The Future Opportunities and Challenges of Business in Digital Era 4.0 – Bangsawan et al. (eds)
© 2020 Taylor & Francis Group, London, ISBN 978-0-367-42594-4

The fish demand at fish auction sites in Lampung: Implementation of the Quadratic Almost Ideal Demand System (QUAIDS) model

Prayudha Ananta, Dwi Jayanti, Sahala Pandjaitan & Ukhti Ciptawaty Lampung University, Lampung, Indonesia

ABSTRACT: The purpose of this paper was to discuss the factors influencing fish demand in Lampung Province. Data were collected by observing fishing fields in the subject area. This study was carried out to examine the correlation between demand and fish nutrition, which is more complicated than other sources of protein. Hence, it explains the reason for the lack of nutrition in Lampung Province. Researchers used three stages of estimation in examining the model, which was called the Quadratic Almost Ideal Demand System (QUAIDS). At the first stage of estimation, the results showed that the model used was relatively good. The coefficient estimated value of food expenditure was positive, and it had a significant effect on its coefficient determination at 96.8%. Next, the research showed a negative factor value for the fish consumption model, indicating that the lower the price, the higher the demand, and vice versa, positioning at 90.5%. Last, the model showed that the variables of price, income, and expenditure did not affect the total demand, but the number of household members had a significant influence on it.

Keywords: fish auction, demand and QUAIDS model

1 INTRODUCTION

The potency of fishery resources in Lampung Province in 2016 reached about 65 million tons/year; 7.4 and 57.6 million tons/year for fishing and farming, respectively. To Indonesians, this was a foundation for developing and transforming the economy to create jobs, foreign exchange, and income and to supplement national food reserves. National food and nutrition endurance links social sustainability, economics, and political stability.

Lampung has high potency in its fishery due to its geographical conditions. The natural border on the west, east, and south of Lampung is the ocean. Unfortunately, however, fish consumption in Lampung is considerably low.



Figure 1. Average fish consumption in Lampung and across Indonesia (kg/year).

2 LITERATURE REVIEW

The QUAIDS model is commonly used in consumer behavior assessment. Generally, the demand function states the relationship between the quantity requested, and the factors are the place and time. The demand function is integrated in two ways: (1) maximizing customer satisfaction within the constraints in the budget and the price; (2) minimizing the cost and increasing the output at the fixed income level. The QUAIDS model is the generalization of the preferred class. Indirectly, the function of the Piglog utility is:

$$Log V = \left\{ \frac{[\log x \log a(p)]}{b(p)} - 1 + \lambda(p) \right\}^{-1}$$

Notes: x is the total spending; p is the price vector; $\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{p})$ is the homogenous function degree one in price; and $\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{p})$, as well as $\lambda(\mathbf{p})$, is the zero degrees uniform function in price.

3 METHODS

In the first step, consumers allocated their income for food and non-food spending. In the second step, they allocated their income for fishery and non-fishery products. In the third step, fishery expenditure was approached with the QUAIDS model.

At the first step, the model used was:

$$Ln(M)_{i} = \alpha + \gamma Ln(Pi)_{i} + \beta Ln(X)_{i} + \sum \theta \ Z_{i} + \epsilon \eqno(1)$$

M is the total spending for a household in a month M (Rp/Kap/month); Pi is the price index for food (Rp); X is the family income (Rp/Kap/month); and Z is the demographic variable (the number of members in the family and the amount of spending for food). At the second stage, the demand function was determined by:

$$F_{i} = \alpha + \sum \gamma \; Ln(Pi)_{i} + \beta \; Ln(M)_{i} + \sum \theta \; Z_{i} + \epsilon \eqno(2)$$

F is the total spending on fisheries (Rp/kap/month); Pi is the food price index I; M is the total spending on food (Rp/Kap/month); and Z is the demographic variable (the number of members in the family and the amount of spending for fish). In the third step, all the spending for the fishery was approached using the QUAIDS model. The function was as follows:

$$S_{i} = \beta_{1} \ LnP_{i} + \ \beta_{2} \ Ln(E/I)_{i}^{2} + \ \beta_{3} \ Ln(X)_{i} + \ \beta_{4} \ Ln(JRT)_{I} + \epsilon \eqno(3)$$

S is the weekly demand (Kg); Pi is the fish price of I (Rp); F/I m is the spending on 'the stone model' pricing (Rp); X is the spending (Rp); TJR and JRT are the number of people in households (persons).

