

2019 (Thompson)--JPANTA--T[[S]]- Noetherian Property on GPSM

By Ahmad Faisal



$T[[S]]$ -NOETHERIAN PROPERTY ON GENERALIZED POWER SERIES MODULES

Ahmad Faisal^{1,2}, Budi Surodjo¹ and Sri Wahyuni¹

¹Department of Mathematics
Universitas Gadjah Mada
Sekip Utara BLS 21, Sleman
Yogyakarta, Indonesia

²Department of Mathematics
Universitas Lampung
Jl. Prof. Soemantri Brojonegoro No. 1
Bandar Lampung, Lampung, Indonesia

Abstract

In this paper, we study the sufficient conditions on a ring R , a multiplicative set $T \subseteq R$, a strictly ordered monoid (S, \leq) and an R -module M such that the generalized power series module $M[[S]]$ is a $T[[S]]$ -Noetherian $R[[S]]$ -module, where $T[[S]]$ is a multiplicative subset of generalized power series ring $R[[S]]$.

1. Introduction

Anderson and Dumitrescu [2] introduced the definition of T -Noetherian rings and modules. For any multiplicatively closed subset T of a ring R , a

Received: January 21, 2019; Accepted: March 24, 2019

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16D10, 16D80.

Keywords and phrases: multiplicative set, strictly ordered monoid, GPSR, GPSM, T -Noetherian.

ring R is called T -Noetherian if each ideal of R is T -finite, i.e., if $tI \subseteq J \subseteq I$ for some finitely generated ideal J of R and some $t \in T$. An R -module M is called an T -Noetherian module if for each submodule N of M , there exist an element $t \in T$ and a finitely generated submodule F of M such that $Nt \subseteq F \subseteq N$. Some properties of T -Noetherian modules are studied by Baeck et al. [3]. Varadarajan [4] constructs the generalized power series modules (GPSM) $M[[S]]$, which is a module over generalized power series rings (GPSR) $R[[S]]$ constructed by Ribenboim [6]. Moreover, Varadarajan determined the necessary and sufficient conditions for GPSM $M[[S]]$ to be a Noetherian module, which strengthens earlier results of Ribenboim.

In this paper, we investigate the sufficient conditions for $R[[S]]$ -module $M[[S]]$ to be $T[[S]]$ -Noetherian.

2. GPSR and GPSM

In this section, we recall the construction of GPSR and GPSM as follows from Ribenboim [6] and Varadarajan [4].

Regarding ordered sets, strictly ordered monoids, Artinian and narrow sets, we will be following the terminology in [6] and [7].

Let (S, \leq) be a strictly ordered monoid, R be a commutative ring with an identity element and M be an R -module. Let $R^S = \{f \mid f : S \rightarrow R\}$ and

$$R[[S]] = \{f \in R^S \mid \text{supp}(f) \text{ is Artinian and narrow}\},$$

where $\text{supp}(f) = \{s \in S \mid f(s) \neq 0\}$.

For any $f, g \in R[[S]]$, $\text{supp}(f + g) \subseteq \text{supp}(f) \cup \text{supp}(g)$, $\text{supp}(-f) = \text{supp}(f)$, and $\text{supp}(fg) \subseteq \text{supp}(f) + \text{supp}(g)$. Therefore, under pointwise addition and convolution multiplication defined by

$$(fg)(s) = \sum_{(x,y) \in \chi_s(f,g)} f(x)g(y), \quad (2.1)$$

for all $f, g \in R[[S]]$, where

$$\chi_s(f, g) = \{(x, y) \in \text{supp}(f) \times \text{supp}(g) \mid xy = s\}$$

is finite, $R[[S]]$ becomes a ring which is known as generalized power series ring (GPSR).

