

FARM PERFORMANCE AND PROBLEM AREA OF COCOA PLANTATION IN LAMPUNG PROVINCE, INDONESIA

By Rusdi Evizal; Sumaryo; Nyimas sa'diyah;Joko Prasetyo;Fembriarti Erry
Prasmatiwi

FARM PERFORMANCE AND PROBLEM AREA OF COCOA PLANTATION
IN LAMPUNG PROVINCE, INDONESIA

Rusdi Evizal¹, Sumaryo³, Nyimas Sa'diyah¹, Joko Prasetyo², Fembriarti Erry Prasmatiwi³,
Indah Nurmayasari³

¹Department of Agrotechnology, ²Department of Phytopathology,
³Department of Agribusiness, University of Lampung
Email: rusdievizal@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

Indonesia is the world's third largest producers of cocoa, having approximately 1.6 million hectares of cocoa plantation, including 68 thousand ha in Lampung Province. In the last ten years, the trend of cocoa field productivity in this province was decreasing. This study aimed to explore farm performance and problems of cocoa plantation in Lampung. The site of this survey covered two regencies of Lampung Province which purposively sampled representing low land and mountainous land of cocoa smallholder plantations. In each regency we purposively sampled two districts with large area of cocoa, and randomly sampled 500 farmers to be interviewed. Field survey was conducted at one cocoa village with highest elevation to survey problem of black pod disease. We found that cocoa farming system in Lampung was mixed cropping 60.6% and monoculture 39.4%. Coconut and banana were the main mixed crops with importance value (IV) 94.3% and 37.4% and yield index 134% and 119% respectively. Meanwhile, *Parkia speciosa*, rubber, clove, coffee, durio, nutmeg, and long pepper were minor mixed crops. A 94% of sample farmers figured the fall of cocoa yield was because of black pod disease. Irregular pruning and less farm sanitation especially on waste of pod husk and disease infected pod may induced black pod disease. From 9 national clones we calculated the severity score was 2.79 (0-10 score level) and from 4 local clones the scores was 4.46. However, Sul 2 as one of national clones indicated as a tolerant clone with the lowest score of 0.91.

Key words: cocoa, clone, disease, mixed crops, severity, tolerant, yield index

INTRODUCTION

12

Indonesia is the world's third largest producers of cocoa. Its production in 2013/2014 was 375 thousand tonnes, estimated to drop to 300 thousand tonnes in 2015/2016 (ICCO, 2016). The plantation area in 2015 was 1.6 million hectares of smallholders and 39 thousand hectares of private plantations (Directorate General of Esteded Crops, 2014), including 68 thousand ha in Lampung Province.

In 2002-2014 cocoa plantation area in Lampung increased by 11.1% but production increased slightly by 7.8% per year. Figure 1 shows the gap between cocoa planted area and production becomes wider indicating the decreasing of productivity (calculated from Statistics of Lampung Province, 2002, 2006, 2010, 2015). Table 1 exhibits that the productivity of total planted land (P/A) decreased from around 0.6 to 0.4 ton/ha of cocoa bean and the productivity of mature cocoa land (P/M) decreased from 1,0 to below 0.9 ton/ha in the last ten years. Index of productive cocoa land (M/T) also reduced. Productivity and production of cocoa in this province tend to decrease. This study aimed to explore farm performance and problems of cocoa plantations in Lampung.




Figure 1. Trend of planted area and production of cocoa bean in Lampung

Table 1. Figures of Lampung cocoa plantation in 2002-2014

Year	Young (Y, ha)	Mature (M, ha)	Old (ha)	Y/M	Total area (T, ha)	M/T	Production (P, ton)	P/T	P/M
2002	7,549	12,005	561	0.63	20,115	0.60	11,579	0.57	0.96
2006	14,263	21,379	966	0.67	36,597	0.58	21,548	0.59	1.00
2008	11,674	22,780	1,003	0.51	35,457	0.64	21,662	0.61	0.95
2010	17,962	27,454	1,042	0.65	46,458	0.59	26,598	0.57	0.97
2011	19,441	29,451	1,051	0.66	49,943	0.56	25,541	0.51	0.87
2012	22,823	29,994	1,015	0.76	53,832	0.56	28,495	0.53	0.95
2013	27,287	33,532	1,436	0.81	62,265	0.54	30,907	0.50	0.92
2014	35,014	32,057	1,081	1.09	68,152	0.47	28,067	0.41	0.87

