

PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

The Relation between Almost Noetherian Module, Almost Finitely Generated Module and T -Noetherian Module

To cite this article: A Faisal *et al* 2019 *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* **1306** 012001

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.



IOP | ebooksTM

Bringing you innovative digital publishing with leading voices to create your essential collection of books in STEM research.

Start exploring the collection - download the first chapter of every title for free.

The Relation between Almost Noetherian Module, Almost Finitely Generated Module and T -Noetherian Module

Faisol A^{1,2}, Surodjo B¹, and Wahyuni S¹

¹Department of Mathematics, Universitas Gadjah Mada

²Department of Mathematics, Universitas Lampung

E-mail: ¹ahmadfaisol@mail.ugm.ac.id, surodjo.b@ugm.ac.id, swahyuni@ugm.ac.id

²ahmadfaisol@fmipa.unila.ac.id

Abstract. In this paper we study the relation between almost Noetherian modules, almost finitely generated (a.f.g.) modules, and T -Noetherian modules. We show that if $R' = \{r \in R \mid rM \neq M\}$ and M is an almost Noetherian (a.f.g. *resp.*) R -module, then M is an (R') -Noetherian module. We also obtain that for any multiplicatively closed subset T of a ring R and $R' = \{r \in R \mid rM \neq M\}$, if M is an almost Noetherian (a.f.g. *resp.*) R -module and $T \cap R' \neq \emptyset$, then M is $(T \cap R')$ -Noetherian. Moreover, we show that if M is an almost Noetherian (a.f.g. *resp.*) R -module and $T \cap R' \neq \emptyset$, then M is an T -Noetherian module for every multiplicatively closed set $T \subseteq R$. Finally, we apply the results of this paper on the structure of Generalized Power Series Module (GPSM) $M[[S]]$.

1. Introduction

Armendariz [2] introduces the concepts of an almost Noetherian module, which is a generalization of Noetherian modules. An R -module M is called almost Noetherian if every proper submodule of M is finitely generated. One of the examples of an almost Noetherian \mathbb{Z} -module which is not Noetherian is p -quasicyclic group \mathbb{Z}_{p^∞} . In fact, based on Gilmer and O'Malley [5], any almost Noetherian \mathbb{Z} -module is either Noetherian or isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_{p^∞} for a suitable prime p .

Furthermore, Weakly [10] give the definition of an a.f.g. modules, i.e., a module that is not finitely generated but every its proper submodules are finitely generated. It is clear that every a.f.g. modules are almost Noetherian modules.

In [1], Anderson and Dumitrescu introduce the definition of T -Noetherian rings and modules. For any multiplicatively closed subset T of a ring R , an R -module M is called a T -Noetherian module if for each submodule N of M , there exist an element $t \in T$ and a finitely generated submodule F of M such that $Nt \subseteq F \subseteq N$. Some properties of T -Noetherian modules studied by Baeck, Lee, and Lim [3].

In a case of Noetherian modules, Varadarajan [8] give the necessary and sufficient conditions for the module of polynomials $M[X]$, the module of Laurent polynomials $M[X, X^{-1}]$, and the module of power series $M[[X]]$ to be Noetherian modules. As a generalization of these modules, Varadarajan [9] constructed the Generalized Power Series Modules (GPSM) $M[[S]]$, i.e. a module over Generalized Power Series Rings (GPSR) $R[[S]]$ whose constructed by Ribenboim



[6]. Moreover, Varadarajan [9] determined the necessary and sufficient conditions for GPSM $M[[S]]$ to be a Noetherian module, which strengthens earlier results of Ribenboim [7].

Furthermore, Faisal, Surodjo, and Wahyuni [4] give the sufficient conditions for $R[X]$ -module $M[X]$, $R[X, X^{-1}]$ -module $M[X, X^{-1}]$, $R[[X]]$ -module $M[[X]]$, and $R[[X, X^{-1}]]$ -module $M[[X, X^{-1}]]$ to be $T[X]$ -Noetherian, $T[X, X^{-1}]$ -Noetherian, $T[[X]]$ -Noetherian, and $T[[X, X^{-1}]]$ -Noetherian, respectively, where T is a multiplicatively and also additively closed subset of ring R .