Next, let $M^S = \{\alpha \mid \alpha : S \rightarrow M\}$ and

$$M[[S]] = \{\alpha \in M^S \mid \text{supp}(\alpha) \text{ is Artinian and narrow}\},$$

where $\text{supp}(\alpha) = \{s \in S \mid \alpha(s) \neq 0\}$. For any $\alpha, \beta \in M[[S]]$, $\text{supp}(\alpha + \beta) \subseteq \text{supp}(\alpha) \cup \text{supp}(\beta)$, $\text{supp}(-\alpha) = \text{supp}(\alpha)$, and $\text{supp}(\alpha\beta) \subseteq \text{supp}(\alpha) + \text{supp}(\beta)$. Therefore, under pointwise addition and scalar multiplication defined by

$$(f\alpha)(s) = \sum_{(x,y) \in \chi_s(f, \alpha)} f(x)\alpha(y), \tag{2.2}$$

for all $f \in R[[S]]$ and $\alpha \in M[[S]]$, where

$$\chi_s(f, \alpha) = \{(x, y) \in \text{supp}(f) \times \text{supp}(\alpha) \mid xy = s\}$$

is finite, $M[[S]]$ acquires the structure of an $R[[S]]$ -module. Next, this module is called *generalized power series module (GPSM)*.

For any $r \in R$ and any $s \in S$, we associate the maps $c_r, e_s \in R[[S]]$, defined by

$$c_r(t) = \begin{cases} r & \text{if } t = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } t \neq 1 \end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$e_s(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t = s \\ 0 & \text{if } t \neq s. \end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

For any $m \in M$ and any $s \in S$, we define $d_m^s(t) \in M[[S]]$ by

$$d_m^s(t) = \begin{cases} m & \text{if } t = s \\ 0 & \text{if } t \neq s. \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

Then, it is clear that $r \mapsto c_r$ is a ring embedding of R into $R[[S]]$, and $s \mapsto e_s$ is a monoid embedding of S into the multiplicative monoid of the ring $R[[S]]$, and also $m \mapsto d_m^0$ is a module embedding of M into $M[[S]]$.

3. Sufficient Conditions for GPSM $M[[S]]$ to be $T[[S]]$ -Noetherian

In this section we give the sufficient conditions for GPSM $M[[S]]$ to be $T[[S]]$ -Noetherian module. For any ring R and for some $n \geq 1$, we denote $R \oplus R \oplus \dots \oplus R$ (n factors), by $\oplus R^{(n)}$. The necessary and sufficient condition for R -module M to be a finitely generated module is given by the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1 (See [2, Lemma 3]). *M is finitely generated R -module if and only if it is isomorphic to a quotient of $\oplus R^{(n)}$ for some $n > 0$.*

For any subset N of an R -module M , we define

$$N[[S]] = \{\alpha \in M[[S]] \mid \alpha(s) \in N; \forall s \in S\}.$$

The following lemma shows that $N[[S]]$ is an $R[[S]]$ -submodule of $M[[S]]$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let M be an R -module and $M[[S]]$ be an $R[[S]]$ -module. Then, $N[[S]]$ is an $R[[S]]$ -submodule of $M[[S]]$.*

Proof. For any $\alpha, \beta \in N[[S]]$ and $f, g \in R[[S]]$, we will show that $\alpha f + \beta g \in N[[S]]$. In other words, it is enough to show $(\alpha f + \beta g)(s) \in N$ for every $s \in S$. For any $\alpha \in N[[S]]$, $f \in R[[S]]$, and for every $s \in S$, $(\alpha f)(s) = \sum_{uv=s} \alpha(u)f(v)$. Since N is an R -submodule of M and $\alpha \in$

$N[[S]]$, $\alpha(u)f(v) \in N$. Hence, $(\alpha f)(s) \in N$ for every $s \in S$. In similar way, for any $\beta \in N[[S]]$, $g \in R[[S]]$, and for every $s \in S$, we obtain $(\beta g)(s) \in N$. Therefore, $(\alpha f + \beta g)(s) \in N$ for every $s \in S$. So, $N[[S]]$ is an $R[[S]]$ -submodule of $M[[S]]$. \square

The following proposition shows that a GPSM with coefficients from M/N is equivalent with a module factor of GPSM $M[[S]]/N[[S]]$.

Proposition 3.3. *Let M be an R -module and $M[[S]]$ be an $R[[S]]$ -module. If N is an R -submodule of M , then $(M/N)[[S]] \cong M[[S]]/N[[S]]$.*

Proof. For any R -submodule N of M , let p_N be a natural projection. Next, we define a map $\varphi : M[[S]] \rightarrow (M/N)[[S]]$, by

$$\alpha \mapsto \bar{\alpha} = p_N \circ \alpha,$$

for every $\alpha \in M[[S]]$. It is easy to show that $\text{supp}(\bar{\alpha})$ is Artinian and narrow, which is $\bar{\alpha} \in (M/N)[[S]]$.

