services Models and SLA

A New SLA-Aware Load Balancing Method in the Cloud Using an Improved Parallel Task Scheduling Algorithm	71
Mehran Ashouraei (Tabriz Branch, Islamic Azad University), Seyed Nima Khezr (Lakehead University), Rachid Benlamri (Lakehead University), and Nima Jafari Navimipour (Tabriz Branch, Islamic Azad University)	
Multi-Objective Self-Adaptive Composite SaaS Using Feature Model	77
Efficient Cloud Auto-Scaling with SLA Objective Using Q-Learning Shay Horovitz (Shannon Lab - Huawei) and Yair Arian (Shannon Lab - Huawei)	85
A Policy Based Application Deployment Method in Hybrid Cloud Environment Hao Wei (Universidad Politécnica de Madrid) and Joaquin Salvachua Rodriguez (Universidad Politécnica de Madrid)	93

Session 4: Security, Privacy, and Trust I

Ensuring Compliance of IoT Devices with Their Privacy Policy Agreement Alanoud Subahi (Cardiff University) and George Theodorakopoulos (Cardiff University)	100
A Sequence-Based Access Control Framework for Reliable Security Management in Clouds	108
Faraz Fatemi Moghaddam (Gesellschaft für wissenschaftliche	
Datenverarbeitung mbH Göttingen), Tayyebe Emadinia	
(Georg-August-Universität), Philipp Wieder (Gesellschaft für	
wissenschaftliche Datenverarbeitung mbH Göttingen), and Ramin	
Yahyapour (Gesellschaft für wissenschaftliche Datenverarbeitung mbH	
Göttingen)	
Secure Routing for MANET Connected Internet of Things Systems	114
Jonny Karlsson (Arcada University of Applied Sciences), Laurence S.	
Dooley (Open University, Milton Keynes), and Göran Pulkkis (Arcada	
University of Applied Sciences)	

Ensuring IoT Security with an Architecture Based on a Separation Kernel	120
Mahieddine Yaker (Université de Lille - Sciences et Technologies),	
Chrystel Gaber (Orange Labs), Gilles Grimaud (Université de Lille -	
Sciences et Technologies), Jean-Philippe Wary (Orange Labs), Julien	
Cartigny (Université de Lille - Sciences et Technologies), Xiao Han	
(Orange Labs), and Vicente Sanchez-Leighton (Orange Labs)	
Integrating Behavioural Security Factors for Enhanced Protection of Organisational Information	
Technology Assets	128
Aristotle Onumo (University of Bradford), Andrea Cullen (University of	
Bradford), and Irfan Awan (University of Bradford)	

Session 5: IoT Services and Applications I

 An Explication of Acceptability of Wearable Devices in Context of Bangladesh: A User Study	36
Design and Realization of Alzheimer's Artificial Intelligence Technologies (AAIT) System	41
IoT for Smart Buildings - Long Awaited Revolution or Lean Evolution	49
Internet of Things (IoT) Applied to an Urban Garden	55

Session 6: IoT Services and Applications II

Performance Evaluation of IEEE 802.15.6 Channel Access Procedure in WBAN	62
A Self-Organized Framework for Insurance Based on Internet of Things and Blockchain	69
Monireh Vahdati (Qazvin Branch, Islamic Azad University), Kamran	
Gholizadeh HamlAbadi (Qazvin Branch, Islamic Azad University), Ali	
Mohammad Saghiri (AmirKabir University of Technology), and Hassan	
Rashidi (Allameh Tabataba'i University)	
IoT Device Lifecycle – A Generic Model and a Use Case for Cellular Mobile Networks 1	76
Gábor Soós (Budapest University of Technology and Economics), Dániel	
Kozma (Budapest University of Technology and Economics), Ferenc Nándor	
Janky (Budapest University of Technology and Economics), and Pál Varga	
(Budapest University of Technology and Economics)	

Complex Event Recognition Notification Methodology for Uncertain IoT Systems Based on Micro-Service	
Architecture	184
Mira Vrbaski (University of Ottawa), Miodrag Bolic (University of	
Ottawa), and Shikharesh Majumdar (Carleton University)	

Session 7: Cloud and IoT Systems

A Smart Winter Service Platform and Route Planning Algorithm Perin Unal (Teknopar Industrial Automation), Yunuscan Kocak (Teknopar Industrial Automation), and Yunus Donmez (Teknopar Industrial Automation)	192
Retransmission Policies for Efficient Communication in IoT Applications Imtiaz Ali Halepoto (Quaid-e-Awam University of Engineering, Science & Technology), Umair Ali Khan (Quaid-e-Awam University of Engineering, Science & Technology), and Adnan Ahmed Arain (Quaid-e-Awam University of Engineering, Science & Technology)	197
Future of IoTSP – IT and OT Integration	203
An Evaluation of Optimisation Approaches in Cloud of Things Resource Trading	208
PrIoT: Prototyping the Internet of Things	216

Session 8: Data and Knowledge Management

Analyzing Customer Journey with Process Mining: From Discovery to Recommendations Alessandro Terragni (Eindhoven University of Technology) and Marwan Hassani (Eindhoven University of Technology)	224
Efficient Point-Based Pattern Search in 3D Motion Capture Databases Christian Beecks (University of Münster and Fraunhofer Institute for Applied Information Technology) and Alexander Grass (Fraunhofer Institute for Applied Information Technology)	230
Efficient Data Prediction, Reconstruction and Estimation in Indoor IoT Networks Jyotirmoy Karjee (Tata Consultancy Services), Hemant Kumar Rath (Tata Consultancy Services), and Arpan Pal (Tata Consultancy Services)	236
Smartphones as Alternative Cloud Computing Engines: Benefits and Trade-offs Brennan Schaffner (University of St. Thomas), Jason Sawin (University of St. Thomas), and Joseph M. Myre (University of St. Thomas)	244

Session 9: Networking and Communication Protocols

 Analysis and Comparison of Live Virtual Machine Migration Methods	51
Linear and Logistic Regression Based Monitoring for Resource Management in Cloud Networks	59
UAV-Assisted Cluster Head Election for a UAV-Based Wireless Sensor Network	57
An Enhanced Control Overhead Messages Reduction Algorithm in VANET	75
Evaluation of New Dynamic Time Division Multiplexing Protocol for Real-Time Traffic over Converged Networks	32

Session 10: Smart Environment

A Framework for User Routine Discovery in Smart Homes Prakhar Shukla (Samsung Research Institute Bangalore), Parnab Kumar Chanda (Samsung Research Institute Bangalore), Ramnath Jayachandran (Samsung Research Institute Bangalore), and Ashok Subash (Samsung Research Institute Bangalore)	. 288
HMM-Based Indoor Localization Using Smart Watches' BLE Signals Donghee Han (Kookmin University), Hyungtay Rho (HTSM), and Sejoon Lim (Kookmin University)	. 296
Creating Smart Environments: Analysis of Improving Security on Smart Homes Ivan Del Pozo (Universidad Nacional de Colombia) and Denise Cangrejo (Universidad Nacional de Colombia)	. 303
IoT Software Infrastructure for Remote Monitoring of Patients with Chronic Metabolic Disorders Edoardo Patti (Politecnico di Torino), Maria Donatelli (University of Palermo), Enrico Macii (Politecnico di Torino), and Andrea Acquaviva (Politecnico di Torino)	. 311