In this paper, we investigate the relation between almost Noetherian modules, a.f.g. modules, and T -Noetherian modules. As the main result of this paper, we obtain the sufficient condition for an R -module M to be T -Noetherian related to almost Noetherian R -module and a.f.g. R -module. Furthermore, we apply the main result of this paper on the structure of GPSM $M[[S]]$.

2. Main Results

In this section, we investigate the relation between almost Noetherian modules, a.f.g. modules, and T -Noetherian modules.

Now, let M be an R -module and $R' = \{r \in R \mid rM \neq M\}$. It is easy to show that R' is a multiplicatively closed subset of R . The following proposition shows that if M is an almost Noetherian module, then M is (R') -Noetherian.

Proposition 2.1 *Let R be a ring, M an R -module and $R' = \{r \in R \mid rM \neq M\}$. If M is almost Noetherian, then M is (R') -Noetherian.*

Proof. For any $r \in R'$ and every submodule N of M ,

$$rN \subseteq rM \subset M.$$

Hence, rN is a proper submodule. Since M is almost Noetherian, rN is a finitely generated submodule of M . Therefore, for any submodule N of M , there exist an element $r \in R'$ and a finitely generated submodule $F = rN$ of M such that

$$rN \subseteq F \subseteq N.$$

So, M is (R') -Noetherian. ■

The consequence of Proposition 2.1 is given by the following corollary.

Corollary 2.2 *Let R be a ring, M an R -module and $R' = \{r \in R \mid rM \neq M\}$. If M is an a.f.g. module, then M is (R') -Noetherian.*

Next, for any multiplicative subset T of R , clearly $T \cap R'$ is also a multiplicative subset of R . The following proposition shows that if M is almost Noetherian as an R -module and $(T \cap R') \neq \emptyset$, then M is $(T \cap R')$ -Noetherian.

Proposition 2.3 *Let R be a ring, T a multiplicative subset of R , M an R -module and $R' = \{r \in R \mid rM \neq M\}$. If M is an almost Noetherian module and $(T \cap R') \neq \emptyset$, then M is $(T \cap R')$ -Noetherian.*

Proof. For any $a \in (T \cap R')$ and every submodule N of M ,

$$aN \subseteq aM \subset M.$$

Hence, aN is a proper submodule. Since M is a.f.g., aN is a finitely generated submodule of M . Therefore, for any submodule N of M , there exist an element $a \in (T \cap R')$ and a finitely generated submodule $F = aN$ of M such that

$$aN \subseteq F \subseteq N.$$

So, M is $(T \cap R')$ -Noetherian. ■

The consequence of Proposition 2.3 is given by the following corollary.

Corollary 2.4 *Let R be a ring, T a multiplicative subset of R , M an R -module and $R' = \{r \in R \mid rM \neq M\}$. If M is an a.f.g. module and $(T \cap R') \neq \emptyset$, then M is $(T \cap R')$ -Noetherian.*

Next, we recall the properties of a Noetherian R -module related to two multiplicative subsets of ring R .

Lemma 2.5 [3] *Let T_1, T_2 are multiplicative subsets of a ring R . If $T_1 \subseteq T_2$, then any T_1 -Noetherian R -module is T_2 -Noetherian.*

By using Lemma 2.5, we obtain the sufficient conditions for an R -module M to be T -Noetherian related to almost Noetherian R -module and a.f.g. R -module as follows.

Theorem 2.6 *Let R be a ring, T a multiplicative subset of R , M an R -module and $R' = \{r \in R \mid rM \neq M\}$. If M is an almost Noetherian R -module and $(T \cap R') \neq \emptyset$, then M is T -Noetherian.*

Proof. Since M is an almost Noetherian R -module, based on Proposition 2.3, M is $(T \cap R')$ -Noetherian. Furthermore, since $(T \cap R') \subseteq T$, based on Lemma 2.5, M is a T -Noetherian R -module. ■

Theorem 2.7 *Let R be a ring, T a multiplicative subset of R , M an R -module and $R' = \{r \in R \mid rM \neq M\}$. If M is an a.f.g. module and $(T \cap R') \neq \emptyset$, then M is T -Noetherian.*

Proof. Since M is an a.f.g. R -module, based on Corollary 2.4, M is $(T \cap R')$ -Noetherian. Furthermore, since $(T \cap R') \subseteq T$, based on Lemma 2.5, M is a T -Noetherian R -module. ■

3. Application on Generalized Power Series Modules

In this section, we apply the results of the previous section on the structure of Generalized Power Series Modules (GPSM) $M[[S]]$.