For any $\bar{\alpha} \in (M/N)[[S]]$, there exist $\alpha \in M[[S]]$. Then φ is surjective. Therefore, $\text{Im}(\varphi) = (M/N)[[S]]$. Next, if $\varphi(\alpha) = \bar{0}$ for any $\alpha \in M[[S]]$, then $\bar{\alpha} = p_N \circ \alpha = \bar{0}$. Therefore, $\alpha(s) \in N$ for every $s \in S$. So, $\text{Ker}(\varphi) = N[[S]]$. Hence, based on the fundamental isomorphism theorem of modules, we obtain $(M/N)[[S]] \cong M[[S]]/N[[S]]$. \square

The following proposition shows that the GPSR over $\oplus R^{(n)}$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of GPSR $R[[S]] \oplus \cdots \oplus R[[S]]$ (n factors).

Proposition 3.4. *Let R be a ring, (S, \leq) be a strictly ordered monoid, $R[[S]]$ be a GPSR and $n \geq 1$. Then $(\oplus R^{(n)})[[S]] \cong \oplus (R[[S]])^{(n)}$.*

Proof. It is a special case of [2, Proposition 9], by choosing $R_i = R$ for every i and a monoid homomorphism $\omega^{(i)}(s) = id_{R_i}$ for every $s \in S$. \square

The sufficient condition for $R[[S]]$ -module $M[[S]]$ to be finitely generated module is given by the following proposition.

Proposition 3.5. *Let M be an R -module and $M[[S]]$ be an $R[[S]]$ -module. If M is finitely generated, then so is $M[[S]]$.*

Proof. Based on Lemma 3.1, it is enough to show $M[[S]] = \bigoplus (R[[S]])^{(n)}/N$, for some submodule N of $\bigoplus (R[[S]])^{(n)}$. Since M is finitely generated, by Lemma 3.1, $M \cong \bigoplus R^{(n)}/K$, for some submodule K of $\bigoplus R^{(n)}$. Since K is a submodule of $\bigoplus R^{(n)}$, based on Lemma 3.2 $K[[S]]$ is a submodule of $(\bigoplus R^{(n)})[[S]]$. Furthermore, base on Proposition 3.4, we have $K[[S]]$ is a submodule of $\bigoplus (R[[S]])^{(n)}$. Hence, we can choose $N = K[[S]]$.

Now, we will show, $(\bigoplus R^{(n)}/K)[[S]] \cong \bigoplus (R[[S]])^{(n)}/K[[S]]$. By using Proposition 3.3, we get

$$(\bigoplus R^{(n)}/K)[[S]] \cong (\bigoplus R^{(n)})[[S]]/K[[S]].$$

Furthermore, by using Proposition 3.4, we get $(\bigoplus R^{(n)})[[S]] \cong \bigoplus (R[[S]])^{(n)}$.

So, $(\bigoplus R^{(n)}/K)[[S]] \cong (\bigoplus R^{(n)})[[S]]/K[[S]] \cong \bigoplus (R[[S]])^{(n)}/K[[S]]$. In other words, $M[[S]] \cong \bigoplus (R[[S]])^{(n)}/N$, or $M[[S]]$ is finitely generated as an $R[[S]]$ -module. \square

1

Next, for any subset T of a ring R , we define the set

$$T[[S]] = \{f \in R[[S]] \mid f(s) \in T; \forall s \in \text{supp}(f)\}.$$

It is clear that $T[[S]] \subseteq R[[S]]$. The sufficient conditions of $T[[S]]$ to be a multiplicatively closed subset of GPSR $R[[S]]$ are given by the following lemma.