Session 11: Cloud, Fog, and Edge Computing I

Panoptic, Privacy over Edge-Clouds Tadeu Freitas (University of Porto), João Rodrigues (University of Porto), Diogo Bogas (University of Porto), Miguel Coimbra (University of Porto), and Rolando Martins (University of Porto)	325
PASHE: Privacy Aware Scheduling in a Heterogeneous Fog Environment Kaneez Fizza (Indian Institute of Technology Ropar), Nitin Auluck (Indian Institute of Technology Ropar), Omer Rana (Cardiff University), and Luiz Bittencourt (University of Campinas)	333
Challenges Facing the Industrial Implementation of Fog Computing Imen Bouzarkouna (LMI INSA Rouen, CESI LINEACT), M'hammed Sahnoun (CESI LINEACT), Nouha Sghaier (CESI LINEACT), David Baudry (LINEACT Cesi), and Christian Gout (LMI INSA Rouen)	341
On the Application of Social Internet of Things with Fog Computing: A New Paradigm for Traffic Information Sharing System	349
Vertical Workflows: Service Orchestration across Cloud & Edge Resources Omer Rana (Cardiff University), Manjerhussain Shaikh (University of Derby), Muhammad Ali (University of Derby), Ashiq Anjum (University of Derby), and Luiz Bittencourt (University of Campinas)	355

Session 12: Cloud, Fog, and Edge Computing II

A Rank Scheduling Mechanism for Fog Environments	
David Perez Abreu (University of Čoimbra), Karima Velasquez	
(University of Coimbra), Marcio Roberto Miranda Assis (University of	
Campinas), Luiz Fernando Bittencourt (University of Campinas), Marilia	
Curado (University of Coimbra), Edmundo Monteiro (University of	
Coimbra), and Edmundo Madeira (University of Campinas)	

An Intelligent Edge Computing Based Semantic Gateway for Healthcare Systems Interoperability and Collaboration	370
Tshiamo Sigwele (University of Bradford), Yim Fun Hu (University of Bradford), Muhammad Ali (University of Bradford), Jiacheng Hou (University of Bradford), Misfa Susanto (University of Lampung), and Helmy Fitriawan (University of Lampung)	
On the Influence of Fog Colonies Partitioning in Fog Application Makespan Carlos Guerrero (University of Balearic Islands), Isaac Lera (University of Balearic Islands), and Carlos Juiz (University of Balearic Islands)	377
 Virtualizing the Edge: Needs, Opportunities and Trends Eva Marin-Tordera (Universitat Politecnica de Catalunya), Xavier Masip-Bruin (Universitat Politecnica de Catalunya), Beatriz Otero (Universitat Politecnica de Catalunya), and Eva Rodriguez (Universitat Politecnica de Catalunya) 	385

Session 13: Cloud Federation and Virtualization

Modelling Cloud Federation: A Fair Profit Distribution Strategy Using the Shapley Value Kun Ma (University of the Western Cape), Antoine Bagula (University of the Western Cape), Hope Mauwa (University of the Western Cape), and Antonio Celesti (University of Messina)	393
Sensing as a Service Middleware Architecture	399
Muhamed Alarbi (University of Western Ontario) and Hanan Lutfiyya	
(University of Western Ontario)	
A NoSQL Graph Approach to Manage IoTaaS in Cloud/Edge Environments	407
Antonio Celesti (University of Messina), Alina Buzachis (University of	
Messina), Antonino Galletta (University of Messina), Maria Fazio	
(University of Messina), and Massimo Villari (University of Messina)	
Knee/Elbow Point Estimation through Thresholding	. 413
Mário Antunes (Instituto de Telecomunicações), Joana Ribeiro	
(Universidade de Aveiro), Diogo Gomes (Instituto de Telecomunicações),	
and Rui L. Aguiar (Instituto de Telecomunicações)	

Session 14: Security, Privacy, and Trust II

The World of Malware: An Overview	. 420
Anitta Patience Namanya (Ankole Western University), Andrea Cullen	
(University of Bradford), Irfan U. Awan (University of Bradford), and	
Jules Pagna Disso (Nettitude Intelligent Cyber Security and Risk	
Management)	
Resource Scalability as Preventive and Remedial Measures for Cloud Service Violation	428
Gaik-Yee Chan (Multimedia University), Hassan Mahmood Khan (Multimedia	
University), and Fang-Fang Chua (Multimedia University)	

An Approach to Detecting Distributed Denial of Service Attacks in Software Defined Networks	436
Abimbola Sangodoyin (University of Bradford), Babagana Modu	
(University of Bradford), Irfan Awan (University of Bradford), and	
Jules Pagna Disso (Nettitude Limited)	
Using Sparse Matrices to Prevent Information Leakage in Cloud Computing	444
Khaled Khan (Qatar University), Mahboob Shaheen (North South	
University), and Yongge Wang (University of North Carolina)	

Author Index	449
--------------	-----

Message from the FiCloud 2018 Chairs

Welcome to the IEEE 6th International Conference on Future Internet of Things and Cloud (IEEE FiCloud 2018) which is held 6–8 August 2018 in Barcelona, Spain. This proceeding includes research articles which are presented at the IEEE FiCloud 2018.

Cloud computing is now considered as one of the main IT technologies. It provides on demand and scalable compute and storage resources in order to deliver various services using service models such as SaaS, PaaS, and IaaS. In order to take advantage of the cloud computing, various organizations and companies are increasingly migrating their IT services to the cloud. In the meantime organizations and companies are also increasingly using IoT (Internet of Things) technologies for a wide range of applications such as smart cities, home and office automation, smart healthcare services and so on. IoT consists of a large number of small devices such as sensors and (portable/embedded) devices which generate a large volume of data but they have limited capabilities for storing and processing such data. On the other hand, cloud provides large scale facilities for data storage and processing. Thus cloud and IoT technologies are jointly used in order to benefit from each other capabilities and to provide enhanced IT services. To realise the full benefits of cloud and IoT technologies various issues still need to be addressed such as performance, security, privacy, trust, reliability, context-awareness, data management and so on. The theme of this conference is bring together researchers and developers from different countries across the world and to solicit and publish research papers that address these issues related to cloud and IoT technologies.

The FiCloud 2018 call-for-papers has generated significant interest in the research community and has attracted a good number of submissions from authors of different countries. All the submitted papers went through a rigorous review process. Each paper was reviewed by multiple reviewers. Based on the reviews, 62 papers were accepted for the conference which include regular (full) and short papers. The acceptance rate for the regular (full) papers was 30%. Accepted papers have been organized into different technical sessions which span the three days of the conference. Technical sessions are related to various aspects of IoT and Cloud Computing such as performance modelling, security, privacy, trust, SLA, data and knowledge management, smart environment, federation, virtualization, communication protocols and so on.