Note: Young = not yet productive, mature = productive, old = unproductive

Source: Calculated from Statistics of Lampung Province, 2002, 2006, 2010, 2015

METHODS

Field Study

The site of this survey covered two regencies of Lampung Province which were purposively sampled representing low land (Pringsewu Regency) and mountainous land (Pesawaran Regency) of cocoa smallholder plantations. In each regency we purposively sampled two districts with large area of cocoa, and randomly sampled 500 farmers to be interviewed. The sampled districts were Sukoharjo and Adiluwih of Pringsewu and Gedung Tataan and Way Lima of Pesawaran Regency. We asked about cocoa land area, cocoa age, cocoa population, cocoa plant management, pests, diseases, yield and revenue from cocoa and mixed crops.

Field survey was conducted in one cocoa village (Harapan Jaya Village, Pesawaran Regency) with the highest elevation to survey problem of black pod disease in the farms where chemical fungicide were not applied. Fields were purposively sampled to observed severity of national and local clones from *Phytophthora* pod rot or black pod disease. Cocoa trees having pod disease symptoms were randomly sampled.

Pringsewu Regency is located at 104°42' - 105°08' E and 05°20' - 05°57' S with elevation of 100-200 m above sea level. Pesawaran Regency is located at 104°94' - 105°20' E and 05°14' - 05°77' S with elevation 100-400 m above sea level. Harapan Jaya village is located at 105°05' E and 05°33' S with elevation 400-600 m above sea level. Rainfall is 1500-

2300 mm year⁻¹ with 2-5 dry months occurring in June – October (Ditjen Sumber Daya Air, 2013).



Source: Geospasial PNBP, 2010
Figure 2. Location of study site (in green mark)

Data analysis

We based cocoa trees spacing of 3x3 m with population 1111 trees/ha (Koko et al., 2013) and calculated the effective land for cocoa as percentage of existing population/1111. Analyses of Importance Value (IV) was modified from Mardi et al. (2014) and Ofori-Bah and Asafu-Adjaye (2011) using frequency and revenue share (mixed crop revenue/cocoa revenue). It was based on consideration that the bigger the frequency and revenue share, the more important the mixed crops are. We calculated IV as sum of Relative frequency and Relative revenue. We expressed Economic Yield Index (EYI) of mixed cocoa system as $EYI = (1 + \text{mixed crop revenue/cocoa revenue}) \times 100\%$.

Based on growth stage of fruit using BBHC scale (Niemenak et al., 2010), the rotten fruits were scored. The healthy cherelles, cherelle wilts, healthy and diseased pods (minimum 0,5 cm² of black spot) were counted. The scores were 1 for cherelle wilt (BBHC 70-74), 3 for small pods (BBHC 75-76), 5 for big pods (BBHC 77-80), and 1 for ripe pods (BBHC 81-89). Severity score is total of each fruit stages score.

Severity score = \sum fruit stages score

Fruit stage score = number of disease fruit x score/number of fruits

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Cocoa plantations in Lampung have less ideal of plant age structure (Figure 3) in which young productive trees (age of 5-9 year) are dominant (46%) and trees in highest productive phase (10-14 year) are the second (28%). It is supported by data in the last ten years, that the areas of young cocoa rise consistantly by 28% a year, indeces of expansion area (Y/M) shows a positive trend (Table 1). Cocoa plantations in Lampung are smallholders of ≤ 2 ha land area; mostly (57%) farmers have less than 1 ha (Figure 4). Lampung was a beginning destination of transmigration from Java Island. Starting in period of 1905 – 1922 inhabitants came to along Gedung Tataan (Pesawaran) to Wonosobo (West Kota Agung, Tanggamus) (Levang and Sevin, 1990) that occupied limited land for agriculture (Kingstone, 1990) including for cultivating rice, other food crops, fruits and cash crops such as cocoa, coffee, coconut, and banana.