Lemma 3.6. *Let R be a ring, T be a multiplicative subset of R , (S, \leq) be a strictly ordered monoid, and $R[[S]]$ be a GPSR. If T is additively closed, then $T[[S]]$ is a multiplicative subset of $R[[S]]$.*

Proof. For any $f, g \in T[[S]]$, we will show that $fg \in T[[S]]$. Based on the convolution multiplication in equation (2.1), for any $s \in \text{supp}(fg)$ we obtain $(fg)(s) = \sum_{xy=s} f(x)g(y)$. Since $T \subseteq R$ is multiplicatively and additively closed, we have $\sum_{xy=s} f(x)g(y) \in T$ for every $s \in \text{supp}(fg)$. In other words, $fg \in T[[S]]$. Thus, $T[[S]]$ is a multiplicatively closed subset of $R[[S]]$. \square

From equation (2.3), it clear that R is isomorphic to the subring $\{c_r | r \in R\}$ of $R[[S]]$. Thus, if T is a multiplicative subset of R , then $C(T) = \{c_t | t \in T\}$ is a multiplicative subset of $R[[S]]$. Then, it is clear that $T \cong C(T) \subseteq T[[S]]$.

We recall a multiplicative subset T of a ring R is anti-Archimedean if $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} t^n RT \neq \emptyset$, for every $t \in T$. The sufficient conditions for $R[[S]]$ -module $M[[S]]$ to be a $T[[S]]$ -Noetherian module are given by the following theorems.

Theorem 3.7. *Let $T \subseteq R$ be an additive and multiplicative set with anti-Archimedean property, R be a T -Noetherian ring, $S = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ be a strictly ordered monoid with a trivial order \leq , and M be a finitely generated R -module. Then, $R[[S]]$ -module $M[[S]]$ is $T[[S]]$ -Noetherian.*

Proof. Since R is T -Noetherian, $T \subseteq R$ is an anti-Archimedean multiplicative subset of R and $S = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ is a strictly ordered monoid with a trivial order \leq , based on [2, Proposition 9], $R[[S]]$ is T -Noetherian. Next, based on Proposition 3.5, $M[[S]]$ is finitely generated as an $R[[S]]$ -module. Then, based on [3, Lemma 2.14(4)], we get $M[[S]]$ is T -Noetherian.

Furthermore, based on Lemma 3.6., $T[[S]]$ is a multiplicative subset of $R[[S]]$.

Since $T \subseteq T[[S]]$, based on [3, Remark 2.11(2)], we have $M[[S]]$ is $T[[S]]$ -Noetherian. \square

Theorem 3.8. *Let $T \subseteq R$ be an additive and multiplicative set with anti-Archimedean property, R be a T -Noetherian ring, $S = \mathbb{Z}$ be a strictly ordered monoid with a trivial order \leq , and M be a finitely generated R -module. Then, $R[[S]]$ -module $M[[S]]$ is $T[[S]]$ -Noetherian.*

Proof. Since R is T -Noetherian, $T \subseteq R$ is an anti-Archimedean multiplicative subset of R and $S = \mathbb{Z}$ is a strictly ordered monoid with a trivial order \leq , based on [3, Proposition 3.4], $R[[S]]$ is T -Noetherian. Next, based on Proposition 3.5, $M[[S]]$ is finitely generated as an $R[[S]]$ -module. Then, based on [3, Lemma 2.14(4)], we get $M[[S]]$ is T -Noetherian. Furthermore, based on Lemma 3.6, $T[[S]]$ is a multiplicative subset of $R[[S]]$. Since $T \subseteq T[[S]]$, based on [3, Remark 2.11 (2)], we have $M[[S]]$ is $T[[S]]$ -Noetherian. \square

Theorem 3.9. *Let $T \subseteq R$ be an additive and multiplicative set with anti-Archimedean property consisting of nonzero divisor, R be a T -Noetherian ring, $S = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ be a strictly ordered monoid with a usual order \leq , and M be a finitely generated R -module. Then, $R[[S]]$ -module $M[[S]]$ is $T[[S]]$ -Noetherian.*

Proof. Since R is T -Noetherian, $T \subseteq R$ is an anti-Archimedean multiplicative subset of R consisting of nonzero divisor and $S = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ is a strictly ordered monoid with a usual order \leq , based on [2, Proposition 10], $R[[S]]$ is T -Noetherian. Next, based on Proposition 3.5, $M[[S]]$ is finitely generated as an $R[[S]]$ -module. Then, based on [3, Lemma 2.14(4)], we get $M[[S]]$ is T -Noetherian. Furthermore, based on Lemma 3.6, $T[[S]]$ is a

multiplicative subset of $R[[S]]$. Since $T \subseteq T[[S]]$, based on [3, Remark 2.11 (2)], we have $M[[S]]$ is $T[[S]]$ -Noetherian. \square