The success of this conference involves contributions from many people in planning and organizing the technical program, social events and local arrangements. We thank the track chairs and members of the Program Committee for helping in the review process and for providing timely and constructive feedback to the authors. We also express our thanks to all the authors for submitting papers to the conference and for presenting and discussing their papers during the conference.

We thank the keynote speakers Prof. Dr. Gottfried Vossen (University of Münster, Germany), and Pro. Luis Muñoz (University of Cantabria, Spain) for delivering very interesting and visionary talks.

FiCloud 2018 has a number of associated symposia and workshops which focus on particular topics. These include BigDataR&I, EMSICC, MobiApp, SoNet, ICI, PMECT, DS4IDS, CSW, WCG and AWMA. We highly appreciate the efforts and hard work that the Organizing and Technical Committees have put into organizing such valuable symposia and workshops.

We are also very grateful of the Local Organizing Chair, Dr. Marisa Catalán Cid (i2Cat Foundation, Spain) for providing help and support in numerous aspects of the local organization. We would like to thank Prof. Bhavani Thuraisingham (General Co-Chair), Dr. Filipe Portela (Workshop Coordinator) Dr. Joyce El Haddad (Publicity Chair), and Dr. Farookh Hussain (Journal Special Issue Coordinator).

We greatly appreciate the help and guidance provided by the members of the FiCloud international advisory committee. Finally, we thank the IEEE Computer Society for their sponsorship and the IEEE CS Technical Committee on the Internet (IEEE-CS TCI) for their technical co-sponsorship.

Muhammad Younas, Oxford Brookes University, UK Jules Pagna Disso, Nettitude Group, UK

FiCloud 2018 Chairs

FiCloud 2018 Organizing Committee

General Chairs

Bhavani Thuraisingham, University of Texas at Dallas, USA Muhammad Younas, Oxford Brookes University, UK

Program Chair

Jules Pagna Disso, Nettitude Group, UK

Local Organising Chair Marisa Catalán Cid, i2Cat Foundation, Spain

Workshop Coordinator Filipe Portela, University of Minho Portugal

Journal Special Issues Coordinator

Farookh Hussain, University of Technology, Sydney, Australia

Publicity Chair Joyce El Haddad, University of Paris Dauphine, France

Track Chairs

David Chiu, University of Puget Sound, USA Martine Bellaïche, École Polytechnique de Montréal, Canada Fang-Fang Chua, Multimedia University, Malaysia Stefan Bosse, Universität Bremen, Germany Marwan Hassani, Eindhoven University of Technology, The Netherlands Xiaoying Bai, Tsinghua University, China Daniel Camps, i2Cat Foundation, Spain Helen Karatza, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece Anjali Agrawal, Concordia University, Canada Chunsheng Zhu, University of British Columbia, Canada Madihah Mohd Saudi, Universiti Sains Islam Malaysia, Malaysia Joyce El Haddad, University of Paris Dauphine, France Nadeem Javaid, COMSATS IIT, Pakistan Antonio Celesti, University of Messina, Italy Luiz Fernando Bittencourt, University of Campinas, Brazil Edmundo Madeira, University of Campinas, Brazil Marcin Bajer, ABB Corporate Research, Kraków, Poland Natalia Kryvinska, University of Vienna, Austria

FiCloud 2018 Program Committee

Cloud Models—SaaS, IaaS, PaaS

David Chiu, University of Puget Sound, USA (Chair) Nawab Ali, Intel, USA Tekin Bicer, Argonne National Lab, USA Prasad Calyam, University of Missouri, Columbia, USA Sergio Fortes, University of Malaga, Spain Jaimie Kelley, Denison University, USA Wenjing Ma, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China Bin Ren, College of William and Mary, USA Jason Sawin, University of St. Thomas, USA Christopher Stewart, The Ohio State University, USA Sanyantan Sur, Intel, USA Mai Zheng, New Mexico State University, USA Xingui Zhao, Washington State University, USA

Security, Privacy and Trust

Martine Bellaïche, École Polytechnique de Montréal, Canada (Chair) Natalia Miloslavskaya, National Research Nuclear University, MEPhI, Russia Ethelbert Obinna, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen, Germany Kaled Alfalqi, Polytechnique Montréal, Canada Talal Halabi, Ecole Polytechnique de Montreal, Canada Foutse Khomh, DGIGL, École Polytechnique de Montréal, Canada Philipp Wieder, Dortmund University of Technology, Germany Faraz Fatemi Moghaddam, Gesellschaft fur Wissenschaftliche Datenverarbeitung mbH Göttingen, Germany Chamseddine Talhi, École de Technologie Supérieure, Canada Jean-Charles Grégoire, INRS, Canada Philippe Massonet, CETIC, Belgium Rubayyi Alghamdi, Polytechnique Montréal, Canada Salah Benbrahim, Ecole Polytechnique, Canada Al-Harbi Saud, Polytechnique Montréal, Canada

Software Systems, Models and Architectures

Fang-Fang Chua, Multimedia University, Malaysia (Chair)
Yunli Lee, Sunway University, Malaysia
Charith Perera, Newcastle University, UK
Rong N. Chang, IBM, USA
Rafael Angarita, INRIA, France
R.Kanesaraj Ramasamy, Multimedia University, Malaysia
Gaik-Yee Chan, Multimedia University, Malaysia

Mobile Cloud Services

Stefan Bosse, Universität Bremen, Germany (Chair) Evangelos Pournaras, ETH Zürich, Switzerland Thorsten Wuest, West Virginia University, Morgantown, USA Tim Tiedemann, University of applied Science Hamburg, Germany Adnan Ahmed Arain, Quaid-e-Awam University of Engineering, Science and Technology, Pakistan

Data and Knowledge Management

Marwan Hassani, Eindhoven University of Technology, The Netherlands (Chair) Wil van der Aalst, RWTH Aachen University, Germany Mohamed Medhat Gaber, Birmingham City University, UK Vlado Menkovski, Eindhoven University of Technology, The Netherlands Alfredo Cuzzocrea, University of Trieste, Italy Philippe Lenca, IMT Atlantique, France Mathias Funk, Eindhoven University of Technology, The Netherlands Christian Bockermann, Technical University of Dortmund, Germany Jean Barddal, Pontificia Universidade Católica do Paraná, Brazil Francesco Folino, University of Calabria, Italy Mustapha Lebbah, university of Paris 13, France Eirini Ntoutsi, Leibniz Universität Hannover, Germany Jesse Read, École Polytechnique, France Christian Beecks, Fraunhofer Institute for Applied Information Technology FIT, Germany

Context-Awareness and Location-Awareness

Xiaoying Bai, Tsinghua University, China (Chair) Hai Dong, RMIT University, Australia Yucong Duan, Hainan University, China Yi Gu, Middle Tennessee State University, USA Shang-Pin Ma, National Taiwan Ocean University, Taiwan Houbing Song, West Virginia University, USA Hongbing Wang, Southeast University, China Jiuyun Xu, China University of Petroleum, China Lina Yao, University of New South Wales, Australia Dongjin Yu, Hangzhou Dianzi University, China Qi Yu, Rochester Institute of Technology, USA Le Sun, Nanjing University of Information Science and Technology, China