Figure 3. Structure of plant age




Figure 4. Farmers' cocoa land area




Figure 5. Cocoa fields of mixed culture and monoculture

Most cocoa plantation in Lampung is mixed culture (61%) particularly in ex-transmigration Regencies (Gedung Tataan, Sukoharjo, and Adiluwih) and the rest (39%) is monoculture (Figure 5). Cocoa farmers owning small land tend to cultivate mix crops in the same land to increase land equivalent ratio (LER) and income. Tree diversity within the cocoa production systems is variable, depending on management, cultural differences, location and farm history (Schroth and Harvey, 2007). We found at least 17 tree crop species mixed with cocoa including food crops, fruits, spices, timber, and other cash crops that generate family income. The mixed crops were more diverse in Pesawaran District than those in Pringsewu District. Coconut is most common crop found as mixed crop in cocoa field (f=152) especially in Pringsewu District as a lowland, followed by banana (f=69). Based on importance value (IV), the important mixed crops found in cocoa field were coconut, banana, *Parkia speciosa*, and rubber with the value 94.3%, 37.4%, 17.38, and 17.33% respectively (Table 2). Intercropping cocoa-coconut is commonly recommended due to high yield of cocoa bean about 600-2300 kg ha⁻¹ (Osei-Bonsu et al., 2002). Cocoa-banana is commonly used since banana gives shade for cocoa trees (Schroth and Harvey, 2007). *Parkia speciosa* is a legume tree that is commonly found in smallholder plantations in Lampung as its fruit has high economic value and provide shading for cocoa and coffee. Rubber intercropped with cocoa is also recommended as it provides shade, revenue and ecological service (Langenberger et al., 2016).

Table 2. Importance value index of cocoa mixed crops

Mixed crops	Pesawaran District				Pringsewu District				IV in average
	Gedung Tataan		Way Lima		Sukoharjo		Adi Luwih		
	% Rev (F)	IV	%Rev (F)	IV	%Rev (F)	IV	%Rev (F)	IV	
1. Coconut	8.07(8)	11.52	14.23(3)	23.86	33.99(105)	162.77	36.34(36)	179.07	94.30
2. Banana	18.28(35)	68.98	7.80(7)	42.69	18.65(24)	28.26	11.42(3)	9.71	37.41
3. <i>Parkia speciosa</i>	34.07(9)	25.12	36.27(3)	42.95	0(2)	1.45	0(0)	0	17.38
4. Rubber	14.07(18)	31.53	10.18(5)	33.92	0(2)	1.45	0(1)	2.44	17.33
5. Clove	26.82(3)	7.24	29.52(2)	24.73	0				7.99
6. Coffee	55.61(4)	15.64	24.19(1)	10.83	0				6.62
7. Durio	11.68(8)	13.02	2.06(3)	13.32	0		0	0	6.58
8. Nutmug	19.57(8)	16.30	0	0	0				4.07
9. Long pepper					98.18(1)	3.11	90.94(1)	8.78	2.97
10. Jabon wood			0(2)	7.69	0				1.92
11. Candle nut	22.96(2)	4.43	0(0)	0	0				1.11
12. Kapok	30.30(1)	2.59		0	0				0.65
13. Avocado	17.54(1)	1.93		0	0				0.48
14. Snack fruit	12.50(1)	1.67	0		0				0.42
15. Waru wood					0(2)	1.45			0.36
16. Areca catechu					2.29(1)	0.78			0.19
17. Acasia sp.					0(1)	0.72			0.18
Sum	(n=98)	200	(n=26)	200	(n=138)	200	(n=41)	200	

Effective land for cocoa trees (or its population) mixed with coconut, banana, or coffee tend to lower than those with *Parkia speciosa*, durio, rubber tree, or cloves. Mixed cropping with coconut, banana, and coffee reduced population of cocoa trees. Coconut and banana was the most popular mixed crops for cocoa. Coffee is a traditional crop in medium and high altitude land while rubber tree is common in low altitude land. Dupraz and Morisson (2015) stated that cocoa trees could gradually replacing and mixed cropping with traditional crops including coconut, coffee, rubber, and banana that developed various types of diversification.