Theorem 3.10. *Let $T \subseteq R$ be an additive and multiplicative set with anti-Archimedean property consisting of nonzero divisor, R be a T -Noetherian ring, $S = \mathbb{Z}$ be a strictly ordered monoid with a usual order \leq , and M be a finitely generated R -module. Then, $R[[S]]$ -module $M[[S]]$ is $T[[S]]$ -Noetherian.*

Proof. Since R is T -Noetherian, $T \subseteq R$ is an anti-Archimedean multiplicative subset of R consisting of nonzero divisor and $S = \mathbb{Z}$ is a strictly ordered monoid with a usual order \leq , based on [5, Theorem 3.1], $R[[S]]$ is T -Noetherian. Next, based on Proposition 3.5, $M[[S]]$ is finitely generated as an $R[[S]]$ -module. Then, based on [3, Lemma 2.14(4)], we get $M[[S]]$ is T -Noetherian. Furthermore, based on Lemma 3.6, $T[[S]]$ is a multiplicative subset of $R[[S]]$. Since $T \subseteq T[[S]]$, based on [3, Remark 2.11(2)], we have $M[[S]]$ is $T[[S]]$ -Noetherian. \square

Theorem 3.11. *Let $T \subseteq R$ be an additive and multiplicative set with anti-Archimedean property consisting of nonzero divisor, R be a T -Noetherian ring, S be a finitely generated strictly ordered monoid with a positive order \leq , and M be a finitely generated R -module. Then, $R[[S]]$ -module $M[[S]]$ is $T[[S]]$ -Noetherian.*

Proof. Since R is T -Noetherian, $T \subseteq R$ is an anti-Archimedean multiplicative subset of R consisting of nonzero divisor and S is a finitely generated strictly ordered monoid with a positive order \leq , based on [5, Theorem 2.3], $R[[S]]$ is T -Noetherian. Next, based on Proposition 3.5, $M[[S]]$ is a finitely generated as an $R[[S]]$ -module. Then, based on [3, Lemma 2.14(4)], we get $M[[S]]$ is T -Noetherian. Furthermore, based on Lemma 3.6, $T[[S]]$ is a multiplicative subset of $R[[S]]$. Since $T \subseteq T[[S]]$, based on [3, Remark 2.11(2)], we have $M[[S]]$ is $T[[S]]$ -Noetherian. \square

Theorem 3.12. *Let R be a ring, $T \subseteq R$ be a multiplicative set, (S, \leq) be a strictly ordered monoid, and M be an R -module. If R is Noetherian, T is additively closed, (S, \leq) is narrow, S is cancelative and torsion-free, $\exists s_1, \dots, s_n \in S \setminus G(S)$ such that $S = \langle s_1, \dots, s_n \rangle + G(S)$, and M is finitely generated, then $R[[S]]$ -module $M[[S]]$ is $T[[S]]$ -Noetherian.*

Proof. Based on [7, Theorem 5.5], $R[[S]]$ is Noetherian. Therefore, by the definition, $R[[S]]$ is T -Noetherian for any multiplicative subset T of R . Next, based on Proposition 3.5, $M[[S]]$ is finitely generated as an $R[[S]]$ -module. Then, based on [3, Lemma 2.14(4)], we get $M[[S]]$ is T -Noetherian. Furthermore, based on Lemma 3.6, $T[[S]]$ is a multiplicative subset of $R[[S]]$. Since $T \subseteq T[[S]]$, based on [3, Remark 2.11(2)], we have $M[[S]]$ is $T[[S]]$ -Noetherian. \square

Theorem 3.13. *Let R be a ring, $T \subseteq R$ be a multiplicative set, (S, \leq) be a strictly ordered monoid, and M be an R -module. If T is additively closed, (S, \leq) is narrow, S is cancelative and torsion-free, $\exists s_1, \dots, s_n \in S \setminus G(S)$ such that $S = \langle s_1, \dots, s_n \rangle + G(S)$, M is Noetherian, and $N = \{x \in M \mid Rx \subseteq N\}$ for every submodule N of M , then $R[[S]]$ -module $M[[S]]$ is $T[[S]]$ -Noetherian.*