Software-Defined Networking

Daniel Camps, i2Cat Foundation, Spain (Chair) Paris Flegkas, University of Thessaly, Greece Anna Tzanakaki, University of Bristol, UK August Betzler, i2Cat Foundation, Spain Kostas Katsalis, Huawei Technologies, Germany Ricard Vilalta, CTTC, Spain Shuaib Siddiqui, i2Cat Foundation, Spain David Rincon, Polytechnic University of Catalonia, Spain Ilker Demirkol, Polytechnic University of Catalonia, Spain Andrés García, NEC Laboratories Europe, Germany

Performance Evaluation and Modelling

Helen Karatza, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece (Chair) Yinong Chen, Arizona State University, USA Maria Carla Calzarossa, University of Pavia, Italy Gabriele D'Angelo, Università di Bologna, Italy Tony Field, Imperial College, UK Avgoustinos Filippoupolitis, University of Greenwich, UK Angelo Furfaro, Università della Calabria, Italy Bahman Javadi, Western Sydney University, Australia William Knottenbelt, Imperial College, UK Georgios Loukas, University of Greenwich, UK Ningfang Mi, Northeastern University, USA Georgia Sakellari, University of Greenwich, UK
Georgios Stavrinides, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece Nigel Thomas, University of Newcastle upon Tyne, UK Stelios Timotheou, University of Cyprus, Cyprus

Networking and Communication Protocols

Anjali Agrawal, Concordia University, Canada (Chair) Michel Kadoch, École de Technologie Supérieure, Canada Kim Khoa Nguyen, École de Technologie Supérieure, Canada Rachida Dssouli, Concordia University, Canada Yaser Jararweh, Jordan University of Science and Technology, Jordan Omar Qasem Banimelhem, Jordan University of Science and Technology, Jordan Abrahim Fapojuwo, University of Calgary, Canada Behrouz Jedari, Dalian University of Technology, China

IoT Services and Applications

Chunsheng Zhu, University of British Columbia, Canada (Chair)
Weishan Zhang, China University of Petroleum, China
Gongliang Liu, Harbin Institute of Technology, China
Xiangping Zhai, Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics, China
Heli Zhang, Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications, China
Li Zhou, National University of Defense Technology, China
Weidong Fang, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China
Wei Chen, China University of Mining and Technology, China
Fangming Bi, China University of Mining and Technology, China
Chaogang Tang, China University of Mining and Technology, China
Yongyi Ran, University of Massachusetts Lowell, USA

Smart Environment

Madihah Mohd Saudi, Universiti Sains Islam Malaysia, Malaysia (Chair) Mohairi Makhtar, Universiti Sultan Zainal Abidin, Malaysia Rabiah Ahmad, University Technical Malaysia Melaka, Malaysia Mohd Yamani Idna Idris, University of Malaya, Malaysia Amelia Ritahani Ismail, International Islamic University Malaysia, Malaysia Nurlida Basir, Universiti Sains Islam Malaysia, Malaysia Yumn Suhaylah Yusoff, Universiti Sains Islam Malaysia, Malaysia Nur Fatin Nabila Mohd Rafei Heng, Universiti Sains Islam Malaysia, Malaysia Farida Hazwani Mohd Ridzuan, Universiti Sains Islam Malaysia, Malaysia Mohd Faizal Abdollah, University Technical Malaysia Melaka, Malaysia Shuhaili Talib, International Islamic University Malaysia, Malaysia

Enterprise and Business Models

Joyce El Haddad, University of Paris Dauphine, France (Chair) Youakim Badr, National Institute of Applied Sciences, France Djamal Benslimane, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, France Lorna Uden, Staffordshire University, United Kingdom Zakaria Maamar, Zayed University, United Arab Emirates

Energy Efficiency

Nadeem Javaid, COMSATS IIT, Pakistan (Chair) Zahoor Ali Khan, Higher Colleges of Technology, United Arab Emirates Umar Qasim, University of Alberta, Canada Safdar Hussain Bouk, Daegu Gyeongbuk Institute of Science and Technology, Korea Iftikhar Ahmad Rana, National University of Sciences and Technology, Pakistan Syed Hassan Ahmed, University of Central Florida, USA Sohail Iqbal, National University of Sciences and Technology, Pakistan Ashfaq Ahmad, New Castle University, Australia Arshad Sher, COMSATS Institute of Information Technology, Pakistan

Federation and Virtualization

Antonio Celesti, University of Messina, Italy (Chair) Philippe Massonet, Cetic, Belgium Stefano Chessa, University of Pisa, Italy Francesco Tusa, University College London, UK Antonino Galletta, University of Messina, Italy Jose Neuman de Sousa, Federal University of Ceará, Brazil David Breitgand, IBM Haifa, Israel Lorenzo Carnevale, University of Messina, Italy Giacomo Fiumara, University of Messina, Italy Omer Rana, Cardiff University, UK Fabrizio Celesti, University of Messina, Italy Massimo Villari, University of Messina, Italy Rajiv Ranjan, Newcastle University, UK Stuart Clayman, University College London, UK Marco Zappatore, University of Salento, Italy Antonio Liotta, University of Derby, UK Alina Buzachis, University of Messina, Italy Eva Marín-Tordera, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Spain

Fog and Edge Computing

Luiz Fernando Bittencourt, University of Campinas, Brazil (Chair) Edmundo Madeira, University of Campinas, Brazil (Chair) Ioan Petri, Cardiff University, UK Omer Rana, Cardiff University, UK Marilia Curado, University of Coimbra, Portugal Christian Esteve Rothenberg, University of Campinas, Brazil Bruno Szhulze, LNCC, Brazil Rafael Tolosana-Calasanz, Zaragoza University, Spain Catalin Meirosu, Ericsson Research, Sweden Edmundo Monteiro, University of Coimbra, Portugal Marco Aurelio Stelmar Netto, IBM Research, Brazil Claudio Fiandrino, IMDEA Networks Institute, Spain Luciano Paschoal Gaspary, Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil Lisandro Zambenedetti Granville, Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil Augusto Neto, Federal University of Rio Grande do Norte, Brazil Masum Hasan, Verizon, USA

Industry Track

Marcin Bajer, ABB Corporate Research Kraków, Poland (Chair)