Regarding ordered sets, strictly ordered monoids, Artinian and narrow sets, we will be following the terminology in [6] and [7].

An ordered set (S, \leq) is Artinian if every strictly decreasing element of S is finite, and (S, \leq) is Noetherian if every strictly increasing element of S is finite.

Lemma 3.1 [6] *Let (S, \leq) be any ordered set.*

- (1) *If S is Artinian (Noetherian) and $X \subseteq S$, then X is Artinian (Noetherian).*
- (2) *If X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are Artinian (Noetherian) subsets of S , then $\bigcup_{i=1}^n X_i$ is Artinian (Noetherian).*

An ordered set (S, \leq) is said to be *narrow* if S does not contain an infinite subset consisting of pairwise incomparable elements.

Lemma 3.2 [6] *Let (S, \leq) be any order set.*

- (1) *If S narrow and $X \subseteq S$, then X is narrow.*
- (2) *If X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are narrow subsets of S , then $\bigcup_{i=1}^n X_i$ is narrow.*

Lemma 3.3 [7] *If X, Y are Artinian and narrow subsets of (S, \leq) , then $X + Y = \{s + t \mid s \in X, t \in Y\}$ is also Artinian and narrow.*

Now, we recall the construction of GPSR and GPSM as follows from Ribenboim [6] and Varadarajan [9].

Let (S, \leq) be a strictly ordered monoid, R a commutative ring with an identity element and M an R -module. Let $R^S = \{f|f : S \rightarrow R\}$ and

$$R[[S]] = \{f \in R^S | \text{supp}(f) \text{ is Artinian and narrow } \},$$

where $\text{supp}(f) = \{s \in S | f(s) \neq 0\}$.

For any $f, g \in R[[S]]$, $\text{supp}(f + g) \subseteq \text{supp}(f) \cup \text{supp}(g)$, $\text{supp}(-f) = \text{supp}(f)$, and $\text{supp}(fg) \subseteq \text{supp}(f) + \text{supp}(g)$. Therefore, under pointwise addition and convolution multiplication defined by

$$(fg)(s) = \sum_{(x,y) \in \chi_s(f,g)} f(x)g(y), \tag{1}$$

for all $f, g \in R[[S]]$ where

$$\chi_s(f, g) = \{(x, y) \in \text{supp}(f) \times \text{supp}(g) | xy = s\}$$

is finite, $R[[S]]$ becomes a ring which is known as Generalized Power Series Ring (GPSR).

Next, let $M^S = \{\alpha | \alpha : S \rightarrow M\}$ and

$$M[[S]] = \{\alpha \in M^S | \text{supp}(\alpha) \text{ is Artinian and narrow } \},$$

where $\text{supp}(\alpha) = \{s \in S | \alpha(s) \neq 0\}$.

For any $\alpha, \beta \in M[[S]]$, $\text{supp}(\alpha + \beta) \subseteq \text{supp}(\alpha) \cup \text{supp}(\beta)$, $\text{supp}(-\alpha) = \text{supp}(\alpha)$, and $\text{supp}(\alpha\beta) \subseteq \text{supp}(\alpha) + \text{supp}(\beta)$. Therefore, under pointwise addition and scalar multiplication defined by

$$(f\alpha)(s) = \sum_{(x,y) \in \chi_s(f,\alpha)} f(x)\alpha(y), \tag{2}$$

for all $f \in R[[S]]$ and $\alpha \in M[[S]]$ where

$$\chi_s(f, \alpha) = \{(x, y) \in \text{supp}(f) \times \text{supp}(\alpha) | xy = s\}$$

is finite, $M[[S]]$ acquires the structure of an $R[[S]]$ -module. Next, this module is called Generalized Power Series Module (GPSM).

