Printed by: ernie.hendrawaty@feb.unila.ac.id. Printing is for personal, private use only. No part of this book may be reproduced or transmitted without publisher's prior permission. Violators will be prosecuted.

### 3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

## 3.1 Population and research samples

The population used to include all companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange from the period between 2010 and 2018, while the samples covered first and second quartile companies that conducted *stock split, rights issues, mergers,* and acquisitions during this period.

Data was collected and obtained from the Indonesia Stock Exchange website, and several other sources related to the topic of this study and the information obtained through documentation include the names of issuers, stock prices, total asset values, market capitalization values, and others.

# 3.2 Variables

The dependent variable was Return Stock, while the independent variable was company size measured using total assets and market capitalization, Market to Book ratio, and Stock Beta.

### 3.3 Data analysis method

Hypotheses 1, 2, and 3 were tested using the following formula:

$$RET = \alpha + b_1 DSIZE + b_2 BETA + b_3 MTB + e$$
(3.1)

Hypotheses 4, 5, and 6 were tested using the following formula:

$$t = \frac{\left(\overline{RET}_{small} - \overline{RET}_{large}\right) - \left(\mu_{small} - \mu_{large}\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{S_p^2}{n_{sonall}} + S_p^2/n_{large}}}$$
(3.2)

$$= \frac{(\overline{BETA}_{small} - \overline{BETA}_{large}) - (\mu_{small} - \mu_{large})}{\sqrt{\frac{S_p^2}{n_{em}} + S_p^2/n_{large}}}$$
(3.3)

$$t = \frac{\overline{(MTB_{small\ value\ stock} - \overline{MTB}_{small\ growth\ stock}) - \left(\mu_{small\ value\ stock} - \mu_{small\ growth\ stock}\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{S_p^2}{n_{small\ walue\ stock} + S_p^2/n_{small\ growth\ stock}}}$$
(3.4)

Note:

 $\alpha = Constant.$ 

b1-3 = Coefficient of the Independent Variable.

DSIZE = Company size.

BETA = systematic risk of a stock or portfolio.

MTB = ratio of market to book value

Small Value Stocks = Small Size stock with Low MTB

Small Growth Stocks = Small Stocks with High MTB

## 4 DISCUSSION

This study reexamined previous classical research on the role of size in determining stock returns. It has been previously reported that there is an inverse or *negative* relationship between company size and stock *returns* (French, 1992). The beta factor or market risk of CAPM was also reported not to be the only factor to explain the variations in *returns*. Size

measured by Market Value of Equity (ME) and Book to Market Equity (BE/ME) ratio also has significant strength in explaining the variation in stock returns.

Companies with large market capitalization have lower returns compared to those with smaller capitalization, and the shares in the equity market of small firms outperformed the stock returns of larger firms through the phenomenon of the size effect. Contrarily, the stock returns of group value with a high book to market equity ratio outperformed those of group growth with a low book to market equity ratio through the phenomenon of value effect.