General Track: Future IoT and Cloud Computing

Natalia Kryvinska, University of Vienna, Austria (Chair) Agreste Santa, University of Messina, Italy Almotiri Sultan H., Umm AlQura University, Saudi Arabia Amato Alba, Italian National Research Center (CNR), Italy Amato Flora, University of Naples Federico II, Italy Apduhan Bernady, Kyushu Sangyo University, Japan Bentahar Jamal, University of Concordia, Canada Bessis Nik, Edge Hill University, UK Bestak Robert, Czech Technical University in Prague, Czech Republic Bohdalova Maria, Comenius University in Bratislava, Slovakia Boudko Svetlana, Norwegian Computing Center, Norway Celesti Antonio, University of Messina, Italy Paul de Vrieze, Bournemouth University, UK Demydov Ivan, Lviv Polytechnic National University, Ukraine Dobre Ciprian, Politehnica University of Bucharest, Romania Marouane El Mabrouk, Abdelmalek Essaadi University, Morocco Corinna Engelhardt-Nowitzki, University of Applied Sciences, Austria Fiorini Laura, University of Groningen, The Netherlands Gregus Michal, Comenius University in Bratislava, Slovakia Grigoras Dan, University College Cork, Ireland Jander Kai, University of Hamburg, Germany Jäntti Marko, University of Eastern Finland, Finland Johansson Dan, Umea University, Sweden Juhar Jozef, Technical University of Košice, Slovakia Kazantsev Nikolay, National Research University Higher School of Economics, Russia Manuele Kirsch Pinheiro, Université Paris 1 Panthéon Sorbonne, France Lai Cristian, CRS4 Center for Advanced Studies, Research and Development in Sardinia, Italy Martínez-Peláez Rafael, University of Quintana Roo, México Michele Melchiori, Università degli Studi di Brescia, Italy Merlino Giovanni, University of Messina, Italy Francesco Moscato, Second University of Naples, Italy Nor Shahniza Kamal Bashah, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia Pardede Eric, La Trobe University, Australia Pautasso Cesare, University of Lugano, Switzerland Petridou Sofia, University of Macedonia, Macedonia Aneta Poniszewska-Maranda, Lodz University of Technology Poland

Raj Pethuru IBM Global Cloud Center of Excellence, India Strauss Christine, University of Vienna, Austria Thanh Do Van, Telenor & Norwegian University of Science & Technology Toral-Cruz Homero, University of Quintana Roo, México Torquati Massimo, University of Pisa, Italy Tutschku Kurt, Belkinge Technical University, Belgium Tuya Javier, University of Oviedo, Spain Jose Luis Vazquez Avila, University of Quintana Roo, México Salvatore Venticinque, Second University of Naples, Italy Miroslav Voznak, VSB-Technical University of Ostrava, Czech Republic Gianluigi Zavattaro, University of Bologna, Italy

An Intelligent Edge Computing based Semantic Gateway for Healthcare Systems Interoperability and Collaboration

Tshiamo Sigwele, Yim Fun Hu, Muhammad Ali and Jiachen Hou *University of Bradford* Bradford, United Kingdom Email:[T.Sigwele1, Y.F.Hu, M. Ali70, J.Hou1]@bradford.ac.uk

Abstract-The use of Information and Communications Technology (ICTs) in healthcare has the potential of minimizing medical errors, reducing healthcare cost and improving collaboration between healthcare systems which can dramatically improve the healthcare service quality. However interoperability within different healthcare systems (clinics/hospitals/pharmacies) remains an issue of further research due to a lack of collaboration and exchange of healthcare information. To solve this problem, cross healthcare system collaboration is required. This paper proposes a conceptual semantic based healthcare collaboration framework based on Internet of Things (IoT) infrastructure that is able to offer a secure cross system information and knowledge exchange between different healthcare systems seamlessly that is readable by both machines and humans. In the proposed framework, an intelligent semantic gateway is introduced where a web application with restful Application Programming Interface (API) is used to expose the healthcare information of each system for collaboration. A case study that exposed the patient's data between two different healthcare systems was practically demonstrated where a pharmacist can access the patient's electronic prescription from the clinic.

Index Terms—Internet of Things, Healthcare Interoperability, Smart Gateway, Semantic Web, Ontology, Sensor Networks

I. INTRODUCTION

Recently, there has been a paradigm shift in the healthcare sector as many healthcare organisations have gradually migrated paper-based patient medical records to digital electronic ones by the implementation of Electronic Health Records (EHR) systems [1]. The recorded information can be observations, patient's personal details, patients medical history, clinical notes, laboratory tests, treatments, drugs administered, letters, x-rays, and bills [2]. The EHR systems can prevent duplicative tests by sharing patient information between medical facilities, which would inevitably result in cost-saving and also improved healthcare quality. With EHR, healthcare organisations can share patient's healthcare information with each other; therefore, they are able to make

This work was conducted within the framework of the BLESS U project, funded through a British Council Institutional Links grant under the BEIS-managed Newton Fund.

Misfa Susanto and Helmy Fitriawan Department of Electrical Engineering Faculty of Engineering University of Lampung, Indonesia Email: misfa@eng.unila.ac.id Email: helmy.fitriawan@eng.unila.ac.id



Fig. 1. An illustration of Healthcare interoperability.

better healthcare decisions. The information to be shared is stored in heterogeneous distributed healthcare systems, in different file formats which are mainly proprietary [2]. These information need to interact and be accessed by healthcare systems in a uniform and transparent way, anywhere and anytime. However, even with the introduction of EHR, healthcare systems are still isolated from each other with the lack of collaboration and interoperability. As shown in Fig. 1, healthcare interoperability can be defined as the ability of two or more distinct healthcare systems like smart hospitals, clinics, smart homes, pharmacy etc. to share the information reliably and quickly from other each other in order to operate on them together without the occurrence of errors hence improving availability [3]. Healthcare interoperability has the following advantages [2]:

- i) Easy access of patients records
- ii) Reduction of medical errors hence less casualties.
- iii) Healthcare cost reduction
- iv) Reducing delays in medal healthcare systems
 - It has been estimated that in the next 10 years, the way



healthcare is currently provided will be transformed from hospital centred, first to hospital home balanced in 2020, and then ultimately to home-centred in 2030 [4]. This essential transformation necessitates the fact that the convergence and overlap of the Internet of Things (IoT) architectures and technologies for smart spaces and healthcare domains should be more actively considered. The IoT in healthcare will also escalate the generation of large amounts of healthcare data and there is a need for collaboration by healthcare systems to share this healthcare big data.

This paper proposes a semantic based healthcare collaboration framework that is able to offer a cross system information exchange platform between different healthcare systems seamlessly that is readable by both machines and humans. The healthcare systems considered are heterogeneous and geographically distributed pharmacy, smart homes, smart hospitals and smart clinics that need to interact and collaborate with each other. In the proposed framework, each healthcare system incorporates an intelligent semantic smart gateway and a web application with restful Application Programming Interface (API) that is used to securely expose the information of each healthcare system for collaboration. To validate our claim, a case study is also presented to show the concept on interoperability between a pharmacy and a clinic using ontology.

The rest of the paper is organised as follows: Section II discusses the related work in interoperability within the healthcare sector and some common healthcare standards. Section III presents the proposed semantic-based healthcare Interoperability framework. The proposed healthcare system architecture, the functions of the semantic smart gateway and an ontology based case study are also presented in Section III. Finally, concluding remarks are presented in Section IV and Aknowledgement in Section V.