For any $r \in R$ and any $s \in S$, we associated the maps $c_r, e_s \in R[[S]]$, defined by

$$c_r(t) = \begin{cases} r & \text{if } t = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } t \neq 1, \end{cases}$$

and

$$e_s(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t = s \\ 0 & \text{if } t \neq s. \end{cases}$$

For any $m \in M$ and any $s \in S$, we define $d_m^s \in M[[S]]$ by

$$d_m^s(t) = \begin{cases} m & \text{if } t = s \\ 0 & \text{if } t \neq s. \end{cases}$$

Then, it is clear that $r \mapsto c_r$ is a ring embedding of R into $R[[S]]$, and $s \mapsto e_s$ is a monoid embedding of S into the multiplicative monoid of the ring $R[[S]]$, and also $m \mapsto d_m^0$ is a module embedding of M into $M[[S]]$.

For any subset N of an R -module M , we define

$$N[[S]] = \{\gamma \in M[[S]] \mid \gamma(s) \in N; \forall s \in S\}.$$

The sufficient conditions of $N[[S]]$ to be an $R[[S]]$ -submodule of $M[[S]]$ are given by the following lemma.

Lemma 3.4 *Let R be a ring, M an R -module, and (S, \leq) a strictly ordered monoid. If N is an R -submodule of M , then $N[[S]]$ is an $R[[S]]$ -submodule of $M[[S]]$.*

Proof. First, it is clear that $N[[S]] \subseteq M[[S]]$. Next, we will show that for any $f, g \in R[[S]]$ and any $\alpha, \beta \in N[[S]]$, $f\alpha + g\beta \in N[[S]]$.

It is clear that $\text{supp}(f)$ and $\text{supp}(\alpha)$ are Artinian and narrow. Since $\text{supp}(f\alpha) \subseteq \text{supp}(f) + \text{supp}(\alpha)$ and $\text{supp}(g\beta) \subseteq \text{supp}(g) + \text{supp}(\beta)$, based on Lemma 3.3, Lemma 3.1, and Lemma 3.2 $\text{supp}(f\alpha)$ and $\text{supp}(g\beta)$ are Artinian and narrow. Furthermore, since $\text{supp}(f\alpha + g\beta) \subseteq \text{supp}(f\alpha) \cup \text{supp}(g\beta)$, based on Lemma 3.1 and 3.2 $\text{supp}(f\alpha + g\beta)$ is Artinian and narrow. In other words, $f\alpha + g\beta \in M[[S]]$.

Now, for any $s \in S$, we will show that $(f\alpha + g\beta)(s) \in N$. For any $f, g \in R[[S]]$ and any $\alpha, \beta \in M[[S]]$,

$$(f\alpha)(s) = \sum_{xy=s} f(x)\alpha(y)$$

and

$$(g\beta)(s) = \sum_{xy=s} g(x)\beta(y).$$

Since N is an R -submodule of M , we have $(f\alpha)(s) \in N$ and $(g\beta)(s) \in N$. Hence, we obtain $(f\alpha + g\beta)(s) = (f\alpha)(s) + (g\beta)(s) \in N$. In other words, it is prove that $N[[S]]$ is an $R[[S]]$ -submodule of $M[[S]]$. ■

It is clear that, if N is an R -submodule of M , then $rN \subseteq rM$ for any $r \in R$. Therefore, according to Lemma 3.4, $fN[[S]] \subseteq fM[[S]]$ for any $f \in R[[S]]$.

Next, for any subset T of a ring R , we define the set

$$T[[S]] = \{f \in R[[S]] \mid f(s) \in T; \forall s \in S\}.$$

It is clear that $T[[S]] \subseteq R[[S]]$. The sufficient conditions of $T[[S]]$ to be a multiplicatively closed subset of GPSR $R[[S]]$ are given by the following lemma.

Lemma 3.5 *Let R be a ring, $T \subseteq R$, (S, \leq) a strictly ordered monoid, and $R[[S]]$ a GPSR. If $T \subseteq R$ is closed under the operations of R , then $T[[S]]$ is a multiplicatively closed subset of $R[[S]]$.*

Proof. For any $f, g \in T[[S]]$, we will show that $fg \in T[[S]]$. Based on the convolution multiplication in equation (1), for any $s \in S$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (fg)(s) &= \sum_{(x,y) \in \chi_s(f,g)} f(x)g(y) \\ &= \sum_{xy=s} f(x)g(y) \end{aligned}$$

Since $T \subseteq R$ is closed under the operations of ring R , we have $\sum_{xy=s} f(x)g(y) \in T$. In other words, $fg \in T[[S]]$. Thus, $T[[S]]$ is a multiplicatively closed subset of $R[[S]]$. ■

As a direct result of Lemma 3.5 above, if we choose $S = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ with a trivial order \leq , we obtain [4, Lemma 6]. If we choose $S = \mathbb{Z}$ with a trivial order \leq , we obtain [4, Lemma 7(1)]. Next, if we choose $S = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ with a usual order \leq , we obtain [4, Lemma 7(2)]. Furthermore, if we choose $S = \mathbb{Z}$ with a usual order \leq , we obtain [4, Lemma 7(3)].