### REFERENCES

- ALTEZA, M. 2007. Efek hari perdagangan terhadap return saham: suatu telaah atas Anomali Pasar Efisien. Jurnal ilmu manajemen, 3, 31–42.
- BANZ, R. W. 1981. THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN RETURN AND MARKET VALUE OF COMMON STOCKS. Journal of Fmanctal Economics, 9 (1981) 3318.
- BERK, J. 1996. An empirical re-examination of the relation between firm size and return. Unpublished manuscript, University of British Columbia.
- BRIGHAM, E. F. & HOUSTON, J. F. 2001. Manajemen Keuangan. Buku 1 edisi 8. Jakarta: Erlangga. FAMA, E. F. 1970. EFFICIENT CAPITAL MARKETS: A REVIEW OF THEORY AND EMPIRICAL WORK. The Journal Of Finance.
- FAMA, E. F. & FRENCH, K. R. 2012. Size, value, and momentum in international stock returns. Journal of Financial Economics, 105, 457–472.
- FRENCH, E. F. F. A. K. R. 1992. The Cross-Section of Expected Stock Returns. THE JOURNAL OF FINANCE, VOL. XLVII, NO. 2.
- FRENCH, E. F. F. A. K. R. 2008. Dissecting Anomalies. THE JOURNAL OF FINANCE VOL. LXIII. NO. 4.
- JONES, C., MENEZES, F. M. & VELLA, F. 1996. Auction price anomalies: evidence from wool auctions in Australia, Faculty of Economics & Commerce and Economics Program, Research School of.....
- LEVY, J. S. 1996. Loss aversion, framing, and bargaining: The implications of prospect theory for international conflict. International Political Science Review, 17, 179–195.
- PANDEY, A. & SEHGAL, S. 2015. Explaining Size Effect for Indian Stock Market. Asia-Pacific Financial Markets, 23, 45–68.
- PANDEY, A. & SEHGAL, S. 2016. Explaining size effect for Indian stock market. Asia-Pacific Financial Markets, 23, 45–68.
- PRATOMO, A. W. 2007. JANUARY EFFECT DAN SIZE EFFECT PADA BURSA EFEK JAKARTA (BEJ) PERIODE 1998–2005. Thesis Universitas Diponegoro.
- RE, R. Y. 2012. ANOMALI SIZE EFFECT DI BURSA EFEK INDONESIA. Jurnal Kajian Manajemen Bisnis, Volume 1.
- REINGANUM, M. R. 1992. A Revival of the small firm effect. The Journal of Portfolio Management. SANGER, C. G. L. A. G. C. 1989. Firm Size and Turn-of-the-Year Effects in the OTC/ NASDAQ Market. THE JOURNAL OF FINANCE, VOL. XLIV. NO. 5.
- SEHGAL, S. & BALAKRISHNAN, A. 2013. Robustness of Fama-French Three Factor Model: Further Evidence for Indian Stock Market. Vision: The Journal of Business Perspective, 17, 119–127.
- TANDELILIN, E. 2001. Analisis investasi dan manajemen portofolio. Yogyakarta: Bpfe.
- TRIPATHI, S. S. A. V. 2005. SIZE EFFECT IN INDIAN STOCKMARKET: SOME EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE. The Journal of Business Perspective Vol. 9 No.4. October-December 2005.
- XU, J. 2002. The Size Effect of the Stock Returns in the Chinese Market. SSRN Working Paper.

Printed by: ernie.hendrawaty@feb.unila.ac.id. Printing is for personal, private use only. No part of this book may be reproduced or transmitted without publisher's prior permission. Violators will be prosecuted.

## 3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

## 3.1 Population and research samples

The population used to include all companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange from the period between 2010 and 2018, while the samples covered first and second quartile companies that conducted *stock split, rights issues, mergers,* and acquisitions during this period.

Data was collected and obtained from the Indonesia Stock Exchange website, and several other sources related to the topic of this study and the information obtained through documentation include the names of issuers, stock prices, total asset values, market capitalization values, and others.

# 3.2 Variables

The dependent variable was Return Stock, while the independent variable was company size measured using total assets and market capitalization, Market to Book ratio, and Stock Beta.

# 3.3 Data analysis method

Hypotheses 1, 2, and 3 were tested using the following formula:

$$RET = \alpha + b_1 DSIZE + b_2 BETA + b_3 MTB + e$$
(3.1)

Hypotheses 4, 5, and 6 were tested using the following formula:

$$t = \frac{\left(\overline{RET}_{small} - \overline{RET}_{large}\right) - \left(\mu_{small} - \mu_{large}\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{S_p^2}{n_{sough}} + S_p^2/n_{large}}}$$
(3.2)

$$= \frac{\left(\overline{BETA}_{small} - \overline{BETA}_{large}\right) - \left(\mu_{small} - \mu_{large}\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{S_p^2}{R_{constl.}} + S_p^2/n_{large}}}$$
(3.3)

$$t = \frac{\overline{(MTB_{small \ value \ stock} - \overline{MTB_{small \ growth \ stock}}) - \left(\mu_{small \ value \ stock} - \mu_{small \ growth \ stock}\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{S_p^2}{n_{small \ value \ stock} + S_p^2/n_{small \ growth \ stock}}}}$$
(3.4)

Note:

 $\alpha = Constant.$ 

b1-3 = Coefficient of the Independent Variable.

DSIZE = Company size.

BETA = systematic risk of a stock or portfolio.