II. RELATED WORK

To solve the problems of independence existing among the healthcare systems, it is indispensable to implement interoperability in these systems. There have been several efforts in the health sector to address interoperability issues however, this remains an open issue for further research. The health data complexity, healthcare security and standardisation gives rise to healthcare systems integration difficulties and interoperability problems. A way to solve these problems is to use a common data model [3].

Healthcare standards provide the base for interoperability between different healthcare systems [5]. The healthcare sector has formulated appropriated standards for different purposes. The standards relate to how healthcare messages are relayed, the terminology used, the documents, conceptual frameworks, architectures and applications, both for syntactic interoperability, based on the communication structure, and for semantic interoperability, which defines the meaning of the communication. Some of the common healthcare standards are as follows [5]; messages related standards by Health Level Seven (HL7) International like HL7, HL7 V2 and V3 [6] which are considered the more adaptable standards to healthcare interoperability; terminologies (Systematised Nomenclature of Medicine - Clinical Terminology SNOMED-CT [6]); clinical information and patients records (openEHR [7] and HL7 Clinical Document Architecture-CDA).

The authors in [8] proposed a fog-based IoT-Healthcare solution structure and explore the integration of cloud-fog services in interoperable healthcare solutions extended upon the traditional cloud-based structure. The scenarios were evaluated through simulations using the iFogSim simulator and the results were analysed in relation to distributed computing, reduction of latency, optimisation of data communication, and power consumption. However, the authors only integrated various IoT devices within a single healthcare system but did not provide interoperability between various healthcare systems. The authors in [9] proposed semantic interoperability model for big-data in IoT (SIMB-IoT) to deliver semantic interoperability among heterogeneous IoT devices in the healthcare domain. This model is used to recommend medicine along with their side effects for different symptoms collected from heterogeneous IoT sensors. However only one healthcare system is considered and interoperability is only among heterogeneous IoT devices.

Jini Health Interoperability Framework (HIF-J) proposed in [10] uses Jini technology which is based on SOA. The main purpose of HIF-J is to exchange semantically interoperable messages. It provides translation services that behaves as a mediator between standards. These translation services convert message instances of HL7, HL7 V2 and V3 and also openEHR message instances. It is based on extensible Stylesheet Language Transformations (XSLT) between message instances of different standards. Since standards are growing with new domains, so managing XSLT becomes very difficult. In [11], the authors focus on semantic process interoperability with the help of interaction ontology in HL7 V3. Interaction ontology is responsible for handling the heterogeneities between processes of different healthcare systems compliant to HL7 V3 standard. This work is only related to semantic process interoperability using standard HL7 V3 and semantic data interoperability is not discussed. The authors in [15] used ontology matching tools to resolve the data level heterogeneities between different healthcare standards and achieve message schema level conversion; however, the author only concentrated on only HL7 healthcare standards. The authors in [12] proposed a Smart e-Health Gateway capable of enhancing IoT architectures used for healthcare applications integrating sensor healthcare data from a hospital to a cloud healthcare centre, however, the framework did not provide interoperability between distinct healthcare systems.

From the current literature, efforts to provide interoperability in healthcare systems only concentrate on various sections of a single healthcare system but they do not provide means of integration with other different health system bodies. Also, interoperability in the current research mostly concentrate on information exchange but omit the sharing and exchange of the semantics knowledge of information and its relationship.

III. PROPOSED SEMANTIC BASED HEALTHCARE INTEROPERABILITY FRAMEWORK

In this section, the proposed architecture will be presented, then collaboration framework will be explained and finally a case study presented and practically addressed to show how ontology can be used to share information between independent healthcare systems.

A. Proposed Healthcare System Architecture

The proposed architecture of the healthcare system which can be used for interoperability is shown in Fig. 2 (a). The patient health related information to be exchanged is to be collected from various sources like other healthcare systems, from the web like DBPedia, Wikipedia or recorded by smart devices or body-worn sensors with which the patient is equipped for personal monitoring of multiple parameters. The system architecture comprises of smart devices, fog layer and cloud layer as shown in Fig. 2 (b).

1) Smart Devices: Smart devices include smart cameras, body sensors, wearable devices, and other various sensors. The majority of these devices, for example wearable medical sensors, are not capable of storing data they generate. A straightforward design approach is to transfer their data to a remote cloud for processing. Given the large number of connected devices, the latency of the connection with the cloud could be significant. Moreover, these devices are power and bandwidth constrained, that make them unfit directly to the cloud architecture. Edge Computing is an essential paradigm shift towards a hierarchical system architecture and a more responsive design and therefore adopted in this paper. The concept behind the semantic smart gateway is to provide different services at the edge of the network between the smart objects and the cloud. The data from the smart device is then transmitted to the gateway via wired or wireless communication protocols.

2) Fog Layer: The fog layer connects smart devices to the internet and is situated at the edge of the network. It consists of the semantic smart gateway, which forms the core part of the proposed framework where all the business logic takes place which will be explained further in Section III. The gateway supports various wireless protocols and inter-device communication. The health sensors and the context (temperature, light sensor, flood sensor etc.) sensors are connected to the gateway using either wireless network or wired connections of different standards (e.g., 6LoWPAN, LoRa, Sigfox, NB-IoT, WiFi etc.). The smartness of the gateway comes in the form of easy integration of these heterogeneous networking technologies, protocols and standards with multiple interfaces thereby enabling them to exchange information and work seamlessly. Apart from supporting heterogeneous protocols and performing protocol conversion, the semantic gateway also performs other functions including data capture, representation and modelling,

data processing and knowledge generation, data filtering, data analysis etc. It also acts as an information dissemination point to other healthcare systems via the REST API.

3) Cloud Layer: This is the internet where all other healthcare system parties can access information and data. It consists of the remote cloud data centres, which host the knowledge base of the proposed framework. These data centres also store the generated semantic information in Resource Description Framework/ eXtensible Markup Language (RFD/XML) files, which are written in Web Ontology Language (OWL). OWL files can be viewed as databases of the future. They not only store the data of a system but also the metadata (data about data) called semantics which include relationships between concets. A large number of OWL files with individual instances of classes forms the knowledge base which can act as a database of any application. The cloud layer also comprises of online tools and repositories like wikipedia, Xively, DBpedia and ThinkSpeak and all other connected healthcare systems (emergency, pharmacy, National Health Service (NHS)) which can collaborate and request information seamlessly from other systems via the proposed framework. A web client is used as a graphical user interface for final visualisation and feedback. The web client can be accessed only by authorised users of other healthcare system.

B. Proposed Healthcare Systems Collaboration Framework

The proposed healthcare system collaboration framework is shown in Fig. 3. The purpose of the collaboration framework in the context of the healthcare is to: (1) capture and represent data from various sources (sensors or other healthcare systems via the web), (2) generate knowledge, and (3) share and exchange information and knowledge with other external healthcare systems. Data capture and representation is the first component of the framework. This is where acquisition of raw data and its modelling and representation takes place. The data will usually be modelled according to a proprietary schema. The next step is to apply semantic contexts and business rules on the data to convert it into useful information and actionable knowledge. Finally, the processed knowledge is ready to be exposed and collaborated upon with external healthcare agents through an API.