Mixed cropping cocoa with other trees generated more revenues. Economic yield index of mixed cocoa system was about 110-135%, but it tend to be higher in cocoa-coconut, cocoa – *P. speciosa*, and cocoa-coffee (134-135%) than other cropping systems. Bean yield of cocoa mixed with various cash trees was about 790-860 kg/ha/year. Its variability was high with standard deviation about 150-520 kg. Compared to other reports, this yield was considerably low. Mixed cropping cocoa-orange or cocoa-avocado resulted about 1.3 ton ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ cocoa bean (Koko et al., 2013). Associating timber trees with cocoa did not negatively


affect cocoa yield (Somarriba and Beer, 2011). Diversified (or mixed) cocoa farms are more efficient than single crop (or mono-crop) cocoa farms, indicating possibilities for cost complementarities between production of cocoa and mixed crops (Ofori-Bah and Asafu-Adjaye, 2011).

Table 3. Effective land for cocoa, economic yield index, and cocoa bean yield

Mixed crops in cocoa field	Effective land for cocoa ¹ (%)	Cocoa bean yield (kg/ha)	Economic yield index (%)
Coconut	73.51± 24	792.16 ± 519	134.10 ± 21
Banana	73.71± 16	863.51 ± 469	118.93 ± 22
Parkia speciosa	81.13± 19	801.42 ± 381	134.61 ± 29
Durio	97.61± 38	816.60 ± 361	110.15 ± 12
Rubber	80.26± 28	845.10 ± 455	116.18 ± 21
Clove	80.41± 12	855.74 ± 149	120.96 ± 20
Coffee	72.13± 15	854.19 ± 300	135.51 ± 13

Note: 1. based on spacing 3x3 m, population 1111

Productivity of cocoa field on average in 2011-2013 is presented in Figure 6. In 2011-2012 productivity ranged from 1300 to 1500 kg ha⁻¹. In 2013 productivity dropped to about 800 kg ha⁻¹. During the focus group discussions it revealed that in 2014 the productivity drops by nearly 50% so that some farmers converted cocoa plants to rubber or nutmeg plants. The decline in productivity occurred due to pests (particularly *Conopomorpha cramerella*) and diseases (particularly black pod) as well as low rainfall followed by high rainfall. According to Wood and Lass (2001), annual rainfall in excess of 2500 mm may lead to a higher incidence of fungus diseases, particularly *Phytophthora pod rot* (black pod disease). Moreover, Adjaloo et al. (2012) reported that rainfall positively affected flowering and phenological cycle. In addition, Sabatier et al. (2013) concluded that the behavior of cocoa agroecosystems (pests, diseases and yields) depends on its management.






Figure 6. Dynamic of cocoa productivity by district

Since 2011-2013 productivity declined. In 2013, in Gedung Tataan and Way Lima, productivity was dominated (82%) by low (<500 kg/ha) and middle levels (500-1000 kg/ha). In Sukoharja the productivity are quite similar among the levels. In Adi Luwih, productivity was dominated by middle and high levels (>1000 kg/ha)(Figure 6). Farmers in Adi Luwih showed an intensive maintenance of cocoa farming in terms of pruning, fertilizing, manuring and applying pesticide. In small land tenure of cocoa farming, it is important to achieve high production.

To achieve high production, farmer in Sukoharjo and Adi Luwih were more eager to expand cocoa plantation. Farmers were optimistic that cocoa farming remains profitable despite some obstacles such as the incidence of pests and diseases and climate change that cause decreased production of cocoa. For example, black pod disease can be overcome by pruning, sanitation, and planting disease-resistant cocoa clones. Related to climate change, Schroth et al. (2016) concluded that adaptation measures are needed such as by selecting cocoa varieties and companion trees and crops that are tolerant to high temperatures, drought and diseases, increasing shade to protect cocoa trees and diversifying farmers' income as a buffer against market and environmental risks.

11 Table 4 showed the important cocoa diseases in Lampung. The most important disease is black pod disease by *Phytophthora palmivora* causing significant lost of cocoa yield in the last 3 years in Lampung. Another important disease is trunk cancer also caused by *Phytophthora palmivora*. Climate change, applying too much fungicide, improper pruning, and bad sanitation may induce the fungi to spread. Another disease called vascular steak dieback (VSD) caused by *Oncobasidium theobromae* is not much important in Lampung. Controlling cocoa plant disease (including black pod) could be achieved if an integrated

management strategy is established, with the combination methods such as phytosanitary and other cultural measures, and selection for resistant clones (Acebo-Guerrero et al., 2012) including local clones from open-pollinated progenies (Thevenin et al., 2012).