Proof. Based on [4, Theorem 4.6], $M[[S]]$ is Noetherian as an $R[[S]]$ -module. Therefore, by the definition, $M[[S]]$ is T -Noetherian for any multiplicative subset T of R . Furthermore, based on Lemma 3.6, $T[[S]]$ is a multiplicative subset of $R[[S]]$. Since $T \subseteq T[[S]]$, based on [3, Remark 2.11(2)], we have $M[[S]]$ is $T[[S]]$ -Noetherian. \square

4. Conclusions

In this section, we give the conclusion of the main results of this paper. These conclusions, we present in the following table:

Table 1. Sufficient conditions for GPSM $M[[S]]$ to be $T[[S]]$ -Noetherian

Assumptions				
Ring R	Multiplicatively closed set $T \subseteq R$	Strictly order monoid (S, \leq)	R -module M	Theorem
T -Noetherian	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ anti-Archimedean ▪ additively closed 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ $S = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ ▪ trivial \leq 	finitely generated	3.7
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ $S = \mathbb{Z}$ ▪ trivial \leq 		3.8
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ anti-Archimedean consisting of nonzero divisor ▪ additively closed ▪ closed 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ $S = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ ▪ usual \leq 		3.9
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ $S = \mathbb{Z}$ ▪ usual \leq 		3.10
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ f.g. S ▪ positive \leq 		3.11
Noetherian		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ (S, \leq) is narrow ▪ S is cancelative and torsion-free ▪ $\exists s_1, \dots, s_n \in S \setminus G(S)$ s.t. $S = \langle s_1, \dots, s_n \rangle + G(S)$ 		3.12
Any	Additively closed	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ (S, \leq) is narrow ▪ S is cancelative and torsion-free ▪ $\exists s_1, \dots, s_n \in S \setminus G(S)$ s.t. $S = \langle s_1, \dots, s_n \rangle + G(S)$ 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ M is Noetherian ▪ $N = \{x \in M \mid Rx \subseteq N\}$, for every $N \leq M$ 	3.13

References

[1] A. Faisol, B. Surodjo and S. Wahyuni, The impact of the monoid homomorphism on the structure of skew generalized power series rings, Far East J. Math. Sci. (FJMS) 103(7) (2018), 1215-1227.

[2] D. D. Anderson and T. Dumitrescu, S -Noetherian rings, Comm. Algebra 30(9) (2002), 4407-4416.

[3] J. Baeck, G. Lee and J. W. Lim, S -Noetherian rings and their extensions, Taiwanese J. Math. 20(6) (2016), 1231-1250.

- [4] K. Varadarajan, Generalized power series modules, *Comm. Algebra* 29(3) (2001), 1281-1294.
- [5] L. Zhongkui, On S -noetherian rings, *Arch. Math.* 43 (2007), 55-60.
- [6] P. Ribenboim, *Generalized power series rings, Lattice, Semigroups and Universal Algebra*, Plenum Press, New York, 1990, pp. 271-277.
- [7] P. Ribenboim, Noetherian rings of generalized power series, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 79 (1992), 293-312.

2019 (Thompson)--JPANTA--T[[S]]-Noetherian Property on GPSM

ORIGINALITY REPORT

23%

SIMILARITY INDEX

PRIMARY SOURCES

- 1** A Faisol, B Surodjo, S Wahyuni. " The Relation between Almost Noetherian Module, Almost Finitely Generated Module and -Noetherian Module ", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2019
Crossref 563 words — 18%
- 2** Jung Wook Lim, Dong Yeol Oh. " -Noetherian Properties of Composite Ring Extensions ", Communications in Algebra, 2015
Crossref 45 words — 1%
- 3** K. Varadarajan. "GENERALIZED POWER SERIES MODULES", Communications in Algebra, 2001
Crossref 34 words — 1%
- 4** mathnet.preprints.org
Internet 21 words — 1%
- 5** tcms.org.ge
Internet 15 words — < 1%
- 6** Greg Marks. "A new class of unique product monoids with applications to ring theory", Semigroup Forum, 03/2009
Crossref 15 words — < 1%
- 7** ejournal.radenintan.ac.id
Internet 15 words — < 1%

EXCLUDE QUOTES

OFF

EXCLUDE MATCHES

< 15 WORDS

EXCLUDE
BIBLIOGRAPHY

ON