1) Data capture, representation and modelling:

Healthcare data is primarily captured from local sensor networks, but it can also be fetched from repositories that expose their data through an API (e.g. Xively). The asset model handles the modelling and representation of the sensing devices and data in a platform-specific manner and is stored according to a proprietary schema. Data may be stored in any corresponding storage medium like Relational Database Management System (RDBMS), XML files, Comma-Separated Values (CSV) files, excel files, etc. The asset model makes data available to the other components of the collaboration framework for further processing and enrichment. The access management component deals with the authentication and authorisation of actors who want to access the data stored in the asset model. These actors can be




Fig. 2. (a) The proposed IoT based healthcare interoperability architecture (b) Layers in the proposed architecture.

both internal (administrators) and external (other healthcare systems).

2) Data processing and knowledge generation: Once the data has been captured from the local sensor network or imported from other repositories, this data needs to be contextualised so that it can represent some meaningful information. The process of turning raw data into useful information can take place through a variety of methods. As an example, business rules can be applied to pieces of data to generate meaningful information. For example, a numeric data value taken from a sensor can have semantic contexts applied to it so that it turns into useful information, like a blood pressure level or water flow level. This whole field of capturing data and then generating, annotating and making available high-level information is known as Knowledge Management (KM). For each healthcare system, various data sources from the asset model are mapped into a local ontology such that each healthcare system has a local ontology. The local ontologies are then mapped into one global ontology which all the collaborating healthcare systems are built from. The ontologies are stored in .owl file formats in the internet and can be accessed and understood by machines and humans.

3) **Semantic Gateway Add-ons:** The gateway is also incorporated with some additional add-ons to be performed at the network edge as follows:

i) *Local Storage:* Data storage on gateways brings system reliability especially when the network is unavailable. The gateway proposed enables smooth data recover since it stores data locally in compressed or encrypted form. Data in the repository can be exported to medical standard formats such as HL7,HL7 V2 or HL7 V3 if required. The gateway is responsible for data analysis, compression, filtering, and encryption and all these functions requires a local temporary storage rather than sending parameters to a cloud and waiting for the responses. Consequently, the healthcare system reacts to the emergency situation much

faster with real-time responses. Moreover, it is possible to save the sensory data and processing results in a local storage at the fog layer and synchronise them with the Cloud later.

- ii) Security: An unsecured systems can have serious vulnerabilities and security should be considered as one of the most essential requirements in health applications. As the gateway can also act as an embedded web server during network unavailability, it can communicate over secure Hypertext Transfer Protocol Secure (HTTPS) and authenticate sensor nodes to maintain the confidentiality, integrity, and authenticity of the system.
- iii) Data Analysis: Real-time monitoring and analysis of the healthcare system can be implemented using a real-time server powered by Node.js as in [13] which has few additional key functions that enable real-time monitoring and analysis of the network as well as real-time capture and publication of assets. The sensitivity of the system is improved by applying local data analysis at the edge. It can assist the system to detect and predict emergency situations. For instance, in case of fall detection for in a smart home, the fog layer can locally offer fall-detection related processing
- iv) *Data Compression:* In the context of data communication, data compression is used for minimising communication latency and energy consumed during transaction.
- v) Standardisation: Depending on where data is sent, data can be formated into any of the healthcare standards such as HL7, HL7 V2, HL7 V3 when necessary by the smart gateway, in the standardisation module. Sensor nodes can be free from processing overhead that results in formatting the data into standards. In addition, the overhead on the communication channel due to the standards related information that could be sent with the data is removed

4) Collaboration: After the high level information has been generated and ontologies has been built, the information




Fig. 3. Proposed healthcare systems collaboration framework.

and the knowledge of the healthcare system can then be exposed to external healthcare systems. An API is developed to enable collaboration since it can expose information that is represented in either a proprietary or an interoperable fashion. The front-end web application can be hosted on an Apache webserver and exposes the underlying functionalities through a RESTful API (Application Programming Interface). A webapp provides a Graphical User Interface (GUI) and exposes the semantic engine for applications like semantic querying of assets.

C. Preliminary Ontology Case Study

A case study is considered where two independent healthcare systems are built using OWL to share information. OWL is a semantic web language designed to represent rich and complex knowledge about things, groups of things, and relations between things. In the case study, there are two distinct healthcare systems not interacting with each other; 1) the clinic and 2) the pharmacy. A patient needs to first register with his/her local clinic and provides patient-related information, for example the patient's firstname, surname, patientID, etc.. After registration, a patient can book an appointment with the doctor in the local clinic through the web, telephone or in person. The doctor, during the appointment, diagnoses the sickness and makes a prescription for the patient. The patient now has a prescription which can treat his/her sickness. The clinic does not provide the medication list in the prescription as such, the prescription is printed and the patient is referred to the pharmacist to collect the medication. The patient can lose the paper prescription which may cause delays in treatment and hence serious health risks. At the pharmacy, the patient presents the prescription paper to the pharmacist and medication is then given without a more valid proof of the source of the prescription. Sometimes handwritten prescriptions gives the pharmacist's hard times to understand. Sometimes the medication is out of order and the patient has to wait for some few days to collect it which can worsen the medical condition.

It will be wise for the pharmacy to access the electronic prescription from the GP/doctor in the clinic automatically and prepare the list of medications in advance to eliminate the above problems of paper prescription. This means the clinic will expose only its prescription and the unique identifier of the patient like full name or patientID to the pharmacy in a secure manner e.g. using login and password to access the prescription notification after the patient has been given a prescription.

1) Ontology creation for the case study: The graphical view of the proposed ontologies to be created is shown in Fig. 4. Using OWL, interoperability of the clinic-pharmacy case study can be achieved smoothly by exposing the electronic prescription from the clinical doctor to the pharmacist. To address this case study, the clinic and the pharmacy healthcare systems will have their own ontologies written in OWL based on a shared ontology with global vocabulary. The ontologies are created with Protege, a free open-source ontology editor and framework for building ontologies. The clinic ontology created is called clinic.owl (Fig. 5) and the pharmacy ontology is called pharmacy.owl (Fig. 6). These are RDF/XML files that can be uploaded to the internet. To be precise, the clinic ontology Uniform Resource Identifier (URI) http://www.semanticweb.org/tsigwel1/ontology2/clinic is the pharmacy ontology URI and is http://www.semanticweb.org/tsigwel1/ontologies/pharmacy. called An online ontology viewer webvowl can used to graphically be view the ontology at http://www.visualdataweb.de/webvowl. The global ontology is common to both systems and consist of a base class called Thing, where all other classes are derived from. The pharmacy ontology consists of 4 classes (thing, person, pharmacist, patient and prescription). The clinic ontology consists 8 classes (thing, person, patient, doctor, sickness, prescription, receptionist and appointment.