Table 4. Types of diseases that attack cocoa trees in Lampung

Diseases	Pesawaran (of 100% respondent)		Pringsewu (of 100% respondent)	
	Gedung Tataan	Way Lima	Sukoharjo	Adi Luwih
Black pod	90.2	90.0	97.4	98.2
VSD	4.9	6.7	91.4	92.7
Trunk cancer	45.1	44.4	64.9	76.4

In average, 66% of respondents did branch pruning irregularly, so that the cocoa trees were 3-4 high, much branching, dense canopy, low light interception, and high air humidity. It makes cocoa trees susceptible to black pod disease. Black pods were remaining hang on the tree that become the source of infection. Moreover, farmers harvest ripe pods and commonly peel it at the field (70% respondent) and left it on the ground without any treatment (72% respondent). Only 10% of farmers used cocoa peel as fodder, commonly for goat (Table 5).

Table 5. Branch pruning, pod peeling, pod waste management

Activities	Treatment	Pesawaran		Pringsewu		Average
		Gedung Tataan	Way Lima	Suko-harjo	Adi Luwih	
Pruning	1 x / year	4.4	5.6	17.9	10.9	9.7
	2 x / year	19.6	10.0	43.7	43.6	29.2
	3 x / year	1.5	0.0	4.0	5.5	2.7
	Irregular	74.5	84.4	34.4	40.0	66.3
Place to peel pod	Field	93.1	97.8	21.2	18.2	69.7
	Home	6.4	2.2	78.1	78.2	28.9
	Field and home	0.5	0	0.7	3.6	1.4
Pod waste management	No treatment	76.0	94.4	57.6	58.2	71.5
	Burning	0	0	3.9	1.8	1.4
	Composting	8.8	2.2	17.2	7.3	8.9
	Buried	0	0	12.6	20	8.1
	Animal fodder	15.2	3.3	8.6	12.7	9.9

This cocoa tree management practices resulted in high incident of black pod especially when season of rainy and foggy days, and the cocoa bean yield has fallen below 500 kg/ha/year since year 2013. Moreover, most farmers (77%) cultivated local cocoa cultivars which are susceptible to black pod disease. Farmers made seedlings by themselves using local seed resource. So far, no local clones and no national clones were really resistant to black pod disease. We observed 4 selected local clones where 50% of trees had incidence of the disease with severity scores 4.46. From 9 national clones we calculated the severity score was 2.79. However, Sul 2 of national clone was indicated as a tolerant clone with the lowest score of 0.91 followed by RCC 70 and TSH 858 with scores of 1.49 and 1.83 respectively (Table 6). These clones had low severity score because incidents of black pod were found at cherelle, small, or ripe pods, while their big pods were free from black pods (Figure 7). MCC1 (well known as M 01 clone) selected for high yielding clone was a moderat-tolerant to black pod disease (McMahon et al., 2015). Gradient resistance of black pod disease may occur in testing of genotypes of cocoa (Dos Santos et al., 2011).

Table 6. Severity score of black pod disease of national and local clones

Clone		Diseased Tree (%)	Severity Score
National	RCC 70	36.15	1.49
	RCC 71	21.05	5.83
	MCC1	34.62	2.39
	Sul 3	26.09	2.55
	ICCRI 7	23.08	2.57
	Sul2	21.42	0.91
	ICCRI 3	18.18	2.77
	TSH 858	23.81	1.83
	Sul 1	34.61	4.77
Average		27.67	2.79
Local	LT1	57.14	4.28
	LT7	46.15	5.17
	LP1	54.54	4.98
	LP6	42.86	3.43
	Average		50.17




