

#175 (1570542503): Adaptive Background Subtraction for Monitoring System

# #175 (1570542503): Adaptive Background Subtraction for Monitoring System



Property **Change Add** Value Conference and 2019 International Conference on Information and Communications Technology (ICOIACT) - Signal Processing and Analysis track Affiliation (edit for paper) Name ID Edit Flag Email Country Afit Miranto 1674026 Universitas Lampung, Indonesia afit.miranto@gmail.com Indonesia Authors 1512144 University of Lampung, Indonesia sr sulistiyanti@eng.unila.ac.id Indonesia Sri Ratna Sulistiyanti Ø 1421035 University of Lampung, Indonesia fx.arinto@eng.unila.ac.id Indonesia Arinto Setyawan Only the chairs Title (2ndicoiact2019-Adaptive Background Subtraction for Monitoring System chairs@edas.info) can edit Security is one of the most important things for human life at this time. Complex activities often cause homes to be left unattended. One of the actions taken by most people to guard their homes while traveling is to use CCTV (Closed Circuit Television) cameras. This conventional CCTV is less effective because the camera is only recording without analyzing objects. From the shortcomings, the camera is made so that it can monitor the activities of the changes in the movement of objects seen by the camera, in this case the object Only the chairs detected is human movement. The monitoring system using this camera can detect passing objects. This paper proposes the adaptive Abstract (2ndicoiact2019background subtraction method needed to adapt to frame changes. The background frame will always be updated against the previous chairs@edas.info) can edit background intensity inference. Then it will analyze the effectiveness of the method. The effectiveness of the method used is then evaluated by comparing the results of object extraction with ground truth. The best success rate in object detection from object detection method is measured by calculating recall precision and F-measure values. The experimental results show satisfactory performance from the proposed method. Only the chairs (2ndicoiact2019-Topics

Signal Processing and Analysis; Image, Speech, and Signal Processing

	<u>chairs@edas.info</u> ) can edit				
Presenter(s)	Ð	Afit Miranto 🕢 🔟			
Registration	<b></b>	Afit Miranto has registered and paid for RB1:B1S 🛞 📝			
Session		2B: Parallel Session 2-B from Wed, July 24, 2019 13:00 until 15:00 (6th paper) in PARANGKUSUMA Room (15 min.)			
	Only the chairs				
DOI	(2ndicoiact2019-				
	<u>chairs@edas.info</u> ) can edit				
Status	$(\mathbf{x})$	Published			
Copyright form	+	IEEE; IEEE: <u>May 1, 2019 22:39:07 America/New_York</u>			
Review manuscript		However, authors cannot upload: paper status			
		Document (show) Pages File size Changed			
		5 884,729 <u>Apr 5, 2019 01:25:06 America/New York</u> 🕉			
		pdf authormeta Doubleblind conference, but author name 'Sulistiyanti' is in PDF meta data. See <u>FAQ</u> for details.			
		pdf authorname Doubleblind conference, but author name 'Setyawan' is visible on first page. (This is only a warnin if false positive.) See <u>FAQ</u> for details.			
		However, authors cannot upload: presentation deadline			
Presentation	Could upload until <u>Aug 3,</u> 2019 12:59:59 EDT.	Document (show) Pages File size Changed			
		9,359,671 Jun 10, 2019 10:11:12 America/New York			
		The paper title Adaptive Background Subtraction for Monitoring System was not found in the paper. (This is			
		pptx papertitle only a warning and may be mistaken if the title contains special characters.) <b>PowerPoint PresentationAfit</b>			
		Miranto, Sri Ratna Sulist			
		However, authors cannot upload: final deadline			
Final manuscript	Could upload until <u>Aug 3,</u>	Document (show) Pages File size Changed Similarity rating 🕄			



### **Personal notes**

## $\left| + \right|$

You are the creator and an author for this paper. You have authored an accepted paper.

2019 12:59:59 EDT

#### **Reviews**

**3 Reviews** 

Review 1 (Reviewer F)

Relevance and timeliness (Rate the importance and timeliness of the topic addressed in the paper within its area of research.) Good (4)	Technical content and scientific rigour (Rate the technical content of the paper. (e.g. completeness of the analysis or simulation study, thoroughness of the treatise, accuracy of the models, etc), its soundness and scientific rigour.) Good (4)	Novelty and originality (Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.) Good (4)	Ouality of presentation (Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references) Good (4)	Recommendation (How do you rate your recommendation?) Accept. (3)			
Detailed comments (Please justify your recommendation and suggest improvements in technical content or presentation.) 1. The renewal effectiveness of the method is good 2. Evaluation 3. precision and F score all frame 4. Real color picture and gray scale picture							
Review 2 (Reviewer H)							
Relevance and timeliness (Rate the importance and timeliness of the topic addressed in the paper within its area of research.) Average (3)	Technical content and scientific rigour (Rate the technical content of the paper. (e.g. completeness of the analysis or simulation study. thoroughness of the treatise. accuracy of the models. etc). its soundness and scientific rigour.) Average (3)	Novelty and originality (Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.) Below Average (2)	Quality of presentation (Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references) Average (3)	Recommendation (How do you rate your recommendation?) Possible Accept. (2)			
Detailed comments (Please justify your recommendation and suggest improvements in technical content or presentation.) The result conclusion must be clear and inline with discussion. Make sure all references are cited on the paper.							
Review 3 (Reviewer J)							
Relevance and timeliness (Rate the importance and timeliness of the topic addressed in the paper within its area of research.)	Technical content and scientific rigour (Rate the technical content of the paper. (e.g. completeness of the analysis or simulation study. thoroughness of the treatise, accuracy of the models, etc), its soundness and scientific rigour.)	Novelty and originality (Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.)	Quality of presentation (Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references)	Recommendation (How do you rate your recommendation?)			
Good (4)	Average (3)	Average (3)	Average (3)	Possible Accept. (2)			
Detailed comments (Please justify your recommendation and suggest improvements in technical content or presentation.)							

Comparisons of existing techniques with respect to equation (7) to equation (9) should be present.
All the equations present in this paper are already existing, then please justify the authors contributions.

EDAS at 172.30.1.76 (Mon, 07 Sep 2020 21:26:45 -0400 EDT) [User 1512144 using Win10:Chrome 74.0 0.078/0.841 s] Request help

#### b. Sertifikat