Now, let M be an R -module and $R' = \{r \in R | rM \neq M\}$, we defined the set

$$R'[[S]] = \{g \in R[[S]] | g(s) \in R'; \forall s \in S\}.$$

It is clear that $R'[[S]] \subseteq R[[S]]$, and it is easy to show that $R'[[S]]$ is a multiplicatively closed subset of $R[[S]]$.

The following lemma shows that if $M[[S]]$ is an $R[[S]]$ -module, then $gM[[S]] \neq M[[S]]$ for any $g \in R'[[S]]$.

Lemma 3.6 *Let R be a ring, M an R -module, $R' = \{r \in R | rM \neq M\}$, (S, \leq) a strictly ordered monoid. Then for any $g \in R'[[S]]$, $gM[[S]] \neq M[[S]]$.*

Proof. Suppose that for any $g \in R'[[S]]$ and for an $R[[S]]$ -module $M[[S]]$, $gM[[S]] = M[[S]]$. In other words, $gM[[S]] \subset M[[S]]$ and $M[[S]] \subset gM[[S]]$. Therefore, for any $\alpha \in M[[S]]$, then $\alpha \in gM[[S]]$. Hence, for any $s \in S$ and $\beta \in M[[S]]$, we obtain

$$\alpha(s) = (g\beta)(s) = \sum_{xy=s} g(x)\beta(y) \in M. \tag{3}$$

In the other side, since $g(s) \in R'$ for all $s \in S$, we have $g(s)M \neq M$. Therefore, $\sum_{xy=s} g(x)\beta(y)$ in equation (3) not necessary in M . Thus, a contradiction. ■

Next, we apply Proposition 2.1 to the structure of GPSM $M[[S]]$ and we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 3.7 *Let R be a ring, M an R -module, N a proper R -submodule of M , $R' = \{r \in R | rM \neq M\}$, and (S, \leq) a strictly ordered monoid. If $M[[S]]$ is an almost Noetherian $R[[S]]$ -module, then $M[[S]]$ is $R'[[S]]$ -Noetherian.*

Proof. Based on Lemma 3.4, it is clear that for any R -submodule N of M , $N[[S]]$ is an $R[[S]]$ -submodule of $M[[S]]$. Therefore, based on Lemma 3.6,

$$gN[[S]] \subseteq gM[[S]] \subset M[[S]]$$

for any $g \in R'[[S]]$. Then, $gN[[S]]$ is a proper $R[[S]]$ -submodule of $M[[S]]$.

Since $M[[S]]$ is almost Noetherian, we have $gN[[S]]$ is a finitely generated $R[[S]]$ -submodule of $M[[S]]$. Therefore, for any $R[[S]]$ -submodule $N[[S]]$ of $M[[S]]$, there exist an element $g \in R'[[S]]$ and a finitely generated submodule $K = gN[[S]]$ of $M[[S]]$ such that

$$gN[[S]] \subseteq K \subseteq N[[S]].$$

So, $M[[S]]$ is an $R'[[S]]$ -Noetherian $R[[S]]$ -module. ■

Now, we apply Proposition 2.3 on the structure of GPSM $M[[S]]$, and we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 3.8 *Let R be a ring, T an additively and a multiplicatively closed subset of ring R , M an R -module, N a proper R -submodule of M , $R' = \{r \in R | rM \neq M\}$, and (S, \leq) a strictly ordered monoid. If $M[[S]]$ is an almost Noetherian $R[[S]]$ -module and $T[[S]] \cap R'[[S]] \neq \emptyset$, then $M[[S]]$ is $(T[[S]] \cap R'[[S]])$ -Noetherian.*

Proof. Based on Lemma 3.4, it is clear that for any R -submodule N of M , $N[[S]]$ is an $R[[S]]$ -submodule of $M[[S]]$. Based on Lemma 3.5, $T[[S]]$ is a multiplicative subset of $R[[S]]$. Therefore, based on Lemma 3.6,

$$gN[[S]] \subseteq gM[[S]] \subset M[[S]]$$

for any $g \in (T[[S]] \cap R'[[S]])$. Then, $gN[[S]]$ is a proper $R[[S]]$ -submodule of $M[[S]]$.