MTB = ratio of market to book value

Small Value Stocks = Small Size stock with Low MTB

Small Growth Stocks = Small Stocks with High MTB

# 4 DISCUSSION

This study reexamined previous classical research on the role of size in determining stock returns. It has been previously reported that there is an inverse or *negative* relationship between company size and stock *returns* (French, 1992). The beta factor or market risk of CAPM was also reported not to be the only factor to explain the variations in *returns*. Size

measured by Market Value of Equity (ME) and Book to Market Equity (BE/ME) ratio also has significant strength in explaining the variation in stock returns.

Companies with large market capitalization have lower returns compared to those with smaller capitalization, and the shares in the equity market of small firms outperformed the stock returns of larger firms through the phenomenon of the size effect. Contrarily, the stock returns of group value with a high book to market equity ratio outperformed those of group growth with a low book to market equity ratio through the phenomenon of value effect.

### REFERENCES

- ALTEZA, M. 2007. Efek hari perdagangan terhadap return saham: suatu telaah atas Anomali Pasar Efisien. Jurnal ilmu manajemen, 3, 31–42.
- BANZ, R. W. 1981. THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN RETURN AND MARKET VALUE OF COMMON STOCKS. Journal of Fmanctal Economics, 9 (1981) 3318.
- BERK, J. 1996. An empirical re-examination of the relation between firm size and return. Unpublished manuscript, University of British Columbia.
- BRIGHAM, E. F. & HOUSTON, J. F. 2001. Manajemen Keuangan. Buku 1 edisi 8. Jakarta: Erlangga. FAMA, E. F. 1970. EFFICIENT CAPITAL MARKETS: A REVIEW OF THEORY AND EMPIRICAL WORK. The Journal Of Finance.
- FAMA, E. F. & FRENCH, K. R. 2012. Size, value, and momentum in international stock returns. Journal of Financial Economics, 105, 457–472.
- FRENCH, E. F. F. A. K. R. 1992. The Cross-Section of Expected Stock Returns. THE JOURNAL OF FINANCE, VOL. XLVII, NO. 2.
- FRENCH, E. F. F. A. K. R. 2008. Dissecting Anomalies. THE JOURNAL OF FINANCE VOL. LXIII. NO. 4.
- JONES, C., MENEZES, F. M. & VELLA, F. 1996. Auction price anomalies: evidence from wool auctions in Australia, Faculty of Economics & Commerce and Economics Program, Research School of.....
- LEVY, J. S. 1996. Loss aversion, framing, and bargaining: The implications of prospect theory for international conflict. International Political Science Review, 17, 179–195.
- PANDEY, A. & SEHGAL, S. 2015. Explaining Size Effect for Indian Stock Market. Asia-Pacific Financial Markets, 23, 45–68.
- PANDEY, A. & SEHGAL, S. 2016. Explaining size effect for Indian stock market. Asia-Pacific Financial Markets, 23, 45–68.
- PRATOMO, A. W. 2007. JANUARY EFFECT DAN SIZE EFFECT PADA BURSA EFEK JAKARTA (BEJ) PERIODE 1998–2005. Thesis Universitas Diponegoro.
- RE, R. Y. 2012. ANOMALI SIZE EFFECT DI BURSA EFEK INDONESIA. Jurnal Kajian Manajemen Bisnis, Volume 1.
- REINGANUM, M. R. 1992. A Revival of the small firm effect. The Journal of Portfolio Management. SANGER, C. G. L. A. G. C. 1989. Firm Size and Turn-of-the-Year Effects in the OTC/ NASDAQ Market. THE JOURNAL OF FINANCE, VOL. XLIV. NO. 5.
- SEHGAL, S. & BALAKRISHNAN, A. 2013. Robustness of Fama-French Three Factor Model: Further Evidence for Indian Stock Market. Vision: The Journal of Business Perspective, 17, 119–127.
- TANDELILIN, E. 2001. Analisis investasi dan manajemen portofolio. Yogyakarta: Bpfe.
- TRIPATHI, S. S. A. V. 2005. SIZE EFFECT IN INDIAN STOCKMARKET: SOME EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE. The Journal of Business Perspective Vol. 9 No.4. October-December 2005.
- XU, J. 2002. The Size Effect of the Stock Returns in the Chinese Market. SSRN Working Paper.