Fig. 4. Ontologies of the two systems with share global ontology enabling collaboration

*	Clinic onto	ology URI ×	🛛 🖉 Data properties
		ZITEX g/tsigwel1/ontology2/clinic b.org/tsigwel1/ontology2/clinic/1.0.0	Data property hierarchy: 2111 H W T C. X Asserted • • owl:topDataProperty • Firstname • PatientID
- OnlineAp	Asserted Appoinment poinment eAppoinment	Object Properties Object Properties Object Property Object Property Object Property Object Property Object Property outlooblectProperty o	Synchroniang
Synchronising		Synchronising	Synchronising

Fig. 5. Creation of *clinic.owl* ontology with Protege using OWL

Pharmacy ontology URI Tritology header:		Class Instances
		Individuals:
Ontology IRI http://www.semanticweb.org/tsigwel1/ontologies/pharmacy		◆ 30
Ontology Version IRI .g. http://www.semanticweb.org/tsigwell/ontologies/pharmacy		Acetaminopleu Ibuprofin
Annotations 🕀		Paracetamol Patient1
Synchronising		Synchronising
 Ontology Classes 	Object Properties ×	Data properties
Class hierarchy: owl:Thin 211 = 2	Object property hierarchy:	Data property hierarcl 2 = = × T C + × Asserted -
Owl:Thing Overson Overson Overson Overson Overson Overson Overson Overson Overson Overson	owl:topObjectProperty owl:topObjectProperty des dives takes	
Synchronising	Synchronising	Synchronising

Fig. 6. Creation of *pharmacy.owl* ontology with Protege using OWL.

The classes are associated with each other by object properties e.g., Patient 'books' Appoinment where books is an object property. It can be clearly seen in Fig. 4 that there are some common concepts/casses between the two systems like (patient, prescription). With ontology, this concepts are standardised such that they are of the same format to both systems which makes the instances of the shared classes like 'patient1' and 'prescriptionForPatient1' to be accessed, opened and viewed by the other party with ease. After the ontologies are created, the clinic exposes the Patient details and the 'prescriptionName' through the web. Fig. 7(a) and Fig. 7(b) show part of the pharmacy and clinic ontologies in RDF/XML format.

2) Clinical data dissemination to the Pharmacy: Fig. 8 shows a pharmacist accessing the electronic version of the prescription from the clinic. The clinic exposes its patient and prescription data using a SPARQL Endpoint where the pharmacist with access rights can querry the clinic.owl which stores the data of the clinic. A SPAROL endpoint is where SPARQL query language is used to search data stored in the clinic.owl ontology file created at the pharmacy side for accessing the prescription. The SPARQL endpoints are simpler ways of querying a list of OWL files normally called knowledge base. The machines in the pharmacy area (reception computer and other pharmacy machines) can understand the prescription requested from the clinic and can validate the source of the prescription as the clinic is trusted and the future machines in the pharmacy can even dispense the prescrition to the patient automaticaly reducing delays and errors.

IV. CONCLUSION

This paper presented a conceptual semantic based healthcare collaboration framework that offers information exchange between different IoT healthcare systems seamlessly that is readable by both machines and humans. The healthcare systems considered are heterogeneous and geographically distributed smart homes, smart hospitals and smart clinics that need to interact and collaborate with each other. In the proposed framework, each healthcare system incorporated an intelligent edge semantic gateway consisting of a web application with a restful API that is used to securely expose the healthcare information of each healthcare system for collaboration. A case study that exposed the patient's data



Fig. 7. (a) Pharmacy ontology in RDF/XML format and (b) Clinic ontology in RDF/XML format.



Fig. 8. Patient prescrition viewed by the pharmacist.

from other healthcare systems was practically demonstrated where a pharmacist can access clinical data stored in the knowledge base (OWL files). This preliminary work will be extended to a prototype by simulation where semantic web will be used and tool like Protege, Jena, iFogSim will be extensively used to build distinct multiple healthcare systems, build their local ontologies, then integrate the two systems by developing an RESTful API web app to expose the information and knowledge between the two systems.

V. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was conducted within the framework of the BLESS U (Bandar Lampung Enhanced Smart-health Services with Smart Ubiquity) project, funded through a British Council Institutional Links grant under the BEIS-managed Newton Fund.

REFERENCES

 T. Benson, Principles of health interoperability HL7 and SNOMED. Springer London:, 2010.

- [2] O. Iroju, A. Soriyan, I. Gambo, and J. Olaleke, "Interoperability in healthcare: benefits, challenges and resolutions," *International Journal* of Innovation and Applied Studies, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 262–270, 2013.
- [3] L. Cardoso, F. Marins, F. Portela, M. Santos, A. Abelha, and J. Machado, "The next generation of interoperability agents in healthcare," *International journal of environmental research and public health*, vol. 11, no. 5, pp. 5349–5371, 2014.
- [4] C. E. Koop, R. Mosher, L. Kun, J. Geiling, E. Grigg, S. Long, C. Macedonia, R. C. Merrell, R. Satava, and J. M. Rosen, "Future delivery of health care: Cybercare," *IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Magazine*, vol. 27, no. 6, 2008.
- [5] L. Cardoso, F. Marins, F. Portela, A. Abelha, and J. Machado, "Healthcare interoperability through intelligent agent technology," *Procedia Technology*, vol. 16, pp. 1334–1341, 2014.
- [6] HL7-International, Introduction to HL7 Standards, 2018 (accessed March 19, 2018). [Online]. Available: http://www.hl7.org/implement/ standards/
- [7] openEHR.org, An open domain-driven platform for developing flexible e-health systems, 2018 (accessed March 19, 2018). [Online]. Available: https://www.openehr.org/what_is_openehr
- [8] R. Mahmud, F. L. Koch, and R. Buyya, "Cloud-fog interoperability in iot-enabled healthcare solutions," 2018.
- [9] F. Ullah, M. A. Habib, M. Farhan, S. Khalid, M. Y. Durrani, and S. Jabbar, "Semantic interoperability for big-data in heterogeneous iot infrastructure for healthcare," *Sustainable Cities and Society*, vol. 34, pp. 90–96, 2017.
- [10] A. J. Ducrou, "Complete interoperability in healthcare: technical, semantic and process interoperability through ontology mapping and distributed enterprise integration techniques," 2009.
- [11] W. A. Khan, M. Hussain, M. Afzal, K. Latif, H. F. Ahmad, and A. Khattak, "Towards semantic process interoperability," in 10th International HL7 Interoperability Conference (IHIC), 2009, pp. 88–95.
- [12] A.-M. Rahmani, N. K. Thanigaivelan, T. N. Gia, J. Granados, B. Negash, P. Liljeberg, and H. Tenhunen, "Smart e-health gateway: Bringing intelligence to internet-of-things based ubiquitous healthcare systems," in *Consumer Communications and Networking Conference (CCNC)*, 2015 12th Annual IEEE. IEEE, 2015, pp. 826–834.
- [13] M. Amir, Y. Hu, and P. Pillai, "A generic and extensible asset model for a semantic collaboration framework," *International Journal of Advanced Computer Technology*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 88–96, 2014.