Since $M[[S]]$ is almost Noetherian, we have $gN[[S]]$ is a finitely generated $R[[S]]$ -submodule of $M[[S]]$. Since $T[[S]] \cap R'[[S]] \neq \emptyset$, there exist an element $g \in T[[S]] \cap R'[[S]]$ and a finitely generated submodule $K = gN[[S]]$ of $M[[S]]$ such that

$$gN[[S]] \subseteq K \subseteq N[[S]],$$

for every $R[[S]]$ -submodule $N[[S]]$ of $M[[S]]$. So, $M[[S]]$ is an $(T[[S]] \cap R'[[S]])$ -Noetherian $R[[S]]$ -module. ■

Finally, we apply Theorem 2.6 on the structure of GPSM $M[[S]]$, and we get the following theorem.

Theorem 3.9 *Let R be a ring, T an additively and a multiplicatively closed subset of ring R , M an R -module, N a proper R -submodule of M , $R' = \{r \in R | rM \neq M\}$, and (S, \leq) a strictly ordered monoid. If $M[[S]]$ is an almost Noetherian $R[[S]]$ -module and $T[[S]] \cap R'[[S]] \neq \emptyset$, then $M[[S]]$ is $T[[S]]$ -Noetherian.*

Proof. Since $M[[S]]$ is an almost Noetherian $R[[S]]$ -module, based on Proposition 3.8 $M[[S]]$ is an $(T[[S]] \cap R'[[S]])$ -Noetherian $R[[S]]$ -module. Furthermore, since $(T[[S]] \cap R'[[S]]) \subseteq T[[S]]$, then based on Lemma 2.5, we obtain $M[[S]]$ is $T[[S]]$ -Noetherian. ■

4. Conclusion

An R -module M is T -Noetherian if M is almost Noetherian (a.f.g. resp.) and $T \cap R' \neq \emptyset$, for any multiplicatively closed subset T of ring R and $R' = \{r \in R | rM \neq M\}$.

On the structure of GPSM, $M[[S]]$ is $T[[S]]$ -Noetherian if $M[[S]]$ is almost Noetherian and $T[[S]] \cap R'[[S]] \neq \emptyset$, for an additively and a multiplicatively closed subset T of ring R and $R' = \{r \in R | rM \neq M\}$.

References

- [1] Anderson, D., D., and Dumitrescu, T., S-Noetherian Rings, *Communications in Algebra*, **30** (2002), 4407-4416.
- [2] Armendariz, E.P., Rings with an Almost Noetherian Ring of Fraction, *Math. Scand.*, **41** (1977), 15-18.
- [3] Baeck, J., Lee, G., and Lim, J.W., S-Noetherian Rings and Their Extensions, *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*, **20(6)** (2016), 1231-1250.
- [4] Faisol, A., Surodjo, B., and Wahyuni, S., The Sufficient Conditions for $R[X]$ -module $M[X]$ to be $S[X]$ -Noetherian, *European Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, **5(1)** (2019), 1-13.
- [5] Gilmer, R., and O'Malley, M., Non-Noetherian Rings for which each Proper Subring is Noetherian, *Math. Scand.*, **31** (1972), 118-122.
- [6] Ribenboim, P., Generalized Power Series Rings, *In Lattice, Semigroups and Universal Algebra*, Plenum Press, New York, (1990), 271-277.
- [7] Ribenboim, P., Noetherian Rings of Generalized Power Series, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, **79** (1992), 293-312.
- [8] Varadarajan, K., A Generalization of Hilbert's Basis Theorem, *Communications In Algebra*, **10** (1982), 2191-2204.
- [9] Varadarajan, K., Generalized Power Series Modules, *Communications In Algebra*, **29(3)** (2001), pp 1281-1294.
- [10] Weakley, W.D., Modules whose Proper Submodules are Finitely Generated, *J. Algebra*, **84** (1983), 189-219.