4 RESULTS

4.1 Reporting research results

The model used in the stage is good. The determinant coefficient shows at 96.8%, and the price index is significantly affected by the food; then it shows that the higher the price of the product, the higher the spending.

Table 1. The predicted result for the spending model in stage 1.

| Variables | Parameter Expectations |
|--------------------|------------------------|
| Food Price Index | 0.33177 **) |
| Income | 0.02110 |
| JRT | 0.02413 |
| D1 (price of fish) | 0.04880 |
| Spending | 0.66984 |

The determinant coefficient is 96.8%.

The model used in stage 2 shows a good result. The coefficient determinant is 90.5%. At this stage, the expected immitant is negative. It means people would substitute the fish with the other product if the price increment happens. D. Unila.ac.id

Table 2. The estimation result for stage 2.

| Variables | Parameter Expectations |
|--------------------|------------------------|
| Group of P.Ikan | 0.91026 |
| Index | -0.2038 |
| M | -0.043 |
| JRT | 0.08366 |
| D1 (price of fish) | -0.312 *) |

The determinant coefficient is 90.5%.

The model result in stage 3 is excellent. The determinant coefficient is 94.2%. At this stage, it is estimated that the number of households would provide a positive effect. At this stage, it shows that the greater the population, the higher the demand for fish. Within this model, it is concluded, the shape of quadratics does not determine the fishery in Lampung.

Table 3. The estimation results in stage 3.

| Variables | Parameter Expectations |
|-----------|------------------------|
| S | 3.431 |
| S P | 0.0340 |
| (F/I)2 | -0.00924 |
| X | 1.0927 |
| JRT | 9.7419**) |

The determinant coefficient is 94.2%.

5 DISCUSSION

The government is encouraged to build more ideal fish markets or auction centers that are easily accessible for the local community to help to increase fish consumption. Lampung Province has significant potential to develop its fish market. This would then improve the

^{*)} Significant at 99%

^{*)} Significant at 95%

^{*)} Significant at 99%

Printing is for personal, private use only. No part of this book may be reproduced or transmitted without publisher's prior permission. Violators will be prosecuted.

> productivity of the fishery sector as well as the socialization of fish consumption. Furthermore, it creates social awareness of the importance of protein from fish products. Ultimately, it is expected to improve fish consumption at the provincial level to reach the target of national nutrition endurance.

CONCLUSION

- The price and spending variables are not affecting demand. The number of households significantly affects demand. Price and income have no significant effect on demand.
- The model of fish demand is not quadratic.

REFERENCES

Banks, J., Blundell, R., and Lewbel, A. 1997. Quadratic Engel curve and consumer demand. Review of Economics and Statistics 79(4) (November 1997).

Garcia del Hoyo, J. 2010. A demand analysis of the Spanish canned tuna market.

Graddy, K. 2006. The Fulton Fish Market. Journal of Economic Perspectives 20(2) (Spring 2006).

Laili, F., and Anindita, R. 2018. Consumption and demand estimation of broiler chicken at the household level in East Java: Implementation of the Quadratic Almost Ideal Demand System model (QUAIDS). Jurnal Ekonomi Pertanian dan Agribisnis 2(2).

Lesmono and Virgantari. 2014. Analisis Permintaan Pangan Hewani Indonesia dengan Model QUAIDS.

Pandjaitan, S. 2018. Teori Ekonomi Mikro Lanjut. Penerbit CV. Anugrah Utama Raharja (AURA).

Pindyck, S. R. 2012. Mikroekonomi. Penerbit. Jakarta: Erlangga.

Sayoung, Y. 2017. The Demand for Cultural Services in Korea Using the QUAIDS Model.

Virgantari, F., et al. 2011. Analisis Permintaan Ikan di Indonesia: Pendekatan Model Quadratic Almost Ideal Demand System (QUAIDS). Jurnal Sosial Ekonomi Pertnian.