Figure 7. severity score breakdown in fruit development

CONCLUSIONS

Cocoa farming system in Lampung was 60.6% mixed cropping and 39.4% monoculture. Coconut and banana were the main mixed crops with Importance Value of 94.3% and 37.4% with economic yield index of 134% and 119% respectively. *Parkia speciosa*, rubber, clove, coffee, durio, nutmeg, and long pepper were minor mixed crops. In average, economic yield index of mixed cocoa system was about 110-135%. In Year 2011-2012 cocoa farms productivity ranged from 1300 to 1500 kg ha⁻¹. In 2013 productivity dropped to about 800 kg ha⁻¹. The decline in productivity occurred due to pests (particularly *Conopomorpha cramerella*) and diseases (particularly black pod). A 94% of sample farmers figured the fall of cocoa yield was caused by black pod disease. Most of respondents did branch pruning irregularly and left pod peel waste on the field ground without any treatment. From 9 national clones we calculated the severity score was 2.79 (0-10 score level) and from 4 local clones the score was 4.46. However, Sul 2 from national clone was indicated as tolerant clone with lowest score of 0.91 followed by RCC 70 and TSH 858 with scores of 1.49 and 1.83 respectively indicating as moderat-tolerant to black pod disease.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to thank to Cocoa Life Development Project for funding the assessment survey and to the Directorate for Research and Community Service, Directorate General for Higher Education for funding through Grant of Science-Tech for Community Service in year 2016.

REFERENCES

- Acebo-Guerrero, Y., A. Hernandez-Rodriguez, M. Heydrich-Perez, M. El Jaziri, A.N. Hernandez-Lauzardo. 2012. Management of black pod rot in cacao (*Theobroma cacao* L.). *Fruits* 67: 41-48.
- Adjaloo, M.K., W. Oduro, B.K. Banful. 2012. Floral phenology of Upper Amazon cocoa trees: implications for reproduction and productivity of cocoa. *ISRN Agronomy*. DOI 10.5402/2012/461674.
- Directorate General of Estedated Crops. 2014. Tree Crop Estate Statistics of Indonesia 2013-2015 Cocoa. Ministry of Agriculture. Jakarta.
- Ditjen Sumber Daya Air. 2013. Data Pos Hujan. <http://sda.pu.go.id/data-sda>. Accessed October 17, 2013.
- Dos Santos, E.S.L., C.B.M. Cerqueira-Silva, D. Clement, E.D.M.N. Luz. 2011. Resistance gradient of black pod disease in cocoa and selection by leaf disk assay. *Crop Breeding And Applied Biotechnology* 11: 297-303.
- Dupraz, P., M. Morisson. 2015. The place of cocoa and coconut cultivation in family plantations in Paninsular Malaysia. *In* F. Ruf and G. Schroth (eds). *Economics and Ecology of Diversification*. Pp 297-322.
- Geospasial PNBPN. 2010. Topography of Map of Lampung Tengah District. http://geospasial.bnbp.go.id/wp-content/uploads/2010/09/indeks_peta/250K/ID-L06-250K.pdf
- ICCO. 2016. Cocoa Year 2015/16. ICCO Quarterly Bulletin of Cocoa Statistics XLII (1).
- Kingstone, J. 1990. Agricultural involution among Lampung's Javanese. *Southeast Asian Studies* 27(4): 485-507.
- Koko, L.K., D. Snoeck, T.T. Lekadou, A.A. Assiri. 2013. Cacao-fruit tree intercropping effects on cocoa yield, plant vigour and light interception in Cote d'Ivoire. *Agroforestry Systems* 87: 1043-1052.

Langenberger, G., G. Cadish, K. Martin, S. Min, H. Waibel. 2016. Rubber intercropping: a viable concept for the 21st century? *Agroforestry Systems*. DOI 10.1007/s10457-016-9961-8.

Levang, P. and Sevin, O. 1990. 80 Years of Transmigration in Indonesia 1905-1985. Department Transmigration RI and ORSTOM. Jakarta.

Mardi, P. Agustin, A. Saputra. 2012. Vegetation analysis of Samin watershed, Central Java as water and soil conservation efforts. *Biodiversitas* 15(2): 215-223.

McMahon, P., H. bin Purung, S. Lambert, S. Mulia, Nurlaila, A.W. Susilo, E. Sulistyowati, S. Sukamto, M. Israil, A. Saftar, A. Amir, A. Purwantara, A. Iswanto, D. Guest, P. Keane. 2015. Testing local selections in three provinces in Sulawesi: (i) Productivity and resistance to cocoa pod borer and *Phytophthora* pod rot (black pod). *Crop Protection* 70: 28-39.

Niemenak, N., C. Cilas, C. Rohsius, H. Bleiholder, U. Meier, R. Lieberei. 2010. Phenological growth stages of cacao plants (*Theobroma* sp.): codification and description according to the BBHC scale. *Ann. Appl. Biol.* 156: 13-24.

Ofori-Bah, A., J. Asafu-Adjaye. 2011. Scope economies and technical efficiency of cocoa agroforestry systems in Ghana. *Ecological Economics* 70: 1508-1518.

Osei-Bonsu, K., K. Opoku-Ameyaw, F.M. Amoah, F.K. Oppong. 2002. Cacao-coconut intercropping in Ghana: agronomic and economic perspectives. *Agroforestry Systems* 55: 1-8.

Sabatier, R., K. Wiegand, K. Meyer. 2013. Production and robustness of cacao agroecosystems: Effects of two contrasting types of management strategies. *Plos One* 8(12): 1-10.

Schroth, G. and C.A. Harvey. 2007. Biodiversity conservation in cocoa production landscapes: an overview. *Biodivers. Conserv.* 16: 2237-2244.

Schroth, G., P. Laderach, A.I. Martinez-Valle, C. Bunn, L. Jassogne. 2016. Vulnerability to climate change of cocoa in West Africa: Patterns, opportunities and limits to adaptation. *Science of the Total Environment* 556: 231-241.

Statistics of Lampung Province. 2002. Lampung in Figures. Bandar Lampung.

Statistics of Lampung Province. 2006. Lampung in Figures. Bandar Lampung.

Statistics of Lampung Province. 2010. Lampung in Figures. Bandar Lampung.

Statistics of Lampung Province. 2015. Lampung in Figures. Bandar Lampung.

Somarriba, E. and J. Beer. 2011. Productivity of *Theobroma* cacao agroforestry systems with timber or legume service shade trees. *Agroforestry Systems* 81: 109-121.

Thevenin, J., V. Rossi, M. Ducamp, F. Doare, V. Condina, P. Lachenaud. 2012. Numerous clones resistant to *Phytophthora palmivora* in the "Guiana" genetic group of *Theobroma cacao* L. *Plos One* 7(7): 1-6.

Wood, G.A.R., R.A. Lass. 2001. *Cocoa*. Fourth edition. Blackwell Sci. London.

FARM PERFORMANCE AND PROBLEM AREA OF COCOA PLANTATION IN LAMPUNG PROVINCE, INDONESIA

ORIGINALITY REPORT

5%

SIMILARITY INDEX

PRIMARY SOURCES

- 1 Götz Schroth, Peter Läderach, Armando Isaac Martinez-Valle, Christian Bunn, Laurence Jassogne. "Vulnerability to climate change of cocoa in West Africa: Patterns, opportunities and limits to adaptation", *Science of The Total Environment*, 2016
Crossref 33 words — 1%
- 2 www.ruta.org
Internet 23 words — 1%
- 3 Alex Asase. "Impact of cocoa farming on vegetation in an agricultural landscape in Ghana : Impact of cocoa farming in Ghana", *African Journal of Ecology*, 09/14/2009
Crossref 20 words — 1%
- 4 G.A.R. Wood. "Environment", *Cocoa*, 05/05/2001
Crossref 19 words — < 1%
- 5 citeseerx.ist.psu.edu
Internet 17 words — < 1%
- 6 fruits.edpsciences.org
Internet 15 words — < 1%
- 7 85.91.172.149
Internet 12 words — < 1%
- 8 biodiversitas.mipa.uns.ac.id
Internet 11 words — < 1%
- 9 www.koko.gov.my
Internet

10 words — < 1%

10 Andras Tothmihaly, Verina Ingram. "How can the productivity of Indonesian cocoa farms be increased?", Agribusiness, 2019

Crossref

9 words — < 1%

11 "Cacao Diseases", Springer Nature, 2016

Crossref

8 words — < 1%

12 La Ode Santiaji B, Muhidin ., Gusnawaty H.S, Mariadi ., Nuriadi ., Munardin Basanunggu.

"Effectiveness of Botanical Pesticides Composite to Decrease of Phytophthora palmivora Caused Black Pod Rot on Cocoa", Journal of Agronomy, 2018

Crossref

8 words — < 1%

EXCLUDE QUOTES ON

EXCLUDE MATCHES OFF

EXCLUDE BIBLIOGRAPHY ON